



Ministry of the
Environment



Report of the Global Workshop on National Experiences in implementing the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020



Brasilia, 12 to 14 March 2012

Hosted by

- UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)
- Ministry of the Environment, Brazil

In collaboration with

- Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

Co-chaired by

- Mr. Robin Mortimer (Director, Wildlife, Landscape and Rural Affairs, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, United Kingdom)
- Dr. Roberto Cavalcanti (Secretary of Biodiversity and Forests, Ministry of the Environment, Brazil)

INTRODUCTION

The Workshop was an informal expert consultation, co-hosted by the Governments of Brazil and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and organised in cooperation with the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (SCBD). The workshop was professionally facilitated and took place from 12 to 14 March 2012 in Brasilia, Brazil. This is the full report of the meeting.

The regionally balanced workshop brought together Government nominated experts from 54 countries and the European Union.

The Workshop also included representatives of a number of international, inter-governmental, and non-governmental organizations.

A list of participants is provided at Annex 1.

Aims and objectives

At the tenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the CBD in Nagoya, Japan, in October 2010, Parties adopted the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, including 20 ambitious “Aichi Biodiversity Targets” to be achieved by 2020 or earlier.

The purpose of the Workshop was to provide an informal setting where countries could share examples and experiences in their efforts to meet the challenges associated with updating their National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs), in line with the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, and with setting national targets, as a contribution towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. The Workshop was also intended to assist Parties prepare for the discussion of these issues at the fourth meeting of the Working Group on Review of Implementation of the Convention (WGRI-4) in May 2012, and to add further momentum to the process in the lead-up to COP-11 in October 2012.

The Workshop was structured around national progress made in regard to the following four aspects of implementation of the Strategic Plan: 1) progress and challenges with revision of NBSAPs; 2) target-setting; 3) mainstreaming biodiversity into landscapes and economic sectors; 4) resources and partnerships for implementation. It was conducted in a very participatory, problem-solving style, with only short presentations for “scene-setting” and with expert facilitation of breakout groups.

Workshop Programme

The meeting followed the Workshop Programme and the Supplementary Note to the Workshop Programme, set out in UNEP/CBD/WSSPNE/1/1 and UNEP/CBD/WSSPNE/1/1/Add.1, respectively. These documents are provided in Annex 2.

I. OUTCOMES OF THE WORKSHOP

Views indicated in this summary from the Workshop are not necessarily representative of, or agreed by, all participants.

A: General messages from the Workshop:

- **NBSAPs are a key part of delivering the 2020 global goal.** Most action on biodiversity takes place at the national and sub-national levels and NBSAPs provide a focal point for leadership, engagement and resource mobilisation to deliver national goals and targets.
- **Sharing experiences** between countries and regions on revising NBSAPs, setting national targets, developing tools for successful implementation and mobilising resources will be critical if we are to meet the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- **Raising awareness** of the importance and value of biodiversity across all sectors, and at the highest political level, is necessary, and will continue to be necessary, if we are to meet the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- **Building the knowledge base** on biodiversity and **closing the science-policy gap** will be critical if we are to meet the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- **Every country will need to play their part** if we are to meet the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

B: Specific points that emerged from the discussion on the process of revising NBSAPs

- Revising NBSAPs to fully incorporate the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 takes **time, expertise, money and resources.**
- **NBSAPs need to be adopted as a policy tool** and **political will** is critical for successfully revising, adopting and implementing a revised NBSAP. **Political buy-in is needed across all political parties**, at the highest level and across all Government Departments, if successful implementation of NBSAPs is to be achieved.
- **Engaging all relevant stakeholders at an early stage** in the revision of the NBSAP process, in particular in the development and implementation of targets, can help raise awareness and secure buy-in to the process.
- **Mainstreaming of biodiversity strategies and action plans will only be effective when the activities of other sectors fully reflect the needs for biodiversity conservation and sustainable use.** Consideration of biodiversity needs to be embedded into all relevant sectors (including the private sector) and recognised as being important and necessary by those sectors. Mainstreaming is often best achieved through building on “win-win” opportunities that can be identified by regular exchange of information through formal or informal exchanges of information .
- **Building in regular monitoring of progress** towards implementation of NBSAPs will be necessary if the global 2020 Aichi Biodiversity Targets are to be met. Using tools such as indicators is a helpful way of doing this.

C: Specific points that emerged from the discussion on target setting

- We need **‘SMART’** outputs, targets and/or indicators to be able to assess progress in a semi-quantitative manner.
- In particular, targets need to be **MEASURABLE.** This can be achieved either by including a quantitative element within the target itself or by supplementing a broad target with a suite of more specific ‘measurable’ sub-targets or indicators. **Baseline information** for these will be important.
- There is a **quality-versus-quantity** issue when setting certain targets. In some instances setting targets that are quantifiable may not necessarily lead to the broader outcomes that are required. In such instances, qualitative targets may be more appropriate. For example, the

quality of habitat management may be just as important as the number of hectares under sustainable management.

- When setting targets, it is **important to build on what has already been achieved, including in the context of other relevant national, regional and international frameworks.**
- Achieving national targets will require that the **resources required to successfully implement the target are considered in parallel** to setting the target to ensure that it is both realistic and deliverable within the specified timeframe.
- In some instances, setting **process-oriented targets/goals can be useful** e.g. on signing/ratifying the Nagoya Protocol.
- There is real **value in embedding a multidisciplinary and multi-stakeholder review process** when setting targets to ensure that they are SMART, relevant to the national context and implementable on the ground.

D: Specific points that emerged from the discussion on mainstreaming

Implementation of the Strategic Plan will require thinking well beyond traditional biodiversity conservation measures. This will mean **engaging with processes beyond the CBD, as demonstrated by the endorsement of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 by the [65th Meeting of the United Nations General Assembly \(UNGA\)](#)**. Some of the main barriers towards implementation are **lack of societal buy-in** and a **lack of knowledge** on how and **why biodiversity is important to other sectors**. A number of different strategies could be useful in helping countries to successfully mainstream biodiversity:

- **Political strategies** – influencing up e.g. getting to politicians' manifestos, using high-status government documents/processes to influence
- **Engagement strategies** – e.g. using cross sector approaches, bringing in indigenous groups into political processes, using concepts and language which make sense to other sectors (ecosystem approach, valuation etc.) and dealing with conflicts that arise and being clear on how biodiversity can bring benefits; being honest about both trade-offs and win-win opportunities.
- **Institutional strategies** – such as the [UK Natural Capital Committee](#) (reporting to the finance Ministry)
- **Evidence strategies** – having compelling evidence to make the case e.g. being able to explain the societal and economic benefits of biodiversity on different sectors

E: Specific points that emerged from the discussion on resource mobilisation

- Clear mechanisms are needed, which **recognize the complexity of various funding sources for a range of purposes**, recognizing differing needs, to **assess resource requirements** both at the national level and overall. This will ensure a credible framework for resource mobilisation
- **Access to the necessary finances** at both the national and international levels is one of the key barriers, and opportunities, towards successful revision of NBSAPs.
- There is a **lack of resources** more generally for biodiversity conservation. **New and innovative resource mobilisation strategies are therefore needed** if countries are to successfully implement their NBSAPs.
- There is a **lack of capacity in expertise for accessing new and innovative finances at national level**. There is a need for sustained, specific training and personnel for tools for accessing resources.
- **Translation of the concept of valuation of biodiversity and ecosystem services into practical tools** that countries can use at the national level is needed to raise awareness of the importance of biodiversity in order to mobilise new and innovative sources of funding.
- There is a need **to simplify language about resource mobilization and finance so that it is comprehensible to all stakeholders.**

- There is also a need for **transparency and accountability** when accessing funding sources.
- The discussions which will be held under **Rio +20** may help contribute towards the resource mobilization discussions under the CBD.

F: Specific points that emerged from the discussion on aggregation

- It will be important for countries to attempt to **map their 'national targets' to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets** in order that global progress can be monitored.
- **Support from the Secretariat** to help implement the Aichi Biodiversity Targets at the national level may be helpful in some cases. The Secretariat could also usefully provide a review function to countries on whether national targets are too ambitious or not ambitious enough.
- **Regular monitoring of progress against the targets** at both the national, regional and global levels is needed, to ensure we are on track to meeting the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- **The COP has a responsibility to keep the implementation of the Convention under review** and there are relevant CBD COP10 decisions which Parties will need to report against to ensure that they are on track in meeting the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

II. REPORT OF THE WORKING SESSIONS

Opening of the Workshop

Opening statements were delivered by the Workshop Co-Chairs, Mr. Robin Mortimer and Mr. Roberto Cavalcanti. A written statement by Izabella Teixeira, Minister of Environment for Brazil was delivered, and a video message by Mr. Richard Benyon, Minister of Environment, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland was shown.

Mr. Jongsoo Yoon, Vice Minister of Environment of the Republic of Korea and Mr. Alan Charlton, UK Ambassador to Brazil also delivered opening statements.

Note: The full version of the presentations summarized below can be downloaded on the CBD website.

Item 1 - Revision of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) – or their equivalent – to contribute adequately to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020

Presenters:

Mr. David Duthie (CBD Secretariat) - A review of the global status of early implementation of the Strategic Plan and NBSAP revision

The CBD Secretariat provided an overview of the Strategic Plan for biodiversity and its associated Aichi Biodiversity Targets, plus the timelines CBD Parties have adopted to put in place revised NBSAPs (2014 at latest) with national targets (by CoP-11 in October 2012). The status of GEF support to the NBSAP revision process was also summarized, as was the capacity building support being provided to developing countries for NBSAP revision and implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 through the Japan Biodiversity Fund.

Ms. Noriko Moriwake (Japan) – Japan’s experience in revising its NBSAP and recent developments

Ms. Moriwake highlighted the importance of the Basic Act on Biodiversity (2008) in providing a strong mandate for the national biodiversity planning process in Japan. Japan is currently preparing the 5th edition of its National Biodiversity Strategy, including review of the implementation success and gap analysis of the 4th NBS and further development of a suite of indicators to reflect the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. Ms. Moriwake also described the progress that Japan is making with devolution of the NBS to sub-national (Prefecture) level and with the integration of Satoyama Initiative principles and post-tsunami recovery plans into national biodiversity planning.

Mr. Duncan Williams (United Kingdom) – Lessons learned from the UK NBSAP revision process

Mr. Williams described how the new national biodiversity strategy for England built on the UK National Ecosystem Assessment and other scientific assessment and policy renewal processes to formulate a nationally-appropriate strategy consistent with the goals and targets of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020. A key feature of the process was iterative consultation with stakeholders at a range of levels to maximize ownership and engagement in implementation.

Ms. Diana Ramirez (Guatemala) – Guatemala’s revision of the NBSAP process

Ms. Ramirez’s presentation again highlighted the importance of high-level endorsement of the biodiversity strategy, in this case in the form of a national Biodiversity Policy adopted by Government. She highlighted the importance of a clear demonstration of the value of biodiversity to the national biodiversity planning process, especially in regard to consultations with local communities.

Mr. Thomas Koetz (European Union) – The European Union’s Biodiversity Strategy to 2020: Our life insurance – Our natural capital

Mr. Koetz outlined the EU’s recently adopted Biodiversity Strategy, which was developed to be in line with the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. The Strategy contains 6 “targets” linked to a series of Actions. These major Targets contain separate SMART targets to map fairly easily to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. The presentation also included a consideration of the implementation timelines required to meet the overall objectives of the Strategic Plan.

In a group exercise, participants were requested to assess the contribution that their CURRENT NBSAP was making towards implementation of the Strategic Plan, and to assess how effective ongoing implementation of the current plan had been. In addition, participants summarized some of the major achievements of the current NBSAP and some of the challenges faced in the NBSAP implementation – the outputs of this exercise can be seen at Annex 3.

Item 2 - National target-setting to contribute to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets

Presenters:

Dr. Roberto Cavalcanti – Transforming pre-2010 targets into 2020 targets

Dr. Cavalcanti spoke about the need for conservation values to be more broadly appreciated by all levels of society, and also highlighted the growing conservation challenges facing developing countries. He briefly touched upon the efforts that Brazil has been making in terms of integrating biodiversity targets into national policy-making.

Mr. Paul Rose (United Kingdom) – UK experience with national target-setting to contribute to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets

Mr. Rose provided an overview of how the national biodiversity strategy for England has addressed the need to introduce quantification of objectives into national biodiversity planning through the adoption of SMART outputs, targets or indicators. In the UK case, this has been achieved mostly by the use of a broad range of indicators, linked to existing data sets with extensive baselines that allow assessment of trends. The presentation provided a useful example of how a comprehensive set of quantitative national indicators may be mapped against the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

In the break-out group exercises during this part of the workshop, participants focused on the Aichi Biodiversity Targets associated with each of the Strategic Plan Goals and attempted to develop SMART targets appropriate for one country in the group. The exercise included a review process where a second group was asked to assess the “SMART-ness” of the proposed national target. A list of the Targets proposed by the groups is included at Annex 4.

Item 3 - Mainstreaming NBSAPs into landscapes, sectors and development policy (national experience)

Presenters:

Ms. Malta Qwathekana (South Africa) – South Africa’s experience with mainstreaming biodiversity beyond protected areas into community and private sector landscapes

Ms. Qwathekana provided an overview of the way that South Africa has been integrating biodiversity conservation and sustainable use into landscapes, especially as a result of the use of geo-referenced biodiversity inventory and planning information to explore innovative options for biodiversity-friendly land use options. The presentation also highlighted how biodiversity has been

integrated into different economic sectors through the use of novel mechanisms, such as “Working for Water” which combines reduction of threat from Invasive Alien Species (IAS) with poverty alleviation and increased water provisioning services.

Ms. Andrea Cruz Angon (Mexico) – Mexico’s experience with mainstreaming biodiversity

Ms. Angon provided a concise summary of the work that the Mexican Biodiversity Institute, CONABIO, has been doing to provide geo-referenced biodiversity information in a policy-neutral manner to a wide range of government institutions to promote biodiversity-friendly cross-sectoral policy-making.

In a group exercise, participants explored the barriers and opportunities for mainstreaming through an “open shop” discussion with a panel of countries that volunteered to share their experience (South Africa, Mexico, UK, Brazil, Venezuela, Colombia, Norway, Guatemala, Japan, and Ghana). Summary points from these discussions are at Annex 5.

Item 4 - The resource challenge – finance, participation and partnerships (selected success stories for NBSAP planning AND implementation)

Participants were provided with an update of the outcomes of the CBD “[Dialogue seminar on scaling up finance for biodiversity](#)” held in Quito, Ecuador from 6-9 March 20102. Breakout groups discussed the opportunities and challenges to applying different financing options at national level (see table at Annex 6).

Item 5 - Aggregation – how do we track and scale-up reporting on national progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets?

A final brief session addressed the issue of how to ensure that national targets contribute to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets and how national targets can be quantified and aggregated up to assess progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. A summary of the main points arising from the discussion is at page 6. This issue will need further consideration at the forthcoming 4th meeting of the **Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Review of Implementation of the Convention (WGRI)** to be held Montreal from 7-11 May 2102.

Annex 1

List of Participants (available in PDF version only)



CBD



**CONVENTION ON
BIOLOGICAL
DIVERSITY**

Distr.
GENERAL
UNEP/CBD/WSSPNE/1/INF/1
14 March 2012
ENGLISH ONLY

GLOBAL WORKSHOP ON NATIONAL EXPERIENCES IN IMPLEMENTING
THE STRATEGIC PLAN FOR BIODIVERSITY 2011-2020

Brasilia, Brazil, 12 - 14 March 2012

List of Participants

Liste des Participants

Lista de Participantes

Registered Participants

14 March 2012

CBD Parties

Angola

1. **Ms. Paula Cristina Domingos Francisco**
National Director for Biodiversity/CBD National Focal Point
Ministerio do Ambiente
Luanda
Angola
E-Mail: paulacamuhoto@hotmail.com

Antigua and Barbuda

2. **Mrs. Melesha Banhan**
Senior Environment Technician
Environment Division
Ministry of Agriculture, Lands, Housing and the Environment
St. John's
Antigua and Barbuda
Tel.: +268 462-4625
Fax: +268 562-2568
E-Mail: m_banhan@yahoo.com

Argentina

3. **Mr. Carlos Alberto Cattaneo**
Coordinador, Grupo de Trabajo sobre Conservación de la Biodiversidad
Dirección Nacional de Ordenamiento Ambiental y Conservación de la Biodiversidad
Subsecretaría de Planificación y Política Ambiental
San Martin 451
Buenos Aires C1004AAI
Argentina
Tel.: +54 11 4348 8348
E-Mail: ccattaneo@ambiente.gob.ar
Web: [www.http://medioambiente.gob.ar](http://medioambiente.gob.ar)

Bangladesh

4. **Dr. Abu Saleh Mostofa Kamal**
Deputy Secretary
Ministry of Environment and Forests
Room 1309 - Building 6
Bangladesh Secretariat
Dhaka 1000
Bangladesh
Tel.: +88 02 9551512
E-Mail: env2@moef.gov.bd, kamal_asm@yahoo.com

Belarus

5. **Ms. Natalya Minchenko**
Head
Department of Biological and Landscape Diversity
Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection
10, Kollektornaya Str.
Minsk 220048
Belarus
Tel.: +37 517 200 5334
Fax: +37 517 200 5334
E-Mail: n_minchenko@tut.by

Bhutan

6. **Mr. Thinley Dorji**
Chief Environment Officer
National Environment Commission Secretariat
P.O. Box 466
Thimphu
Bhutan
Tel.: +975 2 324 323
Fax: +975 2 323 385
E-Mail: thinleydorji@nec.gov.bt, tdoji_77@hotmail.com

Bosnia and Herzegovina

7. **Mr. Mehmed Cero**
Assistant Minister & CBD NFP
Federal Ministry for Environment
M.Tita 9A
Sarajevo 71000
Bosnia and Herzegovina
Tel.: +387 33 215 529
Fax: +387 33 215 529
E-Mail: mehmedc@fmoit.gov.ba, cerosara@bih.net.ba

Brazil

8. **Dr. Roberto Cavalcanti**
Secretary of Biodiversity and Forests
Ministry of Environment of Brazil
SEPN 505 Bloco B
Edifício Marie Prendi Cruz
Brasília DF 70730-542
Brazil
E-Mail: roberto.cavalcanti@mma.gov.br
Web: www.mma.gov.br

CBD Parties

Brazil

9. **Mr. Lidio Coradin**
Director of Genetic Resources Management
Department of Biodiversity Conservation of the Secretary of
Biodiversity and Forests
Ministry of the Environment
Ed. Marie Prendi Cruz
W 2 505 Norte
Brasilia, Distrito Federal 70.818-900
Brazil
Tel.: +5561 2028 2029
Fax: +5561 2028 2028
E-Mail: lidio.coradin@mma.gov.br
10. **Mr. Carlos Roberto de Carvalho Fonseca**
International Advisory
Ministry of the Environment
Esplanada dos Ministerios Bloco B, sala 532
Brasilia DF 70068-900
Brazil
Tel.: +55 61 2028 1281
E-Mail: carlos.fonseca@mma.gov.br
Web: www.mma.gov.br
11. **Ms. Lucia Lopes**
Ministry of the Environment
Esplanada dos Ministerios Bloco B
Brasilia DF70068-900
Brazil
Web: <http://www.mma.gov.br>
12. **Ms. Daniela America Suarez de Oliveira**
Director
Biodiversity Conservation
Ministry of Environment of Brazil
SEPN 505 Bloco B
Edificio Marie Prendi Cruz
Brasilia DF 70730-542
Brazil
Web: www.mma.gov.br

Cambodia

13. **Ms. Somaly Chan**
Director
Department of International Conventions and Biodiversity
Ministry of Environment of Cambodia
No. 48, Samdech Preah Sihanouk
Tonle Bassac, Chamkarmorn
Phnom Penh
Cambodia
Tel.: +855 23 6445 222
Fax: +855 23 721 073
E-Mail: somalychan@hotmail.com, icbd@gdancp-moe.org

Canada

14. **Ms. Kelly Torck**
Manager, Biodiversity Policy and Outreach
Strategic Priorities Directorate (Environmental Stewardship Branch)
Environment Canada
Place Vincent Massey
351 St. Joseph Blvd.
Gatineau QC K1A 0H3
Canada
E-Mail: kelly.torck@ec.gc.ca

Chad

15. **Mr. Habib Gademi**
Directeur Adjoint des Parcs Nationaux, des Reserves de Faune et de
la Chasse
Ministère de l'Environnement et des Ressources Halieutiques
BP 447
N'Djamena
Chad
Tel.: +235 22 52 23 05
Fax: +235 22 52 38 39
E-Mail: hgademi@hotmail.com

CBD Parties

Colombia

16. **Sr. Javier Eduardo Mendoza Sabogal**
 Asesor
 Dirección de Bosques, Biodiversidad y Servicios Ecosistémicos
 Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible
 Calle 37 #8-40
 Bogota
 Colombia
 Tel.: +57 1 332 3400 ext 2490
 E-Mail: jmendoza@minambiente.gov.co
 Web: <http://www.minambiente.gov.co/>

Dominican Republic

17. **Ms. Marina Hernandez**
 Directora
 Departamento de Recursos Genéticos - Dirección de Biodiversidad
 Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales
 Av. Luperón
 Santo Domingo
 Dominican Republic
 Tel.: 809 850 2106
 Fax:
 E-Mail: recursos.geneticos@ambiente.gob.do,
marina.hernandez@ambiente.gob.do
 Web: www.ambiente.gob.do

Ecuador

18. **Dr. Segundo Angel Onofa Guayasamin**
 Técnico Unidad Bioseguridad (Punto Focal de SBSTTA)
 Dirección Nacional de Biodiversidad
 Ministerio del Ambiente
 Casilla 1721109
 Quito
 Ecuador
 Tel.: +593 2 3987 600
 E-Mail: aconofa@ambiente.gob.ec, angelonofag@hotmail.com

Ethiopia

19. **Dr. Alganesh Tesema**
 Director
 Directorate of Biodiversity Conservation and Use
 Institute of Biodiversity Conservation
 P.O. Box 30726
 Addis Ababa
 Ethiopia
 Tel.: 00251116612340
 E-Mail: Adishihu@yahoo.com

European Union

20. **Dr. Thomas Koetz**
 Policy Officer, International Biodiversity
 DG Environment - Unit B2 Biodiversity
 European Commission, DG Environment
 Av. Beaulieu 5
 Brussels 1160
 Belgium
 Tel.: +32 2 29 87842
 Fax: +32 2 29 68824
 E-Mail: thomas.koetz@ec.europa.eu
 Web: www.ec.europa.eu/environment/nature

Georgia

21. **Dr. (Ms.) Natia Kobakhidze**
 Project Component Coordinator ("Sustainable Management of
 Biodiversity, South Caucasus")
 Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ)
 Ministry of Environment Protection
 6, Gulua St.
 Tbilisi 0114
 Georgia
 Tel.: +995 32 201827
 E-Mail: natia.kobakhidze@giz.de
22. **Ms. Tamar Kvantaliani**
 Chief Specialist
 Biodiversity Protection Service
 Ministry of Environment Protection
 6 Gulua Street
 Tbilisi 0114
 Georgia
 Tel.: +995 32 232 06 21, +995 593 39 19 05
 E-Mail: tamar.kvantaliani@yahoo.com, t.kvantaliani@moe.gov.ge

CBD Parties

Ghana

23. **Mr. Yaw Osei Owusu**
Country Director, Conservation Alliance
National Biodiversity Committee
Accra
Ghana
Tel.: +233 302 966 999
E-Mail: yosei-owusu@conservealliance.org

Grenada

24. **Ms. Simone Lewis**
Senior Environmental Officer
Ministry of Environment, Foreign Trade and Export Development
Financial Complex
Carenage
St. George's
Grenada
Tel.: +473 4402101
Fax: +473 4400775
E-Mail: simonelewis2011@gmail.com, tradegrenada@gmail.com
Web: <http://grenada.biodiv-chm.org>

Guatemala

25. **Ms. Diana Ramirez**
Tecnico, Estrategia Nacional de Diversidad Biologica
Oficina Técnica de Biodiversidad (OTECBIO)
Consejo Nacional de Areas Protegidas (CONAP)
5a. Av. 6-06, Zona 1, Edificio IPM, 6to. Nivel
Edificio IPM
Guatemala City 01001
Guatemala
E-Mail: dramirez@conap.gob.gt, diaramotto@gmail.com
Web: www.conap.gob.gt

Guinea

26. **M. Daouda Kante**
Chef
Division Valorisation et Utilisation Durable des Ressources Naturelles
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable
Conakry
Guinea
E-Mail: kante54@yahoo.fr, loubahawa@yahoo.fr

India

27. **Dr. Sujata Arora**
Director
Ministry of Environment and Forests
Room No. 737, Paryavaran Bhavan, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road
New Delhi 110003
India
Tel.: +91 11 24361601
Fax: +91 11 24361601
E-Mail: sujata@nic.in, sujata_arora@hotmail.com

28. **Mr. Hem Pande**
Joint Secretary
Ministry of Environment and Forests
Paryavaran Bhawan, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road
New Delhi 110003
India
Tel.: +91 11 24362551
Fax: +91 11 24360894
E-Mail: hempande@nic.in

Jamaica

29. **Mr. Jerome Smith**
Director, Natural Resources
Environmental Management Division
Ministry of Water, Land, Environment and Climate Change
16 A Half-Way-Tree Road
Kingston 6
Jamaica
E-Mail: jsmithemdmohe@yahoo.com

Japan

30. **Ms. Noriko Moriwake**
Assistant Director
Global Biodiversity Strategy Office
Ministry of the Environment
1-2-2 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-8975
Japan
E-Mail: noriko_moriwake@env.go.jp

CBD Parties

Lebanon

31. **Ms. Lara Samaha**
 Head of Department/ Biodiversity Focal Point
 Department of Ecosystems
 Ministry of Environment of Lebanon
 P.O. Box 11-2727
 Lazariah Bldg.
 Beirut
 Lebanon
 Tel.: +961 1 976 555 ext. 417
 Fax: +961 1 976 530
 E-Mail: l.samaha@moe.gov.lb, lara_samaha@hotmail.com

Madagascar

32. **Mme Laurette Hermine Rasoavahiny**
 Directeur de la conservation de la biodiversite et du système des
 aires protégées
 Direction Générale des Forêts
 Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts
 BP 243 Nanisana
 Antananarivo
 Madagascar
 Tel.: +261 340 56 20 46
 Fax:
 E-Mail: lrasoavahiny@yahoo.fr, dsap@meeft.gov.mg

Malaysia

33. **Dr. Khang Aun Pan**
 Senior Researcher
 Forest Biodiversity Division
 Forest Research Institute Malaysia
 Kepong
 Selangor
 Kuala Lumpur 52109
 Malaysia
 Tel.: +60 3 62797701
 Fax: +60 3 6284625
 E-Mail: pankhangaun@frim.gov.my, khangaun@yahoo.com
 Web: <http://www.frim.gov.my>

Mexico

34. **Dr. Andrea Cruz Angón**
 Coordinadora de Enlace y Estrategias de Biodiversidad
 Dirección de Enlace y Asuntos Internacionales
 Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad
 Av. Liga Periferico-Insurgentes Sur
 No. 4903 Col. Parques del Pedregal
 Mexico C.P. 14010
 Mexico
 Tel.: (52)5550045026
 Fax:
 E-Mail: acruz@conabio.gob.mx,
 Web: www.conabio.gob.mx

Micronesia (Federated States of)

35. **Ms. Alissa Takesy**
 Assistant Secretary
 Division of Resource Management and Development
 Department of Resources and Development
 Micronesia (Federated States of)
 Tel.: 691-320-5133, 320-2646, 320-2620
 Fax: 691-320-5854
 E-Mail: fsmrd@fsmrd.fm, alissa.takesy@fsmrd.fm
 Web: www.fsmrd.fm

Mozambique

36. **Ms. Ana Paula Tomas Francisco**
 Technician
 Department of Natural Conservation
 Ministry for Coordination of Environmental Affairs
 Av. Acordos de Lusaka No.2115
 P.O. Box 2020
 Maputo
 Mozambique
 Tel.: +258 21466407
 Fax: +258 21465849
 E-Mail: melinhapaula@yahoo.com.br

CBD Parties

Myanmar

37. **Mr. Tin Tun**
Deputy Director General
Planning and Statistics Department
Ministry of Forestry
- West Gyogone
Nay Pgi Taw
Myanmar
- E-Mail: tintun.mof@gmail.com, nwcdfd@gmail.com

Namibia

38. **Ms. Lesley-Anne van Wyk**
Communications Expert
Africa Adaptation Project Namibia
Ministry of Environment and Tourism
Private Bag 13306
Windhoek
Namibia
- Tel.: +264 81 129 4979, +264 61 284 2714
- E-Mail: lesleyannevanwyk@gmail.com, Ivanwyk@met.na
- Web: <http://www.dea.met.gov.na/programmes/biodiversity>

Netherlands

39. **Mr. Peter Bos**
Senior Executive Officer
Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality
P. O. Box. 20401
The Hague 2500-EK
Netherlands
- Tel.: +31 70 378 55 29
- Fax: +31 70 378 6146
- E-Mail: p.w.bos@minlnv.nl

Niger

40. **Amadou Souley Massaoudou**
Conseil National de l'Environnement pour un Développement Durable (CNEDD)
B.P. 10193
Niamey
Niger
- E-Mail: asouley@yahoo.fr, biocnedd@intnet.ne

Norway

41. **Ms. Birthe Ivars**
Deputy Director General
Ministry of the Environment
P.O. Box 8013 DEP.
Myntgt. 2
Oslo N-0030
Norway
- Tel.: +47 22 24 5753
- Fax: +47 22 24 2756
- E-Mail: birthe.ivars@md.dep.no
- Web: <http://odin.dep.no/md/engelsk/index-b-n-a.html>

Oman

42. **Ms. Thuraiya Said Khamis Al Sariri**
Director, Biodiversity Program
Ministry of Environment and Climate Affairs
Muscat
Oman
- E-Mail: thalsariri@gmail.com

Peru

43. **Ms. Roxana Solis Ortiz**
Monitoreo de la Diversidad Biológica
Ministry of Environment of Peru
Calle Los Nogales 236
San Isidro, Lima 27
Peru
- Tel.: +511 611 6000 ext 1002
- E-Mail: rsolis@minam.gob.pe
- Web: www.minam.gob.pe

Philippines

44. **Ms. Nancy R. Corpuz**
Senior Ecosystems Management Specialist
Protected Areas and Wildlife Bureau
Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR)
Quezon Avenue
Diliman
Quezon City 1100
Philippines
- Tel.: +632 924 6031,+632 9204486
- E-Mail: nancycorpuz@yahoo.com
- Web: www.pawb.gov.ph

CBD Parties

Republic of Moldova

45. **Ms. Lilia Eladii**
 Advisory Officer
 Natural Resources and Biodiversity Department
 Ministry of Environment
 Chisinau
 Republic of Moldova
 Tel.: +373 22 204 528
 E-Mail: eladii@mediu.gov.md

Saint Lucia

46. **Ms. Anita James**
 Biodiversity Coordinator
 Ministry of Agriculture, Food Production, Fisheries and Rural
 Development
 Sir Stanislaus James Building, 4th floor
 Waterfront
 Castries
 Saint Lucia
 Tel.: +1 758 468 4122 / 27
 Fax: +1 758 453 2035
 E-Mail: biodivproject@biodivslu.org, anitavja@yahoo.com
 Web: www.maff.egov.lc

Sao Tome and Principe

47. **Mme Pascoa d'Apresentacao Costa**
 Chef, Departamento de Fiscalizacao e Estatisticas Florestais
 (Engenheira Agrónomo)
 Direcção Das Florestas, Ministerio do Plano e Desenvolvimento
 C.P. 504
 Sao Tome and Principe
 E-Mail: rocosta_19@hotmail.com

Solomon Islands

48. **Mr. Tia Masolo**
 Deputy Director
 Environment and Conservation Division
 Ministry of Environment, Climate Change, Disaster Management and
 Meteorology
 P.O. Box 21
 Honiara
 Solomon Islands
 E-Mail: masolot@gmail.com

South Africa

49. **Ms. Malta Qwathekana**
 Senior Policy Advisor
 International Biodiversity and Heritage Cooperation
 Department of Environmental Affairs
 Private Bag X447
 Pretoria 0001
 South Africa
 Tel.: +27 12 310 3067
 Fax: +27 12 320 0896
 E-Mail: mqwathekana@environment.gov.za

50. **Mr. Mahlodi Tau**
 Grasslands Programme Officer
 Grasslands Programme
 South African National Biodiversity Institute
 Private Bag X101
 Pretoria 0001
 South Africa
 E-Mail: m.tau@sanbi.org.za

51. **Mr. Stanley Tshikonelo Tshitwamulomoni**
 Control Biodiversity Officer
 Bio Policy Implementation Management
 Department of Environmental Affairs
 Private Bag X447
 Pretoria 0001
 South Africa
 Tel.: +27 12 310 3919
 Fax: +27 12 320 2884
 E-Mail: stanleyt@environment.gov.za

Sudan

52. **Mrs./Dr. El Khitma Mohammed**
 Senior Researcher
 Higher Council for Environment and Natural Resources
 Gamma Street
 PO Box 10488
 Khartoum
 Sudan
 Tel.: +249 9 12814558/ ++249 183784279
 Fax: +249 183 787617
 E-Mail: khitmamohammed@yahoo.com

CBD Parties

Swaziland

- 53. Mr. Stephen M. Zuke**
 Director
 Policy Planning, Research and Information
 Swaziland Environment Authority, Ministry of Tourism and
 Environmental Affairs
 P.O. Box 2602, 3rd Floor, SPTC Building
 Plot 335 of Farm 2, Sheffield Road, Industrial Site
 Mbabane
 Swaziland
 Tel.: +268 2 404 7893, +268 2 404 6960
 Fax: +268 2 404 1719
 E-Mail: szuke@sea.org.sz, szuke@realnet.co.sz

Syrian Arab Republic

- 54. Eng. Muhanad Aboutwab**
 Chief
 Biodiversity Conservation and Eco-tourism Department
 Ministry of State for Environment Affairs
 Syrian Arab Republic
 E-Mail: moh831@hotmail.com, bilalalhayk@yahoo.com

Thailand

- 55. Ms. Patama Domrongphol**
 Senior Environmental Officer
 Biological Diversity Division
 Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning
 60/I Soi Phibul Wattana 7
 Rama VI Road
 Bangkok 10400
 Thailand
 Tel.: 662 265 6640
 Fax: 662 265 6638, 662 265 6640
 E-Mail: pimdomrongphol@yahoo.com

Togo

- 56. M. Moussa Samarou**
 Forestier/Master en Gestion des Ecosystèmes et Forêts Tropicales
 Direction de la faune et de la chasse
 Ministère de l'Environnement et des Ressources Forestières
 B.P. 355
 52, qad, RUE DE LA Kozah
 Lomé
 Togo
 Tel.: +228 2221 4029, +228 90 34 8856
 Fax: +228 2221 4029
 E-Mail: mosamarou@yahoo.fr, direfaune@yahoo.fr

Ukraine

- 57. Ms. Yuliya Bondarenko**
 Chief Specialist
 Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources
 35, Uritskogo st.
 Kyiv 03035
 Ukraine
 Tel.: +38 044 206 3153
 Fax: +38 044 206 3153
 E-Mail: bondarenko@menr.gov.ua, yu.bondarenko@i.ua

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- 58. Mr. Eric Blencowe**
 UK CBD National Focal Point
 Head International Biodiversity Policy Unit
 Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
 Nobel House, Area 1D
 17 Smith Square
 London SW1P 3JR
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
 Tel.: + 44 117 372 8295
 Fax: + 44 117 372 8393
 E-Mail: eric.blencowe@defra.gsi.gov.uk
- 59. Mr. Robin Mortimer**
 Director (Wildlife, Landscape and Rural)
 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
 Nobel House
 17 Smith Square
 London SW1P 3JR
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
 E-Mail: Robin.Mortimer@defra.gsi.gov.uk
 Web: www.defra.gov.uk

CBD Parties

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- 60. Ms. Sarah Nelson**
 Biodiversity Policy Advisor
 International Biodiversity Policy Unit
 Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
 Nobel House, Area 1D
 17 Smith Square
 London SW1P 3JR
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
 Tel.: 0207238 5254
 E-Mail: sarah.nelson@defra.gsi.gov.uk

- 61. Mr. Paul Rose**
 Science Policy Director
 Joint Nature Conservation Committee
 Monkstone House
 City Rd
 Peterborough PE1 1JY
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
 Tel.: 01733 866859
 E-Mail: paul.rose@jncc.gov.uk
 Web: <http://www.jncc.gov.uk>

- 62. Mr. Duncan Williams**
 Biodiversity Policy Unit
 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
 Temple Quay House
 Bristol BS1 6EB
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
 E-Mail: Duncan.Williams@defra.gsi.gov.uk
 Web: www.defra.gov.uk

United Republic of Tanzania

- 63. Mr. Stephen R.K. Nkondokaya**
 Assistant Director, Biodiversity Conservation
 Division of Environment
 Vice President's Office
 Luthuli Street
 P.O Box 5380
 DAR ES SALAAM
 United Republic of Tanzania
 Tel.: +255 22 211 3983
 Fax: +255 222125297
 E-Mail: srkaya58@yahoo.co.uk

Uruguay

- 64. Ms. Valeria Perez**
 GEF Operational Focal Point/Advisor
 Dirección Nacional de Medio Ambiente (DINAMA)
 Galicia 1133, 3rd Floor
 C.P. 11200
 Montevideo
 Montevideo
 Uruguay
 Tel.: +598 2 9170710 ext 4332
 E-Mail: valeperezg@hotmail.com, valeria.perez@dinama.gub.uy

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

- 65. Mr. Jesus Manzanilla**
 General Director, Biological Diversity Office
 Ministerio del Poder Popular para el Ambiente
 Centro Simon Bolivar, Torre sur
 Piso 9
 Caracas
 Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
 Tel.: +58 416 6070025
 E-Mail: jmanzanilla@minamb.gob.ve,
jesusmanzanillap@hotmail.com
 Web: <http://www.minamb.gob.ve>

Viet Nam

- 66. Ms. Dang Thu Cuc Nguyen**
 Government Official
 Office for Conservation, Genetic Resources and Bio-safety
 Biodiversity Conservation Agency, Vietnam Environment
 Administration
 99 Le Duan Street
 Hoan Kiem Dist
 Hanoi
 Viet Nam
 Tel.: 84-4-3941 2033
 Fax: 84-4-3941 2028
 E-Mail: nguyendt.cuc@gmail.com

CBD Parties

Zambia

67. Mr. Ignatius Makumba

Chief Natural Resources Management Officer and CBD NFP
Environment and Natural Resources Management Department
Ministry of Tourism, Environment and Natural Resources
PO Box 30575
Lusaka 10101
Zambia

Tel.: +260 966 74 68 41; +260 955 66 07 07

Fax: +260 211 22 39 30

E-Mail: inmakumba@yahoo.com, imakumba@mtenr.gov.zm

United Nations and Specialized Agencies

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)

68. Ms. Linda Collette

Secretary

Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla

Rome

Italy

Tel.: 0039-0657052089

Fax: +39-06-570-55246

E-Mail: linda.collette@fao.org

Web: <http://www.fao.org>

United Nations Environment Programme - World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC)

69. Ms. Anna Chenery

Assistant Programme Officer

United Nations Environment Programme - World Conservation

Monitoring Centre

219 Huntingdon Road

Cambridge CB3 0DL

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

E-Mail: anna.chenery@unep.wcmc.org

Web: <http://www.unep-wcmc.org/>

United Nations University - Institute of Advanced Studies (UNU-IAS)

70. Mr. Tony Gross

Adjunct Senior Fellow

United Nations University - Institute of Advanced Studies

6F, International Organizations Center, Pacifico-Yokohama

1-1-1 Minato Mirai, Nishi-ku

Yokohama 220-8502

Japan

E-Mail: gross@ias.unu.edu

Web: <http://www.ias.unu.edu>

Non-Governmental Organizations

WWF

71. Mr. Claudio Maretti

Leader, Living Amazon (Global) Initiative

WWF Network

WWF

SHIS EQ QL 6/8 Conjunto "E"

Brasília, DF 71620-430

Brazil

Tel.: +55 61 3364 7468

Fax: +55 61 3364 7474

E-Mail: CLAUDIO@WWF.ORG.BR

Education/University

Institute for European Environmental Policy

72. **Mr. Leonardo Mazza**

Policy Analyst

Institute for European Environmental Policy

Brussels

Belgium

E-Mail: lmazza@ieep.eu

SCBD

Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity

73. Mr. Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias

Executive Secretary
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
413, Saint-Jacques Street W.
Suite 800
Montreal Quebec
Canada
E-Mail: braulio.dias@cbd.int
Web: www.cbd.int

74. Mr. David Cooper

Principal Officer
Scientific, Technical and Technological Matters
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
413, Saint-Jacques Street W.
Suite 800
Montreal Quebec
Canada
Tel.: +1 514 287 8709
Fax: +1 514 288 6588
E-Mail: david.cooper@cbd.int
Web: www.cbd.int

75. Mr David Duthie

Senior Programme Officer
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
413, Saint-Jacques Street W.
Suite 800
Montreal Quebec
Canada
E-Mail: david.duthie@cbd.int
Web: www.cbd.int

Annex 2

Workshop Documents

UNEP/CBD/WSSPNE/1/1
29 February 2012

Workshop Programme

Note: Working hours are 0900-1800 daily, with tea/coffee breaks in the morning (1100-1130) and afternoon (1530-1600) and 1 hour for lunch (1300-1400). Sessions will be a mix of national presentations and facilitated smaller working groups.

Monday, 12 March

- 0900-0930 Opening of the workshop –Statements from UK and Brazil workshop co-hosts and from CBD Executive Secretary, plus video messages from Ms. Izabella Teixeira (Ministra do Meio Ambiente do Brasil) and Ms. Caroline Spelman (Secretary of State for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs)
- 0930-1030 Aims and objectives of the workshop; Self-introductions
- 1030-1800 Item 1: Revision of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) – or their equivalent – to contribute adequately to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020

Tuesday, 13 March

- 0900-1300 Item 2: National target-setting to contribute to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets
- 1400-1800 Item 3: Mainstreaming NBSAPs into landscapes, sectors and development policy (national experience)

Wednesday, 14 March

- 0900-1300 Item 4: The resource challenge – finance, participation and partnerships (selected success stories for NBSAP planning AND implementation)
- 1400-1530 Item 5: Aggregation – how do we track and scale-up reporting on national progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets?
- 1600-1800 Item 6: Final discussion and conclusions

Supplementary Note to the Workshop Programme

Background

The Conference of the Parties, at its tenth meeting in 2010 in Aichi-Nagoya, Japan, adopted the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, including the Aichi Biodiversity Targets ([decision X/2](#)). By this decision, countries are urged to update their National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) in line with the new Strategic Plan, to set national targets in line with the Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and to report to COP-11 in Hyderabad, India, in October 2012, on progress achieved.

The overall aim of this workshop is to share examples and the experience of countries on their progress towards addressing and meeting challenges, and thereby help Parties prepare for the discussion of this issue at the fourth meeting of the Working Group on Review of Implementation of the Convention (WGRI-4) in Montreal, Canada, in May 2012.

The workshop will also provide an opportunity to identify and explore ways to overcome obstacles to progress, and identify examples of how these can be overcome through capacity-building, technical and scientific cooperation and resource mobilization.

This workshop will build upon the experience shared in the joint UK/Brazil “Informal Expert Workshop on the updating of the Strategic Plan of the Convention for the post-2010 period” held in London in January 2010 (see: <http://www.cbd.int/doc/?meeting=EM-StratPlan-01>).

The workshop will also draw upon and complement the series of regional and sub-regional capacity-building workshops on updating NBSAPs being convened by the CBD Secretariat with the support of Japan and other donors (see: <http://www.cbd.int/nbsap/workshops2.shtml>).

Workshop Objective

To review early progress and preparations towards the full and effective implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and its associated Aichi Biodiversity Targets, and to identify challenges to, and opportunities for, improved implementation so that these issues can be further considered by WGRI-4 and COP-11.

Workshop *modus operandi*

The workshop will be conducted in a fully participatory manner, with professional facilitation to assist all participants to share their experience with:

- (i) revision of NBSAPs;
- (ii) setting of national targets and assessing progress towards global targets;
- (iii) effective integration (mainstreaming) of biodiversity into landscapes and sectors; and
- (iv) identification of resource and partnership opportunities for effective implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020.

The workshop will be conducted over 3 days, and comprise a mixture of presentations, plenary discussions, parallel working groups and reporting back. A final synthesis session will generate conclusions to be carried forward to WGRI-4 and COP-11.

The workshop will consider five main topics:

1. Revision of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) – or their equivalent - to contribute adequately to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020;
2. National target-setting to contribute to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets;
3. Mainstreaming NBSAPs into landscapes, sectors and development policy (national experience);
4. The resource challenge – finance, participation and partnerships (selected success stories for NBSAP planning AND implementation); and
5. Assessing progress from a global perspective – how do we track and scale-up reporting on national progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets?

Details of each session and further information on how participants can prepare to contribute to the workshop are provided below.

1. *Revision of National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans (NBSAPs)*

The goals for this session are to:

- (i) assess global progress in regard to the revision of NBSAPs;
- (ii) identify critical success factors that are allowing some countries to make rapid progress;
- (iii) assess whether ongoing revisions will have sufficient scope to allow successful national implementation of the Strategic Plan; and
- (iv) identify major barriers to progress.

The CBD Secretariat will provide information of the status of NBSAP revisions at the global level since COP-10 in Nagoya, including an update of the status of GEF support to the NBSAP revision process. Some Parties will present short case studies of their national NBSAP revision processes.

Participants will have the opportunity to provide brief verbal reports on their national NBSAP revision process. Participants will work in small groups to assess the scope of their existing NBSAP, and the status of its implementation, against the 5 Goals of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020. Based on this assessment, participants will identify the major opportunities and/or barriers to revising NBSAPs to incorporate the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity in a nationally-appropriate manner.

The workshop facilitator will assist the participants to synthesize the work of the small groups into general conclusions to be carried forward to WGRI-4 and COP-11.

In preparation for this session, please ensure that you have the latest information on your current NBSAP but, more importantly, also on the status of your NBSAP revision process, with an estimate of the date of completion, and the level of adoption that is likely to be achieved (e.g. Ministerial Decree, Act of Parliament, etc.).

Working with your national colleagues, attempt to assess the scope of your current NBSAP against each of the 5 Goals of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, on a scale of 1 to 5, in order to better identify the “gaps” in the NBSAP that need to be addressed in the revision process. These gaps can be assessed at the level of the scope of the current NBSAP, but also in terms of the *implementation* of the current NBSAP.

2. National target-setting to contribute to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets

Some Parties adopted quantitative national targets as a part of their efforts to meet the global 2010 Biodiversity Target to “significantly reduce the rate of loss of biodiversity” (see <http://www.cbd.int/nbsap/about/targets/> for examples). However, the global 2010 Biodiversity Target was not met, and the overwhelming majority of countries stated, in their fourth national reports, that the 2010 Biodiversity Target had not been met at the national level either, even though some significant improvements at the sub-national level were reported.

Now, eighteen months after COP-10 and with just over 6 months before COP-11, very few Parties have established comprehensive sets of national targets that document their contribution to the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Day 2 of the workshop will focus on the experience of some “pioneer” countries, whilst also allowing other Parties to provide updates on their experience to date with target-setting. Participants will also complete exercises to become more familiar with the characteristics of SMART targets. If any quantitative targets have been discussed or adopted as a part of your NBSAP revision process, please be prepared to provide details as part of the working group discussions.

In a later session of the workshop, participants will have an opportunity to assess how national targets can be used to assess progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. The fifth and sixth national reports will be the main instruments for monitoring progress towards the 2020 targets, but this will be difficult if countries have not established SMART targets and put in place adequate sets of indicators to monitor progress.

3. Mainstreaming NBSAPs into landscapes, sectors and development policy (national experience)

The history of human economic development, over the past 200 years, has been one of accelerating conversion of natural ecosystems to landscapes and seascapes increasingly influenced by human activities.

As this transition occurs, there is an ever-increasing need for landscape management to minimize continued loss through land use change, overexploitation, pollution, invasive species and, increasingly, through climate change. There is also a need to address the underlying social and economic drivers of biodiversity loss.

Mainstreaming biodiversity (CBD Article 6(b)) still constitutes a major challenge to the successful implementation of the Convention at the national level. Successful mainstreaming requires the full integration of “biodiversity thinking” and decision-making from the environment sector in all of the land use and economic sectors that generate the direct and indirect drivers of biodiversity loss.

The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 is a response to the message from GBO-3 that greater emphasis needs to be given to reducing the impact of the underlying indirect drivers of biodiversity decline and, also, to generating greater flows of benefits from land use that is compatible with sustaining biodiversity.

In the afternoon of Day 2, participants will discuss examples of successful mainstreaming at both the landscape level and in economic sectors (agriculture, forestry, energy, mining) and work in small groups to identify opportunities and challenges to applying the same techniques in different countries over the next 10 years.

4. The resource challenge – finance, participation and partnerships

The Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 is “ambitious, but achievable”, and achieving the overall objective of the Plan will be essential to determining the long-term future of biodiversity over the next millennium. It is clear that, to achieve the overall goal of the Strategic Plan, a significant increase in resources, participation and partnerships will be required.

Earlier estimates of global conservation needs range up to many tens of billions USD per year. The Conference of the Parties has requested the CBD Secretariat to complete two new global resource needs assessment and to report to the Fourth Meeting of the Working Group on Review of Implementation in May 2102.

Financing for biodiversity will need to come from all sources: public and private; domestic and international. To date the main source of finance has been domestic budget- at the national and sub-national levels, supplemented by development cooperation. At the international level, the Global Environment Facility, the Financial Mechanism for the Convention, has been the primary source of funding for biodiversity planning, through the Biodiversity Focal Area Enabling Activities which have supported preparation of National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs), CHM activities and National Reports. The GEF also provides incremental cost support for implementation of priority actions that focus on globally-significant biodiversity.

Participants will receive a briefing on the outcome of the “Dialogue seminar on scaling up finance for biodiversity” to be held from 6 to 9 March 2012 in Quito, Ecuador, and will have the opportunity to discuss the opportunities and constraints to the use of different finance/funding mechanisms at the national level.

In addition, participants will have the opportunity to identify additional technical support needs, beyond financial resources, including scientific and technical support through South-South and North-South-South cooperation, IPBES, etc.

5. *Assessing progress from a Global Perspective – how do we track and scale-up reporting on national progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets?*

In this session of the workshop, participants will have an opportunity to assess how national targets “add up” at a global level in terms of progress towards the global Aichi Biodiversity Targets. The fifth and sixth national reports will be the main instruments for monitoring progress towards the 2020 targets, but this will be difficult if countries have not established SMART targets and put in place adequate sets of indicators to monitor progress. Participants will be asked to test, in small working groups, how to “scale-up” national targets to generate aggregate statistics that can be used to monitor implementation of the Strategic Plan on a global scale, and to identify the barriers to successful aggregation for selected Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

6. *Conclusions*

A final session of the workshop will allow the Co-Chairs of the meeting, Brazil and the UK, to draw conclusions from the four main themes of the workshop, and to discuss with participants how best to carry these forward from the workshop into the fourth meeting of the Working Group on Review of Implementation (WGRI) and the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties, to be held in May 2012 and October 2012, respectively.

Annex 3

Summary of some of the major achievements of the current NBSAP and some of the challenges faced in the NBSAP implementation

What I'm most proud of	What most concerns me
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Started review process in line with Aichi Targets - Cross-sectoral consultations - Established Biodiversity Committee - Mainstreaming biodiversity issues into national population strategies (and Poverty Reduction Strategies) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Weak cooperation/political support from other sectors - Implementation (human capacity, financial resources, inadequate coordination) - NAP not in line with Aichi Targets - Time - Monitoring and evaluation in short term
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inter-ministerial consultations - revised regularly - annual progress check - decided by the whole government (Cabinet) - NBSAP well represented /designed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Implementation including means (resources) and monitoring - Awareness about biodiversity - Lack of stakeholders' engagement/engagement at all levels (better understanding required)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Leadership collaboration - Ministry focus on environmental issues (including biodiversity) - Stakeholder interest and input/involvement - Legislation development/enactment - Established processes to reach national goals - Taking more advantage of all available international funding - Improved technical will and capacity for environment agencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Financial resources - Timelines (accessibility, report completion) - Human resources (capacity) and numbers - Political will (lack of) - Enforcement (lack of) - Sustainability of the process (succession planning) - Lack of biodiversity mainstreaming
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completing GEF application procedure - NBSAP Steering/Working Committee established - New NBSAP done! - Identifying stakeholders - Civil society attention - Already have NBSAP, and in the process of revising it - COP Guidelines - Convention itself - Private sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limited time - Mobilization of funding - No political support - Evidence base - How to make the NBSAP work at the ground level - Stakeholder participation, cooperation, communication (government and academia) - Continuity of political will - Agricultural modernization without consideration of biodiversity - Mainstreaming across sectors and regions - Private sector - Incentives for all people
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NGO sector and biodiversity protection service of MOE are cooperating tightly in the process (that was missed earlier) - Identifying stakeholders - Strengthening national coordination - Mainstreaming biodiversity in some sectors of economic and development activities - Updated! - Already have NBSAP and in process of updating and revising it 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identification of national targets according to the Aichi Targets - Building capacity - Development projects are running very actively in the country and there might be barriers in the process of mainstreaming biodiversity - Implementation - CHM - Funding

What I'm most proud of	What most concerns me
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Guiding framework to link national to local processes - Updating ABS Bill to reflect Aichi Targets; signing and ratifying - Interest of private sector to contribute - Integration of other sectors at strategic levels - Continued and increased commitment re protected areas network (e.g. SPERRGEBIET / Namib Escarpment / Coral Triangle / Micronesia Challenge / LMMA) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Direct access of GEF-5 Enabling Act Fund - Lack of capacity - Scattered information/data - Political priority in economic crisis - Turning commitments into practical actions - Donor dependency - Local communities' priorities - Lack of coordination
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Achieving Agreement of National Policy , Political Buy-In - Developing NBSAP in line with Strategic Plan for Biodiversity - Developed planning process for NBSAP - Developing integrated action plan for protected areas - Sharing experiences with other countries at the workshop - Mainstreaming into development agenda 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lots of evidence of problems but need agreement on solutions - Reliance on GEF to start NBSAP review process - Integration of biodiversity into other sectors - Insufficient resources - Environment given lower priority than other sectors - Government really putting strategy into practice - Accessing resources (too many barriers)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Focus on biodiversity outcomes - 2002 (early) Strategy and revised Strategy - Biodiversity is of national importance (governments and stakeholders) - Partnerships - Strategies and Actions Plans and Priorities - Cooperation (inter-governmental) - Political will - Translating NBSAPs into policy – implementation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mainstreaming (only ideas) - Mainstreaming (monitoring) - Practical tools for valuation - Baseline information - Capacity (financial and technical) - Political will (communication) - Integrating poverty and biodiversity - Access to financial resources - Collating and using available information
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All sectors reporting what the main interests are - Consultation with many sectors - Good technology for information on biodiversity management - Articulation mechanism with governmental actors, provinces and civil society is established and strong - Clear conceptual framework of the necessity of an integrated policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitoring large territory and multiple governments/states - Monitoring - Collaborative working between different governmental bodies - Monitoring the process of construction of the Strategy - Ensure effective participation of all the stakeholders as a continuous process - Monitoring the effects of policy/activities

Annex 4

“SMART” national targets proposed by groups

STRATEGIC GOAL	PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	PROPOSED TARGET
B: Reduce the direct pressures on biodiversity and promote sustainable use	<p>Agriculture sector (esp. cocoa plantation)</p> <p>Mining, both by larger mining companies and local populations</p> <p>Timber logging, both by larger companies and local people</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) By 2020, at the latest, biodiversity values are incorporated into the medium-term development plan for the agricultural sector to increase the proportion of certified cocoa plantations by 50%. (Same for forestry sector) 2) By 2020, sector-based guidelines have been developed, biodiversity mainstreaming is adopted and effectively used in the national planning commission; 10% of key biodiversity areas is conserved. 3) By 2020, 10% of the areas delivering multiple ecosystem services to the poor are placed under protection as part of the revised National Development Plan.
B: Reduce the direct pressures on biodiversity and promote sustainable use	Target 9 (Invasives)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) By 2014, pathways are known and priorities identified. 2) By 2014, prioritize species for eradication and continue to eradicate them. 3) By 2015, measures are in place to manage pathways... 4) By 2020, measures to manage pathways have been implemented.
B: Reduce the direct pressures on biodiversity and promote sustainable use	<p>Targets 5, 7, 8, 9 (partially 6, 10)</p> <p>Problem being considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Land use change - Lack of territorial planning instruments 	By 2015, all 24 provinces in Country X have land use plans based on biodiversity and sustainable use, including management instruments.
C: Improve the status of biodiversity by safeguarding ecosystems, species and genetic diversity	<p>Target 11</p> <p>Problem: Wish to increase Protected Areas</p>	<p>To conserve at least 30% of the near shore marine resources and 20% of terrestrial across Country X by 2020.</p> <p>To create (extend) protected areas by an additional 5% by the creation of a new national park by 2015, and to create a national ecological network adding a further 8% by 2020.</p>
C: Improve the status of biodiversity by safeguarding ecosystems, species and genetic diversity	<p>Target 11</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protected area not inventorised - Encroachment (Population Pressure) 	<p>By 2020, integrated plans have been developed for all protected areas <u>with community involvement</u> including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - management plan, administration

STRATEGIC GOAL	PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	PROPOSED TARGET
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fragmentation of ecological networks <p>Target 12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of information on status of flora (endangered, endemic species) - Forest trees, food crops and medicinal plants threatened 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - business plan - site management plan – ecological integrity <p>with an overall protected areas <u>strategy</u> in place at the national level, including a communication strategy, monitoring and assessment mechanisms.</p>
C: Improve the status of biodiversity by safeguarding ecosystems, species and genetic diversity		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - By 2020, our country would have 20% protected areas, comprising inland and wetland areas, which will be inventorised, where encroachment is prevented/controlled, ecological corridors established. - By 2020, biodiversity resources are inventorised, gene bank established in communities, depleted areas rehabilitated and extinction prevented.
D: Enhance the benefits to all from biodiversity and ecosystem services	<p>Problems identified re marine ecosystems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Human activities: exploitation, pollution, petroleum extraction - Transboundary pollution - Climate change - IAS 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) To maintain or restore the structure, productivity, biodiversity of the marine ecosystems and to ensure the creation of values through the sustainable use of ecosystem services. 2) The extinction of threatened marine species shall be halted or the status for species in decline should be improved by 2020.
D: Enhance the benefits to all from biodiversity and ecosystem services	<p>Problem:</p> <p>The development of national legislation and the implementation of all activities that would be necessary to comply with the Nagoya Protocol on ABS.</p>	<p>Country X has national legislation that addresses ABS by 2014, and the operational mechanism to enforce the law by 2018.</p>
E: Enhance implementation through participatory planning, knowledge management and capacity building		<p>By December 2014, Country X will have reviewed and updated its NBSAP through a broad based participatory approach and adopted it as a policy instrument for biodiversity conservation, and at least 80% of the NBSAP actions will have been implemented by 2020.</p>

Annex 5

Barriers and opportunities for mainstreaming biodiversity

Barriers	Success Factors
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Accessing (GEF) funding (also time it takes) - Political will (Priority) - Capacity (human, other) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consensus (total engagement) - Total awareness - Networking
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More focus on economic development - Lack of knowledge/awareness on biodiversity of decision-makers - Bureaucracy (rapid changes in government) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Political will - Stakeholders' involvement - Incentives
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Access to GEF funds too slow (solutions use external expertise instead of building local capacity) - Biodiversity not a priority in others sectors despite mainstreaming - Lack of information - Lack of translating national strategies into local plans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mainstreaming effectively - Effective stakeholder engagement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Finance - Time – not sure what implementation works yet - Valuation tools - Land ownership issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Legislation - Partnerships - Solid science
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of valuation mechanisms that are implemented/established at a national level - Strong economic drivers that are unsustainable - Lack of clear mechanisms to guarantee benefit-sharing from biodiversity and ecosystem services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Building awareness and perception of the importance of biodiversity - National political frameworks and planning processes established - Awareness of the link between science/knowledge and policy
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Government "buy-in" (*stated by most respondents) - Political commitment (need for engagement) - Lack of knowledge about sending biodiversity "message" to overcome fear of change in sectors - Lack of "buy-in" from other sectors - No clear and precise indicators - Difficulty to integrate into development; Convincing other sectors that biodiversity loss is a responsibility of all sectors and will have negative impacts on sectors - Conflicting priorities of sectors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use personal contacts and networks of contacts as entry points to other sectors - Stakeholder engagement and involvement, including ILCs - NBSAP priorities are mainstreamed into the national development policy - Develop agreed inter-ministrial agendas for mainstreaming - Joint workshops to develop common agendas and ownership - Rapid revision allows "evolution" of NBSAP - Cabinet-level decision supported by Act - Regular monitoring of implementation - Jointly-implemented projects – especially for restoration - Use high-profile media events to stimulate private sector interest and stimulate business engagement (e.g. hosting a CoP for Japan) - Recognise the mandates of other sectors and work together to find mutual solutions (e.g. Working for Water) - Use spatial planning as a communication and planning tool - Use ex-situ conservation as a "back-up" strategy

Annex 6

Results of the table discussions on mobilizing resources

Problems in mobilising resources	Causes	Solutions
Internal		
Low priority in the Government		
Government priority at cabinet level is low for environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of awareness at cabinet level on the importance of biodiversity and its economic value • Priority for economic development • Don't understand the ability of biodiversity to empower the people by making them economically independent (those who depend on biodiversity to survive) • Dependency on donor funds rather than self • Concerned only with the activities of their political term, not the future or sustainability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustained awareness campaigns for policy makers • Promote economic valuation of biodiversity (i.e. TEEB) to the policy makers • Promote the value of sustainable livelihood activities from biodiversity for local communities to policy makers • Encourage public/private partnerships to supplement national budgets, provide incentives, national biodiversity accounting included in GDP, payment for environment services (ecosystem services) • Consists in putting pressure on politicians for environment issues, mass public awareness campaign for public (general)
Environment given low priority by government	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of evidence of value of nature • Lack of awareness and understanding 	Prioritise building the evidence case on value of ESS and disseminate: people need to know and include these in important policy documents (e.g. Norway)
Lack of understanding among ministries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don't relate BD with their work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Awareness raising at different levels through education, campaign, training soon • Valuation on BD
Competing priorities	Lack of understanding on the functions of ecosystems and their values	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valuation tools • NBSAP • Improve knowledge base • Efficient implementation
Getting sectors to mainstream biodiversity into budgets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity value not considered • Not sectors priority • Not bringing sectors together 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Helping sectors help themselves": give them concrete information they need to mainstream biodiversity (e.g.. Guidelines, values) • Awareness of biodiversity

Problems in mobilising resources	Causes	Solutions
		values (economic) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valuation studies and economic assessment, BUT technical capacity needed for this
Ceiling in the budget for each ministry is fixed annually, disregarding the activities that will be carried out	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Despite MoE proposal/planning, the Ministry of Finance does the final allocation, leading to many activities having to be discarded 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing long-term financing strategy (e.g. 10 years) by MoE Send the right people to negotiation with MoFinance Support arguments with monetary valuation of benefits of ESS Result oriented management (objective -> actions -> financing needs) Financing going to other sectors should include conditionalities relating to environment
Technical capacity and expertise		
Technical capacity for translating innovative mechanisms into practice (also external)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to revert from old systems / requires changes Very technical require scientific knowledge Lack of examples and lessons and experience to share from Lack of new skills needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forum for sharing experiences, lessons with case studies, biodiversity specialists and economic planners for both „selection“ and „implementation“ – CBD secretariat, UN partners
Lack of capacity of experts and sufficient experts for resource mobilisation	Lack of comprehension and understanding of the technical language used Lack/poor awareness (flyers to all levels) Translate biodiversity language for a better understanding (of the complexity)	Environmental education Dissemination of information (media, theatre, flyers, etc.) Integrated short courses – to public in general <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity building for resources to mobilise Sharing of information (south-south cooperation)
Resource mobilisation requires time investment, so less time to “do”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No “one window“ Many disparate sources (potential) with different requirements 	Identify internal expertise for fund-raising/fund access, partners
No dedicated structures: therefore don't know how to access sources and what's available		
Delays in payment of funds		
Constant delays in payment of funds delaying realisation by	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of national contribution Internal accountability (of 	Even modest national contribution can solve range of difficulties

Problems in mobilising resources	Causes	Solutions
several years, need to update project budget	multilateral agencies) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not respect their commitments • Favouring of certain countries • Lack of transparency in internal procedures 	above (e.g. timing)
Engaging the private sector		
Inability to engage private sector, make business case and communicate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hard to take biodiversity case to them in business/economic language • Science-business interface • Different priorities • Business doesn't see the benefit to them in biodiversity conservation (vs. social investment e.g. in schools) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public recognition for good biodiversity work/business-biodiversity awards • Develop engagement strategy • Arms-length trust fund dedicated to conservation
Private sector not involved in BD matters/state agencies don't know how to ask/what	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unclear national priorities • Unclear benefits for private sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close the gap between humans and BD (make clear the social benefits) • Valuation of BD and ESS • Comprehension of complex mechanisms linked to BD (integral vision) and translate to simpler language
