**Template for the review of the document on linkages between the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and the 2030 agenda for sustainable development**

**TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS**

|  |
| --- |
| *Contact information* |
| **Surname:** | Hengesbaugh |
| **Given Name:** | Matthew |
| **Government** (if applicable)**:**  |  |
| **Organization:** | Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES) |
| **Address:**  | 2108-11, Kamiyamaguchi |
| **City:** | Hayama |
| **Country:** | Japan |
| E-mail: | olsen@iges.or.jp |
| ***Comments*** |
| **Page**  | **Paragraph** | **Comment** |
|  | General | What about the means of implementation for this GBF? The SDGs are lacking in that regard, so that would be an important gap to fill, if at all possible at least to make progress in the direction of specifying commitments on MOI by governments and other stakeholders.  |
|  | General | The section on targets for mainstreaming often don't really contain any specific tools or solutions to bring about SCP more than what Agenda 2030 states, in that sense it is fine, but would be good to see some strong targets that take it further than the SDGs |
|  | General | A balance must be found and maintained to avoid biodiversity becoming an element of the human economy, if so, the risk is that profit motives constrain the need to leave some of it in peace to ensure its functioning. |
| 1 | 2 | Since the GBF goals and targets are well developed and hinge on global consensus, there should perhaps just be an explicit addition to A2030, that 'targets expiring in 2020 automatically are replaced by commensurate targets of the GBF"...anyway or something like that, it is worth discussing, and perhaps for MOEJ to develop a clear stance on this both for the HLPF and after that. |
| 2 | Column 1, Goal B | “…have been valued, maintained or enhanced…”: This formulation provides leeway for goverments in some instance to 'only value' nature's contributions, not necessarily maintain or enhance. Suggest replace 'or' with 'and'. Valuation of ES is problematic isn't it, but I guess there is no way to avoid it, although some social safeguards should be put in place to ensure that everyone regardless of financial capacity can access and benefit from nature's contributions... |
| 2 | Column2 , Goal 8 and 9 | “”: Explicit link here can provide leeway to offset enhancing essential ecosystem services. Not sure what the right approach might be. Ensuring that implementation towards this goal doesn't only rely on partnerships but is supported by fundamental environmental protection and legislation in that direction. |
| 4 | Column 1, Target 1 | Consider linkages to SDG 11 on cities, as spatial planning and land use change are relevant for both. |
| 8 | Column 4,  | Some text missing or added: “…indigenous peoples, family farmers,pastoralists and fishers, s, as well as…” |
| 16 | Column 1, Target 15 | This doesn't really contain any specific tools or solutions to bring about SCP more than what Agenda 2030 states, in that sense it is fine, but would be good to see some strong targets that take it further than the SDGs. |
| 16 | Column 4, SDG 12 | Should this refer only to target 15? |
| 17 | Column 4 | “Target 17 contributes to SDG 17.6 and 17.7…” - should be target 16? |
| 18 | Column 4 | “GBF target 13 aims to reduce all financial incentives…” - shoud be target 17? |
| 19 | Column 4 | “Target 16 supports SDG targets 6.a…” – should be target 18? |
| 20 | Column 1, Target 19 | “…is available to decision makers and public for the effective…” – stronger language than “available”. “Actively used by”? |
| 22 | Paragraph number 6 | Words missing or added: “…and one that to be completed…” |
| 24 | Column 3, bottom row | “This goal will be taken forward in the GBF through target 14 which directly calls for biodiversity to be mainstreamed…” – should that be target 13? |
|  |  | Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting “Table” followed by “insert” and “rows below” |

*Comments should be sent by e-mail to* *secretariat@cbd.int* *by 25 July 2020*