



**Convention on
Biological Diversity**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/18/INF/15
19 June 2014

ENGLISH ONLY

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON SCIENTIFIC,
TECHNICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Eighteenth meeting

Montreal, 23-28 June 2014

Item 9.7 of the provisional agenda*

**EMERGING KEY MESSAGES FOR THE STATE OF KNOWLEDGE REVIEW ON THE
INTERLINKAGES BETWEEN BIODIVERSITY AND HUMAN HEALTH**

Note by the Executive Secretary

1. The Executive Secretary is circulating herewith, for the information of participants in the eighteenth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice, the emerging key messages associated with the *State of Knowledge Review on the Interlinkages between Biodiversity and Human Health*.¹ The document is being made available for the purpose of peer-review.
2. This document contains a preliminary draft of emerging key messages which are subject to further review in the *State of Knowledge Review on the Interlinkages between Biodiversity and Human Health*. A final version of the key messages will contain cross-references with the main text which itself will be fully referenced. The State of Knowledge Review is being prepared by a consortium of partners including the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, the World Health Organization, Ecohealth Alliance, Bioversity International, DIVERSITAS and Wildlife Conservation Society, HEAL.
3. The present document is being made available for the purpose of peer-review. Until official publication, the data, material and messages contained in this document may not be republished, displayed, distributed, or transmitted in any manner, nor may the material, or portion thereof, be copied or posted on any other website or network or otherwise distributed, quoted or cited. The document, as well as review template, can be accessed from <http://www.cbd.int/en/health/what-s-new>. The peer-review is open until 10 July 2014. Comments should be sent by e-mail to secretariat@cbd.int or by fax to +1 514 288 6588.
4. Further information on the *State of Knowledge Review on the Interlinkages between Biodiversity and Human Health*, and other items of cooperation with other conventions has been made available as document UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/18/17. The complete State of Knowledge Review is expected to be launched at the twelfth meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

* UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/18/1.

¹ UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/18/17, section II.

EMERGING KEY MESSAGESⁱ

PART I

Introduction

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
1. **As defined by the World Health Organization, “health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity”.** As a ubiquitous concern for all populations, health status has important social, economic, behavioral and environmental determinants and wide-ranging impacts. Typically health has been viewed largely in a human-only context. However, there is increasing recognition of the broader health concept that encompasses other species, our ecosystems and the integral ecological underpinnings of many drivers or protectors of health risks.
2. **Biological diversity (biodiversity) is “the variability among living organisms from all sources including, inter alia, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part; this includes diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems.”** This definition of the Convention on Biological Diversity (Article 2) recognizes levels of variability within species, among species, and within and among ecosystems, and reflects levels and complexities of biotic and abiotic interactions. Genetic diversity, for example, is an important source of genetic materials for human use, which have different nutritional and medicinal or health benefits. The attributes and interactions of biotic and abiotic components determine ecosystem processes and their properties and they influence changes in each of the latter over space and time. The effective management of ecosystems as part of comprehensive public health measures requires that these various complex linkages and interactions be identified and understood.
3. **Biodiversity underpins ecosystem services that are essential to human health and well-being.** Services provided by ecosystems include food which underpins nutrition and food security, clean air and both the quantity and quality of fresh water, medicines, spiritual and cultural values, climate regulation, pest and disease regulation, and disaster risk reduction, including as these contribute to local livelihoods, health and economic development. Protecting biodiversity and natural landscapes can benefit human health by protecting the sources of existing and future medicinal resources.
4. **Anthropogenic drivers of biodiversity loss are hindering the capacity of ecosystems to provide essential services.** The continued decline of biodiversity, including loss of or degradation of ecosystems, is reducing the ability of ecosystems to provide essential life-sustaining services and in many cases is contributing to increased problems for health and well-being.
5. **Traditional measures of health are often too limited in focus to adequately encompass all the health benefits of conservation.** While the definition of health adopted by the World Health Organization in 1946 encompasses "physical, mental and social well-being as well as the absence of disease and infirmity", traditional measures of health tend to have a more narrow focus on morbidity, mortality and disability, but fail to capture the full breadth of complex linkages at the biodiversity-health nexus or health benefits associated with biodiversity conservation. Summary measures such as disability adjusted life years (DALYs) and burden of disease mainly aggregate these three into one metric. All are important and useful, reflecting health goals to reduce these negative outcomes. However, traditional health measures often fall short of considering the multitude of factors promoting or

1 determining individual and population health under WHO's broader definition. For this
2 reason, evidence of the contribution of biodiversity conservation to human health through
3 ecosystem functioning also falls short. For the latter to be more adequately credited,
4 alternative metrics defining health are needed.

5
6 **6. Ecosystem restoration is often a viable and cost-effective solution to human health and**
7 **well-being problems and provides co-benefits in terms of improved biodiversity**
8 **conservation outcomes.** In many cases, the objectives of human health and biodiversity
9 conservation are mutually supporting. Ecosystem restoration measures can additionally
10 contribute to the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and its
11 Aichi Targets, in particular Aichi Target 14.

12
13 **7. Biodiversity, or ecosystem, based solutions need to be further mainstreamed into human**
14 **health policies and programmes as do human health considerations into biodiversity**
15 **conservation programmes.** Options need to be tailored to specific needs and circumstances
16 but often win-win solutions are available. This requires improved coordination across
17 various interest groups.

18 ***Equity and social dimension of health and biodiversity***

19
20
21 **8. Human population health is determined, to a large extent, by social, economic and**
22 **environmental factors.** These determinants of health reflect the social, economic, and
23 behavioural aspects of the human condition as critical components of all aspects of
24 biodiversity, such as biodiversity loss and gains, ecosystem services, and biodiversity policies.

25
26 **9. Equity issues are not only important to different groups within a country, but also in**
27 **relation to different vulnerabilities among countries.** Developing countries are often more
28 reliant on biodiversity and ecosystem services than developed countries. For example, over
29 one billion people, mainly in developing countries, rely on fisheries as their primary source
30 of animal protein.

31
32 **10. Disproportionate impacts of biodiversity loss including on health, are driven by social**
33 **determinants (such as poverty, gender, sex, age, and rural versus urban areas).** Vulnerable
34 people and groups most reliant on biodiversity and ecosystem services (such as women and
35 the poor), especially on provisioning services such as timber, water and food, are generally
36 more vulnerable to biodiversity loss and less covered by social protection mechanisms (e.g.
37 health insurance).

38
39 **11. A social justice perspective is needed to address the various equity dimensions in the**
40 **biodiversity and health dynamic.** Many social issues arise among vulnerable populations,
41 specifically populations who are dependent on biodiversity and ecosystem services (forest
42 dwellers, indigenous populations etc.). Vulnerability and adaptation assessments are needed
43 and should be adapted to serve these populations.

44
45 **12. Different gendered roles in relation to biodiversity conservation impact health.** Access to,
46 use, and management of biodiversity has differential gender health impacts shaped by
47 respective cultural values and norms which in turn determine roles, responsibilities,
48 obligations, benefits and rights. In addition to the lack of political will and frequently weak
49 institutional capacity and legal frameworks that inadequately reflect differential gender
50 roles, there is a lack of gender disaggregated data on biodiversity access, use & control and
51 resulting differential health impacts by gender.

1
2 ***Drivers of disease, ecosystem degradation and biodiversity loss***
3

4 **13. Demographic change, anthropogenic activity and large-scale social and economic**
5 **processes contribute to biodiversity loss with potentially negative repercussions on public**
6 **health.** Biodiversity is a key environmental determinant of human health and changes in
7 biodiversity (including losses and gains) are often the result of anthropogenic influences. Ill
8 health, disease emergence and biodiversity loss often share common drivers. Demographic
9 change and resulting changes in production and consumption patterns, and anthropogenic
10 drivers such as land use change, overexploitation of resources, human-induced climate
11 change, habitat loss, and conflict over natural resources often threaten biodiversity,
12 ecosystems and related ecosystem services, compounding threats to public health. Social
13 change and development biases (such as urbanization, poverty and equity) also influence
14 these drivers of change. Macro-economic policies and structures, and public policies that
15 provide perverse incentives or fail to incorporate the value of biodiversity often compound
16 the dual threat to biodiversity and public health.
17

18 ***Integrating Biodiversity And Human Health: Approaches, Frameworks And Knowledge Gaps***
19

20 **14. The social and natural sciences are important contributors to research and policy making**
21 **in biodiversity and health, including multidisciplinary approaches such as the Ecosystem**
22 **Approach, Ecohealth and OneHealth.** Multi-disciplinary research and approaches can
23 provide valuable insights on the drivers of disease emergence and spread, contribute to
24 identifying previous patterns of disease risk and help predict future risks through the lens of
25 social-ecological systems. Biodiversity and health challenges necessitate engagement of
26 many stakeholders, including governments, civil society, and non-governmental and
27 international organizations.
28

29 **PART II- THEMATIC SECTIONS**
30

31 **WATER AND AIR QUALITY**
32

33 ***Water quality***
34

35 **15. Ecosystems provide clean water which underpins most aspects of human health.** All
36 terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems play a role in underpinning the water cycle including
37 regulating nutrient cycling and soil erosion. Many can also play a role in managing pollution.
38 The water purification services provided by ecosystems therefore underpin water quality – a
39 universal requirement to maintain human health. Freshwater ecosystems, such as rivers,
40 lakes and wetlands, face disproportionately high levels of threats to biodiversity due largely
41 to demands on water. Freshwater species have declined at a rate two-thirds greater than
42 terrestrial and marine species in the preceding three decades.
43

44 **16. Impaired water quality results in significant social and economic costs.** Ecosystem
45 degradation is a major cause of declines in water quality. Rectifying poor quality water
46 through artificial means (such as water treatment plants) requires substantial investment
47 and operational costs. Left untreated, poor quality water results in massive burdens on
48 human health, with women, children, and the poor the most affected. Maintaining or
49 restoring healthy ecosystems is a cost-effective and sustainable way to promote water
50 quality while also benefitting biodiversity. Many protected areas are established primarily to
51 protect water supplies for people.

- 1
2 **17. Water-related infrastructure has positive and negative impacts on biodiversity,**
3 **livelihoods, and human health.** Altered waterways (e.g. dams, irrigation canals, urban
4 drainage systems) can provide valuable benefits to human communities, but may be costly
5 to build and maintain, and in some cases increase risks (e.g. flood risk from coastal wetlands
6 degradation). They can also diminish native biodiversity and increase water-borne illnesses,
7 such as malaria and schistosomiasis. An approach integrating benefits of both physical/built
8 (hard) and natural (soft/ecosystem) infrastructure can provide more cost-effective and
9 sustainable solutions.

10 11 **Air quality**

- 12
13 **18. Ecosystems may affect air quality with negative or positive implications and thereby affect**
14 **human health.** Four main ways in which ecosystems affect air quality include: (1) *Deposition*
15 – ecosystems directly remove air pollution, including through absorption or intake of gases
16 through leaves, and through direct deposition of particulate matters on plant surfaces.
17 Natural and man-made ecosystems have a direct influence on levels of airborne particulate
18 matter, by removing particles, resuspension of particles trapped on leaf surfaces, and
19 release of particles such as pollen. (2) *Change in meteorological patterns* – as ecosystems
20 affect local temperature, precipitation, air flows etc., they also affect air quality and
21 pollutant emissions. (3) *Emissions* – many ecosystems emit volatile organic carbons (VOCs)
22 including terpenes and arenes. While VOCs from vegetation are sometimes considered as
23 pollutants, many natural VOCs play a critical role in atmospheric chemistry and air quality
24 regulation. Ecosystems also release pollen, sometimes associated with acute respiratory
25 problems. Burning of vegetation is also associated with significant pollution emissions. (4)
26 *Avoided emissions* – by altering climate and shading buildings, ecosystems in cities alter
27 energy use and consequent emissions. In urban parks and forests reduce fine particulate air
28 pollution has been demonstrated for both PM10 and PM2.5.

- 29
30 **19. Air pollution control provides benefits for ecosystem functioning, biodiversity and**
31 **associated ecosystem services, as well as for human health.** Recent research indicates that
32 global deaths directly or indirectly attributable to outdoor air pollution reached 7 million in
33 2012, making air pollution one of the most significant environmental health risks worldwide.
34 Several respiratory illnesses caused or affected by air pollution, such as bronchial asthma
35 and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), are on the rise. Other diseases affected
36 by air pollution include cardiovascular disease, immune disorders, various cancers, and
37 disorders of the eye, ear, nose and throat. Premature deaths from non-communicable
38 diseases attributed to exposure to household air pollution (including stroke, ischaemic heart
39 disease, lung cancer and COPD) are estimated at 3.8 million annually. Air pollution also
40 affects biodiversity by either acting as a fertilizer in some cases or causing damage to
41 ecosystems. It has also been shown to reduce plant biodiversity and affect other ecosystem
42 services, such as clean water and carbon storage.

- 43
44 **20. Components of biodiversity can be used as bioindicators of known human health**
45 **stressors, as well as in air quality mapping, monitoring, and regulation.** Lichens are among
46 the most widely utilized and well-developed indicators of air quality to date and are making
47 headway as reliable indicators for air quality regulation. The shift in species is predictable
48 and often correlates highly with deposition measures, making lichens an accurate, cost-
49 effective tool for mapping and monitoring. Epiphytic lichens and moss are practical
50 bioindicators for use near human populations because they grow on woody vegetation and
51 are less controlled by landscaping. However, within highly polluted locales, lichen

1 communities may have very low diversity or be wiped out completely, depending on the mix
2 of pollutants and local climate patterns affecting susceptibility. An additional limitation is
3 that the link with epidemiological evidence is sometimes weak.
4

5 6 **FOOD PRODUCTION AND NUTRITION**

7 8 ***Agricultural biodiversity***

- 9
10 **21. Agricultural biodiversity is a central feature of farming systems worldwide, contributing to**
11 **a large proportion of global food production and to food security.** Not only does it
12 encompass several species and genetic resources but also the many ways in which farmers
13 can exploit biodiversity to produce and manage crops, land, water, insects and biota. s.
14 Agricultural biodiversity includes habitats and species inside and outside farming systems
15 that benefit agriculture and enhance ecosystem functions. For example it is a source of host
16 plants for natural enemies and predators of agricultural pests. Recognizing and supporting
17 the importance of small-scale producers (farmers, pastoralists, forest dwellers and fisher
18 folk) as custodians of agricultural biodiversity and responsible for the bulk of global food
19 production may therefore be import to support human health.
20
- 21 **22. Biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems contributes to agricultural productivity and**
22 **sustainability, supports production, and provides pollination and pest control services, all**
23 **of which can support good health.** Thus, biodiversity, agricultural production and human
24 health can be mutually supportive. Agricultural biodiversity helps sustain the functions,
25 structure and processes of agricultural ecosystems. Wider deployment of agricultural
26 biodiversity will be essential to achieve a sustainable delivery of greater agricultural
27 productivity and a more secure and healthy food supply. Diverse farming systems and
28 landscapes can contribute to improved diversity of diet, better nutrition and greater health
29 with additional benefits for human productivity and wellbeing. Diversity can also increase
30 productivity of farming systems, make them generally more resilient to shocks and stresses,
31 help maintain and increase soil fertility, and mitigate impacts of pests and diseases.
32
- 33 **23. Climate change and biodiversity loss pose threats to agricultural biodiversity, and**
34 **increased efforts will be required to conserve the diversity of animals, plants and their**
35 **wild relatives.** Changes in ecosystem equilibrium and loss of biodiversity as a result of land
36 use change, increasing urbanization and climatic shocks, among others, result in shifts of
37 species distribution, altered pest and disease occurrences and a reduction of pollinators for
38 sufficient food diversity and occurrence, with consequences for human health. Land use
39 change and intensive mono-crop and cash crop farming systems also have negative
40 repercussions for the diversity and existence of wild food species in managed and
41 unmanaged landscapes, reduce agricultural and food productivity, and contribute to
42 malnutrition. Strengthening the agricultural biodiversity knowledge base and the facilitation
43 of innovative research partnerships is essential to identify ways in which agricultural
44 biodiversity can better contribute to global health and biodiversity challenges. Both *in situ*
45 and *ex situ* conservation strategies and the improved use of genetic resources will be
46 essential to meet changing production environments.
47
- 48 **24. Pollination is essential to both food and nutrition security, and it plays a critical role in the**
49 **maintenance of wild plant communities as well as agricultural productivity.** Pollination
50 services are reliant upon both domesticated and wild pollinator populations, and both may
51 be affected by drivers of biodiversity loss and change, with unknown but potentially critical

1 consequences for the health and well-being of all people, including the poor and vulnerable.
2 Agricultural productivity is dependent upon pollinator services, which affect approximately
3 one third of global food supply. Global declines of pollinator species have critical
4 implications for food security, agricultural productivity, and ecosystem functioning.
5 Pollination does not only affect the overall quantity of foods such as fruits, seeds and nuts,
6 but also the nutritional content, quality, and variety of foods available. Crop plants
7 dependent on pollinator species have been found to contain most of the global availability
8 of vitamins A, C and E and dietary lipids as well as an important proportion of minerals,
9 calcium, fluoride, and iron. Accordingly, yield increase attributable to animal pollinated
10 crops are essential to nutritional diversity and human health and their resulting decline can
11 have significant consequences for both food and nutrition security as well as human health.
12

13 **25. Biodiversity has positive effects on the regulation of pests and disease.** The continuous use
14 of synthetic pesticides can lead to serious environmental pollution (water, air and soil),
15 affecting human health and causing the death of many other non-target organisms (animals,
16 plants and fish), emphasizing the need for more sustainable forms of pest control. Pesticides
17 are substances used to prevent, destroy, kill, control or mitigate pest problems. These can
18 be either synthetic or of plant or animal origin. Although plant-derived forms are sometimes
19 less effective than many synthetic pesticides, their acceptability increases as they are more
20 environmentally benign, accessible for small-scale farmers, safer, lower-cost, and difficult to
21 adulterate. Unsustainable harvesting of many of these resources, leading to loss in
22 biodiversity, has been a great concern. Concerted efforts in conservation of genetic
23 resources of pesticidal plants and animals through propagation, sustainable harvesting and
24 use can contribute to increased agricultural productivity, sustainable livelihoods and
25 environmental sustainability, justifying their inclusion in agricultural policies.
26

27 **26. Biological control methods such as integrated pest management (IPM) provide more**
28 **sustainable alternatives to chemical pesticides which minimize unintended impacts of**
29 **chemical pesticides on biodiversity and human health.** Integrative strategies such as
30 Integrated Pest Management, which combines pest control methods to cause the least
31 possible harm to people, property, and the environment, can often provide effective, cost-
32 efficient and more sustainable pest control methods. Building capacity of farmers and local
33 institutions in on-farm management strategies and efficient utilization of such measures,
34 agricultural production could be increased. IPM systems give preference to biocontrol
35 methods such as crop rotations, intercropping, and other methods aimed at disrupting pest
36 cycles, using least toxic chemical pesticides only as a last resort and minimizing the impacts
37 of chemical pesticides. IPM, which is compatible with each conventional, organic and
38 genetically modified agriculture, will be mandatory for all agriculture in the EU starting in
39 2014. Biopesticides require further development to improve their effectiveness and
40 production costs.
41

42 **Nutrition**

43 **27. Wildlife from aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems is critical to nutrition and to combatting**
44 **the global burden of malnutrition.** Malnutrition is the single largest contributor to the
45 global burden of disease and undernutrition, and micronutrient deficiencies
46 disproportionately affect poor and vulnerable populations. Malnutrition accounts for
47 roughly one third of the total burden of disease in poor countries. In addition to
48 undernutrition (inadequate caloric intake), micronutrient deficiencies affect roughly 2 billion
49 people globally and disproportionately impact children and pregnant women. Wildlife from
50
51

1 aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems is a critical source of calories and micronutrients like iron
2 and zinc for more than a billion people, and conservation strategies to maintain robust
3 populations of these animals are not only a critical biodiversity conservation priority, but
4 would also pay significant public health dividends. With a disproportionate amount of
5 human population growth in coastal areas and the decline of global fish stocks, these
6 interactions between harvested wildlife and human health are also critically important in
7 marine systems, and only expected to increase in importance.
8

9 **28. Variety-specific differences can determine nutrient deficiencies versus nutrient**
10 **adequacy in populations and individuals.** The scientific literature reports significant
11 intraspecific differences in the nutrient content of most plant-source foods (i.e. among the
12 different varieties or cultivars of a given species). Nutrient content differences in meat and
13 milk among breeds of the same animal species have also been documented. The differences
14 are statistically significant, and more importantly, nutritionally significant, with up to 1,000-
15 fold differences.
16

17 **29. Knowledge on the compositional data of food sources (including underutilized, wild**
18 **species and the majority of cultivated food species, varieties and breeds) is essential to**
19 **promoting and expanding their use and, consequently, their health benefits.** Wild and
20 cultivated food species contain essential nutrients, but information on the composition and
21 consumption of these foods is limited and fragmented. The potential of indigenous wild and
22 underutilized food sources, such as indigenous fruit trees (IFT), has largely remained
23 untapped due to scant information on the nutritional and economic value of such foods.
24 Information on nutrient content may also facilitate selection of priority species for
25 domestication programs aiming at improving food and nutrition security and income
26 generation. Developing and disseminating nutrient-sensitive processing techniques can
27 further contribute to rural livelihoods through diversification of income generating activities
28 and by extending the shelf-life and availability of wild food products for consumption during
29 off-seasons.
30

31 **30. Well-managed agriculture and ecosystems, and the preservation of genetic diversity, are**
32 **important to nutrition security.** Global agricultural production is theoretically able to feed
33 the world's population, yet 870 million people are hungry and 2 billion suffer from
34 micronutrient deficiencies. Furthermore, about 1.2 billion adults and children are
35 overweight and 475 million are obese. Food biodiversity (i.e. food identified at the
36 taxonomic level below the species level, and neglected/underutilized or wild species)
37 represents a nutrition resource that is capable of addressing the multiple burdens of
38 malnutrition by providing dietary energy, macro- and micronutrients and other beneficial
39 bioactive constituents. There can be many reasons for differences in the nutrient content of
40 food species, but among the most significant result from their genetic diversity.
41

42 **31. Indigenous Peoples' food systems are remarkably diverse and represent important**
43 **repositories of knowledge related to healthy and resilient diets which have had minimal**
44 **impact on the environment and ensured food and nutritional security.** For centuries,
45 communities of Indigenous Peoples have been custodians of the vast majority of the planet's
46 food and genetic resources and stewards of the diverse ecosystems and cultures which have
47 shaped these resources. Today, food insecurity presents a serious and growing challenge
48 among Indigenous Peoples. While no single response can solve the problem of food
49 insecurity, strengthening and leveraging Indigenous Peoples' food systems is one important
50 strategy in a multidisciplinary approach to improve diets and reverse negative food-related

1 health outcomes. Not only do these food-based approaches potentially improve nutrition
2 and health in a sustainable manner, they also revive biocultural knowledge and heritage.

3
4 **32. It cannot always be assumed that a biodiversity rich environment or landscape necessarily
5 contributes to better diet or enhanced nutrition of individuals living in close proximity.**

6 Linking biodiversity assessments with quantitative dietary assessments in biodiverse
7 environments should promote more ethnobiological studies to better understand why some
8 local communities do not make more effective use of edible biodiversity. Possible barriers
9 include: negative perceptions of indigenous wild foods; excessive women's workloads and
10 distances involved for collection; food preparation times; and poor knowledge among local
11 populations about the nutritional value of the indigenous wild foods in their immediate
12 environment. If we are to promote more effective use of this biodiversity it is critically
13 important to address these barriers through implementation of interventions such as:
14 generation and use of better data on their nutrient composition; better awareness, including
15 nutritional education on the benefits of edible biodiversity; domestication of priority species
16 and integration into home gardens; and guidelines for improved use of nutritionally-rich
17 foods from local biodiversity, including recipes adapted to modern lifestyles.

18
19 ***Wild foods and dietary diversity***

20
21 **33. Use of wild edible plants and animals contributes to dietary diversity, improves
22 micronutrients and vitamin intake and peoples' reported levels of satisfaction with their
23 diet.**

24 Wild foods are also important for spiritual and psychological health where customary
25 beliefs or ancestor worship are prevalent. Their use is particularly pertinent where most
26 agricultural production is centred on one or two cereals or tuber based staples which
27 contribute the bulk of daily calorie requirements, but are low in many micronutrients and
28 dietary diversity. Conservative estimates report the consumption of wild foods by
29 approximately one billion people worldwide, yet the actual proportion of daily nutrient
30 requirements supplied by wild foods is largely unknown. The consumption of wild foods is
31 not driven solely by need or poverty, but also by culture, tradition and preference.
32 Domestication of the most important wild food sources may help to both conserve
33 biodiversity and to provide rural communities with better livelihood options.

34 **34. The use of wild foods increases during the traditional 'hungry season' when crops are not
35 yet ready for harvest, and during times of unexpected household shocks such as crop
36 failure or sudden illness.**

37 The use of wild foods at such times is manifest either through
38 direct contribution to household or individual diets, or through collection and trade to
39 generate income for the purchase of food, medicines, or other immediate needs. There is
40 ample evidence of such coping mechanisms around food security in the face of afflictions
41 such as HIV/AIDS. They may also be a coping response to extreme shocks such as political
42 unrest, conflict or war, which sometimes results in greater numbers of deaths than those
43 from weapons. Whilst many national or regional food security indices or models focus on
44 the net yields of key crops and average those across the population demand or calorie
45 needs, these overlook the potentially high variability in the timing of food availability from
46 crops. Sustainable markets also need to be developed for new wild food products and
47 processors linked to domestic and international markets to improve livelihoods.

48 **35. The overuse of wild edible plants and animals may have undesirable impacts on the
49 species used, or the structure and function of the broader systems from which they are
50 harvested.**

51 Ecosystem goods and services such as wild foods are used sources of food, fibre,
fodder, medicine and livelihoods. A number of important drivers, and the interactions

1 between them, can increase or decrease the use and availability of wild foods. Ill health,
2 land use change, climate change, unsustainable harvesting, socio-economic realities,
3 conflict, loss of traditional knowledge, the expansion of markets and globalizing trends all
4 have varying degrees of impact on the use and availability of wild foods. Because
5 biodiversity hotspots often coincide with areas in which there is a higher prevalence of
6 malnutrition, undernutrition and economic vulnerability, policies that jointly address
7 biodiversity, development, food and associated health impacts are needed.
8

- 9 **36. The collection and trade in wild edible plants and animals indirectly contributes to health**
10 **and well-being by providing income for household needs**, particularly in less developed
11 countries. Aggregating across numerous local level studies, estimates of the annual value of
12 the bushmeat trade alone in west and central Africa range between US\$42 and 205 million
13 (at 2000 values). Markets in wild foods can be observed in almost any setting throughout
14 most of the developing world, ranging from 'invisible' inter-household trade to substantial
15 markets in regional and national urban centres. Even cross-border, international trade is not
16 uncommon (e.g. in bushmeat, dried fish, oils, dried insects). In some parts of China the trade
17 in wild plant foods contributes between 15 % and 84 % of market income for different
18 groups, representing between 4 % and 13 % of total household income. Notably, the mean
19 price of wild vegetables was 72 % higher than that of cultivated vegetables. Larger-scale
20 national and international markets also exist for a whole range of wild foods, although
21 overexploitation can lead to unsustainable use.
22

23 **Global Trends**

24

- 25 **37. Access to wildlife in terrestrial, marine, and freshwater systems is critical to human**
26 **nutrition, and global declines will present major public health challenges for resource-**
27 **dependent human populations, particularly in developing countries.** Wildlife populations
28 are in worldwide decline as a result of habitat destruction, over-exploitation, pollution,
29 invasive species, and other anthropogenic causes. There is mounting evidence that
30 terrestrial wildlife, especially in the resource-dependent regions of the developing world, is a
31 critical source of nutrition for local people. An estimated 6 million tonnes of animals are
32 extracted yearly from the tropics, and 88 percent of fished stocks are estimated to be fully
33 exploited, overexploited, or depleted. The well-known progression from anemia to future
34 disease demonstrates the far-reaching effects of lost access to wildlife, including cognitive,
35 motor, and physical deficits. Fish provide more than 3 billion people with at least 15 % of
36 their average per capita animal protein intake, and, low-income food deficit countries likely
37 demonstrate much higher rates of fish protein dependence, considering the underreported
38 nature of small-scale fisheries and lack of meat alternatives. Even a single portion of local
39 traditional animal-source foods may result in significantly increased clinical levels of energy,
40 protein, vitamin A, vitamin B6/B12, vitamin D, vitamin E, riboflavin, iron, zinc, magnesium
41 and fatty acids- thus reducing the risk of globally pervasive micronutrient deficiencies.
42

- 43 **38. The growing uniformity of the world's food supply has major implications for food and**
44 **nutrition security and the declining levels of agricultural biodiversity in the global food**
45 **system is a cause for concern.** It is estimated that around 7,000 plant species have been
46 used at various points in time for human food since the beginning of agriculture. However,
47 only 150-200 of these species have ever been commercially cultivated. Only three of these
48 species - rice, maize and wheat - provide more than half of the world's plant derived
49 calories. While cereals are high in carbohydrates and energy and can provide a moderate
50 amount of protein they tend to be low in micronutrients and often end up as highly
51 processed foods. It is further estimated that only 12 crops and 5 animal species provide

1 three-quarter of global food today highlighting the narrow food base we depend on and the
2 general vulnerability of agriculture and food production.
3

4 **39. Agricultural programmes and policies often aim to increase the production of a few staple**
5 **crops to eliminate hunger, and measure their success in terms of the quantity of available**
6 **food or dietary energy supply, but staple crops do not provide sufficient micronutrient**
7 **supplies.** Maximizing food quality of agricultural systems has not been a priority of modern
8 agriculture. This is starting to change with an increasing focus on 'nutrition-sensitive
9 agriculture'. Many countries and agencies also attempt to combat malnutrition with short-
10 term health and nutrition interventions such as supplementation, Ready-To-Use Therapeutic
11 Foods (RUTFs), fortification and sporadic health and nutrition policies and programmes. Not
12 all biofortification is the result of transgenics but is often conventional breeding. Not only
13 are these interventions unsustainable, but in recent years, doubts have been articulated
14 with respect to their efficacy. While fortification and biofortification are often promoted as
15 cost-effective solutions to global undernutrition, addressing the problem of micronutrient
16 malnutrition through biofortification of staple crops would fail to address the problem
17 effectively, because a healthy, balanced diet requires a variety of foods and nutrients, and
18 not single micronutrient additions to starchy staples. While some micronutrient deficiencies
19 are easily measured (e.g. vitamin A and iron), deficiencies of 100 or more vitamins, minerals,
20 individual amino acids and fatty acids, and other beneficial bioactive food components are of
21 concern. Such deficiencies can only be avoided by consuming a variety of foods, not by, for
22 example, biofortifying rice with a transgenic biosynthetic pathway for a pro-vitamin A
23 carotenoid. Nature provides an abundance of wild and cultivated food species that can be
24 used as an equally valid alternative for the promotion good nutrition and health.
25

26 **40. Globalization, poverty, modern agricultural practices and changes in dietary patterns have**
27 **also led to a "nutrition transition".** The nutrition transition is the process by which
28 development, globalization, poverty and subsequent changes in lifestyle have led to
29 excessive calorific intake, poor quality diets and low physical activity. The selective
30 specialization in a smaller number of crops and crop genotypes has also made some crops
31 less resilient to diseases and limited the range of available nutrients. While staple crops such
32 as wheat and rice are increasing in abundance, their nutritional value tends to be
33 decreasing. An alarming dietary shift from traditional foods and healthy diets towards
34 consumption of poor-quality processed foods, often available at lower prices, has taken
35 place. In many parts of the world, this is accompanied by increased consumption of meat.
36 These trends have contributed to the dramatic emergence of obesity and associated chronic
37 diseases. The nutrition transition is particularly prevalent among indigenous peoples, who
38 tend to suffer higher rates of health disparities and lower life expectancy regardless of
39 geographic location.
40

41 **41. Climate change will not only affect food production systems but also the nutritional**
42 **content of foods through rising levels of atmospheric carbon, causing significant health**
43 **tolls for malnutrition.** Climate change will affect a number of crops including C3 grains and
44 legumes, crops that billions of people around the world rely on as their primary source of
45 iron and zinc. Rising CO₂ will lead to reductions of 5-10% in the iron and zinc content of the
46 edible portion of these crops and increasing the burden of disease for these deficiencies that
47 already cause a loss of 63 million life-years annually. Moreover, recent studies investigating
48 the physical, biological and human responses to climate change in 67 marine national
49 exclusive economic zones, which yield approximately 60% of global fish catches, have found
50 that there would be increased productivity at high latitudes and decreased productivity at
51 low/mid latitudes, with considerable regional variations. The productivity of fisheries in

1 South and Southeast Asia will be particularly negatively affected by climate change despite
2 increased productivity in some areas. While models suggesting potential for meeting dietary
3 fish demand in the medium-term have been developed, these can be heavily reliant on
4 aquaculture which itself may have heavy associated environmental burdens.

6 **Ways Forward – Food and Nutrition**

8 **42. Inter-disciplinary analysis and cross-sectoral collaboration is essential to ensure the**
9 **mainstreaming of biodiversity into policies, programmes and national and regional plans**
10 **of action on food and nutrition security and ultimately the better conservation and**
11 **sustainable use of nature’s bounty.** While there has been some convergence between the
12 agriculture, environment, health and nutrition communities toward understanding the
13 interdependence between human and ecosystem health, and how agricultural biodiversity
14 plays a role in maintaining both, much more is needed to yield the necessary inter-
15 disciplinary analysis and cross-sectoral approaches required to better understand and
16 address nutrition and environmental sustainability.

17
18 **43. Key needs include generation, compilation and dissemination of more nutrient**
19 **composition data; development and administration of food consumption/dietary**
20 **assessment surveys on food biodiversity; and explicitly characterization of food systems’**
21 **and ecosystems’ ability to provide sustainable diets.** These initiatives would create a base
22 of reliable reference evidence that acknowledges food biodiversity’s actual and potential
23 role in reducing malnutrition, informing multiscale decisions and contributing to
24 multisectoral policy instruments and the integration of biodiversity and nutrition elements in
25 agricultural programmes and policies. Combined, this data can help achieve or improve
26 sustainable diets that are protective and respectful of biodiversity and ecosystems, culturally
27 acceptable, accessible, economically fair and affordable, and nutritionally adequate, safe
28 and healthy; while optimizing natural and human resources. The data can also bridge
29 nutrient gaps with local food biodiversity instead of supplements, vitamin injections,
30 fortificants and RUTFs, and will contribute to food and nutrition goals and international
31 initiatives including the Millennium Development Goals and the Zero Hunger Challenge.

33 **NON COMMUNICABLE DISEASES AND MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES**

34
35 **44. The interactions of microbes within the complex microbiome have significant implications**
36 **for both ecology and human health, and influence both the physiology of and**
37 **susceptibility to disease.** The relationships our individual bodies have with our microbiomes
38 is a microcosm for the vital relationships our species shares with countless other organisms
39 with which we share the planet. The bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa of which microbes
40 are comprised play an important role in the processes that link environmental changes and
41 human health.

42
43 **45. Understanding the factors that influence functional and compositional changes in the**
44 **human microbiome can contribute to the development of therapies that address the gut**
45 **microbiota and corresponding diseases.** The realization that humans are not merely
46 "individuals", but rather complex ecosystems (>90% of our cells are microbial) may be one of
47 the major advances in our understanding of human health in recent years, particularly in
48 relation to the gut microbiota which can be thought of as a major *organ*, entirely composed
49 of microbes.

- 1 46. **Environmental microbial ecosystems are in constant dialogue and interchange with the**
2 **human commensal ecosystems.** This critical interaction coupled with the well established
3 immunoregulatory roles of microorganisms are a credible foundation for considering
4 microbial biodiversity a life-sustaining “ecosystem service”. Understanding “microbial
5 diversity” as an ecosystem service may contribute to bridging the chasm between ecology
6 and medicine/immunology, by considering microbial diversity in public health and
7 conservation strategies aimed at maximizing services obtained from ecosystems.
8
- 9 47. **Our physiological requirements for microbial biodiversity are evolutionarily determined**
10 **and we have an evolved requirement for transfer of genes from environmental organisms**
11 **to organisms already present in the microbiota (horizontal gene transfer).** All complex
12 plants and animals (including humans) have microbiota without which they could not
13 survive. Microbes from the environment supplement and diversify the composition of the
14 commensal microbial communities that we pick up from mothers and family, which in turn
15 play significant roles from a physiological perspective. In addition to supplementation of the
16 commensal microbiota by organisms from the natural environment, the adaptability of the
17 human microbiota (for example, to enable digestion of novel foods) depends upon acquiring
18 genes encoding necessary enzymes from the environment by horizontal gene transfer.
19 Therefore we need appropriate contact with potential sources of genetic innovation and
20 diversity, and our adaptability is threatened by loss of biodiversity in the gene reservoir of
21 environmental microbes.
22
- 23 48. **Several categories of organism with which we co-evolved play a role in setting up the**
24 **mechanisms that “police” and regulate the immune system.** Some of the organisms that
25 regulate the immune system in hunter-gatherer communities have detrimental effects on
26 health, and so are eliminated by modern medicine in high-income settings. Helminth
27 infections are the most obvious example. This increases the importance of the
28 immunoregulatory role of microbiota and the microbial environment in high-income
29 settings, where these categories of organism need to compensate for loss of the “Old
30 Infections”.
31
- 32 49. **Biodiversity loss in the wider environment may lead to reduced diversity in the human**
33 **microbiota, which itself can lead to immune dysfunction and disease, including various**
34 **chronic inflammatory disorders.** Urbanization and loss of access to green spaces are not
35 only increasingly discussed in relation to NCDs but have also been linked to a failure of the
36 immune system to adapt to microbe-poor environment. Half of the world’s population
37 already lives in urban areas and this number is projected to increase markedly in the next
38 half century, with the most rapid increase in low- and middle-income countries. Microbial
39 composition and interaction may lead to new insights on the health impacts of urbanization.
40
- 41 50. **Reduced contact of people with the natural environment and biodiversity can have**
42 **adverse impacts on the human microbiota and its immunomodulatory capacity,**
43 **particularly among urban populations worldwide.** Recent evidence suggests that declining
44 contact with some forms of life may contribute to the rapidly increasing prevalence of
45 allergies and other chronic inflammatory diseases among urban populations worldwide,
46 through impacts on commensal microbiota (e.g. skin and gut microflora) and their role in
47 immune function. In high-income settings in particular there are simultaneous increases in
48 several chronic inflammatory disorders in which regulation of the immune system is failing,
49 and immune responses to forbidden targets are occurring. Immune responses to our own
50 tissues lead to autoimmune diseases (type 1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis); immune responses
51 to harmless allergens and foods lead to allergic disorders (eczema, asthma, hay fever);

1 immune responses to gut contents contribute to inflammatory bowel diseases (ulcerative
2 colitis, Crohn's disease). Combined, these findings suggest an important opportunity for
3 cross-over between health promotion and education on biodiversity.
4

5 **51. Failing immunoregulatory mechanisms partly attributable to reduced contact with the**
6 **natural environment and biodiversity, contribute to metabolic disorders, obesity and type**
7 **2 diabetes, as well as to increased prevalence of several cancers.** In high-income settings
8 several cancers rise in parallel with the large increases in chronic inflammatory disorders. In
9 such settings, there is often continuous background inflammation even in the absence of
10 specific chronic inflammatory disorders. People with persistently raised circulating levels of
11 inflammatory mediators are prone to metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes and obesity. The
12 inflammatory mediators cause insulin resistance and upset the neuroendocrine circuits that
13 control obesity. At the same time, chronic inflammation drives mutation, and provides
14 growth factors and mediators that stimulate vascularisation and metastasis.
15

16 **52. Chronically raised levels of circulating mediators of inflammation, caused by failing**
17 **immunoregulation, are common in high-income countries, and are associated with a risk**
18 **of depression,** which is predicted to become the major affliction of mankind within a few
19 decades. In low-income settings where there is high exposure to microbial biodiversity,
20 inflammation occurs when needed, for example during an episode of infection, but then
21 switches off completely. In high income settings control of inflammation often fails, and
22 chronically raised inflammatory mediators lead to depression, and probably to reduces
23 stress resilience.
24

25 **53. Innovative design of cities and dwellings might be able to increase exposure to the**
26 **microbial biodiversity that our physiological systems have evolved to expect.** In high-
27 income settings several very large studies reveal significant health benefits of living near to
28 green spaces. The benefits are greatest for people of low socioeconomic status. Recent data
29 suggest that the effect is not due to exercise, and exposure to environmental microbial
30 biodiversity is a plausible explanation. This provides a strong medical rationale for increased
31 provision of green spaces in modern cities. It might be sufficient to supplement a few large
32 green spaces with multiple small green spaces that deliver appropriate microbial diversity.
33
34

35 INFECTIOUS DISEASES

36
37 **54. Pathogens play a complex role in biodiversity and health, with regulating benefits in some**
38 **contexts and threats to biodiversity and human health in others.** The relationships
39 between infectious pathogens and host species are complex; disease and microbial
40 composition can serve vital regulating roles in one species or communities while having
41 detrimental effects on others. Microbial dynamics, and their implications for biodiversity and
42 health, are multifactorial; similarly, the role of biodiversity in pathogen maintenance and
43 prevalence is appears to be multifactorial and is not fully understood.
44

45 **55. Human-mediated changes in ecosystems, such as modified landscapes, intensive**
46 **agriculture, and antimicrobial use, are increasing infectious disease transmission risks and**
47 **impact.** Approximately two-thirds of known human infectious diseases are shared with
48 animals, and the majority of recently emerging diseases are associated with wildlife.
49 Increasing anthropogenic activity is resulting in enhanced opportunities for human-
50 environment contact and facilitating disease spread, especially from animals. Changes in
51 land use and food production practices are among leading drivers of disease emergence in

1 humans. At the same time, pathogen dynamics are changing. While pathogen evolution is a
2 natural phenomenon, factors such as global travel, climate change, and antimicrobial use are
3 rapidly affecting pathogen movement, host ranges, and persistence and virulence. Beyond
4 direct infection risks for human and animals, such changes also have implications for food
5 security and medicine.
6

7 **56. Areas of high biodiversity may have high numbers of pathogens, yet biodiversity may**
8 **serve as a protective factor for preventing transmission, and maintaining ecosystems may**
9 **help reduce exposure to infectious agents.** While the absolute number of pathogens may
10 be high in areas of high biodiversity, disease transmission to humans is highly determined by
11 contact, and in some cases, biodiversity may serve to protect against pathogen exposure
12 through host species competition and other regulating functions. Increased host species
13 diversity may be correlated with reduced disease risk in some situations (a theory termed
14 the “dilution effect”), although this practice has not been consistently observed. Limiting
15 human activity in biodiverse habitats may reduce human exposure to high-risk settings for
16 zoonotic pathogens while serving to protect biodiversity.
17

18 **57. The rapidly growing number of invasive species cause significant impacts on human**
19 **health, and this effect is expected to further increase in the future, due to synergistic**
20 **effects of biological invasions and climate change.** Preventing and mitigating biological
21 invasions is not only crucial to protect biodiversity, but can also protect human health. The
22 number of invasive species is increasing globally as a consequence of the globalization of the
23 economies, and the trend is expected to intensify in the future due to synergistic effects
24 with climate change. Invasive species not only impact biodiversity, but also affect human
25 health causing diseases or infections, exposing humans to bites and stings, causing allergenic
26 reactions, and facilitating the spread of pathogens.
27

28 MEDICINES

29 *Traditional Medicine*

30
31
32 **58. Medicinal and aromatic plants (MAPs) are used in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food**
33 **industries, the great majority of which are sourced from the wild. The global use and trade**
34 **in medicinal plants and resources is high and growing.** Plants used in traditional medicine
35 are not only important in local health care, but are important in international trade based on
36 broader commercial use and value. Globally, an estimated 60,000 species are used for their
37 medicinal, nutritional and aromatic properties, and every year more than 500,000 tonnes of
38 material from such species are traded. A complete list of all plants used in traditional
39 medicine does not exist, but at least 30,000 species of plants with documented use are
40 included in the Global Checklist. It is estimated that the global trade in plants for medicinal
41 purposes reaches a value of over 2,5 billion USD and is increasingly driven by industry
42 demand. Various body parts and secretions derived from wildlife are also included in
43 traditional medicine pharmacopoeia. Institutionalized traditional medicine manufacturers
44 are also investing in the development of new products and there is an increasing reverse
45 ‘re-engineering’ process being undertaken by researchers, where novel medicines or
46 medical therapies are being developed using traditional processes.
47

48 **59. Threats to medicinal plants, animals and other medicinal resources are on the rise.** Wild
49 plant populations are declining with one in five species estimated to be threatened with
50 extinction in the wild. Conservation status of medicinal plants is little understood but
51 animals (amphibians, reptiles, birds, mammals) used for food and medicine are more

1 threatened than those not used. There is a clear need to continue efforts at developing
2 assessment methods and indicators to monitor progress, especially towards the Strategic
3 Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the underlying Aichi Biodiversity Targets and specifically
4 its Target 14.
5

- 6 **60. Traditional medical knowledge spans various dimensions relating to medicines, food and**
7 **nutrition, rituals, daily routines and customs. Local pharmacopoeia have been developed**
8 **over a long period of human–biodiversity interactions and are unique in terms of**
9 **compatibility to local contexts, easy accessibility of resources and hence, cost efficacy.**

10 Traditional knowledge on health can range from home level understanding of nutrition,
11 management of simple ailments and reproductive health practices to treatment of serious
12 chronic illnesses or addressing public health requirements. Links to geography, community,
13 worldviews, biodiversity and ecosystems based on specific epistemologies make traditional
14 health practices diverse and unique. While it might be entirely plausible that communities in
15 similar ecosystems with similar geographical characteristics use similar medicines, there are
16 bound to be differences in the process of preparation and delivery of the medicine and
17 socio-cultural connotations to the understanding and management of disease.
18

- 19 **61. There is no single approach to traditional medical knowledge. Traditional knowledge is not**
20 **restricted to any particular period in time, and constantly undergoes reevaluation based**
21 **on local contexts.**

22 It can be seen that some of the traditional medical systems are codified,
23 and some even institutionalized. These range from highly developed ways of perception and
24 understanding, classification systems (ethno-taxonomies) to metaphysical precepts. By
25 extension, level of expertise is heterogeneous and therefore internal validation methods
26 differ substantially despite an underlying philosophical principle of interconnectedness of
27 social and natural worlds.
28

- 29 **62. *sui generis* models may need to be developed to secure rights over intangible and tangible**
30 **resources related to traditional medical knowledge.**

31 Since most of the traditional environmental and medical knowledge among communities is verbally maintained, revival of
32 the social processes of their generation, preservation and transfer within communities’
33 needs to be studied. Traditional medical knowledge is often an inspiration for industrial R&D
34 processes in bio-resource based sectors, necessitating mechanisms to secure appropriate
35 attribution and sharing of rights and benefits with knowledge holders, as set out both in the
36 Nagoya Protocol on Access to genetic resources and equitable sharing of benefits arising
37 from their commercial utilization. It would be beneficial to strengthen and promote existing
38 tools, databases and registers and intellectual property rights that are sensitive to
39 community values, while also promoting innovation and good practices as active social
40 traditions. Protection measures, whether within current IPR system or *sui generis*, must be
41 responsive to changing business models and product development trends.
42

- 43 **63. Sustainable use of medicinal resources can provide multiple benefits to biodiversity,**
44 **livelihoods and human health, in particular, relating to their affordability, accessibility and**
45 **cultural acceptability.**

46 Overharvesting, habitat alteration, and climate change are among
47 major drivers of declines in commercially important wild plant resources used for food and
48 medicinal purposes. These pose a dual threat to wild species and to the livelihoods of
49 collectors, who often belong to the poorest social groups. Medicinal resources also have
50 high therapeutic and social values, especially among indigenous and local communities, and
51 reduction in populations affects the ability of these groups to seek and secure resources that
impact their health and wellbeing. Linking economic development objectives can also
incentivize sustainable use of medicinal resources. The value chains of traditional medicine

1 and medicinal resources tend to be linked to various sectors and many of the primary
2 supplies are found within the same ecosystems. Ensuring equitable economic returns to
3 local communities by promoting value added activities at the local level can help to harness
4 their knowledge local communities medicinal resources and promote their sustainable use.
5 Encouraging enterprise development based on medicinal and nutritional resources and
6 services, and development of new, appropriate and feasible technologies that could
7 enhance productivity and quality of resources, would further complement conservation
8 measures.

- 9
10 **64. Improving public health outcomes and achieving objectives of ‘Health for All’ and ‘Good**
11 **Health at Low Cost’ requires leveraging and strengthening patronage for traditional**
12 **medical care.** It has been estimated that more than one-third of the population in many
13 developing countries do not have access to modern healthcare, and are dependent on
14 traditional medical systems. This is indicative of the high patronage of and dependence on
15 traditional health practitioners to provide care to people with inadequate access to modern
16 health infrastructure. Studies have shown that a pluralistic approach integrating natural
17 resources and medical knowledge can enable better health outcomes. Diversified
18 approaches for strengthening public health systems are needed, and their formulation must
19 be sensitive to local priorities and contexts. The need to re-integrate traditional medical
20 approaches to the public health armamentarium is gaining greater political and social
21 acceptance.

22 ***Ways Forward – Traditional Medicine***

- 23
24
25 **65. Assessment methods to inventorize resources and knowledge used in health care need to**
26 **be developed.** A number of steps are taken by governments, international organizations,
27 non-governmental organizations (NGOs), communities and the private sector to address the
28 issue of sustainable use of medicinal plants and animals, however, more efforts at all levels
29 are needed. Internationally, a substantial number of plants and animals are included in CITES
30 appendices to monitor and limit impacts of international trade, supporting sustainable levels
31 of use. Within the CBD agenda, Global Strategy for Plant Conservation (GSPC) provides the
32 framework and results-oriented Targets for understanding of plant resources, their
33 conservation, sustainable use and the preservation of traditional knowledge around the use
34 of plant resources. Building on these initiatives, it is imperative to conduct integrated
35 assessments of biological resources and traditional health practices in an ecological and
36 community context. This would enable prioritizing conservation and development strategies
37 and could capture details that may not figure into mainstream assessments. The
38 inventorizing process would also entail identification, documentation, participatory and
39 interdisciplinary assessment and promotion of relevant practices for rural community health
40 and well-being, and strengthen conservation and sustainable harvest approaches.

- 41
42 **66. There is a clear a need to develop and promote appropriate integrative methodologies for**
43 **assuring quality, safety and efficacy of traditional medical practices based on standards**
44 **within and across medical systems.** Some efforts have been made to involve
45 interdisciplinary methods combining social science methods with expert evaluation to assess
46 and validate traditional medical interventions and sustainable use of medicinal resources. It
47 is imperative to strengthen efforts and initiatives seeking to develop novel products for
48 global health through approaches such as ethnopharmacological and biotechnological
49 research as well as new approaches such as reverse pharmacology. Research into new
50 methods of production that will reduce the biomass requirements of natural resources is
51 another area that requires attention.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

67. **Cross learning between different knowledge systems and disciplines is needed.** Traditional approaches to health care have been tested over time empirically albeit without adequate documentation. A major challenge is to document such experiences and thereby foster a participatory learning process to identify and supplement current practices in a culturally sensitive way. Studies related to biological resources and management and traditional medicine should be promoted through formal, informal and informal learning processes. There is also a need to strengthen policy-relevant research in these domains. Reflexive capacity development and intercultural learning exchanges between experts are also needed. In particular, there is a need to develop reflexive methods of capacity development that allow and further learning between experts external and internal to the traditional medical systems, at various levels of operation, including the sustainable use and protection of the resources stemming from medicinal flora and fauna from the wild.

68. **The different roles of traditional medical practitioners and carriers of such knowledge need to be recognized and strengthened.** Carriers of such knowledge are seen to have high social legitimacy and are often the first points of health intervention in marginalized areas. Measures to strengthen and leverage on their capacities and skills to ensure equitable access to healthcare should be strengthened, and traditional healers should be recognized and appropriately integrated in the healthcare system through appropriate and culturally sensitive accreditation and processes. This further implies the need to develop cost-effective measures to test safety, efficacy and quality of traditional medicines. Efforts at revitalizing household health and food traditions should also be made.

69. **Expansion of partnerships with different stakeholders and exploring appropriate market-based instruments that could enable sustainable and responsible utilization of resources in traditional medicine is required.** Sustainable medicinal resource management for both captive-breeding and wild-collection is crucial for the future of traditional medicine, that involves all stakeholders including conservationist, private healthcare sector, medical practitioners and its consumers. Increase in partnerships at local, national, regional and global levels by supporting/facilitating enhanced networking among various stakeholders, such as in value chain partnerships, learning partnerships among and between peer groups is important. Good examples include the development of standards and certification schemes such as the FairWild Standard that was developed by TRAFFIC, IUCN, WWF and other partners in a multistakeholder, inclusive consultation process as a best practice tool to verify that wild collection of plants is ecologically sustainable and trade is equitable. A complementary initiative is the BioTrade Verification Framework for Native Natural Ingredients developed by the Union for Ethical BioTrade (UEBT). These efforts enable monitoring of collection and trade practices, and tracing the movement of resources, in addition to fostering sustainable use practices allowing benefits to different actors in the supply chain. Furthermore, such partnerships should enable the facilitation of financial support mechanisms to promote R&D, capacity development and awareness activities related to traditional medical knowledge. Strengthening synergies across policies is also necessary. While several multilateral policy bodies such as the WHO, CBD, FAO and others play a strong role in setting relevant policy agendas, the scope and mechanisms for inter-agency co-operation and to synergize policy practice linkages need to be further enhanced. This is also applicable to national level policy setting and implementation.

1 MODERN MEDICINE

3 *Contribution of biodiversity to the development of pharmaceuticals*

5 **70. Biodiversity has been an irreplaceable resource for the discovery of medicines and**
6 **biomedical breakthroughs that have alleviated human suffering.** Drugs derived from
7 natural products may perhaps be the most direct and concrete bond that many may find
8 between biodiversity and medicine. Among the breakthroughs that dramatically improved
9 human health in the twentieth century, antibiotics rank near the top. The penicillins as well
10 as nine of the thirteen other major classes of antibiotics in use, derive from microorganisms.
11 Between 1981 and 2010, 75% (78 of 104) of antibacterials newly approved by the USFDA can
12 be traced back to natural product origins. Percentages of antivirals and antiparasitics derived
13 from natural products approved during that same period are similar or higher. Reliance upon
14 biodiversity for new drugs continues to this day in nearly every domain of medicine.

16 **71. For many of the most challenging health problems facing humanity today, we look to**
17 **biodiversity for new treatments or insights into their cures.** Most of the medicinal potential
18 of nature potential has yet to be tapped. Plants have been the single greatest source of
19 natural product drugs to date, and although an estimated 400,000 plant species populate
20 the earth, only a fraction of these have been studied for pharmacologic potential. One of the
21 largest plant specimen banks, the natural products repository at the National Cancer
22 Institute, contains ~60,000 specimens, for instance. Other realms of the living world,
23 especially the microbial and marine, are almost entirely unstudied and hold vast potential
24 for new drugs given both their diversity and the medicines already discovered from them.

26 **72. Far greater than what individual species offer to medicine through molecules they contain**
27 **or traits they possess, an understanding of biodiversity and ecology yield irreplaceable**
28 **insights into how life works that bear upon current epidemic diseases.** Consider the
29 multiple pandemics that have resulted from antibiotic resistance. Human medicine tends to
30 use a paradigm for treating infections unknown in nature which is treating one pathogen
31 with one antibiotic. Most multicellular life (and a good share of single cellular life) produces
32 compounds with antibiotic properties but never uses them in isolation. Infections are
33 attacked, or more often prevented, through the secretion of several compounds at once.

35 *Antibiotics and Antimicrobials*

37 **73. Antibiotic and antimicrobial use can alter the composition and function of the human**
38 **microbiome and limiting their use can provide biodiversity and health co-benefits.** The
39 human microbiome contains ten times more microorganisms than cells that comprise the
40 human body and antibiotic use can dramatically alter its composition and function. Although
41 much of the microbiome and its relationship to its host remains unexplored, already
42 apparent is that changes to the variety and abundance of various microorganisms, as can
43 occur with antibiotic use, may affect everything from the host's weight and the risk of
44 contracting autoimmune disease, to susceptibility to infections. The microbiome may also be
45 able to affect mood and behavior. The use of antibacterial products and antibiotics may also
46 be linked to the increase in chronic inflammatory disorders, including allergies such as
47 asthma and eczema, because they reduce exposure to microbial agents that set up the
48 regulation of the immune system. A growing body of literature is predicated on the finding
49 that certain environments, such as those found in relatively urban and affluent communities,
50 do not support the development of a healthy microbiota. Limiting the use of antimicrobial
51 agents could provide potential co-benefits for human health and biodiversity, reducing

1 chronic inflammatory diseases through a healthy and more diverse human microbiota while
2 also reducing the risk of emerging disease from antibiotic-resistant strains and the potential
3 impacts of antibiotics on ecosystems more broadly.
4

- 5 **74. The over- and misuse of antibiotics, in particular those used in the livestock sector, has**
6 **cultivated numerous highly resistant bacterial strains.** In some instances, resistant bacterial
7 strains cannot be effectively treated with any currently available antibiotic. Limiting the use
8 of antibiotics and antimicrobials in agricultural practices and food production systems can
9 achieve public health and biodiversity co-benefits. Current industrial agricultural practices
10 contribute to ecosystem degradation, air and water pollution and soil depletion and rely
11 heavily on the use of antibiotics, which may lead to antibiotic resistance and reduced
12 efficacy in subsequent use for medical applications. From a health perspective, the use of
13 antimicrobials and antibiotics may disrupt microbial composition, including the relationships
14 between hosts and their symbiotic microbes, and lead to diseases. At the same time,
15 antibiotic resistance in both human and wildlife can pose serious threats to public health.
16 Aside from its potential to cultivate resistance, antibiotic use also carries the potential to
17 disrupt.
18

19 *Impacts of pharmaceuticals on biodiversity*

20

- 21 **75. The release of pharmaceuticals and Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) into the**
22 **environment can have an impact on biodiversity, ecosystems and ecosystem service**
23 **delivery.** Most pharmaceuticals are designed to interact with a target (such as a specific
24 receptor, enzyme, or biological process) in humans and animals to deliver the desired
25 therapeutic effect. If these targets are present in organisms in the natural environment,
26 exposure to some pharmaceuticals might be able to elicit effects in those organisms.
27 Pharmaceuticals can also cause side effects in humans and it is possible that these and other
28 side effects can also occur in organisms in the environment. It is inevitable that during the
29 life cycle of a pharmaceutical product, APIs will be released to the natural environment,
30 including during the manufacturing process via human or domestic animal excretion into
31 sewage systems, surface water or soils, when contaminated sewage sludge, sewage effluent
32 or animal manure is applied to land. A range of pharmaceuticals, including hormones,
33 antibiotics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), anti-depressants and antifungal
34 agents have been detected in rivers and streams across the world. APIs may also be released
35 into the soil environment when contaminated sewage sludge, sewage effluent or animal
36 manure is applied to land. Veterinary pharmaceuticals may also be excreted directly to soils
37 by pasture animals.
38

- 39 **76. Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) found in many household, food and consumer**
40 **products have adverse effects on the health of terrestrial and marine wildlife and human**
41 **health.** The use of contraceptive and veterinary growth hormones have been linked to
42 endocrine disruption and reproductive dysfunction in wildlife. They also affect both male
43 and female human reproduction, and have been linked to prostate cancer, neurological,
44 endocrinological, thyroid, obesity, and cardiovascular problems. Biodiversity has also been a
45 good monitor for some of these human health problems. In some cases, health specialists
46 were alerted to the scale of a potential problem through changes originally recorded in wild
47 fish populations.
48
49
50
51

1 PHYSICAL, CULTURAL AND MENTAL WELL-BEING

3 *Physical Health*

5 77. **Access to natural green space can increase levels of physical activity with benefits for**
6 **health;** however, the potential that green space can offer for promoting and enhancing
7 physical fitness is still not fully recognised. Among populations for which access to open
8 countryside is limited, particularly those in poorer inner-urban areas of large cities, access to
9 green spaces in the urban environment can encourage regular physical activity and improve
10 life expectancy and decrease health complaints. Efforts to develop biodiverse settings,
11 including wildlife-rich gardens, can also boost physical activity in sedentary and vulnerable
12 patients and residents. There is evidence that biodiversity encourages use of urban green
13 spaces. The benefits of physical activity include reduced risk of several non-communicable
14 diseases, as well as improved immune function. Engaging in regular physical activity has also
15 been linked to improved mental health, and can facilitate greater social connections and
16 independence (particularly in elderly persons) and combat the impacts of physical and
17 mental disability. The psychological benefits and sometimes social outcomes, may also
18 increase motivation to exercise. Much of this is thought to be due to a favourable
19 environment for people to exercise, improving motivation to continue physical activity -
20 parks and tree-lined streets have a specific significant relationship with increased longevity.
21 Activities in which exercise becomes secondary to social or environmental benefits (e.g.
22 social walking, community gardening) appear to be more sustainable than activities where
23 exercise is the primary driver. Children in particular increase their physical activity when
24 outdoors, and are attracted to nature.

26 78. **Significant changes to local biodiversity or ecosystem sustainability can have specific and**
27 **unique impacts on local community health where the physical health of a community is**
28 **directly influenced by or dependent upon ecosystem services, particularly regarding access**
29 **to diverse food and medicinal species.** Indigenous and local communities often act as
30 stewards of local living natural resources based on generations of accumulated traditional
31 knowledge, including knowledge of agricultural biodiversity, and biodiversity that supports
32 traditional medicinal knowledge. Similarly, where local traditions and cultural identity are
33 closely associated with biodiversity and ecosystem services, or where biodiversity is an
34 important aspect of sense of place and community cohesion, then changes to the availability
35 and abundance of such resources can have a detrimental impact on community well-being,
36 with implications for mental and physical health, social welfare and community cohesion.

38 79. **A better understanding of the relationship between exercise and wildlife-rich open space**
39 **is required to support efforts to increase levels of physical activity important to human**
40 **health.** There is a growing interest in many countries in development of strategies for
41 promoting and enhancing “green and blue infrastructure” (terrestrial and aquatic
42 environments) within tourism, public health and environmental policies. A greater
43 understanding of associated economic benefits will also strengthen cost-benefit
44 assessments for policies to maintain and create green space.

46 *Cultural well-being*

48 80. **Biodiversity is often central to cultures, cultural traditions and cultural well-being.** Species,
49 habitats, ecosystems, and landscapes influence and inhabit forms of music, language, art,
50 literature and dance. They form essential elements of food production systems, culinary
51 traditions, rituals, worldviews, attachments to place and community, and social systems.

1 Biodiversity also influences value systems and modes of conduct, including regulations of
2 behaviour and local institutions. The links between biodiversity and cultural diversity have
3 been the subject of much discussion and research in recent years. The increasing awareness
4 of culture as a pillar of sustainable development, and increasing focus on the central role of
5 biodiversity in achieving sustainable development goals, have opened many avenues for
6 integrated approaches to the conservation of living natural resources and the cultures and
7 non-tangible heritage that have evolved with them.
8

9 **81. Culturally-competent health practice must account for the influence of culture on**
10 **attitudes, beliefs and behaviours, including the relationship between people and their**
11 **local biodiversity and ecosystem services.** The relationship between culture and population
12 health is complex. The delivery of primary health care at the community level is generally
13 organised around predominant local cultural norms, but must also increasingly account for
14 cultural diversity and the cultural characteristics of minority groups.
15

16 **82. Therapeutic and bio-cultural landscapes are an important dimension to achieve health at**
17 **the local level.** Survival and vitality of knowledge and resources depend on the socio-cultural
18 contexts in which they are embedded. Typically, such knowledge and resources are found to
19 be most vibrant among communities (specifically, indigenous and local communities) close
20 to culturally important landscapes. These could relate to socio-ecological production
21 landscapes (e.g., Satoyama in Japan) or conservation systems (e.g., sacred groves,
22 ceremonial sites) or therapeutic landscapes (e.g., sacred healing sites). Such landscapes and
23 related traditional knowledge practices contribute immensely to health and well-being,
24 therefore necessitating a close inquiry into the functional interlinkages within such systems,
25 and maintenance of their dynamism.
26

27 **83. While many community-specific links between health, culture and biodiversity have been**
28 **documented and measured, much of the evidence for a more universal relationship is**
29 **sparse beyond anecdotal accounts.** However, there is growing recognition of the role of
30 biodiversity and ecosystem services in shaping broad perspectives of quality of life. The
31 WHO Quality Of Life Assessment (WHOQOL) was devised to determine an individual's
32 quality of life in the context of their culture and value systems; use of the WHOQOL method
33 has shown that the environmental domain – including aspects of safety, security, access to
34 resources and interaction with local environments – is an important part of the quality of life
35 concept.
36

37 ***Mental health and well-being***

38

39 **84. Exposure to green space may have positive impacts on mental health.** The WHO reports
40 that people who suffer from mental illness may be at disproportionately higher risk of
41 disability and mortality. Depression accounts for 4.3% of the global burden of disease and is
42 among the largest single causes of disability worldwide, particularly for women. The
43 economic consequences are also significant: a recent study estimated that the cumulative
44 global impact of mental disorders in terms of lost economic output will amount to US\$ 16.3
45 billion between 2011 and 2030. Some studies of populations in developed countries have
46 suggested that adults exposed to green space report fewer symptoms and a lower overall
47 incidence of certain diseases than others, and that the relationship is strongest for mental
48 illnesses such as depression, anxiety and stress. Other research has indicated that experience
49 of nature can reduce recuperation times and improve recovery outcomes in hospital
50 patients.
51

85. **The interaction with nature – including domestic animals, and wild animals in wild settings – may contribute to treatments for depression, anxiety, and behavioural problems, including children.** Contact with nature is important to childhood development, and children who grow up with knowledge about the natural world and the importance of conservation may be more likely to conserve nature themselves as adults. Conversely, it has been stipulated that children in developed countries increasingly suffer from a “nature-deficit disorder”, due to a reduction in the time spent playing outdoors due to increased use of technology and parental / societal fears for child safety. Some research has suggested that some children, particularly those from urban areas, are fearful of spending time in certain natural habitats (woodland and wetland) owing to perceived threats from isolation, wild animals or the actions of other people.

86. **Awareness of endemic biodiversity and endemic landscape features has been associated with community-cohesion and psychological well-being through an enhanced or locally unique sense of place.** The functional role of biodiversity – as opposed to a general role for exposure to natural landscapes or related sounds or images - has often not been clearly demonstrated, although some studies have suggested that the quality of greenspace, which is measured as a composite of a number of factors which include measures of biodiversity – is more important than the relative quantity, as measured by area of greenspace.

PART III CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES (TO BE COMPLETED)

Disaster Risks, Resilience & Recovery

87. **Disasters may be precipitated by impacts on critical ecosystems or the collapse of essential ecosystem services.** The term “disasters” may refer to natural or anthropogenic events; natural disaster events may be classed as biologic (e.g. infectious disease epidemics, pest infestations, animal stampedes), geophysical (e.g. volcanic eruption, earthquake, avalanche) or climatic (e.g. flooding, storm, extreme weather, wildfire). Human-induced disasters may include conflict, pollution events, and geophysical events related to human activity (e.g. earthquake or landslide due to development or exploration). They may be precipitated by impacts on ecosystems or essential life supporting services.

88. **Increasing evidence suggests that the number, nature and scale of (at least certain types of) natural disasters is changing, with more mid- and small-sized disasters now occurring.** The ongoing, cumulative and corrosive effects of small localised events on the assets – including biodiversity – and livelihoods of the poor may, in the long-term, have the same effects as natural hazards that can lead to larger disasters. It may also result in greater loss of life, high economic costs and damage, loss of livelihood, and significant – possibly lasting – damage to critical ecosystems. It is widely acknowledged that the nature and context of many natural disasters is changing – rapid-onset, one-off disasters are no longer considered the norm; many locations and communities are experiencing greater susceptibility to repeated disaster events, with longer term emergencies on the increase.

89. **Natural disasters can have a profound impact on ecosystem structure and functioning, and negatively affect human well-being (livelihoods, food security and health).** Experience of natural disasters in recent years, including tsunamis and extreme weather events, has demonstrated the protective effect natural ecosystems can have in reducing disaster risk

1 and impact for communities, as well as the risk which ecosystem degradation can have in
2 increasing disaster risk and vulnerability. Damage to ecosystems weakens their protective
3 value with regard to disaster prevention and impact mitigation, as well as their provisioning
4 value in the aftermath of disasters while recovery is taking place. Sustainable effective
5 strategies for disaster preparedness, prevention, mitigation, response, recovery and related
6 activities rely on biodiversity, in particular the services provided by healthy ecosystems.
7

8 **90. Competition over access to ecosystem goods and services can contribute to, and become a**
9 **cause of, conflict, with consequences that can negatively impact ecosystem goods and**
10 **services in both the short- and long-term.** Greater recognition needs to be given to the
11 potential positive role that conservation and ecosystem management can play in conflict
12 prevention and resolution and peace building, while the converse also holds. In the context
13 of disaster prevention, relief and recovery, there is often a disconnect between
14 environmental policies, recommendations and intentions of key agencies, civil society,
15 government authorities and donors and the need for practical actions at the field and
16 community levels.
17

18 **91. The creation of disaster-resilient societies is increasingly tied to and dependent upon**
19 **resilience in ecosystems, and sustainability and security in the flow and delivery of**
20 **essential ecosystem goods and services** – not only those directly associated with resilience
21 to immediate disaster impacts, but also those that normally support communities and wider
22 society. Long-term health status is an important indicator of the resilience of a community –
23 as a marker for capacity to overcome or adapt to health challenges and other social,
24 environmental and economic pressures. Communities whose ability to overcome current
25 challenges are affected by ecosystem degradation at the time of a disaster event – natural or
26 man-made – are likely to be significantly more vulnerable to disasters than communities
27 with greater ecological security.
28

29 **92. In order to help translate resilience science into effective policy and practical action, some**
30 **standard definition and measurements of resilience are necessary, though both have**
31 **proved difficult to attain.** The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has described
32 resilience as “the ability of a system and its component parts to anticipate, absorb,
33 accommodate, or recover from the effects of a hazardous event in a timely and efficient
34 manner, including through ensuring the preservation, restoration, or improvement of its
35 essential basic structures and functions”. Interest in the emerging science of resilience has
36 grown rapidly in recent years, due in large part to an increased awareness amongst policy
37 makers and concerns over the need for long-term strategies to address problems in
38 international development, disaster risk, ecosystem disruption and climate change
39 adaptation.
40

41 **93. The conservation and management of agricultural biodiversity, including crop genetic**
42 **resources, crop wild relatives and traditional seed varieties, can be an important aspect of**
43 **post-disaster recovery and relief efforts.** For example, recent experience in communities
44 affected by conflict, famine and drought has demonstrated the value of native seed stocks in
45 maintaining food system resilience and in supporting recovery efforts. The resilience of seed
46 systems is a key element in promoting food and nutrition security amongst vulnerable
47 populations.
48

49 **94. New environmental impacts often occur post-emergency with an increased demand for**
50 **certain natural resources which can place additional stress on specific ecosystems (such as**
51 **groundwater resources) and their functioning.** Poorly planned and co-ordinated disaster

1 responses have sometimes caused significant degradation of many ecosystems, with impacts
2 often extending beyond the sites where response measures were applied. Displaced groups
3 – including refugees and internally displaced peoples – may be associated with significant
4 impacts on the environment, including additional pressures on biodiversity and ecosystem
5 services, which potentially can lead to increased vulnerability or conflict with other (host)
6 communities. However, research has demonstrated both negative and positive impacts on
7 flora and fauna, energy and heating sources, water bodies, soil quality, environmental
8 sanitation. Effective responses that support impacted groups while also avoiding or limiting
9 longer term risks associated with further degradation of ecosystems (or disrupted access to
10 ecosystem services) depend upon appropriate consideration of local factors, including needs
11 assessments that account for cultural, environmental and geographic complexities.
12

13 ***Tools and Metrics***

14
15 **95. Translation and a common framework across domains could help increase understanding**
16 **and collaboration.** Metrics provide a means of communicating among anyone who
17 understands their meaning. Like language, metrics may be better or only understood by
18 certain sub-groups actively involved in their use. There is a long list of domain-specific
19 metrics and to increase cross-domain collaboration and maximize sustainable synergies for
20 action, more attention could be paid to “translating” the meaning of key metrics to increase
21 shared relevance. Similarly, frameworks provide a conceptual structure to build on for
22 research, demonstration projects, policy and other purposes. Embracing a common
23 framework that aims to maximize the health of ecosystems and humans both could help the
24 different domains work more collaboratively when considering issues of overlapping
25 interest. A human “well-being” framework is one such option that incorporates human
26 ecosystem benefit principles, articulated in the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, as well
27 as a broader sense of what defines human health, as initially articulated by WHO.
28

29 **96. Measuring health effects of ecosystem change considering established “exposure”**
30 **threshold values helps highlight these linkages.** Mechanisms linking ecosystem change to
31 health effects are varied, corresponding to the multitude of sub-fields within the health
32 domain (e.g., infectious disease, occupational health, nutrition, environmental health). For
33 many sub-fields, exposure thresholds or standards have been scientifically established that
34 serve as trigger points *for taking action* to avoid or minimize disease or disability. For
35 example, air quality standards exist for particle pollution, WHO has established minimum
36 quantities of per capita water required to meet basic needs, and thresholds for food security
37 define the quantity of food required to meet individual daily nutritional needs. Measuring
38 the health effects of ecosystem change relative to established threshold values highlights
39 how such change constitutes exposure – an important principle linking cause and disease or
40 other health effects –and encourages action if thresholds are exceeded.
41

42 **97. Valuation approaches linking ecosystem functioning and health that support decisions**
43 **about resource allocation may appeal to a variety of stakeholders.** Many approaches
44 enhance understanding of ecosystem functioning and human health linkages. Common on
45 the health side are environmental hazard or risk factor analyses. Others include identifying
46 and reducing health disparities/inequities; focusing on environmental and socio-economic
47 determinants of disease, and conducting health impact assessments. Conservation
48 approaches include land-/seascape change modeling, vulnerability assessments, linked
49 health and environmental assessments and ecosystem service analyses. Research to better
50 quantify this relationship is undertaken by many domains and valuation processes and tools
51 contribute to the decision-making interests of a variety of stakeholders.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

98. Tool selection depends on context and information needs, but use of comparable tools would promote a common evidence base. Different tools exist to operationalize the different approaches to linking ecosystem functioning and health. They range from systematic assessment processes, to systematic reviews of research findings, to standardized data collection forms to computerized modeling programs. Tool choice depends on context and information needs, but more widespread use of the same or comparable tools would likely hasten development of a common evidence base, thereby increasing cross domain understanding of linkages.

ⁱ Lead and contributing authors for the State of Knowledge Review on the Interlinkages between Biodiversity and Human Health include: Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum, William Karesh, Christopher Golden, Anne-Hélène Prieur-Richard, Catherine Machalaba, Johannes Sommerfeld, David Cooper, Cristina Romanelli, Marina Maiero, Daniel Buss, Bruce Cogill, Barbara Burlingame, Danny Hunter, Graham Rook, Aaron Bernstein, Suneetha Mazhenchery Subramanian, Unnikrishnan Payyappallimana, Pierre Horwitz, Conor Kretsch, Alistair Boxall, David Stone, Margot Parkes, Aaron Jenkins, Lynne Gaffikin, Peter Daszak, Christopher Allen, Colleen Burge, Piero Genovesi, Jacqueline Fletcher, Pierre Formenty, Drew Harvell, Richard Kock, Elizabeth Loh, Juan Lubroth, Kristine Smith, Peter J. Stoett, Hillary Young, Charlie Shackleton, Celine Termote, Barbara Vinceti, Phrang Roy, Harriet Kuhnlein, Bertrand Graz, Yahaya Sekagya, Eileen de Ravin, Anastasiya Timoshyna, Danna Leaman, Charlotte I.E.A. van't Klooster, Gerry Bodeker, G. Hariramamurthi, Darshan Shankar, Kahoru Kanari, Stepha McMullin, Ramni Jamnadass, Katja Kehlenbeck, Wim Hiemstra, Felipe Gomez, Rainer Bussman, David Coates, Elena Villalobos, Mariam Otmani del Barrio, Sarah Jovan, and David Nowak. Relevant experts will be invited to review the full draft of the study upon completion.