

Opportunities in the infrastructure sector¹

for integrating ecosystem-based approaches to climate change and disaster risk reduction



Photo: Kortebeu ShoreZone survey, July 2012. © Flickr/creative commons, ShoreZone, www.flickr.com/photos/shorezone/11471418433. Is licensed under CC BY 2.0



How physical and natural infrastructure is affected by climate change and disaster risks

Industrialization, the use of fossil fuels, and poor consideration for the environment have contributed to climate change, intensifying the frequency and strengths of natural disasters around the world. Extreme weather patterns are causing intensified storms and flooding and at the same time in other regions, droughts and desertification are becoming the norm. Natural disasters destroy jobs, oblige people to move and slow down economic activity through the destruction of capital stock, delivery and transport systems and other infrastructure. Although rebuilding

capital stock following a disaster may stimulate GDP, the short- and long-term economic consequences of disasters are negative, particularly for developing and smaller economies (Felbermayr and Gröschl, 2013). Infrastructure is a key area that can help meet both climate change mitigation in reducing emissions and adaptation objectives through building disaster resilience.

Physical and natural infrastructure can be negatively damaged or even destroyed by natural hazards and climate change impacts such as extreme weather

events in the short term. As the global population and economy grow thus more physical infrastructure is to be constructed, there will be more risks for the infrastructures around the world. A 2017 report by the U.S. Government Accounting Office found that climate change had cost U.S. taxpayers some US\$ 350 billion over the previous decade (GAO, 2017); in Thailand, the 2004 earthquake and Indian Ocean tsunami caused US\$ 334 million damage and US\$ 27 million losses in the infrastructure sector (ADPC, 2014). Although natural hazards and climate change impact natural infrastructure, it can be regarded as a disturbance that is a part of a long-term natural process and it can recover and adapt to the changing conditions.

Physical infrastructure and natural infrastructure such as sea walls, riverbanks, dams, coastal forests are also built and maintained to mitigate disaster risks. They also need to be adapted to the long-term climate change impacts such as sea level rise and changing weather patterns such as intensifying and increasing storms or droughts. UNFCCC estimate of additional annual investment need and financial flow needed by 2030 in the infrastructure sector to cover costs of adaptation to climate change is 8 to US\$ 130 billion (UNFCCC 2007).

Why ecosystem-based approaches for infrastructure should be strengthened

Many scientists recently started to argue ecosystem-based approaches can provide more cost-effective and low-regrets solutions especially in the face of uncertain climate change scenarios

¹ The development and authorship of the sectoral brief "Opportunities in the infrastructure sector for integrating ecosystem-based approaches to climate change and disaster risk reduction" was led by the International Labour Organization with contributions from the International Union for Conservation of Nature.



(Cheong et.al. 2013, Timmerman et.al. 2013 and 2015, Martin and Watson 2015). Scientists also compare the strengths and weaknesses of built infrastructure, natural ecosystems, and point out the innovative opportunities to combine the two into hybrid approaches (Sutton-Grier et.al. 2015).

Some of the key benefits of Ecosystem-based approaches are summarized as follows:

- **Multiple benefits:** Besides risk reduction, ecosystem-based adaptation and disaster risk resilience provide a multitude of benefits to society and economy at low cost, including provision of natural resources (food, fibres, medicine), water regulation, climate change mitigation by carbon sequestration, recreation and provision of habitats for species.
- **Cost-effectiveness:** As natural buffers, ecosystems are often less expensive to maintain and could be more effective than physical engineering structures. Depending on local conditions and climate projections, hybrid green-grey infrastructure solutions that combine ecological infrastructure (e.g. forests, wetlands) with built infrastructure (e.g. dams, water retention ponds) may work best in terms of public health, social cohesion, urban biodiversity and mitigation, creating win-win solutions for the environment, society and the economy (NWP, 2017).
- **Contribution to the local economy and decent jobs:** Investing in infrastructure provides opportunities for employment creation, income generation and inclusive economic growth. The construction and maintenance of infrastructure create direct, indirect and induced impacts through employment while the assets subsequently built up will improve access to services,

income, and sustained employment and trade opportunities. The optimal use of local labour and materials will have further backward and forward linkages, stimulating the local economy and contributing to poverty reduction as well as ensuring sustainable construction practices.

- **Adaptive management:** Due to the fixed design and purpose of built physical “grey” infrastructure measures, they often cannot be modified afterwards; ecosystem-based or hybrid approaches, combining grey and green infrastructure can be adapted and managed more easily to fulfil their functions for society.
- **Using local knowledge:** Ecosystem-based approaches are often built on local, traditional or indigenous knowledge. They acknowledge and utilize this knowledge in combination with scientific knowledge in the context of using land-based and marine resources.

Practical examples of ecosystem-approaches are also increasing in the face of climate change. For example, Room for the River programme in the Netherlands aims to bring back the natural river flood plains and wetlands to act as buffer capacities in case of increased river water levels. Green Infrastructure Plan of New York City is building rain gardens and other types of green infrastructure to manage storm water and improve water quality in local waterways. ABC (Active, Beautiful, Clean) waters policy tries to build attractive green space, parks, water courses and green buildings to capture and retain rain waters as well as to create an attractive urban environment. Mangrove for the Future (MFF) project restores mangrove forests along the coastline in more than 10 countries in Asia to protect coastlines as well as contribute to the local livelihood.

Before



After



Before



After



Photos, from top to bottom:

- 1 Eco-engineering by using broom grass for road stabilisation thus reducing landslides risks, Nepal. © Sanjaya Devkota
- 2 Mangrove restoration with hydrological plans carried out by the Mangrove Action Project (MAP) to reduce flood risks, Thailand. © MAP



Typical ecosystem-based approaches & technologies include the following:

Approach / technology examples	Environmental benefit	Risk reduction benefit	Socio-economic benefit
Use forests for disaster mitigation – identify areas where forests can mitigate natural hazards and plant and/or protect forests in those areas e.g. protection forests in Japan and Switzerland.	Providing habitats for species, climate change mitigation by absorbing carbon, conserving landscape beauty	Water retention, prevention of landslides, mitigating damage from wind, airborne sand, high-tides, tsunamis, snow, fog, avalanches, rock falls, flood damage mitigation etc.	Firewood, fodder, timber, other non-timber forest products
Maintain and restore mangroves for coastal protection – protect or/and plant mangrove trees by evaluating the environment and selecting appropriate species e.g. mangrove reforestation in Bangladesh.	Providing habitats for species, climate change mitigation by absorbing carbon, conserving landscape beauty	Mitigating damage from wind, airborne sand, high-tides, tsunamis, preventing land erosion, coping sea level rise	Increase of fish catch and other aquatic resources, eco-tourism opportunity, firewood, fodder, timber
Use coral reefs and seagrasses for coastal protection – protect coral reefs and sea grasses by designating marine protected areas.	Providing habitats for species, creating recreational opportunity	Mitigating wave energy, coping sea level rise, coastal protection	Increase of fish catch and other aquatic resources, eco-tourism opportunity
Use wetlands for flood control – use wetlands or farmlands for flood control.	Providing habitats for species, creating recreational space	Mitigating flood risk	Increase of fish catch and other aquatic resources, eco-tourism opportunity, recreational space
Use protected areas for disaster risk reduction and reconstruction – setting aside hazardous area as protected areas.	Providing habitats for species, creating recreational space	Mitigating various disaster risks	Eco-tourism opportunity, recreational space
Apply ecological engineering and combine grey and green infrastructure – e.g. quasi-natural river engineering.	Providing habitats for species, conserving landscape and seascape beauty	Mitigating various disaster risks	Increase of fish catch and other aquatic resources, eco-tourism opportunity, recreational space



Photos, from top to bottom:
All photos are licensed under CC BY 2.0

1 Coastal forest, Vietnam. © ICPM
2 Mangrove Forest, Pandan Island, Puerto Princesa, Palawan, Philippines. © Storm Crypt, www.flickr.com/photos/storm-crypt/3076940251 3 Coral Reef at Palmyra Atoll National Wildlife Refuge. © Jim Maragos/U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, www.flickr.com/photos/usfwspacific/5565696408 4 Wetland, noo sun dairy June 2012. © LivingLandscapeArchitecture, www.flickr.com/photos/59834423@N04/9170494339 5 Protected area of Daugavgriva. © Jevgenijs Slihto, www.flickr.com/photos/101181388@N07/16223299533 6 River Akerselva, Oslo: Grünerløkka. © kaysgeog, www.flickr.com/photos/23351536@N07/44380251455



In 2011, the ILO coined the term “Green Works” to refer to “infrastructure and related works that have direct environmental benefits or are in response to a specific environmental context including changes in climate and extreme weather events”. Different techniques appropriate to labour based approaches can be used, for example on road construction, including cold techniques with bitumen emulsion, which avoid heating the aggregates and can reduce the environmental impacts and decrease occupational hazards (ILO, 2013). Green Infrastructure (GI) encourages infrastructure investments by relying on services produced by ecosystems, for example, using natural infrastructure for flood protection, water purification and storage, and by reducing runoffs. As such GI through labour-based approaches can contribute to decreasing ecological casualties while bringing significant benefits to biodiversity, environmental rehabilitation and increasing biodiversity.

Existing opportunities & required action

Entry points as opportunities for strengthening ecosystem-based approaches for climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction for physical and natural infrastructure include the following:

- Mainstreaming into various policies, plans and strategies such as national development plans, employment plans, infrastructure development plans, environmental plans, adaptation plans (NAP) (e.g. European Commission introduced

Green Infrastructure Strategy in 2013; Japan integrated Green Infrastructure into its 4th National Infrastructure Development Plan in 2015).

- Using strategic environmental assessment as an opportunity to consider natural or hybrid “green-grey” infrastructure as an option.
- Pilot testing innovative technologies and ideas and try to upscale them into technical standards (e.g. Building with Nature programme is pilot testing innovative technologies in private-public partnership) and employment intensive investment programmes.
- Use recovery and reconstruction process to introduce ecosystem-based approach (e.g. green works / infrastructure and approaches that were integrated into Hurricane Sandy Rebuilding Strategy and an international competition to select Sandy recovery plans was organized under these recommendations).
- Empowering affected communities – especially poor rural or urban ones, as well as indigenous and tribal peoples – to adapt to the changing climate is necessary to reduce future impacts on their livelihoods and living conditions.
- Recognizing that indigenous peoples’ traditional knowledge often does not receive adequate focus as a means for building climate resilience at the local level.



Further action will be needed in the following areas:

- Promote scientific research and pilot testing to further accumulate evidence on ecosystem-based approaches and scaling-up this knowledge into technical standards and guidelines.
- Collect and revisit traditional knowledge and practices on ecosystem-approaches and integrate them into modern science.
- Encourage further collaboration among different scientific disciplines and government sectors such as civil engineering, disaster management, climate change, ecology and natural resource management.
- Device innovative comprehensive and inclusive planning process that should inform to stakeholders multiple benefits and risks of various options for infrastructure design ranging from physical to natural infrastructure in an unpredictable changing climate.
- Seek for more strategic, proactive, systems-level approaches to infrastructure planning that ensure nature-based solutions are carefully considered and integrated across different sectors, in close connection to the SDGs.
- Mainstreaming green infrastructure into National Adaptation Plans (NAP) and putting them into practice to meet both climate change mitigation and adaptation objectives by reducing infrastructure emissions and building resilience.
- However, inadequate resources and poor governance often result in the poor provision of public and community infrastructure, assets and services. Those services that would help to manage and cope by building climate resilience through water and soil conservations schemes, but also by supporting productive means of livelihoods through better irrigation and transportation systems, often receive limited attention in many of the countries of the region. At the same time, indigenous peoples' traditional knowledge often does not receive adequate focus as a means for building climate resilience at the local level.





References

ADPC, 2014. The economic impact of the 26 December 2004 earthquake & Indian Ocean tsunami in Thailand. Asian Disaster Preparedness Center, 2014, 23 pp. www.adpc.net/igo/category/ID628/doc/2014-a17Hxl-AD-PC-Tsunami_impacts_in_Thailand.pdf

Cheong, S.-M., Silliman, B., Wong, P.P., van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Kim, C.-K., Guannel, G., Coastal adaptation with ecological engineering, Nat. Clim. Change 3 (2013) 787–791.

Felbermayr, G.J. and Groeschl, J., Naturally Negative: The Growth Effects of Natural Disasters (October 31, 2013).

GAO, 2017. United States Government Accountability Office, Report to Congressional Requesters: Climate Change – Information on Potential Economic Effects Could Help Guide Federal Efforts to Reduce Fiscal Exposure. GAO-17-720, September 2017. www.insurancejournal.com/research/research/success/climate-change-2017

Macintosh, D.J., Mahindapala, R., Markopoulos, M. (eds) (2012). Sharing Lessons on Mangrove Restoration. Bangkok, Thailand: Mangroves for the Future and Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.

Martin, T.G., Watson, E.M., Intact ecosystems provide best defence against climate change, Nat. Clim. Change 6 (2016) 122–124.

Sutton-Grier, A.E., Wowk, K., Bamford, H., Future of our coast: the potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems, Environ. Sci. Policy 51 (2015) 137–148.

Timmerman, S., Meire, P., Bouma, T.J., Herman, P.M.J., Ysebaert, T., de Vriend, H.J., Ecosystem-based coastal defence in the face of global change, Nature 504 (2013) 79–83.

Timmerman, S., Kirwan M.L., Building land with a rising sea, Science 349 (6248) (2015) 588–589.

UNFCCC. Investment and Financial Flows to Address Climate Change. Climate Change Secretariat, Bonn. 2007.

van Wesenbeeck, B.K., van der Meulen, M.D., Pesch, C., de Vriend, H., de Vries, M.B., Nature-based approaches in coastal flood risk management: physical restrictions and engineering challenge in adaptation, in: F.G. Renaud, K. Sudmeier-Rieux, M. Estrella, U. Nehren (Eds.), Ecosystem-Based Disaster Risk Reduction and Adaptation in Practice, 2016 Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2016, p. 598.

Further reading

Belle J.A., Collins N. and Jordaan A., 2017. Building resilience in Natural Capital to reduce disaster risks and adapt to climate change: A case of wetlands in the eastern free state; South Africa. American Journal of Environmental Science 13 (5):358–377. Available at: goo.gl/7nSEzW

Cohen-Sacham E., Walters G., Janzen C. and Maginnis S. (eds), 2016. Nature-based Solutions to address global societal challenges. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. xii + 97 pp.

ILO, Local investments for climate change adaptation: Green Jobs through green works, 2011.

ILO, Guidelines for a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all, 2015

ILO, Indigenous Peoples and Climate Change: From Victims to Change Agents through Decent Work, 2017.

ILO, Gender, labour and a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all, 2017.

Monty F., Murti R. and Futura N., 2016. Helping Nature help us: Transforming disaster risk reduction through ecosystem management. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. vi + 82 pp.

Monty F., Murti R., Miththapala S., and Buyck C. (eds), 2017. Ecosystems protecting infrastructure and communities: lessons learned and guidelines for implementation. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. x + 108 pp. Available at: goo.gl/KQTLm7

Water Infrastructure Solutions from Ecosystem Services Underpinning Climate Resilient Policies and Programmes (IUCN WISE-UP), www.iucn.org/theme/water/our-work/current-projects/wise-climate

Summaries of the strengths and weakness of built, natural and combined solutions. Sutton-Grier A.E., Wowk K. and Bamford H., 2015. Future of our coasts: the potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems.

Environmental Science & Policy, 51, 137–148. doi.org/10.1007/s11355-006-0013-9

Guide focusing on advancing the development and application of natural and nature-based approaches for managing flood risk. World Wild Fund (WWF), 2017. Natural and Nature-based Flood Management: A Green Guide, WWF and U.S. Agency for International Development Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (USAID/OFDA), 222 pp. Available at: goo.gl/2T6cqq

Economic considerations and linkages with ecosystems services : Jones R.N., Symons J. and Young C.K., 2015. Assessing the economic value of Green Infrastructure : Green Paper. Climate Change Working Paper No. 24. Victoria Institute of Strategic Economic Studies, Victoria University, Melbourne. Available at : goo.gl/apRKRj

ILO, Green Works and Employment Intensive Investments. www.ilo.org/global/topics/employment-intensive-investment/themes/lang--en/index.htm

Developed and authored by:



With support from the European Union, the Government of Sweden and the Government of Germany

This brief is part of a series of seven practical briefs to support the integration of ecosystem-based approaches to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction within sectors. The agencies who contributed to the development and authorship of the sectoral briefs are: the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the International Labour Organization (ILO), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), and the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF).

Copyright © 2019, Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity

Design/Layout: Ira Olaleye

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The views reported in this publication do not necessarily represent those of the Convention on Biological Diversity. This publication may be reproduced for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holders, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. The Secretariat of the Convention would appreciate receiving a copy of any publications that use this document as a source.

Published by:



Convention on
Biological Diversity

Citation:
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, the International Labour Organization (ILO) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (2019). Opportunities in the infrastructure sector for integrating ecosystem-based approaches to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction. In: *Voluntary guidelines for the design and effective implementation of ecosystem-based approaches to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction and supplementary information. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Technical Series No. 93. Montreal, 156 pages.*

For further information, please contact:

Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
World Trade Centre, 413 Rue St. Jacques, Suite 800
Montréal, Quebec, Canada H2Y 1N9
Tel.: +1 (514) 288 2220 Fax: +1 (514) 288 6588
E-mail: secretariat@cbd.int Website: www.cbd.int