



Distr.: Limited
11 August 2026
Original: English

Subsidiary Body on Implementation**Seventh meeting**

Nairobi, 4–12 August 2026

Agenda item 16

Adoption of the report**Draft report of the meeting****Introduction**

1. The seventh meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation was held in Nairobi from 4 to 12 August 2026. The meeting was attended by xx Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity [to be completed].

Item 1**Opening of the meeting**

2. The meeting was opened at 10.10 a.m. on 4 August 2026 by the Chair, Clarissa Souza Della Nina (Brazil).
3. The Chair welcomed participants, noting that the meeting was the last opportunity before the seventeenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to tackle the important implementation issues that had been discussed since the adoption of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework in 2022. The Subsidiary Body had a full agenda for the meeting and a clear purpose, which was to equip Parties with recommendations that would help to accelerate the implementation of the Convention, its Protocols and the Framework. Every agenda item before the Subsidiary Body mattered and deserved its full attention in order to help Parties to achieve desired impacts of the Convention and Framework. The challenge was to work through each item efficiently and collaboratively, while remembering that the recommendations would ultimately affect the well-being of populations, the ecological foundations of their economies and the health of the planet. She encouraged delegates to be concise in their interventions and solution-oriented in their negotiations and endeavour to finalize clear and clean text so as to alleviate the burden on Parties at the meetings in Yerevan.
4. Opening statements¹ were made by the Deputy Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Elizabeth Mrema, on behalf of the Executive Director of UNEP, Inger Andersen, the Executive Secretary of the Convention, Astrid Schomaker, and the Second Secretary of the Embassy of Colombia in Kenya, Andrés Duque Solís, on behalf of the President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, Irene Vélez Torres.

¹ Statements made during the meeting and submitted in writing by participants are available at www.cbd.int/meetings/sbi-07. Where Party representatives requested that a statement be reflected in the report, a hyperlink to the statement in question has been provided in a footnote next to the name of the Party.

5. After welcoming delegates to Nairobi, the Deputy Executive Director of UNEP recalled that, on adoption, the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework had captured the scale of the biodiversity crisis and the ambition of the global response, providing a road map to halt and reverse biodiversity loss by 2030. It had succeeded in generating unprecedented political commitment, with 170 Parties having submitted national biodiversity targets to date. Nevertheless, the first global review of collective progress in its implementation clearly indicated that delivery remained uncertain; fewer than half the Parties reported being on track to achieve any of the global targets by 2030; and national targets collectively were insufficient to achieve the shared global goals. The present meeting was therefore an important opportunity to review implementation progress, strengthen approaches to capacity-building and technical and scientific cooperation and advance work on mainstreaming biodiversity. Delivering the full implementation of the Framework would require a whole-of-government and whole-of-society approach, hence partnerships mattered; South-South cooperation and regional support centres were increasingly important in helping countries to accelerate implementation. For the United Nations, the UN80 Initiative was an opportunity to strengthen the way in which it supported Parties in implementing the Framework, by facilitating access to expertise, strengthening technical assistance and ensuring that countries could draw on the full breadth of knowledge and capacities available across the United Nations system. In close alignment with the objective of UN80 work package 27, on the environment, UNEP worked with countries to translate global ambition into national action and local results, through its biodiversity portfolio, the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) Accelerator Partnership, enabling activities funded by the Global Environment Facility, the United Nations Biodiversity Lab and its wider network of technical partnerships. There was a clear opportunity to strengthen cooperation and partnerships across multilateral environmental agreements and the wider United Nations system, improve coherence and efficiency, reduce duplication and make better use of collective expertise and resources to help to accelerate implementation. Recalling that the Secretary-General had urged Parties to “pick up the pace, with ambition and accountability”, she wished delegates productive discussions and success in their work ahead.

6. The Executive Secretary also welcomed the participants, and thanked various Governments, the European Union and the Fridtjof Nansen Institute for providing financial support for the participation of delegates from 118 Parties. She noted that the 2026 United Nations Biodiversity Conference would mark the halfway point in the implementation of the Framework and was expected to produce numerous momentous decisions, including in the context of the global report on collective progress in the implementation of the Framework. The recommendations of the Subsidiary Body would therefore be central to the success of the Framework. The global report had shown that more needed to be done if Parties were to achieve its 23 global targets. Thus far, progress on targets had been uneven, often because of persistent imbalances in public policies and investment decisions. Although investment for nature had grown steadily, it was still far outpaced by the scale of investment in activities that harmed biodiversity. That could be addressed only through multilateral and national action. The case for swifter and bolder biodiversity action had never been more compelling. Acting for nature required acknowledging that today’s risks were tomorrow’s staggering costs, that sustainable consumption and production and nature-based solutions were the best insurance policy and that science was an ally. The Subsidiary Body needed to leverage the commitment and contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities, women, young people, scientific organizations, the private sector, subnational governments, cities and other actors to fulfil the promise of the Framework.

7. The Second Secretary said that the time for making commitments had passed and it was now time to tackle the challenge of turning the Framework into concrete results for nature and people. In Cali, Parties had demonstrated that with political will and common purpose, ambitious agreements were possible. It was up to the Subsidiary Body to translate those agreements into action that strengthened implementation. Each item on the agenda was essential to achieving the goals of the Framework by 2030. The first global review offered a historic opportunity to identify opportunities, share experiences and strengthen implementation. Resource mobilization remained a priority; in

addition to strengthening funding and the financial mechanism itself, Parties should focus on implementing the Multilateral Mechanism for the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits from the Use of Digital Sequence Information on Genetic Resources, including the Cali Fund, by developing the pending technical aspects. Implementation also occurred on the ground and could therefore be accelerated by invigorating multilevel governance, promoting the exchange of experiences and building alliances among levels of government. That required strengthened capacities, scientific and technical cooperation, knowledge-sharing and access to information. Action on the structural causes of biodiversity loss was also required, thus the holding of the first Conference on Transitioning Away from Fossil Fuels, held in Santa Marta, Colombia. That event had complemented the implementation of the Framework and would hopefully contribute to greater integration of the biodiversity, climate and sustainable development agendas. Overall, the message from Cali was that implementation required integrating agendas, connecting institutions, maximizing capacities, mobilizing resources and building alliances to turn international commitments into real transformation on the ground. In the spirit of the new way of working proposed at Cali, based on cooperation, trust between the Parties, scientific and traditional knowledge, inclusion of diverse actors and the conviction that peace with nature was possible only if Parties acted together with a sense of urgency, he wished delegates a productive and constructive meeting that brought the world closer to a shared vision of living in harmony with nature.

Item 2

Organizational matters: adoption of the agenda and organization of work

8. At its 1st plenary session, on 4 August, the Subsidiary Body agreed that Bilal Qtishat (Jordan) would act as Rapporteur for the meeting.
9. The Rapporteur made a statement of thanks on behalf of all participants.
10. At the same session, the Subsidiary Body considered the provisional agenda for the meeting,² the annotated provisional agenda³ and the proposed organization of work set out in annex I of the scenario note.⁴
11. The Subsidiary Body adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda:
 1. Opening of the meeting.
 2. Organizational matters: adoption of the agenda and organization of work.
 3. Planning, monitoring, reporting and review: global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework.
 4. Resource mobilization and financial mechanism:
 - (a) Resource mobilization;
 - (b) Financial mechanism.
 5. Digital sequence information on genetic resources.
 6. Fifth assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and midterm evaluation of the implementation plan and the capacity-building action plan for the Protocol.
 7. Second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization.

² [CBD/SBI/7/1](#).

³ [CBD/SBI/7/1/Add.1/Rev.1](#).

⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/1/Add.2](#).

8. Synthetic biology: thematic action plan to support capacity-building and development, access to and transfer of technology and knowledge-sharing.
 9. Engagement with subnational governments, cities and other local authorities to enhance the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework.
 10. Capacity-building and development and technical and scientific cooperation.
 11. Clearing-house mechanism and knowledge management.
 12. Mainstreaming of biodiversity within and across sectors.
 13. Communication, education and public awareness.
 14. Administrative and budgetary matters.
 15. Other matters.
 16. Adoption of the report.
 17. Closure of the meeting.
12. The Subsidiary Body also approved the proposed organization of work.
13. A statement was made by a representative of Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States).

Item 3

Planning, monitoring, reporting and review: global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

14. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 3 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Framework,⁵ which contained a draft recommendation for consideration by the Subsidiary Body, as well as an addendum containing the summary, conclusions and key messages of the global review.⁶ The draft global report on collective progress in the implementation of the Framework was set out in a document issued for the twenty-eighth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice,⁷ while the methodologies used in the preparation of the global report and information on its peer review were contained in information documents issued for the same meeting.⁸ The following information documents were also of relevance: a note by the Secretariat on the status of resources approved by the Global Environment Facility for readiness and early actions to implement the Framework and preparation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national reports;⁹ and an analysis of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national targets established by Parties aligned with the Framework.¹⁰ Also of relevance were the reports of the meetings of the Ad Hoc Scientific and Technical Advisory Group for the Preparation of the Global Report on Collective Progress in the Implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework and documents produced for the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth meetings of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice, the sixth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation and the first meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Article 8(j) and Other Provisions of the Convention on Biological Diversity Related to Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities, which could be found on the respective web pages for those meetings. In addition, in its recommendation 28/1, the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice had invited the Subsidiary Body on Implementation to agree to the inclusion

⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/2/Rev.1](#).

⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/2/Add.1](#).

⁷ [CBD/SBSTTA/28/2/Add.1/Rev.1](#).

⁸ [CBD/SBSTTA/28/INF/9](#) and [CBD/SBSTTA/28/INF/13](#), respectively.

⁹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/17](#).

¹⁰ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/22](#).

of that recommendation's provisions on the scientific, technical and technological aspects of the global report in the draft decisions to be submitted for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its seventeenth meeting.

15. One of the Co-Chairs of the Advisory Group presented a brief update on the Group's work.

16. As the modalities for the early submission of statements¹¹ had been implemented for agenda item 3, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat,¹² the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex I to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

17. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 3: Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chad, Costa Rica, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Guatemala, Iceland, Japan, Lesotho, Malaysia, Maldives, Mexico, Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Sudan, Suriname, Switzerland, Tunisia, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Yemen.

18. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 3: Alliance of Bioversity International and International Center for Tropical Agriculture, BirdLife International, CBD Women's Caucus, Friends of the Earth Malaysia, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), Rainforest Action Network, Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors (Business for Nature), Secretariat of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Women4Biodiversity and World Wide Fund for Nature International.

19. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Armenia, Bahrain, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Ghana (on behalf of the African States), India, Indonesia, Kenya, Nigeria, Peru, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, South Africa, Uganda, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.

20. Representatives of the Russian Federation and Ukraine exercised their right of reply.

21. A representative of Nigeria raised a point of order.

22. A statement was also made in session by a representative of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

23. Further statements were made in session by representatives of California Environmental Voters, the CBD Women's Caucus, Friends of the Earth Malaysia, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

24. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group on agenda item 3, co-chaired by Sanne Kruid (Kingdom of the Netherlands) and Sivendra Michael (Fiji), with a mandate to review the draft recommendation, basing its work on text prepared by the Chair with the assistance of the Secretariat.

25. [to be completed]

¹¹ [CBD/SBI/7/1/Add.2](#), annex II.

¹² Nos. [2026-055](#) and [2026-060](#).

Item 4**Resource mobilization and financial mechanism****(a) Resource mobilization**

26. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda sub-item 4 (a) at its 2nd plenary session, on 4 August. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on resource mobilization,¹³ which included a draft recommendation, as well as four addenda containing notes by the Secretariat on proposals for the best periodicity of notifications for reviewing and amending the list established in accordance with Article 20, paragraph 2, of the Convention, considering potential opportunities for broadening the contributor base,¹⁴ the review of the implementation of the strategy for resource mobilization for the period 2025–2030,¹⁵ further development of criteria for the institutional structure to operate the financial mechanism¹⁶ and impediments to the effectiveness of global biodiversity finance.¹⁷ Notes by the Secretariat had also been issued in relation to the sub-item as information documents on the following: proposals for the best periodicity of notifications for reviewing and amending the list established in accordance with Article 20, paragraph 2 of the Convention,¹⁸ review of implementation of the strategy for resource mobilization for the period 2025–2030¹⁹ and opportunities to strengthen tracking of the various sources of biodiversity finance.²⁰

27. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for sub-item 4 (a), with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex II to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

28. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under sub-item 4 (a): Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chad, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Lesotho, Malaysia, Maldives, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Peru, Republic of Korea, Switzerland, Timor-Leste and United Kingdom.

29. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under sub-item 4 (a): CBD Women's Caucus, Global Impact, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, Greenpeace International, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, International Union for Conservation of Nature, Organización Nacional de los Pueblos Indígenas de la Amazonía Colombiana, Rainforest Action Network, Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, The Nature Conservancy, Third World Network, UNDP, Women4Biodiversity and World Wide Fund for Nature International.

30. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Armenia, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Botswana, Brazil (on behalf of the Latin American and Caribbean States), Canada (also on behalf of Australia, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Switzerland and United Kingdom), Chile, China, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Dominican Republic, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Namibia, Nigeria, Panama, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa (as an individual Party and, separately, on behalf of

¹³ [CBD/SBI/7/3](#).

¹⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/3/Add.1](#).

¹⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/3/Add.2](#).

¹⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/3/Add.3](#).

¹⁷ [CBD/SBI/7/3/Add.4](#).

¹⁸ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/18](#).

¹⁹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/19](#).

²⁰ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/20](#).

the Group of Like-minded Megadiverse Countries), United Republic of Tanzania, Yemen and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

31. Statements were also made by representatives of the Global Youth Biodiversity Network, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and the Third World Network.

32. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Lucretia Landmann (Switzerland) and Francis Meri Sabino Ogwal (Uganda), with the mandate to work on the draft recommendation. Specifically, in line with the mandate given to the Subsidiary Body in paragraph 24 of decision [16/34](#), the group would work on the following tasks: further development of the criteria for the institutional structure operating the financial mechanism; identification of the impediments to the effectiveness of global biodiversity finance; and discussion of opportunities for broadening the contributor base and of the ways to advance the review and amendment of the list set up in accordance with Article 20, paragraph 2, of the Convention. The group would also discuss the next steps to be taken with regard to the road maps set out in the same decision.

33. [to be completed]

(b) Financial mechanism

34. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda sub-item 4 (b) at its 3rd plenary session, on 5 August. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the financial mechanism,²¹ which contained a draft recommendation, and an addendum setting out the executive summary of the preliminary draft of the report of the Global Environment Facility for the seventeenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties.²² The preliminary draft report itself was set out in an information document.²³ An information document on the status of resources approved by the Facility for readiness and early actions to implement the Framework and preparation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national reports²⁴ had also been issued in relation to the sub-item.

35. A representative of the Facility made a brief presentation on the preliminary report of the Facility Council to the Conference of the Parties at its seventeenth meeting.

36. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for sub-item 4 (b), with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex III to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

37. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under sub-item 4 (b): Brazil, Canada, Chad, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Maldives, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Peru, Switzerland, Timor-Leste, United Kingdom and Yemen.

38. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under sub-item 4 (b): CBD Women's Caucus, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, OHCHR, UNDP and Women4Biodiversity.

39. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahamas (on behalf of the Latin American and Caribbean States), Bahrain, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small

²¹ [CBD/SBI/7/4](#).

²² [CBD/SBI/7/4/Add.1](#).

²³ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/13](#).

²⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/17](#).

island developing States), Gabon, India, Indonesia, Maldives, Morocco, Namibia, Panama, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Uganda and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

40. Statements were also made in session by representatives of the CBD Women's Caucus, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

41. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Inka Gnittke (Germany) and Ye Wang (China), with the mandate to prepare a draft recommendation on the financial mechanism, taking into account the views expressed in the plenary session and submitted in writing and basing its work on text prepared by the Chair with the assistance of the Secretariat.

42. [to be completed]

Item 5

Digital sequence information on genetic resources

43. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 5 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on digital sequence information on genetic resources,²⁵ which included elements of a draft recommendation, and addenda containing an executive summary of the study commissioned on tools and models, such as databases, for making digital sequence information on genetic resources publicly available and accessible in a transparent and accountable manner²⁶ and the review methodology of effectiveness of the Multilateral Mechanism for the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits from the Use of Digital Sequence Information on Genetic Resources, including the Cali Fund.²⁷ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to agenda item 5: a synthesis of submission of views on possible additional modalities of the Multilateral Mechanism;²⁸ a synthesis of submission of views on possible new tools and models, such as databases, for making digital sequence information on genetic resources publicly available and accessible in a transparent and accountable manner to all Parties;²⁹ and a study on tools and models, such as databases, for making digital sequence information on genetic resources publicly available and accessible in a transparent and accountable manner.³⁰

44. One of the Co-Chairs of the Steering Committee of the Multilateral Mechanism introduced the methodology for the review of the effectiveness of the Multilateral Mechanism, including the Cali Fund.

45. At its 2nd plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 5.

46. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 5, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex IV to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

47. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 5: Australia, Benin, Brazil, Canada, Chad, Colombia, Costa Rica, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Guatemala, Japan, Jordan, Malaysia, Mexico, Norway, Republic of Korea, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

48. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 5: Alliance of Bioversity International

²⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/5](#).

²⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/5/Add.1](#).

²⁷ [CBD/SBI/7/5/Add.2](#).

²⁸ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/9](#).

²⁹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/14](#).

³⁰ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/15](#).

and International Center for Tropical Agriculture, CBD Women's Caucus, International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Third World Network and UNDP.

49. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Burundi, China, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt (on behalf of the African States), European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, Panama, Peru, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa (as an individual Party and, separately, on behalf of the Group of Like-minded Megadiverse Countries), Uganda, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzania, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

50. Statements were also made by representatives of the International Chamber of Commerce, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, the Leibniz Institute DSMZ and the Third World Network.

51. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Ida Hellmark (Norway) and Nneka Nicholas (Antigua and Barbuda), with the mandate to work on the entire draft recommendation, with a view to addressing the three issues presented to the Subsidiary Body: the potential additional modalities for the multilateral mechanism; the possible new tools and platforms for making digital sequence information available and accessible in a transparent and accountable manner; and the methodology for the review of the effectiveness of the Multilateral Mechanism, including the Cali Fund.

52. [to be completed]

Item 6

Fifth assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and midterm evaluation of the implementation plan and the capacity-building action plan for the Protocol

53. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 6 at its 4th plenary session, on 5 August. It had before a note by the Secretariat on the fifth assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and midterm evaluation of the implementation plan and the capacity-building action plan for the Protocol,³¹ which contained a draft recommendation, and an addendum containing an analysis of information for the fifth assessment and review and midterm evaluation.³²

54. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 6, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex V to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

55. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 6: Brazil, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Malawi (on behalf of the African States), Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Switzerland and Tunisia.

56. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statement of the following observer be put on record as a statement officially submitted under agenda item 6: International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

³¹ [CBD/SBI/7/6](#).

³² [CBD/SBI/7/6/Add.1](#).

57. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Bahrain, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi (on behalf of the Africa States), Mauritania, Morocco, Namibia, Panama, Peru, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Tunisia, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of), Viet Nam, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

58. A statement was also made in session by a representative of Vanuatu, a non-Party to the Protocol, on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States.

59. A further statement was made in session by a representative of the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

60. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendation in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

61. At its 7th plenary session, on 9 August, the Subsidiary Body considered a draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

62. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Bahrain, Brazil, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), India, Indonesia, Ireland, Japan, Malawi, Morocco, Nigeria, Norway, Panama,³³ Uruguay and Zimbabwe.

63. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body approved the draft recommendation, as orally amended, as draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.2](#).

64. At its 8th plenary session, on 10 August, the Subsidiary Body considered draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.2](#).

65. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Cameroon, European Union and its member States, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Peru and United Kingdom.

66. The Subsidiary Body adopted draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.2](#), as orally amended, as recommendation 7/xx.

Item 7

Second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization

67. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 7 at its 4th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization,³⁴ which contained draft recommendations, as well as two addenda: an analysis of information for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol,³⁵ and a synthesis of views to inform a preliminary review of the compliance procedures and mechanisms of the Nagoya Protocol.³⁶ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to agenda item 7: an analysis of information from the national reports and other sources for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol,³⁷ a scoping study on the possible reasons and underlying root causes for the challenges to effective implementation and compliance and on possible ways to enhance implementation,³⁸ an analysis of

³³ Available [here](#).

³⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/7](#).

³⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/7/Add.1](#).

³⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/7/Add.2](#).

³⁷ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/2](#).

³⁸ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/3/Rev.1](#).

the information published in the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House,³⁹ an analysis of responses to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House targeted survey,⁴⁰ an analysis of capacity-building and development support available for the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol⁴¹ and an analysis of financial resources and resource mobilization supporting the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol.⁴²

68. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 7, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex VI to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

69. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 7: Benin, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Mexico, Norway, Republic of Korea, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

70. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 7: CBD Women's Caucus, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and UNDP.

71. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, Burundi, Costa Rica, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt (on behalf of the African States), Ghana, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Morocco, Namibia, Peru, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

72. A statement was also made in session by a representative of Yemen, a non-Party to the Protocol.

73. Further statements were made in session by representatives of the International Chamber of Commerce, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and the Third World Network.

74. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendations in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

75. At its 7th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body began its consideration of draft recommendations submitted by the Chair.

76. A representative of the Secretariat provided an oral correction to the draft recommendations.

77. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, India, Kenya, Republic of Korea, South Africa, United Kingdom and Zimbabwe.

78. At its 8th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of the draft recommendations.

79. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt (on behalf of the African States), European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), India, Japan, Kenya, Malawi, Maldives, Namibia, Norway, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Switzerland, United Kingdom and Zimbabwe.

³⁹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/4](#).

⁴⁰ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/5](#).

⁴¹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/6](#).

⁴² [CBD/SBI/7/INF/7](#).

80. A statement was also made by a representative of the Global Environment Facility.
81. The Subsidiary Body approved the draft recommendations, as orally amended, as contained in document [CBD/SBI/7/L.4](#).
82. [to be completed]

Item 8

Synthetic biology: thematic action plan to support capacity-building and development, access to and transfer of technology and knowledge-sharing

83. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 8 at its 4th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the thematic action plan to support capacity-building and development, access to and transfer of technology and knowledge-sharing in the context of synthetic biology,⁴³ which contained a draft recommendation, and an addendum containing the draft thematic action plan.⁴⁴
84. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 8, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex VII to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.
85. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 8: Australia, Brazil, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Malaysia, Mexico, Norway, Switzerland, United Kingdom and Yemen.
86. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 8: CBD Women's Caucus, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, International Union for Conservation of Nature and Pollinis.
87. In addition, a statement was made in session by a representative of Tonga (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States).
88. At its 5th plenary session, on 6 August, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 8.
89. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Botswana, Chile, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Kenya (on behalf of the African States), Malawi, Namibia, Panama, Peru, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.
90. Statements were also made by representatives of the CBD Alliance and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.
91. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendation in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.
92. At its 7th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body began its consideration of a draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.
93. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf

⁴³ [CBD/SBI/7/8](#).

⁴⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/8/Add.1](#).

of the Pacific small island developing States), Guatemala, Indonesia, Japan, Malawi, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Switzerland, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.

94. The Chair invited representatives of Brazil, the European Union, Fiji, Switzerland and any other interested Parties to hold informal consultations, with a view to reaching consensus on the wording of paragraph 9 of the draft recommendation.

95. At its 8th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of the draft recommendation and heard a report by a representative of Brazil on the outcome of the informal consultations, following which it approved the draft recommendation, as orally amended, as draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.3](#).

96. At its 9th plenary session, on 11 August, the Subsidiary Body considered draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.3](#).

97. A statement was made by a representative of New Zealand.

98. The Subsidiary Body adopted draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.3](#), as orally amended, as recommendation 7/xx.

Item 9

Engagement with subnational governments, cities and other local authorities to enhance the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

99. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 9 at its 5th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the review of the role of subnational and local governments in the implementation of the Framework,⁴⁵ which contained a draft recommendation. An information document containing additional information emerging from seventh national reports relevant to the role of subnational governments, cities and other local authorities in the implementation of the Framework⁴⁶ had also been issued in relation to agenda item 9.

100. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 9, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex VIII to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

101. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 9: Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Morocco, Norway, Singapore and United Kingdom.

102. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 9: California Environmental Voters, CBD Women's Caucus, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, OHCHR, Organización Nacional de los Pueblos Indígenas de la Amazonía Colombiana and UNDP.

103. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Chad, India, Madagascar, Panama, Russian Federation, South Africa, Tuvalu (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

104. Statements were also made in session by representatives of the Global Youth Biodiversity Network and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

⁴⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/9](#).

⁴⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/11](#).

105. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendation in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

106. At its 9th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body began its consideration of a draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

107. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, European Union and its member States, India, Panama, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Türkiye, Tuvalu (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe.

108. A statement was also made by a representative of ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability.

109. [to be completed]

Item 10

Capacity-building and development and technical and scientific cooperation

110. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 10 at its 3rd plenary session. It had before it a progress report on the implementation of the long-term strategic framework for capacity-building and development and the technical and scientific cooperation mechanism,⁴⁷ which contained a draft recommendation. Two information documents had also been issued in relation to agenda item 10: a progress report on the implementation of the long-term strategic framework for capacity-building and development⁴⁸ and a progress report on the technical and scientific cooperation mechanism.⁴⁹

111. A representative of the technical and scientific cooperation support centre hosted by the South African National Biodiversity Institute in South Africa made a brief statement on behalf of the technical and scientific cooperation mechanism.

112. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 10, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex IX to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

113. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 10: Canada, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Iceland, Japan, Jordan, Malaysia, Maldives, Mexico, Morocco, New Zealand, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

114. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 10: CBD Women's Caucus, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, International Union for Conservation of Nature, Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, UNDP and Women4Biodiversity.

115. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bangladesh, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya (on behalf of the African States), Madagascar, Malawi,

⁴⁷ [CBD/SBI/7/10](#).

⁴⁸ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/8](#).

⁴⁹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/10](#).

Morocco, Panama, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, South Africa, United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe.

116. Statements were also made in session by representatives of California Environmental Voters, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

117. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Holly Kelley-Weil (United Kingdom) and Martha Mphatso Kalembe (Malawi), with the mandate to discuss the draft recommendation, basing its work on text prepared by the Chair with the assistance of the Secretariat.

118. [to be completed]

Item 11

Clearing-house mechanism and knowledge management

119. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 11 at its 5th plenary session. It had before it a progress report on the implementation of the knowledge management strategy,⁵⁰ which contained a draft recommendation. An information document containing a progress report on the programme of work for the clearing-house mechanism and the knowledge management strategy to support the implementation of the Framework⁵¹ had also been issued in relation to agenda item 11.

120. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 11, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex X to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

121. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 11: Canada, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Japan, Jordan, Mexico and Morocco.

122. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 11: CBD Women's Caucus, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Women4Biodiversity.

123. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Benin, Chad, Democratic Republic of the Congo, India, Kenya (on behalf of the African States), Malawi, Marshall Islands (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Namibia, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, United Republic of Tanzania, Yemen and Zimbabwe.

124. A statement was also made in session by a representative of the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

125. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendation in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

126. At its 8th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body began its consideration of a draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

127. A representative of the Secretariat provided an oral correction to the draft recommendation.

⁵⁰ [CBD/SBI/7/11](#).

⁵¹ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/12](#).

128. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, India, Kenya, Mexico, New Zealand, Russian Federation and Switzerland.

129. At its 9th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of the draft recommendation.

130. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, India, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Namibia and Russian Federation.

131. The Subsidiary Body approved the draft recommendation, as orally amended, as draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.5](#).

132. [to be completed]

Item 12

Mainstreaming of biodiversity within and across sectors

133. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 12 at its 3rd plenary session. It had before it a progress report on activities related to mainstreaming of biodiversity within and across sectors,⁵² which contained a draft recommendation. An information document setting out supplementary information on the progress report and structured overview on biodiversity mainstreaming⁵³ had also been issued in relation to agenda item 12.

134. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 12, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex XI to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

135. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 12: Canada, Chad, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Iceland, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Suriname, Switzerland, Tunisia and United Kingdom.

136. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 12: Alliance of Bioversity International and International Center for Tropical Agriculture, CBD Women's Caucus, Friends of the Earth International, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, Rainforest Action Network, Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors (Business for Nature), Secretariat of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, The Nature Conservancy, UNDP and Women4Biodiversity.

137. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana (on behalf of the African States), Russian Federation, Vanuatu (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States) and Zimbabwe.

138. At its 4th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 12.

139. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Benin, Botswana, Chile, Colombia, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Madagascar, Malawi, Panama, Peru, South Africa, Tunisia, Uganda and United Republic of Tanzania.

140. Statements were also made by representatives of the Alliance of Bioversity International and International Center for Tropical Agriculture, CBD Alliance, Finance for Biodiversity Foundation,

⁵² [CBD/SBI/7/12](#).

⁵³ [CBD/SBI/7/INF/16](#).

ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

141. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Hesiquio Benítez Díaz (Mexico) and Scott Wilson (Canada), to discuss text prepared by the Chair and the contact group Co-Chairs with the assistance of the Secretariat.

142. [to be completed]

Item 13

Communication, education and public awareness

143. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 13 at its 5th plenary session. It had before it a progress report on actions towards aligning the programme of work on communication, education and public awareness with the Framework and draft global plan of action for education on biodiversity,⁵⁴ which contained a draft recommendation.

144. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 13, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex XII to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

145. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 13: Canada, Côte d'Ivoire, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Iceland, Japan, Morocco and Norway.

146. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 13: CBD Women's Caucus, Global Youth Biodiversity Network, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity, OHCHR, UNDP, Women4Biodiversity and World Wide Fund for Nature International.

147. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Armenia, Botswana, Burundi, Chile, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Madagascar, Malawi, Marshall Islands (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Morocco, Panama, Peru, Russian Federation, South Africa (on behalf of the African States), Tunisia, United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe.

148. A statement was also made in session by a representative of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

149. Further statements were made in session by representatives of the California Environmental Voters, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

150. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that she would prepare a revised version of the draft recommendation in consultation with the Secretariat, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

151. At its 9th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body considered a draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

152. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, Canada, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, India, Kenya, Maldives, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Peru, Russian Federation and Saudi Arabia.

⁵⁴ [CBD/SBI/7/13](#).

153. The Subsidiary Body approved the draft recommendation, as orally amended, as draft recommendation [CBD/SBI/7/L.6](#).

154. [to be completed]

Item 14

Administrative and budgetary matters

155. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 14 at its 5th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the administration of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2025–2026,⁵⁵ with an addendum containing a progress report on the implementation of outstanding audit recommendations,⁵⁶ and a proposed budget for the programmes of work of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2027–2028,⁵⁷ with an addendum containing a progress report on the functional review of the Secretariat.⁵⁸

156. As the modalities for the early submission of statements had been implemented for agenda item 14, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis pursuant to notifications issued by the Secretariat, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in annex XIII to document [CBD/SBI/7/INF/21](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

157. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties be put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 14: Côte d'Ivoire, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Italy, Japan, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

158. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statement of the following observer be put on record as a statement officially submitted under agenda item 14: International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity.

159. At its 6th plenary session, on 6 August, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 14.

160. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Belgium, Brazil, Cameroon, Canada, China, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States), Ghana, Indonesia, Japan, Namibia (as an individual Party and, separately, on behalf of the African States), New Zealand and Russian Federation.

161. Statements were also made by representatives of the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and the Third World Network.

162. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to hold informal consultations on the matter, facilitated by Patience Gandiwa (Zimbabwe) and open to Parties only. To support the consultations, the Secretariat, together with the facilitator and under the guidance of the Chair, would prepare an analysis of the views expressed by Parties in their early submissions and in the plenary session.

163. [to be completed]

Item 15

Other matters

164. [to be completed]

⁵⁵ [CBD/SBI/7/14](#).

⁵⁶ [CBD/SBI/7/14/Add.1](#).

⁵⁷ [CBD/SBI/7/15](#).

⁵⁸ [CBD/SBI/7/15/Add.1](#).

Item 16

Adoption of the report

165. [to be completed]

Item 17

Closure of the meeting

166. [to be completed]
