



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: General
20 May 2026

Original: English

Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice

Twenty-eighth meeting

Nairobi, 27 July–1 August 2026

Item 8 of the provisional agenda*

Marine and coastal biodiversity

Marine and coastal biodiversity

Note by the Secretariat

I. Introduction

1. At its sixteenth meeting, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity adopted decision [16/16](#) on further work on ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and decision [16/17](#) on conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity and of island biodiversity.
2. In decision [16/16](#), the Conference of the Parties adopted the modalities for the modification of descriptions of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas (EBSAs) and the description of new areas and requested the Executive Secretary to facilitate the implementation of the modalities. In the same decision, the Conference of the Parties decided to extend the term of the Informal Advisory Group on Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas and requested the Executive Secretary to revise its terms of reference to align them with the same decision and to facilitate the work of the Group, in line with its terms of reference. It also requested the Executive Secretary to develop voluntary guidelines on peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the criteria for EBSAs and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body.
3. In decision [16/17](#), the Conference of the Parties addressed a wide range of issues with regard to the conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity and of island biodiversity, including: gaps and areas in need of additional focus under the Convention to support the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework with regard to marine and coastal biodiversity and to island biodiversity; cooperation with other international organizations; and capacity-building and partnerships.

II. Ecologically or biologically significant marine areas

4. Following the adoption of the modalities for the modification of descriptions of EBSAs and the description of new areas by the Conference of the Parties in decision [16/16](#), the Secretariat took

* [CBD/SBSTTA/28/1](#).

the following steps to operationalize the modalities and to enhance awareness and understanding of them:

- (a) Restructuring of the EBSA website¹ to align it with the modalities, including the creation of separate entry points for the repository and the information-sharing mechanism, and the addition of new content and sections to address the main issues related to the modalities, including a page in the information-sharing mechanism where submissions received in line with the modalities are posted;
- (b) Development of a handbook to provide further information on the modalities, including step-by-step instructions for relevant processes;²
- (c) Issuance of notification No. [2025-034](#) on 17 March 2025 to inform Parties and other Governments that the Secretariat is now able to receive submissions of new EBSA descriptions and modifications of existing EBSA descriptions, in line with the modalities;
- (d) Convening of a webinar on 9 April 2025 to provide an overview of the modalities.

5. Under the modalities adopted by the Conference of the Parties in decision [16/16](#), proponents wishing to modify descriptions of existing areas or describe new areas must provide, individually or jointly, their submissions to the Secretariat for further processing. In total, 29 submissions from four Parties³ have been provided to the Secretariat to date. All the submissions relate to areas within national jurisdictions, and three of them are within the jurisdictions of more than one Party. Eleven of the submissions relate to the description of new areas and 18 relate to the modification of existing areas. All the submissions are proposed to be included in the repository and, therefore, require consideration by the Subsidiary Body and the Conference of the Parties. In line with the modalities, the Secretariat issued notification No. [2025-138](#) on 1 November 2025 to invite comments on the submissions for a period of six months until 1 May 2026.⁴ A compilation of the submissions is available in an information document. A summary report of the submissions is provided in document CBD/SBSTTA/28/7/Add.1. The Subsidiary Body is invited to consider the submissions.

6. With regard to the Informal Advisory Group on Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas, the Secretariat issued notification No. [2025-064](#) on 2 May 2025, through which it invited Parties, other Governments, indigenous peoples and local communities, and relevant organizations and initiatives to nominate scientific and technical experts to serve on the Informal Advisory Group. In response to the notification, nominations were received from 26 Parties⁵ and 19 organizations.⁶ A total of 30 experts (19 from Parties and 11 from organizations) were selected to become members of the Group, on the basis of nominations received in response to the notification, as announced on 10 September 2025 through notification No. [2025-114](#).

7. In response to decision [16/16](#), the terms of reference of the Informal Advisory Group were revised to align them with the modalities for the modification of EBSA descriptions and the description of new EBSAs. The revised terms of reference are available online.⁷

¹ See www.cbd.int/ebsa/.

² See www.cbd.int/ebsa/ism/about/handbook.

³ Angola, Côte d'Ivoire, Namibia and South Africa.

⁴ Comments were received from the Global Ocean Biodiversity Initiative.

⁵ Albania, Antigua and Barbuda, Australia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, China, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Germany, India, Indonesia, Japan, Kenya, Malaysia, Morocco, Nigeria, Norway, Peru, Republic of Korea, Romania, Sao Tome and Principe, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sweden and Togo.

⁶ Ajemalebu Self Help, Aotearoa Indigenous Rights Trust, CBD Women's Caucus, Deep-Ocean Stewardship Initiative, Global Ocean Biodiversity Initiative, International Indigenous Forum for Biodiversity, International Seabed Authority, International Union for Conservation of Nature, International Union for Conservation of Nature West and Central Africa Programme, Inuit Circumpolar Council, Kanuri Development Association, Lyell Centre (Heriot-Watt University), Partnerships in Environmental Management for the Seas of East Asia, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Stockholm Environment Institute, The Nature Conservancy, University of Queensland and World Wide Fund for Nature.

⁷ <https://s3.amazonaws.com/cbdocumentspublic-imagebucket-15w2zyxk3prl8/21e49e9bee68702b764f600966c9640a>.

8. The Informal Advisory Group held its fifth meeting online, on 16 January 2025, and its sixth meeting online, on 7 and 8 October 2025.⁸ It held a special informal session on issues related to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction online on 25 November 2025.

9. During those meetings, the Informal Advisory Group discussed, among other topics, potential means of encouraging submissions, recognizing the slow rate of submissions and the absence of any submissions related to marine areas beyond national jurisdiction since the new modalities for the EBSA process were adopted in 2024. Their ideas included convening a global expert workshop to synthesize the best available scientific information on ecological and biological features in areas beyond national jurisdiction in support of the potential development of future submissions.

10. In response to a request in decision [16/16](#), the Secretariat developed draft voluntary guidelines on peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the EBSA criteria and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria. The draft guidelines were discussed with the Informal Advisory Group, in line with the mandate of the Group. On 23 January 2026, the Secretariat issued notification No. [2026-011](#) in which it invited Parties, other Governments, indigenous peoples and local communities, and relevant organizations to review the draft guidelines.⁹ The draft guidelines were revised by the Secretariat on the basis of input received in response to the notification and are included in annex I to the draft decision set out in section V of the present document. In addition, an information document will be made available to inform the discussions of the Subsidiary Body on this issue. The Subsidiary Body is invited to consider the draft guidelines.

III. Progress in the work related to biodiversity of marine areas beyond national jurisdiction

11. In decision [16/17](#), the Conference of the Parties requested that the Executive Secretary continue the cooperation with the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the Office of Legal Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat and organizations with competence in marine areas beyond national jurisdiction, as appropriate and subject to the availability of resources, convene an expert workshop on opportunities for specific areas, including cross-sectoral areas, of scientific and technical work conducted under the Convention to contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction, and make available information on such cooperation and the results of the workshop.

12. In response to that request, the Secretariat convened an expert workshop on scientific and technical work conducted under the Convention on Biological Diversity relevant to marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction in Bergen, Norway, from 2 to 5 December 2025. The workshop was organized in cooperation with the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the Office of Legal Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat and was made possible through the generous financial support of the Governments of Germany, Ireland, Norway and Sweden.

13. The workshop was attended by 47 experts, including 21 experts from Parties, 3 from indigenous peoples and local communities and major stakeholder groups, 11 from intergovernmental organizations and 7 from other relevant organizations and initiatives. It was focused on the ways in which scientific and technical work under the Convention can inform the work of Parties and competent intergovernmental organizations and processes with respect to the conservation and sustainable use of marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction.

14. On the basis of the workshop discussions, the Co-Chairs of the workshop developed a summary of opportunities for cooperation and further work under the Convention to contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity of marine areas beyond national jurisdiction titled

⁸ See www.cbd.int/meetings/006664.

⁹ Comments were received from Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Germany, Israel, the Republic of Korea, Türkiye, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the International Collective in Support of Fishworkers and The Nature Conservancy.

“Bergen’s Ocean of Opportunities”. The summary includes various opportunities for further work under the Convention, such as updates to previous guidance produced under the Convention; for cooperation across competent organizations on biodiversity-related issues; and for the acceleration of improved coordination and cooperation at the national level. It was noted during the workshop that further discussion and analysis would be required with respect to those opportunities in order to consider the best ways to address them appropriately. That summary and a summary of additional points raised during the workshop discussions are provided in the report of the workshop.¹⁰ On 17 March 2026, a webinar was convened to provide an overview of the workshop and its outcomes.

IV. Progress in the work related to capacity-building and partnership activities in support of the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, including through the Sustainable Ocean Initiative

15. In decision [16/17](#), the Conference of the Parties welcomed the capacity-building and partnership activities facilitated by the Secretariat to support the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, including through the Sustainable Ocean Initiative, and requested the Executive Secretary to continue that work. In the same decision, the Conference of the Parties also welcomed the collaborative efforts carried out to strengthen cross-sectoral cooperation on a regional and global scale, including through the Sustainable Ocean Initiative Global Dialogue with Regional Seas Organizations and Regional Fishery Bodies, and requested the Executive Secretary to continue that work.

16. Pursuant to those requests, the Secretariat has convened the following meetings and workshops in the framework of the Sustainable Ocean Initiative:

(a) The fourth meeting of the Sustainable Ocean Initiative Global Dialogue with Regional Seas Organizations and Regional Fishery Bodies, held in Seoul, from 11 to 14 June 2024;

(b) A Sustainable Ocean Initiative regional capacity-building workshop for States of the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts of Africa, held in Dakar, from 10 to 13 February 2025;

(c) An intersessional workshop of the Sustainable Ocean Initiative Global Dialogue with Regional Seas Organizations and Regional Fishery Bodies, held in Nice, France, on 7 and 8 June 2025;

(d) A Sustainable Ocean Initiative national capacity-building workshop for Malaysia, held in Putrajaya, Malaysia, from 5 to 7 August 2025;

(e) A Sustainable Ocean Initiative national capacity-building workshop for the Philippines, held in Manila, from 4 to 6 March 2026;

17. In addition, the Secretariat will convene the fifth meeting of the Sustainable Ocean Initiative Global Dialogue with Regional Seas Organizations and Regional Fishery Bodies in Seoul from 30 June to 3 July 2026.

18. Furthermore, the Secretariat has engaged in various international meetings, events and processes, including by convening various side and parallel events at such meetings, in order to facilitate the mainstreaming of biodiversity into a range of international processes and to strengthen synergies across diverse processes in support of the implementation of the Framework.

¹⁰ [CBD/MCB/WS/2025/1/2](#), annexes II and III.

V. Recommendations

19. The Subsidiary Body may wish to recommend that, at its seventeenth meeting, the Conference of the Parties adopt a decision¹¹ along the following lines:

The Conference of the Parties,

Reaffirming Articles 3, 4, 5 and 22 of the Convention on Biological Diversity,¹ as well as its decisions [VIII/24](#) of 31 March 2006, [IX/20](#) of 30 May 2008, [X/29](#) of 29 October 2010, [XI/17](#) of 19 October 2012, [XII/22](#) of 17 October 2014, [XIII/12](#) of 17 December 2016, in particular its paragraph 3, [14/9](#) of 29 November 2018, [15/26](#) of 19 December 2022 and [16/16](#) of 30 October 2024,

Recalling resolution 80/110 of 9 December 2025 of the General Assembly of the United Nations on oceans and the law of the sea, including its preambular paragraphs on the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea,²

Recognizing that the description of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas is an important scientific and technical process that contributes to the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework³ and the Agreement under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction,⁴

I

Ecologically or biologically significant marine areas

1. *Decides* to extend the term of the Informal Advisory Group on Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas, and requests the Executive Secretary to continue to facilitate the work of the Group in line with its terms of reference;

2. *Welcomes* the submissions related to the description of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and the modification of existing descriptions, as contained in document CBD/SBSTTA/28/INF/2 and summarized in document CBD/SBSTTA/28/7/Add.1;

3. *Encourages* Parties, other Governments, relevant organizations, indigenous peoples and local communities, women, youth and other stakeholders to contribute to the work on ecologically or biologically significant marine areas under the Convention using the best available scientific information in order to increase the number and coverage of such areas around the world;

4. *Adopts* the voluntary guidelines on peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the criteria for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria, as contained in annex I to the present decision, and encourages Parties and invites other Governments and competent intergovernmental organizations to make use of the guidelines;

5. *Requests* the Executive Secretary to include the submissions referred to in paragraph 2 above in the repository for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and to transmit them to the General Assembly of the United Nations, with a view to informing work under its relevant processes, as well as Parties, other Governments and relevant international organizations;

¹¹ The estimated extrabudgetary resource requirements for the activities outlined in the draft decision are shown in the annex to the present document.

¹ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1760, No. 30619.

² *Ibid.*, vol. 1833, No. 31363.

³ Decision [15/4](#), annex.

⁴ A/CONF.232/2023/4.

6. *Also requests* the Executive Secretary to facilitate and support, as appropriate, efforts to develop submissions for the modification of descriptions of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and the description of new areas, and, subject to the availability of resources, to convene a global expert workshop to synthesize the best available scientific information on such areas beyond national jurisdiction in support of the potential development of future submissions;

II

Conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity

7. *Welcomes* the entry into force of the Agreement under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction;

8. *Expresses* its appreciation to the Governments of Germany, Ireland, Norway and Sweden for their financial support for the organization of the expert workshop on scientific and technical work conducted under the Convention on Biological Diversity relevant to marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction in Bergen, Norway, from 2 to 5 December 2025;

9. *Encourages* Parties and other Governments to strengthen coordination at the national level on issues related to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction;

10. *Also encourages* Parties and other Governments, and invites organizations with competence in areas beyond national jurisdiction, to take into consideration the wide range of tools, guidance and experiences developed under the Convention in their work to conserve and use sustainably marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction, including in the implementation of the Agreement on Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction;

11. *Requests* the Executive Secretary to further cooperate with the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the Office of Legal Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat and organizations with competence in marine areas beyond national jurisdiction, in particular with a view to sharing tools, guidance and experiences developed under the Convention relevant to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction, as appropriate and within the mandates of the respective organizations;

12. *Also requests* the Executive Secretary to prepare updates to the following documents, as appropriate and subject to the availability of resources, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at a meeting held before the eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties:

(a) The scientific guidance for selecting areas to establish a representative network of marine protected areas, including in open ocean waters and deep-sea habitats;⁵

(b) The voluntary specific workplan on biodiversity in cold-water areas within the jurisdictional scope of the Convention;⁶

(c) The voluntary guidelines for the consideration of biodiversity in environmental impact assessments in marine and coastal areas and the draft guidance on biodiversity-inclusive strategic environmental assessment in marine and coastal areas;⁷

13. *Decides* to establish an informal advisory group on work conducted under the Convention in relation to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction in accordance with the terms of reference provided in annex II to the present decision, and

⁵ Decision [IX/20](#), annex II.

⁶ Decision [XIII/11](#), annex II.

⁷ [UNEP/CBD/COP/11/23](#), annex.

requests the Group to provide a report on its progress for consideration at a meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice before the eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

Annex I

Voluntary guidelines on peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the criteria for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria

I. Introduction

1. There are various processes, on a range of scales and in diverse contexts, for describing areas of significance in marine and coastal areas, including the scientific description and mapping of areas meeting the criteria for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas. The processes reflect a range of objectives, contexts and priorities and may be coordinated variously by intergovernmental or governmental entities, and non-governmental organizations or initiatives. Some are focused on specific types of habitats, species or ecological processes, while others have a broader scope. Processes other than those to describe ecologically or biologically significant marine areas may also be linked with management measures or used to inform related management decisions.
2. In its decision [16/16](#), the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity⁸ emphasized that, in developing submissions for descriptions of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas or modifications to those descriptions, proponents should consider the need for a strong scientific basis with sufficient information, as well as the importance of transparency, which are common factors of such processes. In that regard, peer review is a vital factor contributing to the effectiveness of the processes. Peer review can take various forms but is intended to provide an opportunity for experts to evaluate information in order to strengthen its scientific basis and credibility, enhance process transparency and support the consistent application of agreed criteria.
3. In the same decision, the Conference of the Parties requested the Executive Secretary to develop voluntary guidelines on peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the criteria for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria. Elements of the process under the Convention to describe ecologically or biologically significant marine areas that are aimed at providing opportunities for expert review and input include six-month open comment periods, regional workshops, the publication of submissions and comments through an information-sharing mechanism and the requirement for submissions to be considered by the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice and the Conference of the Parties before they are included in the repository for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas.⁹ Submissions of descriptions of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas or the modifications of descriptions can, however, also undergo peer review before being provided to the Secretariat in order to strengthen their scientific quality.

II. Purpose of the voluntary guidelines

4. The present guidelines are aimed at supporting inclusive, effective, scientifically robust, equitable and transparent expert peer-review processes for the description of areas meeting the

⁸ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1760, No. 30619.

⁹ The elements described apply only to certain types of submissions for the description of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and modification of descriptions.

criteria for ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and other relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria.

5. The guidelines are focused exclusively on expert peer reviews as a scientific and technical quality assurance mechanism. They are intended to strengthen the scientific basis of proposed descriptions and support the consistent application of agreed criteria without prejudicing the identification, designation or prescription of management measures by the appropriate authorities.

6. The guidelines are non-prescriptive, are flexible in nature and do not replace broader rights holder or stakeholder consultation processes, for which the objectives and relevant groups and individuals would differ compared with peer-review processes. The guidelines are intended to serve as a reference for good practice in support of relevant decisions of the Conference of the Parties, in particular decision [16/16](#). They are primarily intended to inform the work of those who are managing a peer-review process, but they may also be useful for experts involved in peer reviews.

7. Nothing in the guidelines gives rise to any changes to the modalities adopted in decision [16/16](#), nor any additional steps or requirements with respect to the process for describing ecologically or biologically significant marine areas under the Convention or any processes related to the application of relevant compatible and complementary scientific criteria.

III. Voluntary guidelines

A. Information regarding the criteria for which the area is being proposed

8. Sufficient information regarding the criteria against which the area is being proposed for assessment should be provided to those involved in the peer-review process and should include the following:

- (a) A full overview of the steps of the process for applying the criteria in question, including the steps that have been completed thus far;
- (b) The nature of the criteria that are being applied (e.g. context or objectives) and all requirements, including any associated thresholds, that the area in question must meet;
- (c) An indication of whether the area in question is associated with any other criteria;
- (d) Information on any previous unsuccessful efforts to assess the area against the criteria.

B. Clear justification of why the area meets the criteria

9. Given that the expert review process is focused on ensuring that the proposal is of a high quality, sufficient information should be provided to those involved in the review demonstrating that the area in question meets the criteria, including the following:

- (a) A clear geographical delineation of the area, using appropriate formats, such as geographic information system files or geographical coordinates, and the rationale for the delineation;
- (b) The geographical scope of the exercise or analysis that led to the proposal to describe the area in question as significant;
- (c) An indication of whether the process that led to the proposal was limited to or specifically focused on certain types of features, habitats, species or ecosystem services;
- (d) A clear explanation of the features of the area that contribute to the fulfilment of the relevant criteria, using the best available information and knowledge, including data or data-driven analyses;

(e) The gaps or uncertainties that may exist in the information or knowledge used, including a description of confidence levels, where appropriate, and an explanation of the ways in which the gaps are addressed, where applicable, such as through assumptions, extrapolation, modelling or the use of complementary knowledge sources, including an indication of the associated uncertainty to ensure scientific transparency and robustness;

(f) Full references or citations for all information and knowledge used, including statements on the availability or reproducibility of data, where needed;

(g) Applicable permissions for all information and knowledge used, including the best available science and traditional knowledge of indigenous peoples and local communities, respecting their free, prior and informed consent.

C. Experts engaged in the peer-review process

10. The following factors should be borne in mind when engaging experts in the peer-review process:

(a) A sufficient number of appropriate experts should be engaged, under a clear rationale for their engagement, such as those from various rights holder and stakeholder groups, who would be participating in their expert capacity, including:

(i) Individuals with sufficient scientific knowledge, which may include a range of knowledge systems and experiential knowledge, of the types of features, habitats, species or ecosystem services being discussed, ensuring that the peer-review group includes a sufficient number of experts with knowledge of all the main features of the area (e.g. two experts per area of expertise);

(ii) Individuals with sufficient expertise in the methodological approaches used in the analysis;

(iii) Individuals from indigenous peoples and local communities who have traditional knowledge relevant to the area in question, subject to their free, prior and informed consent;

(iv) Individuals who have not been involved in the preparation of the submission;

(b) Experts should understand and disclose their actual or potential conflicts of interest, potentially using individual, signed, formal declarations, and their motivating factors for engaging in the process, and a consistent approach should be applied to the management of conflicts;

(c) A clear explanation should be provided of the types of input expected from the experts, which may vary depending on their area of expertise, and of the format of the expected final output of the process;

(d) Peer-review methods and timelines should be flexible to reflect the range of regional and institutional contexts, especially where data or resources are limited, without losing scientific reliability.

D. Establishing and conducting the peer-review process

11. The timing of the implementation of a peer-review process should be chosen in such a way that the scientific foundation and quality of the process can be ensured as effectively as possible. The process should be carefully crafted and conducted, incorporating the following features:

(a) Effective and equitable modalities, which may include a combination of approaches, that help to facilitate the robust review of the proposal;

- (b) Clear steps and expected timelines that are consistently respected and clear explanations of any deviations;
- (c) Clear rules of procedure and code of conduct that are set out, explained to everyone involved and consistently respected throughout the process, including rules for:
 - (i) Reporting in any public documentation discussions and information provided during the process;
 - (ii) Deciding when a consensus is expected or required and the steps to be taken when a consensus is not possible;
- (d) Clear plans for the consideration of input received through the process and, where appropriate, for its incorporation and reflection in the output of the process, recognizing that not all input can be incorporated;
- (e) Information on the ways in which the peer-review process influenced the final proposal, including a clear justification for any expert input that is not incorporated into the final output, as well as any dissenting views regarding the final output, in order to ensure transparency and the scientific reliability of the outcome;
- (f) Adherence to any rules, procedures, timelines and expectations articulated at the outset of the process;
- (g) Public availability of the outputs of the process, as well as input received during the process, as appropriate;
- (h) Provisions for the adaptive improvement of the peer-review process for future exercises.

Annex II

Terms of reference for an informal advisory group on work conducted under the Convention in relation to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction

1. The Informal Advisory Group on Work Conducted under the Convention in relation to Marine Biodiversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction shall:

(a) Provide advice to the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity¹⁰ on its work relevant to marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction, in particular on the prioritization of and approaches for possible activities, drawing on opportunities identified during the expert workshop on scientific and technical work conducted under the Convention on Biological Diversity relevant to marine biodiversity in areas beyond national jurisdiction held in Bergen, Norway, from 2 to 5 December 2025;¹¹

(b) Identify timely opportunities for specific areas of cooperation and synergy with the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the Office of Legal Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat (or the future secretariat of the Agreement under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction)¹² and other competent international bodies with respect to the conservation and sustainable use of marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction, drawing on cooperation opportunities identified at the expert workshop noted above;

¹⁰ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 1760, No. 30619.

¹¹ See [CBD/MCB/WS/2025/1/2](#).

¹² A/CONF.232/2023/4.

(c) Provide advice on areas of potential synergy and collaboration with respect to work under the Convention on Biological Diversity and work under the Agreement on Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction, including with respect to potential means and opportunities for the Conference of the Parties of the Convention and its subsidiary bodies to provide the input necessary to work under the Agreement, as appropriate and in line with the mandate and jurisdictional scope of the Convention.

2. The Informal Advisory Group will take into account the mandates and work of other competent intergovernmental organizations with respect to marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction, as well as previous guidance by the Conference of the Parties regarding the role of the Convention with respect to the conservation and sustainable use of marine biodiversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction.

3. The Informal Advisory Group will be composed of up to 20 experts nominated by Parties, up to 10 experts nominated by intergovernmental organizations with competence in biodiversity of marine areas beyond national jurisdiction and up to 5 experts nominated by other relevant organizations. The Executive Secretary, in consultation with the Bureau of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice, will select experts from the nominations with due regard to a diversity of relevant technical expertise, geographical representation, gender balance and the representation of indigenous peoples and local communities, women's and youth groups, and major stakeholders.

4. The Informal Advisory Group is established for a two-year period that may be renewed by a decision of the Conference of the Parties. The members of the Group shall be selected for a two-year period. The work of the Group should continue regardless of any changes in membership.

5. The Informal Advisory Group may invite experts from outside the Group, as appropriate, to contribute to discussions on specific issues considered by the Group.

6. The Informal Advisory Group will primarily conduct its work online and, subject to the availability of resources, will also meet in person.

7. The Informal Advisory Group is to start its work immediately after the seventeenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties and report on its work to the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice at a meeting held before the eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

Annex

Estimated extrabudgetary resource requirements

The table below has been prepared to show the estimated extrabudgetary resource requirements for the activities requested of the Secretariat, as outlined in the draft decision, in support of its consideration. The present annex is not part of the draft decision submitted for consideration by the Subsidiary Body.

Extrabudgetary resource requirements

(United States dollars)

<i>OP</i>	<i>Activity</i>	<i>Meeting costs^a</i>	<i>Staff travel</i>	<i>Staff costs^b</i>	<i>Subtotal</i>	<i>PSC (13 per cent)</i>	<i>Total</i>
1	Support for the work of the EBSA Informal Advisory Group	–	–	108 000	108 000	14 040	122 040
6	Technical analyses and strategic dialogues related to EBSAs	53 200	15 750	45 000	113 950	14 814	128 764
6	Global expert workshop to synthesize the best available scientific information on ecological and biological features in areas beyond national jurisdiction in support of the potential development of future EBSA submissions	101 500	15 750	27 000	144 250	18 753	163 003
12	Consultant work and expert meeting to update documents	93 200	10 000	72 000	175 200	22 776	197 976
13	Support for the work of the Informal Advisory Group on Work Conducted under the Convention in relation to Marine Biodiversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction	78 200	10 000	18 000	106 200	13 806	120 006
Total					647 600	84 188	731 788

Abbreviations: BBNJ, Agreement under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biological Diversity of Areas beyond National Jurisdiction; EBSA, ecologically or biologically significant marine areas; PSC, programme support costs; OP, operative paragraph of the draft decision.

^a Including participants' travel.

^b Consultants, partners and additional staff.