



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: Limited
26 February 2025

Original: English

**Conference of the Parties to the Convention
on Biological Diversity serving as the meeting
of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access
to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable
Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization
Fifth meeting**

Cali, Colombia, 21 October–1 November 2024

Online, 3–6 December 2024

Rome, 25–27 February 2025

Agenda item 18

Adoption of the report

Draft report of the meeting

I. Introduction

A. Background

1. The fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization was held concurrently with the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity and the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. The fifth meeting commenced in Cali, Colombia, on 21 October 2024 and had to be suspended on the morning of 2 November 2024, for lack of a quorum, before all items could be considered in plenary. As a result, a first resumed meeting was held online, under silence procedure, from 3 to 6 December 2024 to consider the budget-related decisions (see item 6 below), and a second resumed meeting was held in person in Rome from 25 to 27 February 2025 to consider all remaining items (see items 1–3, 14 and 17–19 below).

2. The fifth meeting was preceded by a ceremonial opening on 20 October, consisting of a cultural presentation and statements by the President of Colombia, Gustavo Petro Urrego; the Secretary-General of the United Nations, António Guterres; the Minister of Ecology and Environment of China and President of the fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, Runqiu Huang; the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia, María Susana Muhamad González; the Governor of the Department of Valle del Cauca, Dilian Francisca Toro Torres; and the Mayor of Cali, Álvaro Alejandro Eder Garcés.

B. Attendance

3. [to be completed]

II. Proceedings

Item 1

Opening of the meeting

4. The 1st plenary session was held jointly with those of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol, on 21 October.
5. The President of the fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties declared the meetings open at 9.30 a.m. and delivered his opening remarks.
6. Opening remarks were also delivered by the Executive Secretary of the Convention, Astrid Schomaker, and the incoming President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia.¹

Resumed meeting

7. The President of the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, Nneka Nicholas,² opened the second resumed meeting at 10.10 a.m. on 25 February 2025, at the 7th plenary session.³
8. Opening remarks were made by the President, the Executive Secretary and the Deputy Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme, Elizabeth Mrema, on behalf of the Secretary-General.⁴
9. In her opening remarks, the President commended Parties for the important decisions already adopted at the fifth meeting but cautioned that their cooperation and commitment would once again be required for the consideration of the one remaining substantive item, on digital sequence information on genetic resources. She therefore called upon delegates to bring a focus on practicality and, where necessary, a spirit of compromise to their work at the resumed meeting and to build on the positive, constructive and collaborative spirit that had guided their work thus far, to ensure a successful outcome.

Item 2

Organizational matters

10. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol considered agenda item 2 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a provisional agenda,⁵ an annotated provisional agenda⁶ and a proposed organization of work.⁷ An information document on the procedures for elections to fill the positions of vice-presidents of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and chairs of the intergovernmental subsidiary bodies had also been issued in relation to item 2.⁸

Election of the President

11. Pursuant to Article 26, paragraph 3, of the Nagoya Protocol, the Bureau of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention also served as the Bureau of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. As Colombia was not a Party to the Nagoya Protocol,

¹ See CBD/COP/16/13, paras. X–XX, for a summary of opening remarks.

² See “Election of the President” under item 2.

³ The first resumed meeting, representing the 6th plenary session, was held online under silence procedure from 3 to 6 December 2024 (see item 6).

⁴ See CBD/COP/16/13, paras. xx–xx, for a summary of opening remarks for the second resumed meeting.

⁵ CBD/NP/MOP/5/1.

⁶ CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.1.

⁷ CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.2.

⁸ CBD/NP/MOP/5/INF/2.

however, the President of the sixteenth meeting of the Parties could not serve as President of the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. Therefore, in accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure for meetings of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, which apply *mutatis mutandis* under the Protocol, at its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol elected Nneka Nicholas (Antigua and Barbuda) as President of its fifth meeting.

Election of substitute officers

12. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure for meetings of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, which apply *mutatis mutandis* to meetings of the Parties to the Protocol, 10 members of the Bureau had been elected by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention at its fifteenth meeting to serve until the closure of the sixteenth meeting. Subsequently, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol had elected substitute Bureau members for those regions where the Bureau member was representing a Party to the Convention that was not a Party to the Protocol. The Bureau for the fifth meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol thus comprised the following members, in addition to the President:

Khangeziwe Mabuza (Eswatini)⁹
 Moustafa Fouda (Egypt)
 Krishneel Nand (Fiji)
 Somaly Chan (Cambodia)
 Sino Tohirzoda (Tajikistan)
 Angela Lozan (Republic of Moldova)
 Jesús Guerra Bell (Cuba)
 Corina Sarli (Argentina)
 Eric Schauls (Luxembourg)
 Norbert Bärlocher (Switzerland)

13. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol agreed that Ms. Chan would serve as Rapporteur for the meeting, as proposed by the Bureau.

14. At its 3rd plenary session, on 30 October, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention elected the following representatives to serve as members of its Bureau for a term of office commencing upon the closure of its sixteenth meeting, and hence as the Bureau for the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol upon the closure of its fifth meeting:

Joséphine Thérèse Babette Beyala Epse Eloundou (Cameroon)
 Jonas Komi Anthé (Togo)
 Bilal Qteshat (Jordan)
 Illam Atho Mohamed (Maldives)
 Adla Kahrić (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 Jakhongir Talipov (Uzbekistan)
 Corina Sarli (Argentina)
 Gillian Guthrie (Jamaica)
 Gaute Hanssen (Norway)

⁹ Replacing Hlobisile Sikhosana (Eswatini), who had been elected by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention at the resumed second part of its fifteenth meeting.

Eric Schauls (Luxembourg)

15. At its 4th plenary session, on 31 October, in accordance with Article 26, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol elected Ditta Greguss (Hungary), Kumar Mambetaliev (Kyrgyzstan) and Jeremiah Edmund (Saint Lucia) as members of the Bureau for the Nagoya Protocol, substituting for Adla Kahrić (Bosnia and Herzegovina), Jakhongir Talipov (Uzbekistan) and Gillian Guthrie (Jamaica), as Bosnia and Herzegovina, Uzbekistan and Jamaica were not party to the Protocol.

Adoption of the agenda

16. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the Bureau:

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Organizational matters.
3. Report on the credentials of representatives to the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol.
4. Reports of subsidiary bodies.
5. Report of the Compliance Committee.
6. Administration of the Protocol and budget for the trust funds.
7. Financial mechanism and resources.
8. Capacity-building and development and awareness-raising.
9. Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House and information-sharing.
10. Assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol.
11. Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.
12. Review of effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols.
13. Enhancing the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in the context of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework.
14. Digital sequence information on genetic resources.
15. Specialized international access and benefit-sharing instruments in the context of Article 4, paragraph 4, of the Nagoya Protocol.
16. Global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism.
17. Other matters.
18. Adoption of the report.
19. Closure of the meeting.

Organization of work

17. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol agreed to organize its work as set out in annex III to the proposed organization of work.¹⁰ It consequently agreed to establish two working groups. Working Group I would be chaired by Charlotta Sörqvist (Sweden) and Working Group II by Hesiquio Benítez Díaz (Mexico).

¹⁰ CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.2.

Work of sessional working groups

18. Working Group I held six sessions between 21 October and 1 November 2024 and adopted its report¹¹ on 1 November 2024.

19. Working Group II held 12 sessions between 21 October and 1 November 2024 and adopted its report¹² on 1 November 2024.

First stocktake session

20. The 2nd plenary session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, on 25 October, served in part as a stocktake session held jointly with the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol. During the stocktake session, the Chairs of Working Group I, Working Group II and the contact group on budgetary matters reported on the progress made to date.

21. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States Parties to the Protocol represented at the meeting) and Lao People's Democratic Republic (on behalf of the States members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations Parties to the Protocol and represented at the meeting).

22. A statement was also made by a representative of the following non-Party: Russian Federation (on behalf of Brazil, China, Egypt, Ethiopia, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of),¹³ South Africa and the United Arab Emirates).

Second stocktake session

23. The 3rd plenary session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol served in part as a second stocktake session held jointly with the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol. During the stocktake session, representatives heard a report from the President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on the outcomes of the high-level segment, as well as reports by the Chairs of Working Group I, Working Group II and the contact group on budgetary matters on the progress made to date.

24. A statement was made by a representative of the Plurinational State of Bolivia (also on behalf of Antigua and Barbuda, Brazil, Cook Islands, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Fiji, Guatemala, Haiti, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Malaysia, the Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Nauru, Palau, the Philippines, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Vanuatu, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) and the African States).¹⁴

First resumed meeting

25. Ahead of the first resumed meeting, the Secretariat issued an opening communication from the President¹⁵ and a scenario note¹⁶ for the adoption under silence procedure of two budget-related draft decisions.¹⁷ The result of the silence procedures is described under item 6 below.

Second resumed meeting

¹¹ CBD/NP/MOP/5/WGI/L.1.

¹² CBD/NP/MOP/WGII/L.1.

¹³ Not a Party to the Nagoya Protocol.

¹⁴ The Cook Islands, Haiti, Nauru and some African States are not Parties to the Protocol.

¹⁵ Available at www.cbd.int/meetings/NP-MOP-05-R1, together with all documents pertaining to the online resumed meeting.

¹⁶ [CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.3](http://www.cbd.int/mop/5/1/Add.3).

¹⁷ CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.14/Rev.1 and CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.15.

26. At its 7th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties had before it an annotated provisional agenda for all outstanding agenda items¹⁸ and a proposed organization of work and scenario note,¹⁹ both of which had been prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the Bureau.

27. The Conference of the Parties approved the proposed scenario note, as set out in section II of document CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.5, and the proposed organization of work, as set out in the annex to the same document.

Item 3

Report on the credentials of representatives to the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol

28. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol noted that, in accordance with the relevant rules of procedure for meetings of the Parties, the Bureau had reviewed the list of observers admitted to the meeting²⁰ and would examine the credentials of delegations and report thereon at a later session. It also noted that the Bureau had designated Ms. Mabuza as its representative for the review of credentials.

29. At the 2nd plenary session, Ms. Mabuza reported that 125 Parties to the Protocol were registered as attending the meeting. Representatives of 92 registered Parties had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 33 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials.

30. At the 3rd plenary session, Ms. Mabuza reported that 128 Parties to the Protocol were registered as attending the meeting. Representatives of 98 registered Parties had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 30 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials.

31. At the 4th plenary session, the President informed delegates that the report on credentials had been issued as information document CBD/NP/MOP/5/INF/4. In addition, the representatives of Tajikistan had submitted fully compliant credentials, bringing the number of Parties that had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure to 99 for the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.

32. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of, and approved, the report on credentials.²¹

Second resumed meeting

33. At the 8th plenary session, on 26 February 2025, Ms. Mabuza informed the Conference of the Parties that 116 Parties were registered as attending the second resumed meeting. Representatives of 99 registered Parties had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 17 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials. The report on credentials for the second resumed meeting had been issued as information document [CBD/NP/MOP/11/INF/5](#).

34. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of, and approved, the report on credentials.

¹⁸ [CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.4](#).

¹⁹ [CBD/NP/MOP/5/1/Add.5](#).

²⁰ [CBD/NP/MOP/5/INF/3](#), annex.

²¹ The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela submitted credentials after the report on credentials had been issued, and a revised report ([CBD/NP/MOP/5/INF/4/Rev.1](#)) was issued on 26 November with updated data.

Item 4

Reports of subsidiary bodies

35. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 4 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it the following reports on intersessional work undertaken by various bodies since its fourth meeting: the reports of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation on its fourth and fifth meetings²² and the reports of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Benefit-sharing from the Use of Digital Sequence Information on Genetic Resources on its first and second meetings.²³

36. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol heard oral reports from the Chair of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation and the representative of the Chair of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Benefit-sharing.

37. Statements were made by the representatives of the following Parties: Democratic Republic of the Congo and Egypt (speaking in his capacity as Rapporteur of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its fifth meeting).

38. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of the information provided and agreed to consider the recommendations of the various bodies in the working groups, under the relevant agenda items.

Item 5

Report of the Compliance Committee

39. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 5 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a draft decision²⁴ and the report of the Compliance Committee under the Nagoya Protocol on the work of its fourth meeting.²⁵

40. The Chair of the Compliance Committee under the Nagoya Protocol presented the report of the Compliance Committee on the work of its fourth meeting.

41. In accordance with the organization of work, substantive matters arising from the report were referred to Working Group II for consideration.

42. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol decided to extend by two more years the term of the five members of the Compliance Committee listed in table A of the annex to notification [No. 2024-092](#) of 12 September 2024 issued by the Secretariat in relation to the nomination and election of members of the Committee. It also decided to hold elections at a later session in relation to the 10 members and the two observers from indigenous peoples and local communities whose terms would end on 31 December 2024, as listed in table B of the annex to the notification.

43. At the 4th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol elected the following members and observers from indigenous peoples and local communities of the Compliance Committee for a four-year term beginning on 1 January 2025:

Somaly Chan (Cambodia)

Park Won Seog (Republic of Korea)

Betty Kauna Schröder (Namibia)

El Khitma el-Awad Mohammed (Sudan)

²² CBD/SBI/4/17 and CBD/SBI/5/4, respectively.

²³ CBD/WGDSI/1/3 and CBD/WGDSI/2/3, respectively.

²⁴ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 5.

²⁵ CBD/NP/MOP/5/3.

Peter Maňka (Slovakia)
Sino Tohirzoda (Tajikistan)
Eliška Rolfova (Czechia) (as alternate)
José Alfredo Hernández Ugalde (Costa Rica)
María Julieta Ansaldi (Argentina)
Katie Becket (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)
Mery Ciacchi (European Union)
Gladman Chibememe (indigenous peoples and local communities)
Viviana Figueroa (indigenous peoples and local communities)
Allan John Locke (Australia) (as alternate)

44. Working Group II considered agenda item 5 at its 1st session, on 21 October.
45. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil and European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol.
46. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.
47. At its 3rd session, on 23 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair and approved it for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.2.
48. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.2, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II.
49. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Democratic Republic of the Congo, Fiji and Peru.
50. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the draft decision, as orally amended, as decision NP-5/1.

Item 6

Administration of the Protocol and budget for the trust funds

51. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 6 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a draft decision²⁶ and notes by the Secretariat on the administration of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2023–2024,²⁷ the proposed budget for the programmes of work of the Convention, the Cartagena Protocol and the Nagoya Protocol for the biennium 2025–2026,²⁸ the requirements for the trust fund for additional voluntary contributions in support of approved activities²⁹ and the functional review of the Secretariat.³⁰
52. The Executive Secretary reported on the activities of the Secretariat and presented the proposed budget for the programmes of work of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2025–2026.

²⁶ CBD/COP/16/4/Add.1, item 7.

²⁷ CBD/NP/MOP/5/4.

²⁸ CBD/NP/MOP/5/5.

²⁹ CBD/NP/MOP/5/5/Add.1.

³⁰ CBD/NP/MOP/5/5/Add.2.

53. The Conference of the Parties to the Convention, serving also as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols, established a contact group on budgetary matters, chaired by Charles Gbedemah (Ghana), with the mandate to consider the proposed budget for the biennium 2025–2026 in detail.
54. In addition, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, serving also as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols, established a group of friends of the President, facilitated by Mr. Gbedemah, to address the matters discussed by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation in relation to the process for appointing future executive secretaries.
55. At its 5th plenary session, on 1 November, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, serving also as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols, heard a report from the Chair of the contact group on budgetary matters, also in his capacity of facilitator of the group of friends of the President.
56. Owing to the loss of a quorum, however, discussions had to be suspended before they could be completed (see item 19 below).
57. From 3 to 6 December 2024, the Conference of the Parties held its 6th session, by means of an online resumed meeting, to consider the adoption under silence procedure of draft decisions [CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.14/Rev.1](#) and [CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.15](#), submitted by the President.
58. The silence procedures having concluded on 6 December without the Parties raising any objections during the period specified for breaking silence, the President issued a closing communication informing the Parties that the two draft decisions were considered as adopted, as decisions [NP-5/12](#) and [NP-5/13](#), respectively.

Item 7

Financial mechanism and resources

59. Working Group I considered agenda item 7 at its 2nd session, on 22 October. It had before it a draft decision³¹ and a note by the Secretariat on the financial mechanism and resources.³²
60. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Central African Republic, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Kenya, Switzerland and Togo.
61. Working Group I agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the views that had been expressed into account.
62. At its 3rd session, on 25 October, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.
63. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol and Togo.
64. Working Group I approved the revised draft decision, except for subparagraph 3 (e), which it referred to the contact group on the financial mechanism established under item 11 of the agenda for the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention.
65. At its 5th session, on 31 October, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussions in the contact group.
66. A statement was made by a representative of Brazil.
67. Working Group I approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.10.

³¹ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 7.

³² [CBD/NP/MOP/5/6](#).

68. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.10, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision NP-5/2.

Item 8

Capacity-building and development and awareness-raising

69. Working Group II considered agenda item 8 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision.³³
70. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for consideration at a later session.
71. At its 4th session, on 24 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.
72. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, India, Mexico, Namibia, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Saudi Arabia, Togo, United Kingdom, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.
73. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.4.
74. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.4, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II.
75. The President and the representative of the Secretariat introduced oral amendments to the draft decision.
76. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the draft decision, as orally amended, as decision NP-5/3.

Item 9

Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House and information-sharing

77. Working Group II considered agenda item 9 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision³⁴ and a note by the Secretariat on progress in the operation of the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House.³⁵
78. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, Guatemala, India, Morocco and Togo.
79. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for consideration at a later session.
80. At its 3rd session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.
81. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone (on behalf of the African States Parties to the Protocol) and United Kingdom.

³³ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 8.

³⁴ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 9.

³⁵ CBD/NP/MOP/5/7.

82. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.3.

83. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.3, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II.

84. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon and Uganda.

85. Following the exchange of views, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol agreed to resume its consideration of the draft decision at a later session, once a small group, consisting of representatives of Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt and Gabon, in addition to the representative of Argentina as facilitator, had succeeded in resolving the outstanding issue.

86. At its 3rd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol resumed its consideration of draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.3 and heard a report from the representative of Argentina on the changes agreed by the small group.

87. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the draft decision, as orally amended, as decision NP-5/4.

Item 10

Assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol

88. Working Group II considered agenda item 10 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision.³⁶

89. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for consideration at a later session.

90. At its 4th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

91. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol and Kenya.

92. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L5.

93. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.5, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision NP-5/5.

Item 11

Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives

94. Working Group II considered agenda item 11 at its 2nd session, on 22 October. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.³⁷

95. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina and Togo.

³⁶ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 10.

³⁷ CBD/NP/MOP/5/8.

96. Statements were also made by representatives of the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the United Nations, the Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and the World Health Organization.

97. Working Group II took note of the information contained in document [CBD/NP/MOP/5/8](#).

Item 12

Review of effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols

98. Working Group I considered agenda item 12 at its 3rd session, in conjunction with agenda item 27 of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and agenda item 10 of the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol. It had before it three draft decisions: one on options to further improve the effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols; another on procedures for convening virtual and hybrid meetings; and a third on a procedure for avoiding or managing conflicts of interest in expert groups.³⁸

99. The Chair established a group of friends of the Chair, facilitated by Joaquín Salzberg (Argentina), with the mandate to discuss and reach agreement on the first two draft decisions.

(i) *Options to further improve the effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols*

100. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussions in the group of friends of the Chair.

101. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol and United Kingdom.

102. A statement was also made by a representative of the following non-Party: Russian Federation.

103. Working Group I approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.11.

104. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.11, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision NP-5/10.

(ii) *Procedures for convening virtual and hybrid meetings*

105. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussions in the group of friends of the Chair.

106. A statement was made by a representative of Argentina.

107. Working Group I approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.9.

108. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.9, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision NP-5/9.

(iii) *Procedure for avoiding or managing conflicts of interest in expert group*

109. With respect to the third draft decision, the Working Group I agreed that the Chair would prepare a draft decision for its consideration.

110. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a draft decision submitted by the Chair, which was identical to the draft decision in document CBD/NP/MOP/5/2.

³⁸ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 12.

111. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Canada, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Guatemala, Norway and United Republic of Tanzania.

112. Working Group I approved the draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.12.

113. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.12, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision NP-5/11.

114. During adoption, a representative of the Democratic Republic of the Congo expressed a reservation, noting that, as the draft decision was only available in English at the time of consideration, he had only agreed to consider it on an exceptional basis.

Item 13

Enhancing the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in the context of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

115. Working Group II considered agenda item 13 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision³⁹ and a note by the Secretariat on enhancing the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in the context of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework.⁴⁰

116. A statement was made by a representative of the European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol.

117. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for consideration at a later session.

118. At its 4th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

119. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Kenya and Peru.

120. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.6.

121. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.6, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision NP-5/6.

Item 14

Digital sequence information on genetic resources

122. Working Group I considered agenda item 14 at its 1st session, in conjunction with item 9 of the agenda for the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention. The reports of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Benefit-sharing from the Use of Digital Sequence Information on Genetic Resources on its first⁴¹ and second⁴² meetings had been made available as reference documents.

123. At its [to be completed] plenary session, on [DATE], the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.13, submitted by the President. [To be completed]

³⁹ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 13.

⁴⁰ [CBD/NP/MOP/5/9](#).

⁴¹ CBD/WGDSI/1/3.

⁴² CBD/WGDSI/2/3.

Item 15

Specialized international access and benefit-sharing instruments in the context of Article 4, paragraph 4, of the Nagoya Protocol

124. Working Group II considered agenda item 15 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision.⁴³

125. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Ms. Ciacchi (European Commission) and Patience Gandiwa (Zimbabwe), with a mandate to address the unresolved issues in the draft decision.

126. At its 12th session, on 1 November, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

127. A statement was made by a representative of Brazil.

128. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.8.

129. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.8, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision NP-5/8.

Item 16

Global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism

130. Working Group II considered agenda item 16 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision⁴⁴ and a note by the Secretariat on the global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism.⁴⁵

131. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Egypt, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, Morocco, Switzerland, Togo, Uganda and United Kingdom.

132. At its 10th session, on 31 October, Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the views that had been expressed into account.

133. A statement was made by a representative of Togo.

134. At its 12th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

135. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil and Morocco.

136. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.7.

137. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.7, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision NP-5/7.

Item 17

Other matters

138. [to be completed]

⁴³ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 15.

⁴⁴ CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 16.

⁴⁵ CBD/NP/MOP/5/10.

Item 18

Adoption of the report

139. [to be completed]

Item 19

Closure of the meeting

140. At the 5th plenary session, a roll call was held pursuant to a request from a representative of Panama to verify the number of Parties present and determine whether decisions could be taken in accordance with rule 30 of the rules of procedure. As a quorum was not met, the President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties proposed to suspend the meeting.

141. The President subsequently suspended the meeting at 8.30 a.m. on 2 November 2024.

142. [to be completed]
