**Guidelines and template for the review of the draft monitoring framework for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework**

## Background

1. The second meeting of the Open-ended Working Group[[1]](#footnote-2) on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework invited the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at its twenty-fourth meeting to, among other things, carry out a scientific and technical review of the updated goals and targets, and related indicators and baselines, of the draft global biodiversity framework. Under agenda item 3 the Subsidiary Body will consider this issue.
2. Tables 1 and 2, presents a draft monitoring framework for the 2050 Goals and the 2030 targets respectively. These tables are being made available for the purposes of peer review. In both tables’ interim formulations of the proposed 2050 goals and milestones and the 2030 targets are provided for context. Review comments are not being sought on these parts of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework at this time. Column A of the tables provides draft components of the goals and targets. Columns B and C of the tables provide draft monitoring elements and indicators to be used at the global level to monitor progress in the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Further column D provides information on the period baseline data is available for the indicator and on the frequency that the indicator is updated where known. Review comments are being sought on columns A, B, C and D only.

## II. Submitting Comments

1. To ensure that your comments are given due consideration, please send them by e-mail to [secretariat@cbd.int](mailto:secretariat@cbd.int), at your earliest convenience but **no later than *15 August* 2020**
2. When submitting comments, please adhere to the following guidelines as much as possible:
   1. Please provide all comments in writing and in an MS Word or similar document format using the table provided below.
   2. Please provide full contact information for the individual/Government/organization submitting the comments.
   3. Please avoid commenting on issues related to grammar, spelling, or punctuation, unless it affects the overall meaning of the text, as the document will be edited as the final draft is prepared.
   4. To facilitate the revision process please be as specific as possible in your comments. In areas where you feel additional or alternative text or information is required, please suggest, if possible, what this text may look like or what should be included.
   5. If you refer to additional sources of information, please include these with your comments when possible or provide a complete reference or hyperlink.
   6. Please focus your comments on columns A (components the draft goals and targets), B (monitoring elements), C (indicators) and D (indicator baseline year and frequency of updates) of tables 1 and 2.
   7. If you are suggestion the inclusion of additional indicators please provide information on if the indicator is currently operational, the organization supporting its development, its baseline (i.e. the year data is first available) and how frequently the indicator is updated (i.e. monthly, yearly, every two years etc.).
   8. All review comments will be posted on the webpage[[2]](#footnote-3) for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework in the interests of transparency
3. Should you have any questions regarding the review process, please contact [secretariat@cbd.int](mailto:secretariat@cbd.int).

***III. Template for Comments***

1. Please use the review template below when providing comments.
2. The complete draft of the monitoring framework has been released in a portable document format (PDF). For tables 1, 2 and 3 column letters and row numbers have been provided as well as page numbers. Please use these as a reference as illustrated in the table below. General comments can be included in the table by referring to Page 0 and Line 0.

**TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Review comments on the draft monitoring framework for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework** | | | | | |
| *Contact information* | | | | | |
| **Surname:** | | | | Qtishat | |
| **Given Name:** | | | | Belal | |
| **Government** (if applicable)**:** | | | | Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan | |
| **Organization:** | | | | Ministry of Environment | |
| **Address:** | | | | Um Uthaina - King Faisal Street  P.O.Box 1408- Amman 11941- Jordan | |
| **City:** | | | | Amman | |
| **Country:** | | | | Jordan | |
| E-mail: | | | | [bqtishat@yahoo.com](mailto:bqtishat@yahoo.com) | |
| ***General Comments*** | | | | | |
| **We propose to adopt the “Special Conservation Areas, SCAs” concept that managed by local communities within the Post 2020 framework to increase the Biodiversity connectivity and extent, as Which it could serve as corridors between Protected Areas and encourage the engagement of local communities to protect the biodiversity important areas. Jordan can support on this issue as Jordan has more than 7 designated SCAs which represent a success story in the region.** | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |
| ***Specific Comments*** | | | | | |
| **Table** | **Page** | **Column letter** | **Row number** | | **Comment** |
| 1 | 2 | C | 15 | | We propose the inclusion of freshwater and wadis ecosystems status index as an indicator. |
| 1 | 3 | B | 29 | | As the indicator used, with birds and mammal extinctions highlighted assumes that only fauna can be used to determine extinction rates. There should be other indicators that can be used to show species extinction, bearing in mind that habitat loss is also a measure of species extinction. We therefore need suggest the deeper thought about how we can estimate the extinction rate properly to improve the science behind conservation planning. |
| 1 | 3 | C | 35 | | We propose the inclusion of Red list Index in addition to the available indicators. |
| 1 | 4 | C | 48 | | We propose adding the Global stocks of Irrecoverable Carbon as an indicator. Which could be measured in two ways: 1- the area of the carbon-containing places that are conserved, and 2- mass of carbon-contained in this area? |
| 1 | 4 | B | 48 | | We propose adding an indicator that would assess the protection coverage of the range of areas important to ecosystem services as mentioned in Goal B. |
| 1 | 5 | C | 56 | | We propose deleting the certified forest indicator because forest/ecosystems van contributes to climate change mitigation without being verified and/or certified. |
| 1 | 5 | C | 60 | | We propose the inclusion of Coral Reef Index as an Indicator. |
| 1 | 5 | C | 61 | | We propose inclusion of Soil Organic Content Index as an Indicator. |
| 1 | 6 | C | 64-67 | | We propose adding trends in status of ecosystems providing globally important services for meeting human needs (provisional, cultural, and regulation services) |
| 1 | 6 | C | 73 | | We recommend adding “Number of PIC” published on ABS clearing house. |
| 1 | 6 | C | 74-76 | | We propose the inclusion of “Number and Status of MAT” published on ABS clearing house. |
| 1 | 4 | B | 36 | | We recommend the inclusion of trends in the diversity of wild species. Some of the indicators that can be used to measure it include; the number of populations within species with an functional population size; the proportion of populations maintained within species; the number of species and populations in which genetic diversity is being monitored using DNA based methods. |
| 2 | 10 | C | 35 | | Its need to be clarified if the indicator include the marine protected areas. |
| 2 | 10 | B | 35 | | We propose to focus on the effective management for the designated protected areas rather than focusing on the Trend in extent of protected areas. |
| 2 | 11 | B | 39-42 | | We propose including an element that monitors the protected areas and OECM coverage of priority areas delivering ecosystem services for climate, food and water. |
| 2 | 11 | B | 39-42 | | We propose adding the following Monitor element: Trends in areas of particular importance for ecosystem services conserved. |
| 2 | 12 | C | 48 | | We propose adding an indicator on protected area governance effectiveness. |
| 2 | 16 | C | 97 | | We propose tracking this element with an indicator on the “Trends in global stocks of irrecoverable carbon” through the use of the irrecoverable carbon data layer. |
| 2 | 19 | B | 114 | | We propose to include “flora” in the monitoring element, as both the target T8.2. and the indicators consider both fauna and flora. |
| 2 | 19 | C | 110 | | Proposal to extend red list index to all marine mammals and not only albatrosses and large petrels. |

*Comments should be sent by e-mail to* [*secretariat@cbd.int*](mailto:secretariat@cbd.int)***no later than 15 August 2020****.*
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