Appendix

Template for Submission of Scientific Information

to Describe Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas

Note: Please **DO NOT** embed tables, graphs, figures, photos, or other artwork within the text manuscript, but please send these as separate files. Captions for figures should be included at the end of the text file, however.

Title/Name of the area: Northeast Lau Response Cruise

Presented by (names, affiliations, title, contact details)

John Tomczuk
OAR Coral Coordinator
NOAA's Office of Ocean Exploration and Research
Silver Spring, MD
301-734-1009

Main Contacts:

Joseph Resing Response Cruise Chief Scientist

Robert Embley Response Cruise Co-chief Scientist

Steve Hammond PMEL/NOAA VENTS Program Hatfield Marine Science Center 2115 S.E. OSU Dr. Newport, OR 97365 Phone:(541)867-0183 FAX:(541)867-3907

Abstract (in less than 150 words)

The Northeast Lau Response Cruise (NELRC) in May 2009 visited the sites of two recent eruptions in the northeast Lau Basin, which had been discovered in November 2008 during an expedition on the research vessel R/V Thomas G. Thompson. The response expedition expected to find recent eruption deposits at both sites: the northeast Lau Spreading Center (NELSC) and West Mata. We also hoped that at least one site would still be in eruption, which is what we found at West Mata volcano. The cruise members subsequently spent six days characterizing the volcanic deposits and associated phenomena and providing fundamental new insights on eruptive phenomena in this environment — including the first observations of molten lava actively erupting in the deep ocean at West Mata volcano.

Introduction

(To include: feature type(s) presented, geographic description, depth range, oceanography, general information data reported, availability of models)

During the expedition in November 2008, researchers discovered the first suspected eruption site at the southern segment of Northeast Lau spreading center (NELSC) and a second at West Mata, a small submarine volcano lying between the NELSC and the frontal magmatic arc of the Tonga-Kermadec subduction zone, about 241 kilometers (150 miles) southwest of Samoa. Conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) surveys in 2008 indicated hydrothermal water column plumes found from 800 to 1,000 meters (2,600 to 3,300 feet) above the suspected location of the eruption at the NELSC contained high concentrations of volcanic glass shards and H_2 (hydrogen). The combination of the extreme elevated dissolved H_2 concentrations and the presence of sharp-edged shards provided unambiguous evidence of the interaction between molten rock and seawater. Near-bottom temperature anomalies greater than 0.5° Centigrade (33° Fahrenheit) over a small region of the neovolcanic ridge indicated the likely location of the eruption.

Also in November 2008, the second eruptive site, West Mata, had an intense plume rising about 175 m (575 ft) with among the highest values of turbidity, H₂, ³He (helium), oxidation-reduction potential anomaly, and pH anomaly ever measured in a hydrothermal plume! There was an abundance of large shards in the plume. High acoustic backscatter derived from the research vessel (R/V) *Thompson*'s EM300 multibeam sonar system was consistent with very young/current volcanic activity. This was a very exciting result, because West Mata would represent only the second site on the planet where we could study an erupting submarine volcano.

Studies of the long term eruption at NW Rota-1 volcano in the Mariana arc have provided dramatic first insights on the physical volcanology, chemistry, and biology of a submarine eruption (Embley et al., 2006; Chadwick et al., 2008: Resing et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2008; Limen et al., 2006). Web sites relating to past investigations at NW Rota-1

include: http://nwrota2009.blogspot.com and http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations/06fire. The West Mata site is much deeper and could represent one of several very young eruptive centers in the area, as well as the first opportunity to sample magmatic fluids from a boninitic (glass-containing rock) magmatic system.

Accomplishments

During the 2009 response cruise, we conducted seven <u>Jason</u> remotely operated vehicle (ROV) dives. The <u>Jason</u>ROV is operated by Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI). Within a little more than an hour of reaching the bottom at West Mata on the first dive an active eruption was discovered at 1,205 m (3,950 ft) near the summit of the volcano, at a site we named Hades. The eruption was characterized by glowing molten lava, explosions, the creation of large amounts of volcanic sands, and the active formation of pillow lavas.

An additional four dives at West Mata revealed much about its current and past volcanic activity. We also determined the extent of the eruptive area, stretching about 1,000 m (3,281 m) — the length of a football field — along the north/northwest summit of the volcano. The final dive revealed large magma (molten rock) bubbles, presumably from the expansion of vaporous water and other gases that were exsolved (released) from the magma, and independent magmatic fluids and/or, entrained interstitial seawater.

This was the first ever observation of active lava flows in the deep ocean, which is significant because the vast majority of the earth's crust was formed at submarine volcanoes in the deep sea, and most of the

volcanic eruptions on earth take place under the sea's surface. Thus, this is the first documentation of one of the most fundamental processes forming the earth's surface. We conducted five dives at West Mata, and we observed active eruption during every dive.

We collected samples of rocks, sands, microbes, fluids, and microbiology throughout the five dives. In addition to the <u>Jason</u> operations, the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI) autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) D. Allan B. conducted operations and made high resolution maps of the volcano. Two small hydrophones (underwater listening devices) were also deployed by <u>Jason</u> within 20 to 40 m (66 to 131 ft) of the eruption site. We will use these data to compare the short-term magmatic degassing cycles at West Mata to those recently quantified at the Northwest Rota-1 site in the Mariana arc. (Chadwick et al., 2008).

We conducted two dives at the NELSC. The first dive landed on the western side of a recent lava flow, suggesting that we had located, in part, the site of the recent eruptive activity. We named this eruption deposit the *Puipui lava flow*. However, aside from the fresh lava flows and volcanic debris, we found no evidence of new and/or enhanced hydrothermal activity along the ridge. A CTD tow-yo revealed no major hydrothermal plumes in the area and no near-bottom temperature anomalies over the eruption site. The absence of an extant (obvious) hydrothermal system along this part of the ridge indicates that the lava flow was probably quite small.

We deemed it critical to collect the volcanic and hydrothermal products of the eruption sites as soon as possible. These will help us to understand the evolution of hydrothermal venting and biological succession in the context of observations at other back-arc sites, most notably those at the Ridge 2000 Eastern Lau Integrated Studies Site. Observing the early stages of magmatism and hydrothermal activity, where magmatic fluids are likely in greater supply, is essential to understanding the evolution of these hydrothermal systems. The ability of macro- and micro-fauna to colonize these new sites may rely on the evolutionary state of these volcanic-hydrothermal systems. A system rich in sulfurous acid may be a poor host to some forms of biological activity, while suitable to others. As the system evolves, the biologic assemblage is likely to shift to one closer to that observed at longer-lived systems.

In addition to the <u>Jason</u> and AUV dives, the locations of some of the CTD tows and casts over the eruption sites were reoccupied to provide a time-series of the water-column anomalies. Finally, a hydrophone mooring deployed in December 2008 (as a response to the discoveries of the eruptions) was recovered on the latter part of the cruise.

Funding and Participation

This NERLC project was funded jointly by NOAA and the National Science Foundation (NSF). NOAA Ocean Explorer and NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (PMEL) each funded three days of ship time. NSF funded the remaining six ship days and 12 days of <u>Jason II</u> usage. In addition, NOAA-OE funded the exploration budget for NOAA-PMEL and its Joint Institute Partners, Oregon State University and the University of Washington.

NSF through the Ridge 2000, MARGINS, and core programs funded the scientific and support costs for the University of Washington (UW), the University of Hawaii, the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI), Western Washington University, the Marine Biological Laboratory, and the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

Indirect funding (through UW for travel and analysis) also went, or will go, to Portland State University, Moss Landing Marine Labs, the University of Tulsa, Harvard University, and Pennsylvania State

University. Samples were also collected for the University of California, Santa Cruz. Finally, MBARI (four participants) and PMEL (four federal scientists) provided funding for their scientists' salaries in addition to at-sea participation.

Location

(Indicate the geographic location of the area/feature. This should include a location map. It should state if the area is within or outside national jurisdiction, or straddling both. It should also state if the area is wholly or partly in an area that is subject to a submission to the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf)

Feature description of the proposed area

(This should include information about the characteristics of the feature to be proposed, e.g. in terms of physical description (water column feature, benthic feature, or both), biological communities, role in ecosystem function, and then refer to the data/information that is available to support the proposal and whether models are available in the absence of data. This needs to be supported where possible with maps, models, reference to analysis, or the level of research in the area)

Feature condition and future outlook of the proposed area

(Description of the current condition of the area – is this static, declining, improving, what are the particular vulnerabilities? Any planned research/programmes/investigations?)

Assessment of the area against CBD EBSA Criteria

(Discuss the area in relation to each of the CBD criteria and relate the best available science. Note that a candidate EBSA may qualify on the basis of one or more of the criteria, and that the boundaries of the EBSA need not be defined with exact precision. And modeling may be used to estimate the presence of EBSA attributes. Please note where there are significant information gaps)

CBD EBSA Criteria	Description (Annex I to decision IX/20)	Ranking of criterion relevance			
(Annex I to	(Affiliex 1 to decision 1A/20)	(please mark one column with an X) Don't Low Some High			1
decision		Know	Low	Some	Ingn
IX/20)		IIIOW			
Uniqueness	Area contains either (i) unique ("the only one				
or rarity	of its kind"), rare (occurs only in few				
	locations) or endemic species, populations or				
	communities, and/or (ii) unique, rare or				
	distinct, habitats or ecosystems; and/or (iii)				
	unique or unusual geomorphological or				
F 1 .: C	oceanographic features.				
Explanation for	ranking				
Special	Areas that are required for a population to				
importance	survive and thrive.				
for life-					
history stages					
of species					
Explanation for	ranking				
Importance	Area containing habitat for the survival and	1			
for	recovery of endangered, threatened, declining				
threatened,	species or area with significant assemblages of				
endangered	such species.				
or declining	Such species.				
species					
and/or					
habitats					
Explanation for	ranking	•			•
Vulnavahili4	Arong that contain a relatively high mean anti-				
Vulnerability, fragility,	Areas that contain a relatively high proportion of sensitive habitats, biotopes or species that				
sensitivity, or	are functionally fragile (highly susceptible to				
slow recovery	degradation or depletion by human activity or				
SIOW ICCOVELY	by natural events) or with slow recovery.				
Explanation for					
Е лрининон јог	Tutumis				

Biological productivity	Area containing species, populations or communities with comparatively higher natural biological productivity.					
Explanation for	Explanation for ranking					
Biological	Area contains comparatively higher diversity					
diversity	of ecosystems, habitats, communities, or					
3	species, or has higher genetic diversity.					
Explanation for ranking						
NT 4	A '.1 1 1 1 C	T	Ī	T	T	
Naturalness	Area with a comparatively higher degree of					
	naturalness as a result of the lack of or low					
	level of human-induced disturbance or					
degradation. Explanation for ranking						
Елрининон јог	Tunking					

Sharing experiences and information applying other criteria (Optional)

Other Criteria	Description	Ranking of criterion relevance (please mark one column with an X)				
		Don't Know	Low	Some	High	
Add relevant criteria						
Explanation for ranking						

References

(e.g. relevant documents and publications, including URL where available; relevant data sets, including where these are located; information pertaining to relevant audio/visual material, video, models, etc.) http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/explorations/09laubasin/welcome.html

Maps and Figures

Rights and permissions

(Indicate if there are any known issues with giving permission to share or publish these data and what any conditions of publication might be; provide contact details for a contact person for this issue)