UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17	7
Page 60	

Annex

Format for the Second National Reports on the Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

## GUIDELINES FOR USE OF THE REPORTING FORMAT

The following format for preparation of the second national report on implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety called for under Article 33 of the Protocol is a series of questions based on those requirements of the Protocol as well as questions that relate to indicators of the Strategic Plan.

Responses to these questions will help Parties to review the extent to which they are successfully implementing the provisions of the Protocol and will assist the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol to assess the overall status of implementation of the Protocol.

Questions highlighted in grey may not strictly be based on provisions of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety or the decisions of the Parties to the Protocol. They are included in this reporting format only to help draw a baseline for the assessment and review of the Protocol in the context of Article 35 and to help measure progress in the implementation of the Strategic Plan of the Protocol.

The deadline for submission of the second national report is no less than 12 months prior to the sixth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. It is intended to cover activities undertaken between the presentation of the first national report (or the entry into force of the Protocol for reporting Parties that ratified or acceded to the Protocol after 11 September 2007) and the date of reporting for the second national report.

For subsequent national reports, the format is expected to evolve, as questions that are no longer relevant may be deleted, questions that are relevant to ongoing progress in implementation will be retained, and additional questions will be formulated pursuant to future decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.

The wording of questions follows the wording of the relevant articles of the Protocol as closely as possible. The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

The format tries to minimize the reporting burden on Parties, while eliciting the important information regarding implementation of the provisions of the Protocol. Most of the questions asked require only a tick in one or more boxes and for each article, a text field allows the provision of further details on its implementation. Although there is no set limit on the length of text, in order to assist with the review and synthesis of the information in the reports, respondents are asked to ensure that answers are as relevant and as succinct as possible.

The Executive Secretary welcomes any comments on the adequacy of the questions, and difficulties in completing the questions, and any further recommendations on how these reporting guidelines could be improved. Space is provided for such comments at the end of the report.

It is recommended that Parties involve all relevant stakeholders in the preparation of the report, in order to ensure a participatory and transparent approach to its development and the accuracy of the information requested.

The form is also available on the BCH for completion electronically at the following address: <a href="http://bch.cbd.int/managementcentre/edit/CPBnationalreport2.shtml">http://bch.cbd.int/managementcentre/edit/CPBnationalreport2.shtml</a>

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> To facilitate the analysis of the information contained in this report, it is recommended that Parties submit the report through the Biosafety Clearing-House or as an attachment to an e-mail in MS Word format, together with a scanned copy of the first signed page, to the Secretariat at: secretariat@cbd.int

## Second National Report on the Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

## Origin of report

1.	Country:	[	Type your text here	]		
Con	tact officer for report					
2.	Name of contact officer:	[	Type your text here	]		
3.	Title of contact officer:	[	Type your text here	]		
4.	Organization	]	Type your text here	]		
5.	Mailing address:	]	Type your text here	]		
6.	Telephone:	]	Type your text here	]		
7.	Fax:	[	Type your text here	]		
8.	E-mail:	]	Type your text here	]		
9.	Organizations/stakeholders who were consulted or participated in the preparation of this report:	[	Type your text here	]		
Subr	nission					
10.	Date of submission:	[	Type your text here	]		
11.	Time period covered by this report:	[	Type your text here	]		
Sign	Signature of the reporting officer <sup>1</sup>					

IMPORTANT: To facilitate the analysis of the information contained in this reports, please send the report to the Secretariat via e-mail at <a href="mailto:secretariat@cbd.int">secretariat@cbd.int</a> as attachment in MS Word format, together with a scanned copy of the first signed page; please *do not* send this report via fax or postal mail or in electronic formats other than MS Word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This document is made available as a protected form in MS Word format for further processing of the information contained therein by the CBD Secretariat. Only text entries and checkboxes are changeable. Once the document is filled in, please save it and print this first page for signature. The form is also available on the BCH for completion electronically at: http://bch.cbd.int/managementcentre/edit/CPBnationalreport2.shtml

12.	Is your country a Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB)?		Yes
			No
13.	If you anavoged No to question 12 is there		Yes
13.	If you answered <i>No</i> to question 12, is there any national process in place towards		No
	becoming a Party?		Not applicable
14.	Here you may provide further details:		
	[ Type your text her	re	]
	Article 2 – General pro	visions	
	Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for the implementation of the Protocol?		A domestic regulatory framework is fully in place
15.			A domestic regulatory framework is partially in place
13.			Only temporary measures have been introduced
			Only a draft framework exists
			No measures have yet been taken
			One or more national biosafety laws
			One or more national biosafety regulations
16.	Which specific instruments are in place for the implementation of your national biosafety framework?		One or more sets of biosafety guidelines
			Other laws, regulations or guidelines that indirectly apply to biosafety
			No instruments are in place

17.	Has your country established a mechanism for the budgetary allocations of funds for the		Yes No
	operation of its national biosafety framework?		NO
18.	Does your country have permanent staff to administer functions directly related to the		Yes
	national biosafety framework?		No
			One
19.	If you answered Yes to question 18, how		Less than 5
	many permanent staff members are in place whose functions are directly related to the		Less than 10
	national biosafety framework?		More than 10
			Not applicable
20			Yes
20.	Has your country's biosafety framework / laws / regulations / guidelines been submitted		Partially
	to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH)?		No
21.	21. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 2 in your country: [ Type your text here ]		
Article 5 – Pharmaceuticals			
22.	Does your country regulate the transboundary		Yes
	movement, handling and use of living modified organisms (LMOs) which are		Yes, to some extent
	pharmaceuticals?		No
			Yes
23.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 22, has this		Partially
	information been submitted to the BCH?		No
			Not applicable
24.	24. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 5 in your country: [ Type your text here ]		

	Article 6 – Transit and Contained use			
25.	Does your country regulate the transit of		Yes	
	LMOs?		No	
26.	Does your country regulate the contained use		Yes	
	of LMOs?		No	
			Yes	
27.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to questions 25 or 26, has		Partially	
	this information been submitted to the BCH?		No	
			Not applicable	
28.	28. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 6 in your country: [ Type your text here ]			
Articles 7 to 10: Advance Informed Agreement (AIA) and intentional introduction of LMOs into the environment				
29.	Has your country adopted law(s) / regulations		Yes	
	/ administrative measures for the operation of the AIA procedure of the Protocol?		No	
30.	Has your country adopted a domestic regulatory framework consistent with the Protocol regarding		Yes	
	the transboundary movement of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		No	
31.	Has your country established a mechanism for taking decisions regarding first intentional		Yes	
	transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		No	
32.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 31, does the mechanism also apply to cases of intentional		Yes	
	introduction of LMOs into the environment		No	
	that were not subject to transboundary movement?		Not applicable	
33.	Has your country established a mechanism for		Yes	
monitoring potential effects of LMOs that ar released into the environment?	• ·		No	

		Yes
34.	Does your country have the capacity to detect and identify LMOs?	Yes, to some extent
	·	No
35.	Has your country established legal requirements for exporters under its jurisdiction to notify in writing the competent national authority of the Party of import prior to the intentional transboundary movement of an LMO that falls within the scope of the AIA procedure?	Yes No
36.	Has your country established legal	Yes
	requirements for the accuracy of information contained in the notification?	No
37.	Has your country ever received an application / notification regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?	Yes No
38.	Has your country ever taken a decision on an	Yes
	application / notification regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for	No
	intentional introduction into the environment?	Not applicable
		None
39.	If you answered Yes to question 38, how	Less than 5
	many LMOs has your country approved to date for import for intentional introduction	Less than 10
	into the environment?	More than 10
		Not applicable

			None
40.	If you answered Yes to question 38, how		Less than 5
	many LMOs, not imported, has your country approved to date for intentional introduction		Less than 10
	into the environment?		More than 10
			Not applicable
4.4			None
41.	In the current reporting period, how many applications/notifications has your country		Less than 5
	received regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional		Less than 10
	introduction into the environment?		More than 10
			None
42.	In the current reporting period, how many decisions has your country taken regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		Less than 5
			Less than 10
		П	More than 10
If you replied <u>None</u> to question 42 please go to question 50			
43.	With reference to the decisions taken on intentional transboundary movements of		Yes, always
	LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment, has your country received a		In some cases only
	notification from the Party(ies) of export or from the exporter(s) prior to the		No
	transboundary movement?		
			Yes, always
44.	Did the notifications contain complete information (at a minimum the information specified in Annex I of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety)?		In some cases only
			No
	1 2000 On Broomery,		Not applicable

			Yes, always
45.	Has your country acknowledged receipt of the notifications to the notifier within ninety days of receipt?		In some cases only
			No
			Not applicable
			Yes, always
			In some cases only
46.	Has your country informed the notifier(s) and		In some cases only the notifier
	the BCH of its decision(s)?		In some cases only the BCH
			No
			Not applicable
			Yes, always
47.	Has your country informed the notifier(s) and the BCH of its decision(s) in due time (within		In some cases only
	270 days or the period specified in your communication to the notifier)?		No
			Not applicable
		[ %]	Approving the import without conditions
		[ %]	Approving the import with conditions
40		[ %]	Prohibiting the import
48.	What percentage of your country's decisions fall into the following categories?	[ %]	Requesting additional information
		[ %]	Extending the period for the communication of the decision
			Not applicable

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 69 Yes, always In some cases only In cases where your country approved an In some cases only to the import with conditions or prohibited an notifier import, did it provide reasons on which its decisions were based to the notifier and the In some cases only to the BCH BCH? No Not applicable 50. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Articles 7-10 in your country, including measures in case of lack of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of LMOs for intentional introduction to the environment: Type your text here ] Article 11 - Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (LMOs-FFP) Has your country adopted specific law(s) or Yes regulation(s) for decision-making regarding domestic use, including placing on the No market, of LMOs-FFP? 52. Has your country established legal Yes requirements for the accuracy of information No to be provided by the applicant? Has your country established a mechanism to 53. ensure that decisions regarding LMOs-FFP Yes that may be subject to transboundary movement will be communicated to the No Parties through the BCH? Yes Has your country established a mechanism for taking decisions on the import of LMOs-FFP? No Has your country declared through the BCH 55. that in the absence of a regulatory framework Yes its decisions prior to the first import of an No LMO-FFP will be taken according to Article 11.6 of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety?

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 70 56. Has your country indicated its needs for Yes financial and technical assistance and No capacity-building in respect of LMOs-FFP? 57. Has your country ever taken a decision on Yes LMOs-FFP (either on import or domestic No use)? If you replied No to question 57 please go to question 63 None Less than 5 58. How many LMOs-FFP has your country Less than 10 approved to date? More than 10 Not applicable None 59. In the current reporting period, how many Less than 5 decisions has your country taken regarding Less than 10 the import of LMOs-FFP? More than 10 None 60. In the current reporting period, how many Less than 5 decisions has your country taken regarding domestic use, including placing on the Less than 10 market, of LMOs-FFP?

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 71 Yes, always 62. Has your country informed the Parties In some cases only through the BCH of its decision(s) regarding domestic use, including placing on the Yes, but with delays (i.e. longer than 15 days) market, of LMOs-FFP within 15 days? No 63. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 11 in your country, including measures in case of lack of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of LMOs-FFP: Type your text here 1 Article 12 - Review of decision 64. Has your country established a mechanism for Yes the review and change of a decision regarding an intentional transboundary movement of No LMOs? Yes 65. Has your country ever received a request for a review of a decision? No Yes, decision reviewed 66. Has your country ever reviewed/changed a Yes, decision reviewed and decision regarding intentional an changed transboundary movement of LMOs? No None 67. In the current reporting period, how many decisions were reviewed and/or changed Less than 5 regarding an intentional transboundary movement of an LMO? More than 5

If you replied None to the question 67 please go to question 71

	Has your country informed the notifier and		Yes, always
68.			In some cases only
06.	Has your country informed the notifier and the BCH of the review and/or changes in the		In some cases only the notifier
	decision?		In some cases only the BCH
			No
			Yes, always
69.	Has your country informed the notifier and		In some cases only
	the BCH of the review and changes in the decision within thirty days?		Yes, but with delays (i.e. longer than 30 days)
			No
	Has your country provided reasons to the notifier and the BCH for the review and/or changes in the decision?		Yes, always
70.			In some cases only
70.			In some cases only the notifier
			In some cases only the BCH
			No
71.	Here you may provide further details on the imcountry: [ Type your te	_	ation of Article 12 in your
	Article 13 – Simplified	procedui	re
72.	Has your country established a system for the application of the simplified procedure		Yes
	regarding an intentional transboundary movement of LMOs?		No
73.	Has your country ever applied the simplified		Yes
	procedure?		No

			Yes, always
74.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 73, has your country informed the Parties through the BCH		In some cases only
	of the cases where the simplified procedure applies?		No
	app. 100		Not applicable
75.	In the current reporting period how many		None
13.	In the current reporting period, how many LMOs has your country applied the simplified		Less than 5
	procedure to?		More than 5
76.	Here you may provide further details on the in country: [ Type your to	-	ation of Article 13 in your
Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements			
77.	Has your country entered into any bilateral,		Yes
	regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?		No
			Yes, always
78.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 77, has your		In some cases only
	country informed the Parties through the BCH of the agreements or arrangements?		No
			Not applicable
79.	79. If you answered Yes to question 77, please provide a brief description of the scope and objective of the agreements or arrangements entered into: [Type your text here]		
80.	Here you may provide further details on the in country: [ Type your to	_	ation of Article 14 in your
	Articles 15 – Risk as	sessment	
81.	Has your country established a mechanism for		Yes
	conducting risk assessments prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?		No
82.	If you answered Yes to question 81, does this		Yes
	mechanism include procedures for identifying experts to conduct the risk assessments?		No

83.	Has your country established guidelines for how to conduct risk assessments prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?	Yes
		No
84.	Has your country acquired the necessary	Yes
	domestic capacity to conduct risk assessment?	No
85.	Has your country established a mechanism for	Yes
	training national experts to conduct risk assessments?	No
86.	Has your country ever conducted a risk	Yes
	assessment of an LMO for intentional introduction into the environment?	No
87.	Has your country ever conducted a risk	Yes
	assessment of an LMO intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing?	No
88.	If your country has taken decision(s) on LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment or on domestic use of LMOs-FFP, were risk assessments conducted for all decisions taken?	Yes, always
00.		In some cases only
		No
		Not applicable
		Yes, always
89.	Has your country submitted summary reports of the risk assessments to the BCH?	In some cases only
		No
		Not applicable
0.0		None
90.	In the current reporting period, if your country has taken decisions regarding LMOs, how	5 or less
	many risk assessments were conducted in the context of these decisions?	10 or less
		More than 10

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 75 Yes, always In some cases only 91. Has your country ever required the exporter to conduct the risk assessment(s)? No Not applicable Yes, always 92. Has your country ever required the notifier to In some cases only bear the cost of the risk assessment(s) of No LMOs? Not applicable 93. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 15 in your Type your text here country: [ **Article 16 – Risk management** 94. Has your country established and maintained appropriate and operational mechanisms, Yes measures and strategies to regulate, manage control risks identified in and Yes, to some extent assessments for: No (i) LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment? Yes (ii) LMOs intended for direct use as food or Yes, to some extent feed, or for processing? No Yes 95. Has your country established and maintained appropriate measures to prevent unintentional Yes, to some extent transboundary movements of LMOs? No 96. Has your country taken measures to ensure that any LMO, whether imported or locally Yes developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation that is commensurate with its No life-cycle or generation time before it is put to

its intended use?

97.	Has your country cooperated with other Parties with a view to identifying LMOs or specific traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity?		Yes No	
98.	Has your country cooperated with other Parties with a view to taking measures regarding the treatment of LMOs or specific traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity?		Yes No	
99.	Here you may provide further details on the incountry, including any details regarding risk mana of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of	agement s		
	[ Type your text here		1	
	Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary mov	vements a	nd emergency measures	
100.	Has your country made available to the BCH the relevant details setting out its point of contact for the purposes of receiving notifications under Article 17?		Yes No	
101.	Has your country established a mechanism for addressing emergency measures in case of unintentional transboundary movements of LMOs that are likely to have significant adverse effect on biological diversity?		Yes No	
102.	Has your country implemented emergency measures in response to information about releases that led, or may have led, to unintentional transboundary movements of LMOs?		Yes No	
	In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information concerning occurrences that led, or may have led, to unintentional transboundary movement(s) of one or more LMOs to or from territories under its jurisdiction?		Never Less than 5 Less than 10 More than 10	
ıj yo	If you replied <u>Never</u> to question 103 please go to question 107			

		UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 77		
104. Has your country notified affected or potentially affected States, the BCH and, where appropriate, relevant international organizations, of the above release?		Yes, for every occurrence Yes, for some occurrences No		
105. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 104, who did your country notify?		The affected or potentially affected State  The BCH  Relevant international organizations		
106. Has your country immediately consulted the affected or potentially affected States to enable them to determine appropriate responses and initiate necessary action, including emergency measures?		Not applicable  Yes, always  Yes, in some cases  No, consultation was made but not immediately  No, consultation was never made		
107. Here you may provide further details on the ir country: [ Type your t	_	ation of Article 17 in your		
Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification				
108. Has your country taken measures to require that LMOs that are subject to transboundary movement are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards?		Yes, to some extent No		

109. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs-FFP clearly identifies that, in cases where the identity of the LMOs is <i>not known</i> through means such as identity preservation systems, they <i>may contain</i> living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for further information?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes, to some extent</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
110. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs-FFP clearly identifies that, in cases where the identity of the LMOs <i>is known</i> through means such as identity preservation systems, they <i>contain</i> living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for further information?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes, to some extent</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
111. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs that are destined for <i>contained use</i> clearly identifies them as <i>living modified organisms</i> and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the LMO are consigned?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes, to some extent</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
112. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs that are <i>intended for intentional introduction into the environment</i> of the Party of import, clearly identifies them as <i>living modified organisms</i> ; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes, to some extent</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>

113. Does your country have the capacity to		Yes
enforce the requirements of identification and documentation of LMOs?		Yes, to some extent
documentation of Livios?		No
		Yes
114. Has your country established procedures for the sampling and detection of LMOs?		Yes, to some extent
1 0		No
115. Here you may provide further details on the in country: [ Type your to	-	ation of Article 18 in your
Article 19 – Competent National Authori	ties and N	National Focal Points
116. Has your country designated one <i>national</i>		Yes
focal point for the Cartagena Protocol to be responsible for liaison with the Secretariat?		No
117. Has your country designated one <i>national</i> focal point for the Biosafety Clearing-House to liaise with the Secretariat regarding issues of relevance to the development and implementation of the BCH?		Yes No
118. Has your country designated one or more competent national authorities, which are responsible for performing the administrative functions required by the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and are authorized to act on your country's behalf with respect to those functions?		Yes, one Yes, more than one No
119. In case your country designated more than one <i>competent national authority</i> , has your country conveyed to the Secretariat the respective responsibilities of those authorities?		Yes No Not applicable
120. Has your country made available the required information referred in questions 116-119 to the BCH?		Yes, all information Yes, some information No

121.	In case your country has designated more than one <i>competent national authority</i> , has your country established a mechanism for the coordination of their actions prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?		Yes No Not applicable
122.	Has your country established adequate institutional capacity to enable the <i>competent national authority(ies)</i> to perform the administrative functions required by the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety?		Yes Yes, to some extent No
123.	Here you may provide further details on the country: [ Type you	implementa r text here	tion of Article 19 in your
	Article 20 – Information Sharing and the	Biosafety C	learing-House (BCH)
124.	Please provide an overview of the status of the the BCH by specifying for each category of whether it has been submitted to the BCH.		
			Information available and in the BCH
a. Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the		Information available but not in the BCH	
	advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20, paragraph 3 (a))		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
b.	National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5)		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available

		UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 81
		Information available and in the BCH
c.	Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14,	Information available but not in the BCH
	paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b))	Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
d.	Contact details for competent national authorities (Article 19, paragraphs 2 and 3), national focal points (Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 3), and emergency contacts (Article 17, paragraph 3 (e))	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
	Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20, paragraph 3 (e))	Information available and in the BCH
e.		Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
	Decisions by a Party on regulating the transit of specific living modified organisms (LMOs) (Article 6, paragraph 1)	Information available and in the BCH
f.		Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available

		Information available and in the BCH
1	Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17, paragraph 1)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
h.	Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25, paragraph 3)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
i.		Information available and in the BCH
1.	Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further	Information available but not in the BCH
	information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10, paragraph 3 and 20, paragraph 3(d))	Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
j.	Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14, paragraph 4)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available

		UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 83
		Information available and in the BCH
k.	Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 1)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
1.	Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs	Information available and in the BCH
	intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11, paragraph	Information available but not in the BCH
	4) or in accordance with Annex III (Article 11, paragraph 6) (requirement of Article 20, paragraph 3(d))	Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
m.	Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 6)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
n.	Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12, paragraph 1)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available

О.	LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13, paragraph 1)	Information available and in the BCH
		Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
p.	Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13, paragraph 1)	Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
	Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information regarding products thereof (Article 20, paragraph 3 (c))	Information available and in the BCH
q.		Information available but not in the BCH
		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
125.	Has your country established a mechanism for strengthening the capacity of the BCH	Yes
	National Focal Point to perform its administrative functions?	No
126.	Has your country established a mechanism for	
	the coordination among the BCH National Focal Point, the Cartagena Protocol focal	Yes
	point, and the competent national authority(ies) for making information available to the BCH?	No

127 Dans and the information		Yes, always
127. Does your country use the information available in the BCH in its decision making processes on LMOs?		Yes, in some cases
		No
128. Has your country experienced difficulties		Yes
accessing or using the BCH?		No
129. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 128, has your		Yes
country reported these problems to the BCH or the Secretariat?		No
of the Secretariat?		Not applicable
130. Is the information submitted by your country		Yes
to the BCH complete and up-to date?		No
131. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 20 in your country: [ Type your text here ]		
Article 21 – Confidentia	l informa	tion
132. Has your country established procedures to		Yes
protect confidential information received under the Protocol?		No
122 Door your country allow the notifier to		Yes, always
133. Does your country allow the notifier to identify information that is to be treated as		Yes, always In some cases only
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
identify information that is to be treated as	-	In some cases only No
identify information that is to be treated as confidential?  134. Here you may provide further details on the	text here	In some cases only  No tation of Article 21 in your  ]
identify information that is to be treated as confidential?  134. Here you may provide further details on the country: [ Type your to be treated as confidential?	text here	In some cases only  No tation of Article 21 in your  ]

		Bilateral channels	
136. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 135, how		Regional channels	
were these resources made available?		Multilateral channels	
		Not applicable	
137. Has your country provided support to other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety?		Yes	
		No	
		Bilateral channels	
138. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 137, how were		Regional channels	
these resources made available?		Multilateral channels	
		Not applicable	
139. Is your country eligible to receive funding from the		Yes	
Global Environment Facility (GEF)?		No	
If you replied <u>No</u> to question 139 please go to question 143			

Page 87 140. Has your country ever initiated a process to Yes access GEF funds for building capacity in No biosafety? Very easy 141. If you answered Yes to question 140, how **Easy** would you characterize the process? Average Please add further details about your experience in Difficult accessing GEF funds under question 150. Very difficult Pilot Biosafety Enabling Activity Development of National **Biosafety Frameworks** Implementation of National Biosafety Frameworks 142. Has your country ever received funding from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) for **Building Capacity for Effective** building capacity in biosafety? Participation in the BCH (Phase I) **Building Capacity for Effective** Participation in the BCH (Phase II) None of the above 143. During the current reporting period, has your country undertaken activities for Yes development and/or strengthening of human No resources and institutional capacities in

biosafety?

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17

		Institutional capacity
•	•	Human resources capacity development and training
		Risk assessment and other scientific and technical expertise
		Risk management
		Public awareness, participation and education in biosafety
		Information exchange and data management including participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House
		Scientific, technical and institutional collaboration at subregional, regional and international levels
	the following areas were	Technology transfer
	these activities undertaken?	Identification of LMOs, including their detection
		Socio-economic considerations
		Implementation of the documentation requirements under Article 18.2 of the Protocol
		Handling of confidential information
		Measures to address unintentional and/or illegal transboundary movements of LMOs
		Scientific biosafety research relating to LMOs
		Taking into account risks to human health
		Other: <text entry=""></text>
		Not applicable

	Page 89
145. During the current reporting period, has your country carried out a capacity-building needs	Yes
assessment?	☐ No
	Yes
146. Does your country still have capacity-building needs?	Yes, a few
	☐ No

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17

		Institutional capacity			
147.	. If you answered Yes to question 146, indicate which of the following areas still need capacity-building.	Human resources capacity development and training			
		Risk assessment and other scientific and technical expertise			
		Risk management			
		Public awareness, participation and education in biosafety			
		Information exchange and data management including participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House			
		Scientific, technical and institutional collaboration at subregional, regional and international levels			
		Technology transfer			
		Identification of LMOs, including their detection			
		Socio-economic considerations			
		Implementation of the documentation requirements under Article 18.2 of the Protocol			
		Handling of confidential information			
		Measures to address unintentional and/or illegal transboundary movements of LMOs			
		Scientific biosafety research relating to LMOs			
		Taking into account risks to human health			
		Other: <text entry=""></text>			
		Not applicable			

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 91 Yes 148. Has your country developed a capacitybuilding strategy or action plan? No 149. Has your country submitted the details of Yes national biosafety experts to the Roster of Experts in the BCH? No 150. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 22 in your country, including further details about your experience in accessing GEF funds: Type your text here ] **Article 23 – Public awareness and participation** 151. Has your country established a strategy or put Yes in place legislation for promoting and facilitating public awareness, education and Yes, to some extent participation concerning the safe transfer, No handling and use of LMOs? Yes 152. Has your country established a biosafety website? No Yes 153. Has your country established a mechanism to ensure public access to information on living Yes, to a limited extent modified organisms that may be imported? No Yes 154. Has your country established a mechanism to consult the public in the decision-making Yes, to a limited extent process regarding LMOs? No Yes

155. Has your country established a mechanism to make available to the public the results of

156. Has your country taken any initiative to inform

its public about the means of public access to

decisions taken on LMOs?

the Biosafety Clearing-House?

157.	In the current reporting period, has your country promoted and facilitated public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs?		Yes, to a limited extent	
			No	
158.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 157, has your country cooperated with other States and		Yes	
			No	
	international bodies?		Not applicable	
159.	In the current reporting period, how many times has your country consulted the public in		Never	
	the decision-making process regarding LMOs and made the results of such decisions available to the public?		Less than 5	
			More than 5	
160.	60. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 23 in your country: [ Type your text here ]			
Article 24 – Non-Parties				
161.	Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional, or multilateral agreement with non-Parties regarding transboundary movements of LMOs?		Yes	
			No	
162.	Has your country ever imported LMOs from a non-Party?		Yes	
			No	
163.	Has your country ever exported LMOs to a non-Party?		Yes	
	non-Party?		No	
			No Yes, always	
164.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to questions 162 or 163, were the transboundary movements of LMOs			
164.	If you answered Yes to questions 162 or 163,		Yes, always	

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/5/17 Page 93 Yes, always 165. If you answered Yes to questions 162 or 163, In some cases only was information about these transboundary movements submitted to the BCH? No Not applicable Yes, always 166. If your country is not a Party to the Cartagena In some cases only Protocol, has it contributed information to the BCH on LMOs released in, or moved into, or No out of, areas within its national jurisdiction? Not applicable 167. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 24 in your country: [ Type your text here **Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements** 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures preventing aimed at and/or penalizing Yes transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to No implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for Yes detecting illegal transboundary movements of No LMOs?

170. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information

under its jurisdiction?

concerning cases of illegal transboundary movements of an LMO to or from territories

If you replied Never to question 170 please go to question 175

Never

Less than 5

Less than 10

More than 10

		Yes		
		Only in some cases		
171. Has your country informed the BCH and the		Only the other Party(ies) involved		
other Party(ies) involved?		Only the BCH		
		No		
		Not applicable		
		Yes		
172. Has your country established the origin of the LMO(s)?		Yes, some cases		
		No		
		Yes		
173. Has your country established the nature of the LMO(s)?		Yes, some cases		
		No		
		Yes		
174. Has your country established the circumstances of the illegal transboundary movement(s)?		Yes, some cases		
gu va a va		No		
175. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 25 in your country: [ Type your text here ]				
Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations				
176. If your country has taken a decision on import,		Yes		
has it ever taken into account socio-economic		Only in some cases		
considerations arising from the impact of the LMO on the conservation and sustainable use		No		
of biological diversity?		Not applicable		
177 Has your country accounted with other Postics		Yes		
177. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any		Yes, to a limited extent		
socio-economic impacts of LMOs?		No		

178.	Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 26 in your country: [ Type your text here ]			
Article 27 – Liability and Redress				
179.	Has your country signed the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur		Yes	
	Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress?		No	
180.	Has your country initiated steps towards ratification,		Yes	
	acceptance or approval of the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol?		No	
181.	1. Here you may provide further details on any activities undertaken in your country towards the implementation of the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress:			
	<u> </u>	zumpur	Supplementary Protocol on	
	<u> </u>	-	Supplementary Protocol on	
	Liability and Redress:	re	]	
	Liability and Redress:  [ Type your text here	re	]	
182	Liability and Redress:  [ Type your text here  Article 33 – Monitoring and	re	ing	
182.	Liability and Redress:  [ Type your text here  Article 33 – Monitoring and  Has your country submitted the previous national reports (Interim and First National	re	ing Yes	
182.	Liability and Redress:  [ Type your text here  Article 33 – Monitoring and  Has your country submitted the previous	re	ing Yes Yes, Interim report only	

183.	If your country did not submit previous reports, indicate the main challenges that hindered the submission		Lack of financial resources to gather the necessary information	
			Lack of relevant information at the national level	
			Difficulty in compiling the information from various sectors	
			No obligation to submit (e.g. country was not a Party at the time)	
			Other, please specify [Type your text here]	
			Not applicable	
Other information				
184. Please use this field to provide any other information on issues related to national implementation of the Protocol, including any obstacles or impediments encountered.				
	[ Type your text here		]	
Comments on reporting format				
185.	185. Please use this field to provide any other information on difficulties that you have encountered in filling in this report.			
	[ Type your text here		1	