





Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr. GENERAL

CBD/SBI/2/2 4 April 2018

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION Second meeting Montreal, Canada, 9-13 July 2018 Item 3 of the provisional agenda*

PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION AND THE STRATEGIC PLAN FOR BIODIVERSITY 2011-2020 AND TOWARDS THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE AICHI BIODIVERSITY TARGETS

Note by the Executive Secretary

BACKGROUND

- 1. In decision $\underline{X/2}$ the Conference of the Parties decided that, at its future meetings, it would review progress in the implementation of the <u>Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020</u> (para. 14), and requested the Executive Secretary to prepare an analysis/synthesis of national, regional and other actions, including targets as appropriate, established in accordance with the Strategic Plan (para. 17(b)), to enable the Conference of the Parties to assess the contribution of such national and regional targets to the global targets.
- 2. Further, in decision X/2, paragraph 3, the Conference of the Parties urged Parties to review, and as appropriate, update and revise, their national biodiversity strategies and action plans (NBSAPs), in line with the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the guidance adopted in decision IX/9, integrating their national targets developed in the framework of the Strategic Plan and its Aichi Biodiversity Targets into their NBSAPs. Subsequently, in decision XII/2 A, paragraph 4, the Conference of the Parties urged those Parties that had not yet done so, to review and, as appropriate, update and revise their NBSAPs in line with the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, to adopt indicators at the national level as soon as possible and, in any event, no later than October 2015, and to submit their fifth national reports.
- 3. In decision XII/31, the Conference of the Parties reaffirmed that it should review progress in the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 at each of its meetings to 2020, and that the development of further guidance for policy development and to support implementation should be based on this review as well as on information available in national reports and on other information that may become available, including through scientific assessments. Further, according to the list of issues contained in the annex to this decision, the Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth meeting was to undertake, among other things, an interim review of progress towards the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and related means of implementation.
- 4. In decision XIII/1, the Conference of the Parties took note of the analysis of progress towards the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and of the updated report on progress towards the implementation of the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation. In the same decision, the Conference of the Parties encouraged Parties, in

_

^{*} CBD/SBI/2/1.

the process of updating their NBSAPs, to consider, as appropriate, the indicators for the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the indicators for the Sustainable Development Goals.

- 5. In the same decision, the Conference of the Parties also encouraged Parties to review their NBSAPs periodically, as appropriate and, in accordance with national circumstances, priorities and capacities, to consider increasing the level of ambition and/or scope of the national or regional targets to a level that is commensurate with the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and to integrate the targets across different sectors, including in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Sustainable Development Goals, so as to make a greater contribution to collective global efforts to achieve the global targets.
- 6. The Conference of the Parties further encouraged Parties to systematically mainstream gender considerations in their NBSAPs, and in associated implementation and reporting mechanisms in line with the 2015–2020 Gender Plan of Action under the Convention.²
- 7. The present document provides an updated assessment of progress towards the implementation of the Convention and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and towards the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets in line with the above decisions. It is based on information contained in the revised and updated NBSAPs as well as the fifth national reports received by 14 March 2018. It is complimented by the following addenda:
- (a) Update and analysis of national biodiversity strategies and action plans received after the adoption of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.1);
- (b) Analysis of the contribution of targets established by Parties and progress towards the Aichi Biodiversity Targets (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.2);
- (c) Progress in the implementation of the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.3).
- 8. Additional assessments of progress towards individual Aichi Biodiversity Targets are being discussed under other agenda items. They include:
- (a) An analysis of progress in achieving the milestones for the full implementation of Aichi Biodiversity Target 3, contained in CBD/SBI/2/8 and the accompanying information note, considered under agenda item 9;
- (b) A stocktaking and updated analysis of progress against the targets for resource mobilization, under Aichi Biodiversity Target 20, based on financial reporting frameworks received from Parties (CBD/SBI/2/8/Add.1), also considered under agenda item 9;
- (c) Elements of methodological guidance for identifying, monitoring, and assessing the contribution of indigenous peoples and local communities to the achievement of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets (CBD/SBI/2/19) and taking the voluntary guide lines on safeguards in biodiversity financing mechanisms into account when selecting, designing and implementing biodiversity financing mechanisms and when developing instrument specific safeguards (CBD/SBI/2/20), alongside recommendation 10/4 of the Working Group on Article 8(j) and Related Provisions, which was adopted following the discussion of these matters at the tenth meeting of the Working Group;
- (d) An analysis and synthesis of information, as well as the draft framework of indicators, to review the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol (CBD/SBI/2/3), considered under agenda item 4;
- 9. These analyses complement an updated scientific assessment of progress towards selected Aichi Biodiversity Targets (<u>CBD/SBSTTA/22/5</u>) considered by the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at its twenty-second meeting under agenda item 6.

¹ General Assembly resolution 70/1, annex.

² Decision XII/7.

I. REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION

10. The mid-term review of progress towards the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020³ concluded that there had been encouraging progress towards meeting some elements of most Aichi Biodiversity Targets, but, in most cases, this progress would not be sufficient to achieve the Targets unless further urgent and effective action was taken to reduce the pressures on biodiversity and to prevent its continued decline. Additional information from updated and revised NBSAPs and from fifth national reports that were not available for consideration in the mid-term review reinforces this overall conclusion.

A. National biodiversity strategies and action plans

- 11. NBSAPs are the principal instrument for implementing the Convention at the national level. Since 1993, 190 Parties have developed at least one NBSAP, while 6 Parties have yet to submit their first.
- 12. In decision X/2, the Conference of the Parties urged Parties to review, revise and update, as appropriate, their NBSAPs in line with the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020. Aichi Biodiversity Target 17, which had a deadline of 2015, calls on Parties to develop, adopt as a policy instrument, and commence implementing an effective, participatory and updated NBSAP. Parties also committed to establishing national targets, using the Strategic Plan and its Aichi Biodiversity Targets as a flexible framework.
- 13. Since the tenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, the majority of Parties have initiated revisions of their NBSAPs in response to decision X/2. A total of 69 Parties met the 2015 deadline, and 85 others submitted their NBSAPs by 14 March 2018, making a total of 154⁴ (see annex II for the list of Parties). This represents almost 80 per cent of the Parties to the Convention.
- 14. The update and analysis of national biodiversity strategies and action plans (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.1) summarizes progress in revising and implementing NBSAPs and national targets and analyses the contents of the post-Nagoya NSBAPs submitted by 14 March 2018. This analysis is based on criteria from decision IX/8 which provides detailed guidance on the process, contents and components of NBSAPs and subsequent guidance. It indicates that many of the revised NBSAPs show substantial improvement over previous NBSAPs as reflected in the global assessment undertaken in 2010,⁶ in terms of their legal status, their building on assessments of their predecessor documents, the engagement of other government ministries and other criteria.
- 15. The NBSAP analysis also includes a section on Parties' adoption of the revised NBSAPs as policy instruments as committed in Aichi Biodiversity Target 17. It concludes that 49 revised NBSAPs have been adopted as "whole-of-government" instruments and another 6 NBSAPs⁷ have been adopted as instruments applying to the environmental sector. While 15 other countries stated their intent to have their NBSAP adopted as a policy instrument, the majority (83 Parties, equivalent to 54 per cent) do not provide sufficient evidence to know if they have been adopted as a policy instrument.
- 16. The analysis also shows that few of the revised NBSAPs contain resource mobilization strategies (23 Parties), communication and public awareness strategies (32 Parties), or capacity development strategies (18 Parties) as the NBSAP guidance suggests. Further, only a few NBSAPs demonstrate that biodiversity is being mainstreamed significantly into cross-sectoral plans and policies, poverty eradication

³ The midterm review of progress was supported by the fourth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook* and led to the adoption of decision XII/1.

⁴ NBSAPs from two additional Parties were received after that date. They are included in the list contained in annex II to the present document but are not included in the analysis (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.1 and Add.2).

⁵ The subsequent analysis is based on the 153 NBSAPs that were submitted in one of the official languages of the United Nations.

⁶ Prip, C; Gross, T; Johnston, S; Vierros, M (2010). *Biodiversity Planning: An Assessment of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans*, United Nations University Institute of Advanced Studies, Yokohama, Japan.

⁷ Australia, Bhutan, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Lithuania and Tajikistan.

policies, or even into sustainable development plans. Revised NBSAPs bear little evidence of the use of valuation studies to encourage mainstreaming in countries.

- 17. These findings contrast significantly with the aspirations communicated in the revised NBSAPs. Many Parties have either set targets or otherwise stated an intent to implement actions on resource mobilization, valuation, establishment of the national clearing-house mechanism, communication and public awareness, capacity development, and development of subnational biodiversity plans, among other topics.
- 18. The majority of NBSAPs developed or revised since the tenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties contain targets related to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets, though, for some Aichi Targets, such as Targets 3, 6, 10, 14, 17 and 18, there were many NBSAPs (over 30 per cent) without associated national targets or commitments. Aichi Biodiversity Targets 1, 9, 16, 19 and 20 are the Aichi Targets with the greatest number of broadly similar national targets or commitments. However, even in these cases, the number of NBSAPs with targets having a similar scope and level of ambition as the Aichi Targets rarely surpassed 20 per cent. Overall, the majority of national targets and/or commitments contained in the NBSAPs were lower than the Aichi Targets or did not address all of the elements of the Aichi Target. Generally, the national targets that have been set to date are more general than the Aichi Targets. As more NBSAPs are received, this overall picture may change.
- 19. Many countries have established targets or made commitments within the framework of other international processes, beyond the Convention on Biological Diversity, and many of these targets and commitments may be relevant to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets. For example, as part of the intended nationally determined contributions to the Paris Agreement, many countries have included targets for reducing deforestation or promoting ecosystem restoration, related to Aichi Biodiversity Targets 5 and 15, respectively. However, such targets are not always reflected in the updated NBSAPs. There is an opportunity, therefore, for Parties, when establishing or reviewing their national targets under the Convention, to take into account relevant targets under other processes.
- 20. In decision X/2, the Conference of the Parties urged Parties to develop national and regional targets with a view to contributing to collective global efforts to reach the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. If the NBSAPs which are yet to be finalized follow a pattern similar to those already developed, it is unlikely that the aggregation of the additional national commitments will correspond to the scale and level of ambition set out in the global Aichi Targets. Further information on the progress made in developing, revising and updating NBSAPs is contained in documents CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.1 and Add.2.

B. National reports

- 21. In adopting the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, the Conference of the Parties noted the need to keep its implementation under review. The national reports are a main source of information for doing this. In decision $\underline{X/10}$, the Conference of the Parties requested Parties to submit their fifth national report by 31 March 2014. By 14 March 2018, 191 fifth national reports had been received (that is, all the Parties except the Bahamas, Gabon, Iceland, Lesotho and Libya, or 97 per cent of Parties).
- 22. Information contained in these reports on the status, trends and pressures related to biodiversity as well as information on the different actions that countries have reported taking or will be taking in the near future was used to determine overall progress towards the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020. The assessment of the information in the national reports indicates that the majority of Parties have made progress towards the Aichi Targets but at a rate that is insufficient to allow the targets to be met by the deadline unless additional actions are taken.
- 23. Across all Aichi Biodiversity Targets, between one third and two thirds of the national reports contain information suggesting that progress towards a given target is being made but at an insufficient

Decision A/2.

⁸ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Conference of the Parties, twenty-first session, decision 1/CP.21.

⁹ Decision X/2.

¹⁰ See annex I for the list of Parties.

rate. Further, across all Aichi Biodiversity Targets, between 7 and 43 per cent of national reports contain information suggesting that either no significant change has occurred or that the country is moving away from a given target. The number of assessments classified as being on track to reach an Aichi Biodiversity Target, or on track to exceed it, ranges between 3 and 29 per cent depending on the target. Overall, the assessment of information in the national reports indicates that between 63 and 86 per cent of Parties are not on track to attain a given Aichi Biodiversity Target. This assessment is consistent with that presented in the fourth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook*, which, based on an assessment of 64 fifth national reports, concluded that between 2 per cent and 42 per cent of Parties were on track to attain or exceed a given Aichi Biodiversity Target. Further information on the progress made reaching the Aichi Biodiversity Targets as presented in the national reports is contained in document CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.2.

- 24. Three Aichi Biodiversity Targets have deadlines in the year 2015. As previously noted in decision XII/1, Aichi Biodiversity Target 10 ("By 2015, the multiple anthropogenic pressures on coral reefs, and other vulnerable ecosystems impacted by climate change or ocean acidification are minimized, so as to maintain their integrity and functioning") has not been met though it remains valid. To accelerate progress, the Conference of the Parties, in decision XII/23, adopted priority actions to achieve Aichi Biodiversity Target 10 for coral reefs and closely associated ecosystems.
- 25. Good progress is being made towards Aichi Biodiversity Target 16 ("By 2015, the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization is in force and operational, consistent with national legislation"). Since the adoption of the Nagoya Protocol and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity in 2010, a number of initiatives have been taken by Parties to the Convention to achieve Target 16 and to make progress in the ratification and operationalization of the Protocol. The entry into force of the Protocol on 12 October 2014 marked the achievement of the first part of Target 16, and many Parties are currently working on revising existing access and benefit-sharing (ABS) measures or developing new ones to implement the Protocol. It is clear that Parties are still in the process of establishing institutional structures to implement the Protocol and publishing the necessary information in the Access and Benefit-Sharing Clearing-House. While progress has been made, the operationalization of the Protocol, as required by the second part of Target 16, has not yet been fully achieved. Further information on this issue can be found in document CBD/SBI/2/INF/3.
- 26. According to the information contained in the interim national reports as well as the ABS Clearing-House, 81 countries (65 Parties to the Nagoya Protocol and 16 non-Parties) have relevant ABS measures in place, 46 of which are currently in the process of revising existing or developing new ABS measures or planning to do so. Another 7 countries do not have ABS measures in place but are developing measures or planning to develop measures. A total of 63 countries have designated competent national authorities and 30 countries have established checkpoints.
- 27. With regard to Aichi Biodiversity Target 17 (By 2015, each Party has developed, adopted as a policy instrument, and has commenced implementing an effective, participatory and updated NBSAP), about 67 per cent of Parties have developed an NBSAP or revised one since the adoption of the Strategic Plan. Further, fewer than half of these have clearly adopted their NBSAPs as "whole-of-government" instruments. Given this, it is clear that Aichi Biodiversity Target 17, which has a deadline of 2015, has not been met. This assessment differs from that presented in the fourth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook*, which concluded, based on the information available when it was prepared, that the first part of Aichi Biodiversity Target 17 (each Party has developed an NBSAP) was on track to be met. A progress report and updated methodology for the voluntary peer review of NBSAPs are presented in document UNEP/CBD/COP/13/19.

C. Progress in implementing the Gender Plan of Action

28. In decision XII/7, the Conference of Parties welcomed the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action under the Convention on Biological Diversity, requested the Executive Secretary to support its implementation and requested Parties to report on actions taken in this regard. The strategic objectives of the Plan are: (a) to mainstream a gender perspective in the implementation of the Convention and the associated work of the Parties and the Secretariat; (b) to promote gender equality in achieving the

objectives of the Convention, the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets; (c) to demonstrate the benefits of gender mainstreaming in the achievement of the Convention's objectives; and (d) to increase the effectiveness of all work under the Convention. This review of progress in the implementation of the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action is based on consideration of actions by Parties, stakeholders and the Secretariat in the light of these strategic objectives. Progress towards the achievement of these objectives and specific examples of actions taken are detailed in an addendum to the present document (CBD/SBI/2/2/Add.3).

- 29. Just under half of the post-Nagoya NBSAPs included some reference to gender or women's issues, and a third of the plans identified gender perspectives as part of national targets or actions related to a national target. The majority of these actions focused on increasing women's awareness of biodiversity conservation issues, and secondly on increasing women's participation in biodiversity conservation. Lack of involvement of women as stakeholders was identified as a challenge for biodiversity conservation, or an indirect driver of biodiversity loss, in approximately one out of five NBSAPs (21 per cent). The need to strengthen scientific research and ensure the systematic collection of gender-disaggregated data has been recognized as an important factor to enable targeted responses. Additional needs identified through other inputs received from Parties and stakeholders were to increase staff skills and knowledge, and the availability of tools, guidelines and methodologies. Training needs include the integration of gender in the design and management of projects, and in the development and evaluation of policies.
- 30. Overall, even among countries that have recognized gender equality as a guiding principle, or have highlighted that the failure to involve women as stakeholders has been a challenge to biodiversity conservation, there is often limited information on strategies and actions to apply gender considerations in the NBSAPs, or on the engagement of women's groups and/or ministries of women's affairs in the NBSAP review and implementation process. Revised NBSAPs further showed no obvious pattern over time to suggest increased attention to gender issues following the tenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties through February 2018.
- 31. Actions to mainstream a gender perspective are being undertaken through a range of approaches, which also contribute to promoting gender equality in achieving the objectives of the Gender Plan of Action. Yet, much work is still needed to better integrate gender considerations, and to strengthen the case for how gender-responsive strategies and actions can support the attainment of biodiversity objectives. The development of the post-2020 biodiversity framework provides an opportunity for increased attention to gender dimensions and their further integration in the context of the Convention. A review of the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action would help to determine areas that may need to be strengthened in a revised or updated Plan, and in the post-2020 framework.

II. CONCLUSION

- 32. While the information from the assessment of NBSAPs relates to commitments and the information from the national reports relates to actions and outcomes, the two sources of information provide a consistent picture. Efforts have been made to translate the Aichi Biodiversity Targets into national commitments, and national actions have been taken to reach the Aichi Targets. However, these commitments and efforts will need to be significantly scaled up if the Aichi Targets are to be met and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 more generally is to be successfully implemented.
- 33. The information from this assessment is broadly consistent with the information presented in the fourth edition of the *Global Biodiversity Outlook*, which concluded that, while progress is being made towards the achievement of all targets, progress is not currently sufficient to achieve the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and that additional action is required to keep the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 on course.
- 34. With respect to mainstreaming gender considerations in the work to implement the Convention, there remains a considerable gap in addressing issues of gender equality and women's empowerment within NBSAPs. Attention to gender issues will need to be increased if the objectives of the 2015-2020

Gender Plan of Action are to be met. The development of the post-2020 biodiversity framework provides an opportunity for increased attention to gender dimensions and their further integration across all areas of work under Convention.

III. SUGGESTED RECOMMENDATIONS

35. The Subsidiary Body on Implementation may wish to recommend that the Conference of the Parties at its fourteenth meeting adopt a decision along the following lines:

A. Review of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national reports

The Conference of the Parties

- 1. Takes note of the updated analysis of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national reports, and requests the Executive Secretary to update this information periodically and to make it available through the clearing-house mechanism of the Convention;
- 2. Also takes note of the progress in the adoption by Parties of their national biodiversity strategies and action plans as whole-of-government policy instruments, and requests the Executive Secretary, in collaboration with the Secretariat of the Global Environment Facility, the United Nations Development Programme and the United Nations Environment Programme, to analyse the status of adoption of national biodiversity strategies and action plans by eligible Parties;

B. Gender Plan of Action

Recalling decision XII/7, in which it welcomed the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action under the Convention,

Noting that the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action is now at a mid-way point, and recognizing the need for effective implementation of the Plan, including towards the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020,

- 1. *Emphasizes* the need to address gender considerations in the development of the post-2020 biodiversity framework and in line with gender targets of the Sustainable Development Goals; 11
- 2. Requests Parties and other relevant stakeholders to support actions to strengthen knowledge of gender and biodiversity linkages, including the provision of dedicated resources for ongoing capacity-building on gender and biodiversity issues, and the collection of sex-disaggregated data;
- 3. Also requests Parties and other relevant stakeholders to support harmonized approaches and joint action on capacity-building and implementation of gender-responsive measures under the three Rio Conventions;
- 4. Requests the Executive Secretary, subject to availability of resources, to undertake a review of the implementation of the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action, in parallel to the development of the fifth edition of the Global Biodiversity Outlook, in order to identify gaps, best practices and lessons learned.

¹¹ See General Assembly resolution 70/1, annex.

Annex I

LIST OF NATIONAL REPORTS RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARIAT OF THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY BY 14 MARCH 2018

1.	Afghanistan	39.	Cook Islands
2.	Albania	40.	Costa Rica
3.	Algeria	41.	Côte d'Ivoire
4.	Andorra	42.	Croatia
5.	Angola	43.	Cuba
6.	Antigua and Barbuda	44.	Cyprus
7.	Argentina	45.	Czechia
8.	Armenia	46.	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
9.	Australia	47.	Democratic Republic of the Congo
10.	Austria	48.	Denmark
11.	Azerbaijan	49.	Djibouti
12.	Bahrain	50.	Dominica
13.	Bangladesh	51.	Dominican Republic
14.	Barbados	52.	Ecuador
15.	Belarus	53.	Egypt
16.	Belgium	54.	El Salvador
17.	Belize	55.	Equatorial Guinea
18.	Benin	56.	Eritrea
19.	Bhutan	57.	Estonia
20.	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	58.	Ethiopia
21.	Bosnia and Herzegovina	59.	European Union
22.	Botswana	60.	Fiji
23.	Brazil	61.	Finland
24.	Brunei Darussalam	62.	France
25.	Bulgaria	63.	Gambia
26.	Burkina Faso	64.	Georgia
27.	Burundi	65.	Germany
28.	Cabo Verde	66.	Ghana
29.	Cambodia	67.	Greece
30.	Cameroon	68.	Grenada
31.	Canada	69.	Guatemala
32.	Central African Republic	70.	Guinea
33.	Chad	71.	Guinea-Bissau
34.	Chile	72.	Guyana
35.	China	73.	Haiti
36.	Colombia	74.	Honduras
37.	Comoros	75.	Hungary
38.	Congo	76.	India

77.	Indonesia	120.	Nicaragua
78.	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	121.	Niger
79.	Iraq	122.	Nigeria
80.	Ireland	123.	Niue
81.	Israel	124.	Norway
82.	Italy	125.	Oman
83.	Jamaica	126.	Pakistan
84.	Japan	127.	Palau
85.	Jordan	128.	Panama
86.	Kazakhstan	129.	Papua New Guinea
87.	Kenya	130.	Paraguay
88.	Kiribati	131.	Peru
89.	Kuwait	132.	Philippines
90.	Kyrgyzstan	133.	Poland
91.	Lao People's Democratic Republic	134.	Portugal
92.	Latvia	135.	Qatar
93.	Lebanon	136.	Republic of Korea
94.	Liberia	137.	Republic of Moldova
95.	Liechtenstein	138.	Romania
96.	Lithuania	139.	Russian Federation
97.	Luxembourg	140.	Rwanda
98.	Madagascar	141.	Saint Kitts and Nevis
99.	Malawi	142.	Saint Lucia
100.	Malaysia	143.	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
101.	Maldives	144.	Samoa
102.	Mali	145.	San Marino
103.	Malta	146.	Sao Tome and Principe
104.	Marshall Islands	147.	Saudi Arabia
105.	Mauritania	148.	Senegal
106.	Mauritius	149.	Serbia
107.	Mexico	150.	Seychelles
108.	Micronesia (Federated States of)	151.	Sierra Leone
109.	Monaco	152.	Singapore
110.	Mongolia	153.	Slovakia
111.	Montenegro	154.	Slovenia
112.	Morocco	155.	Solomon Islands
113.	Mozambique	156.	Somalia
114.	Myanmar	157.	South Africa
115.	Namibia	158.	South Sudan
116.	Nauru	159.	Spain
117.	Nepal	160.	Sri Lanka
118.	Netherlands	161.	State of Palestine
119.	New Zealand	162.	Sudan

CBD/SBI/2/2

Page 10

163.	Suriname	178.	Tuvalu
164.	Swaziland	179.	Uganda
165.	Sweden	180.	Ukraine
166.	Switzerland	181.	United Arab Emirates
167.	Syrian Arab Republic	182.	United Kingdom of Great Britain and
168.	Tajikistan		Northern Ireland
169.	Thailand	183.	United Republic of Tanzania
170.	The former Yugoslav Republic of	184.	Uruguay
	Macedonia	185.	Uzbekistan
171.	Timor-Leste	186.	Vanuatu
172.	Togo	187.	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
173.	Tonga	188.	Viet Nam
174.	Trinidad and Tobago	189.	Yemen
175.	Tunisia	190.	Zambia
176.	Turkey	191.	Zimbabwe
177.	Turkmenistan		

Annex II

LIST OF NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGIES AND ACTION PLANS RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARIAT OF THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY BETWEEN OCTOBER 2010 AND 14 MARCH 2018

1.	Afghanistan	39.	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
2.	Albania	40.	Democratic Republic of the Congo
3.	Algeria	41.	Denmark
4.	Andorra	42.	Djibouti
5.	Antigua and Barbuda	43.	Dominica
6.	Argentina	44.	Dominican Republic
7.	Armenia	45.	Ecuador
8.	Australia	46.	Egypt
9.	Austria	47.	El Salvador
10.	Azerbaijan	48.	Equatorial Guinea
11.	Bahrain	49.	Eritrea
12.	Bangladesh	50.	Estonia
13.	Belarus	51.	Ethiopia
14.	Belgium	52.	European Union
15.	Belize	53.	Finland
16.	Benin	54.	France
17.	Bhutan	55.	Gambia
18.	Bosnia and Herzegovina	56.	Georgia
19.	Botswana	57.	Germany
20.	Brazil	58.	Ghana
21.	Brunei Darussalam	59.	Grenada
22.	Burkina Faso	60.	Greece
23.	Burundi	61.	Guatemala
24.	Cabo Verde	62.	Guinea
25.	Cambodia	63.	Guinea-Bissau
26.	Cameroon	64.	Guyana
27.	Canada	65.	Honduras
28.	Chad	66.	Hungary
29.	Chile	67.	India
30.	China	68.	Indonesia
31.	Colombia	69.	Iran (Islamic Republic of)
32.	Comoros	70.	Iraq
33.	Congo	71.	Ireland
34.	Costa Rica	72.	Italy
35.	Côte d'Ivoire	73.	Jamaica
36.	Croatia	74.	Japan
37.	Cuba	75.	Jordan
38.	Czechia	76.	Kiribati

CBD/SBI/2/2

Page 12

78. Lao People's Democratic Republic 118. Rwanda 79. Latvia 119. Saimt Kitts and Nevis 80. Lebanon 120. Samoa 81. Liberia 121. San Marino 82. Liechtenstein 122. Sao Tome and Principe 83. Lithuania 123. Senegal 84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madagascar 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Malfives 128. Somafia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritus 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziknd 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco	77.	Kyrgyzstan	117.	Russian Federation
80. Lebanon 120. Samoa 81. Liberia 121. San Marino 82. Liechtenstein 122. Sao Tome and Principe 83. Lithuania 123. Senegal 84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madagascar 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritus 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137.	78.	Lao People's Democratic Republic	118.	Rwanda
81. Liberia 121. San Marino 82. Lichtenstein 122. Sao Tome and Principe 83. Lithuania 123. Senegal 84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madaysia 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maldives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swazland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga	79.	Latvia	119.	Saint Kitts and Nevis
82. Lichenstein 122. Sao Tome and Principe 83. Lithuania 123. Senegal 84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madayascar 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maldives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140.	80.	Lebanon	120.	Samoa
83. Lithuania 123. Senegal 84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madagasear 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Soolomon Islands 88. Madives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swazland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Tonga	81.	Liberia	121.	San Marino
84. Luxembourg 124. Serbia 85. Madagascar 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maldives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myammar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Naru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga <	82.	Liechtenstein	122.	Sao Tome and Principe
85. Madagascar 125. Seychelles 86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maktives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Matta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia	83.	Lithuania	123.	Senegal
86. Malawi 126. Slovakia 87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maldives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu	84.	Luxembourg	124.	Serbia
87. Malaysia 127. Solomon Islands 88. Maldives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Nambia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Tooga 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda	85.	Madagascar	125.	Seychelles
88. Malives 128. Somalia 89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritius 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Nigeri 145. Ukraine	86.	Malawi	126.	Slovakia
89. Mali 129. South Africa 90. Malua 130. Spain 91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates <td>87.</td> <td>Malaysia</td> <td>127.</td> <td>Solomon Islands</td>	87.	Malaysia	127.	Solomon Islands
90. Malta 130. Spain 91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Nigeria 145. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Republic of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway	88.	Maldives	128.	Somalia
91. Mauritania 131. Sri Lanka 92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Republic of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway	89.	Mali	129.	South Africa
92. Mauritius 132. Sudan 93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines <t< td=""><td>90.</td><td>Malta</td><td>130.</td><td>Spain</td></t<>	90.	Malta	130.	Spain
93. Mexico 133. Suriname 94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. <td< td=""><td>91.</td><td>Mauritania</td><td>131.</td><td>Sri Lanka</td></td<>	91.	Mauritania	131.	Sri Lanka
94. Mongolia 134. Swaziland 95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113.	92.	Mauritius	132.	Sudan
95. Montenegro 135. Sweden 96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Toga 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam	93.	Mexico	133.	Suriname
96. Morocco 136. Switzerland 97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	94.	Mongolia	134.	Swaziland
97. Mozambique 137. Tajikistan 98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	95.	Montenegro	135.	Sweden
98. Myanmar 138. Thailand 99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Republic of Moldova	96.	Morocco	136.	Switzerland
99. Namibia 139. Timor-Leste 100. Nauru 140. Togo 101. Nepal 141. Tonga 102. Netherlands 142. Tunisia 103. New Zealand 143. Tuvalu 104. Nicaragua 144. Uganda 105. Niger 145. Ukraine 106. Nigeria 146. United Arab Emirates 107. Niue 147. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 108. Norway 148. United Republic of Tanzania 110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Zimbabwe	97.	Mozambique	137.	Tajikistan
100.Nauru140.Togo101.Nepal141.Tonga102.Netherlands142.Tunisia103.New Zealand143.Tuvalu104.Nicaragua144.Uganda105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	98.	Myanmar	138.	Thailand
101.Nepal141.Tonga102.Netherlands142.Tunisia103.New Zealand143.Tuvalu104.Nicaragua144.Uganda105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania109.Paraguay149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	99.	Namibia	139.	Timor-Leste
102.Netherlands142.Tunisia103.New Zealand143.Tuvalu104.Nicaragua144.Uganda105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania109.Paraguay148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	100.	Nauru	140.	Togo
103.New Zealand143.Tuvalu104.Nicaragua144.Uganda105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	101.	Nepal	141.	Tonga
104.Nicaragua144.Uganda105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania109.Paraguay149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	102.	Netherlands	142.	Tunisia
105.Niger145.Ukraine106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.NorwayNorthern Ireland109.Paraguay148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	103.	New Zealand	143.	Tuvalu
106.Nigeria146.United Arab Emirates107.Niue147.United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland108.Norway148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	104.	Nicaragua	144.	Uganda
107. Niue 108. Norway 109. Paraguay 110. Peru 111. Philippines 112. Poland 113. Qatar 114. Republic of Korea 115. Republic of Moldova 116. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland 117. United Republic of Tanzania 118. United Republic of Tanzania 119. Uruguay 110. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 1110. Viet Nam 1111. Viet Nam 1111. Viet Nam 1111. Zambia 1111. Republic of Korea 1111. Republic of Moldova 1111. Republic of Moldova	105.	Niger	145.	Ukraine
108.NorwayNorthern Ireland109.Paraguay148.United Republic of Tanzania110.Peru149.Uruguay111.Philippines150.Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)112.Poland151.Viet Nam113.Qatar152.Yemen114.Republic of Korea153.Zambia115.Republic of Moldova154.Zimbabwe	106.	Nigeria	146.	United Arab Emirates
109. Paraguay 110. Peru 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 113. Qatar 114. Republic of Korea 115. Republic of Moldova 151. Zimbabwe	107.	Niue	147.	
110. Peru 149. Uruguay 111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	108.	Norway		
111. Philippines 150. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) 112. Poland 113. Qatar 114. Republic of Korea 115. Republic of Moldova 151. Zambia 152. Yemen 153. Zambia 154. Zimbabwe	109.	Paraguay		-
112. Poland 151. Viet Nam 113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	110.	Peru		
113. Qatar 152. Yemen 114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	111.	Philippines		` '
114. Republic of Korea 153. Zambia 115. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	112.	Poland	151.	Viet Nam
115. Republic of Moldova 154. Zimbabwe	113.	Qatar	152.	
115. Republic of Wordow	114.	Republic of Korea		
116. Romania	115.	Republic of Moldova	154.	Zimbabwe
	116.	Romania		
