



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: Limited
1 November 2024

Original: English

**Conference of the Parties
to the Convention on
Biological Diversity
Sixteenth meeting**
Cali, Colombia, 21 October–
1 November 2024
Agenda item 30
Adoption of the report

**Conference of the Parties
to the Convention on
Biological Diversity serving
as the meeting of the Parties
to the Cartagena Protocol
on Biosafety
Eleventh meeting**
Cali, Colombia, 21 October–
1 November 2024
Agenda item 16
Adoption of the report

**Conference of the Parties to the
Convention on Biological Diversity
serving as the meeting of the Parties to
the Nagoya Protocol on Access to
Genetic Resources and the Fair and
Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising
from their Utilization
Fifth meeting**
Cali, Colombia, 21 October–
1 November 2024
Agenda item 18
Adoption of the report

Draft report of Working Group II

I. Introduction

1. Working Group II, chaired by Hesiquio Benítez Díaz, met to consider items 16 to 25 and 28 of the agenda for the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (see sect. I), items 5, 8, 9 and 11 to 14 of the agenda for the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (see sect. II) and agenda items 5, 8 to 11, 13, 15 and 16 of the agenda for the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their utilization (see sect. III).
2. Working Group II held 12 sessions, from 21 October to 1 November 2024.

II. Sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity

Item 16

Scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, including implications for the programmes of work of the Convention

3. Working Group II considered agenda item 16 at its 1st session, on 21 October 2024. It had before it two draft decisions: one on scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework; and the other on matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Service (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 16). An information document containing updated information on the work of the

Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services had also been issued in relation to item 16.¹

(i) *Scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework*

4. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Senka Barudanović (Bosnia and Herzegovina) and Wataru Suzuki (Japan), with a mandate to address the text in brackets in paragraphs 1 (a), 1 (e), 2, 4 (b) and 4 (c) of the draft decision on scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework.

5. At its 11th session, on 31 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

6. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Canada, Chile, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Peru, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Uruguay.

7. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.12.

(ii) *Matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services*

8. Working Group II agreed that the contact group established for the draft decision on scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework would also address the remaining issues in the draft decision on matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

9. At its 11th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

10. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, European Union and its member States, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Japan, Mexico, Norway, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania and Uruguay.

**Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.11.Item 17
Mainstreaming of biodiversity within and across sectors**

11. Working Group II considered agenda item 17 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 17).

12. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Egypt and European Union and its member States.

13. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias (Brazil) and Sanne Kruid (Kingdom of the Netherlands), with the mandate to reach a compromise on the text in brackets in the draft decision and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

14. At its 7th session, on 28 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

¹ CBD/COP/16/INF/9.

15. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Ethiopia, European Union and its member States, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Pakistan, Peru, Qatar, Russian Federation, Togo, United Kingdom and Zambia.
16. Working Group II agreed that a small group of interested Parties would convene to resolve the outstanding issues and develop a revised text for its consideration.
17. At its 8th session, on 28 October, Working Group II considered the revised draft decision as orally amended by a representative of Japan following discussion in the small group.
18. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, Ethiopia, European Union and its member States and Russian Federation.
19. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with interested Parties, with a view to reaching a compromise on the bracketed text, and would subsequently prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.
20. At its 9th session, on 30 October, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.
21. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Canada, European Union and its member States and Mexico.
22. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.9.

Item 18

Diverse values of biodiversity

23. Working Group II considered agenda item 18 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 18).
24. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.
25. At its 10th session, on 31 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following the informal consultations.
26. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Canada, European Union and its member States, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia and United Kingdom.
27. At its 12th session, on 1 November, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.
28. A statement was made by a representative of the Plurinational State of Bolivia.
29. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/15/L.19.

Item 19

Sustainable wildlife management

30. Working Group II considered agenda item 19 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 19) and a note by the Secretariat on existing guidance, tools and documents related to various areas of sustainable wildlife management and areas that might require complementary guidance.² Information documents containing a gap analysis to identify areas that are not adequately covered by existing guidance developed under relevant multilateral environmental

² CBD/COP/16/11.

agreements and by competent intergovernmental organizations³ and an additional analysis of available guidance, tools and documents related to various areas of sustainable wildlife management that might require complementary guidance⁴ had also been issued in relation to item 19.

31. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, European Union and its member States, Japan, United Kingdom and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

32. A statement was also made by a representative of the Secretariat of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (on behalf of the Collaborative Partnership on Sustainable Wildlife Management).

33. A further statement was made by a representative of the Wildlife Conservation Society.

34. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties on the outstanding issues in the draft decision, in particular those in paragraph 5, with a view to preparing a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

35. At its 4th session, on 24 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

36. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States, Morocco, Norway and Russian Federation.

37. At its 5th session, on 24 October, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

38. Statement were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, India, Japan, Madagascar, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Russian Federation, South Africa, Togo, United Kingdom, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

39. A statement was also made by a representative of the Secretariat of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals.

40. A further statement was made by a representative of the Wildlife Conservation Society.

41. The Working Group approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.2.

Item 20

Marine and coastal biodiversity, and island biodiversity

42. Working Group II considered agenda item 20 at its 1st session. It had before it two draft decisions: one on further work on ecologically or biologically significant marine areas; and the other on the conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 20). An information document containing further information on the draft modalities for modifying the descriptions of ecologically or biologically significant marine areas and describing new areas⁵ had also been issued in relation to item 20.

43. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Erica Lucero (Argentina) and Gaute Hanssen (Norway), with a mandate to finalize the draft decisions by focusing on resolving areas of divergence, as reflected in the brackets throughout the draft decisions, and to devote time to the annex to the draft decision on the conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity and of island biodiversity, which had not been discussed in detail by the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice..

³ CBD/COP/16/INF/6.

⁴ CBD/COP/16/INF/7.

⁵ CBD/COP/16/INF/11.

(i) Further work on ecologically or biologically significant marine areas

44. At its 9th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

45. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Israel, Peru, Russian Federation and Türkiye.

46. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.8.

(ii) Conservation and sustainable use of marine and coastal biodiversity and of island biodiversity

47. At its 9th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

48. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Colombia, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Iceland, India, Panama, Togo and United Kingdom.

49. At its 10th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

50. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Cook Islands, European Union and its member States, Iceland, India, Israel, Japan, Maldives, Morocco, Norway, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, Somalia, South Africa and United Kingdom.

51. Working Group II agreed that a small group of interested Parties would convene to resolve the outstanding issues and develop a revised text for its consideration.

52. At its 12th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

53. The facilitator of the small group of interested Parties read out the amended text agreed on by the small group.

54. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Chile and Russian Federation.

55. The Working Group approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.17.

Item 21**Invasive alien species**

56. Working Group II considered agenda item 21 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 21). An information document on progress on requests to the Executive Secretary from decision 15/27 on invasive alien species⁶ had also been issued in relation to agenda item 21.

57. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.

58. At its 6th session, on 25 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

59. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Cook Islands (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States represented at the meeting), Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, Ethiopia, European Union and its member States, India, Iraq, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Peru and Russian Federation.

⁶ CBD/COP/16/INF/28.

60. At its 7th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.
61. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, European Union and its member States, India, Israel, Kenya, Peru, Russian Federation, South Africa, Togo, Uganda, United Kingdom, Zambia and Zimbabwe.
62. A representative of the Russian Federation, requesting that her statement be put on record, stressed that all international online databases and other resources used for the purpose of the Convention and any other international instruments must provide open access for all, without any restriction.
63. At its 8th session, Working Group II resumed its discussion of the revised draft decision.
64. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States and Russian Federation.
65. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.4.

Item 22

Biodiversity and health

66. Working Group II considered agenda item 22 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 22).
67. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Barbara Engels (Germany) and Stanislas Mouba Alouna (Gabon), with a mandate to discuss the draft decision and its annexes, in particular annex I.
68. At its 8th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.
69. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Canada, Chile, Colombia, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, India, Japan, Mexico, Norway, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, South Africa, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.
70. Working Group II agreed to establish an informal group, consisting of representatives of interested Parties, with the mandate to reach a compromise on the text in brackets in the draft decision and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.
71. At its 9th session, Working Group II considered the revised draft decision as orally amended by a representative of the Secretariat following discussion in the informal group.
72. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, European Union and its member States, India, Israel, Japan, Norway, Peru, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, South Africa, Togo, United Kingdom and Uruguay.
73. Following the exchange of views, Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.10.

Item 23

Plant conservation

74. Working Group II considered agenda item 23 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 23). An information document containing a draft guide to the

updated Global Strategy for Plant Conservation, including suggested sources of indicators and monitoring framework,⁷ had also been issued in relation to item 23.

75. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.

76. At its 3rd session, on 23 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

77. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, European Union and its member States, Japan, Kenya, New Zealand, Republic of Moldova, Russian Federation, Senegal (on behalf of the African States) and Turkmenistan.

78. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/16/L.3.

Item 24

Synthetic biology

79. Working Group II considered agenda item 24 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 24).

80. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Martha Kandawa-Schulz (Namibia) and Marja Ruohonen-Lehto (Finland), with a mandate to discuss the draft decision, in particular the elements related to the thematic action plan in the context of synthetic biology; further work related to the outcomes of the horizon-scanning, monitoring and assessment process; and outstanding issues related to the work on synthetic biology under the Convention.

81. At its 12th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

82. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, Gabon, Guatemala, India, Israel, Kenya (on behalf of the African States), Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, South Africa, Togo and Türkiye.

83. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/15/L.21.

Item 25

Biodiversity and climate change

84. Working Group II considered agenda item 25 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 25). An information document containing the Co-Moderators' report of the intersessional activities on biodiversity and climate change⁸ had also been issued in relation to item 25.

85. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Clarisse Kehler Siebert (Sweden) and Xiang Gao (China), with a mandate to reach a compromise on the outstanding issues in the draft decision and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

86. At its 11th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

87. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Brazil, China, Colombia, Ethiopia, European Union and its member States, Fiji,

⁷ CBD/COP/16/INF/34.

⁸ CBD/COP/16/INF/29.

Guatemala, India, New Zealand, Norway, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa and United Kingdom.

88. At its 12th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

89. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Canada, Colombia, European Union and its member States, Fiji, New Zealand, Norway, Philippines, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, United Kingdom and Uruguay.

90. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/15/L.24.

Item 28

Multi-year programme of work of the Conference of the Parties

91. Working Group II considered agenda item 28 at its 12th session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/COP/16/2/Rev.1, item 28).

92. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Canada, European Union and its member States and Switzerland.

Working Group II approved the draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/COP/15/L.[xx].III. Eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

Item 5

Report of the Compliance Committee

93. Working Group II considered agenda item 5 at its 2nd session, on 22 October. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 5) and a report of the Compliance Committee under the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety on the work of its eighteenth and nineteenth meetings.⁹ An information document on activities undertaken by the Compliance Committee with regard to the obligation to submit national reports leading up to the recommendation to caution individual Parties had also been issued in relation to item 5.¹⁰

94. The Chair of the Compliance Committee under the Cartagena Protocol, Rigobert Ntep (Cameroon), reported on the work of the Compliance Committee at its eighteenth and nineteenth meetings.

95. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Ecuador, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Gabon, India, Japan, Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Republic of Moldova, United Kingdom and Uruguay.

96. The Chair established a group of friends of the Chair, to be facilitated by Casper Linnestad (Norway), to resolve the outstanding issues. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the outcome of the discussion in the group of friends of the Chair into account.

⁹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/3.

¹⁰ CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/2.

97. At its 7th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following the discussion in the group of friends of the Chair and approved it for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/5/L.7.

Item 8

Operation and activities of the Biosafety Clearing-House

98. Working Group II considered agenda item 8 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 8) and a note by the Secretariat on the operation and activities of the Biosafety Clearing-House.

99. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, European Union and its member States, Gabon, Guatemala, India, Malaysia, Republic of Moldova, South Africa, Tunisia and Uruguay.

100. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the statements made into account.

101. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

102. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil and European Union and its member States.

103. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.2.

Item 9

Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives

104. Working Group II considered agenda item 9 at its 2nd session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.¹¹

105. Statements were made by representatives of the International Union for Conservation of Nature and the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture.

106. Working Group II agreed to invite the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to take note of the information contained in the document.

Item 11

Risk assessment and risk management

107. Working Group II considered agenda item 11 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 11) and a note by the Secretariat on additional voluntary guidance materials to support case-by-case risk assessments of living modified organisms containing engineered gene drives.¹²

108. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Colombia, Ecuador, Egypt, European Union and its member States, India, Kenya, Malawi (on behalf of the African States), New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Rwanda, Suriname, Uganda and Zambia.

109. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, chaired by Marja Ruohonen-Lehto (Finland) and Martha Kandawa-Schulz (Namibia), to resolve diverging views on the issue of the development of guidance materials to support case-by-case risk assessments for living modified fish,

¹¹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/8/Rev.1.

¹² CBD/CP/MOP/11/9.

focusing on paragraphs 7 to 10 and 15 (c) to 15 (f) of the draft decision and the annex to the draft decision, as well as, if time allowed, to address the brackets remaining in the text.

110. At its 7th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

111. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/5/L.6.

Item 12

Detection and identification of living modified organisms

112. Working Group II considered agenda item 12 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 12).

113. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, with the support of the Secretariat and through informal consultations with Parties as necessary.

114. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

115. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, India, Kenya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Republic of Moldova, Uganda, United Kingdom and Uruguay.

116. At its 7th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

117. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Colombia, European Union and its member States, India, Rwanda, Togo and Uruguay.

118. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/5/L.5.

Item 13

Socioeconomic considerations

119. Working Group II considered agenda item 13 at its 3rd session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 13) and a note by the Secretariat on socioeconomic considerations.¹³

120. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States, India, Malawi, Malaysia, Norway, Republic of Moldova, South Africa, Uganda and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

121. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, with the support of the Secretariat.

122. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

123. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.3.

¹³ CBD/CP/MOP/11/10.

Item 14**Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress**

124. Working Group II considered agenda item 14 at its 3rd session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 14) and a note by the Secretariat on the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress.¹⁴

125. Statements were made by the following Parties: Central African Republic, European Union and its member States, India, Malawi (on behalf of the African States), Republic of Moldova and Uganda.

126. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, with the support of the Secretariat.

127. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

128. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States, Japan and New Zealand.

129. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.4.

IV. Fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization

Item 5**Report of the Compliance Committee**

130. Working Group II considered agenda item 5 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 5) and the report of the Compliance Committee under the Nagoya Protocol on the work of its fourth meeting.¹⁵

131. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil and European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol.

132. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.

133. At its 3rd session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair and approved it for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.2.

Item 8**Capacity-building and development and awareness-raising**

134. Working Group II considered agenda item 8 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 8).

135. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

136. At its 4th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

¹⁴ CBD/CP/MOP/11/11.

¹⁵ CBD/NP/MOP/5/3.

137. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, India, Mexico, Namibia, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Saudi Arabia, Togo, United Kingdom, Uruguay and Zimbabwe.

138. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.4.

Item 9

Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House and information-sharing

139. Working Group II considered agenda item 9 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 9) and a note by the Secretariat on progress in the operation of the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House.¹⁶

140. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Bahrain, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, Guatemala, India, Morocco and Togo.

141. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

142. At its 3rd session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

143. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone (on behalf of the African States) and United Kingdom.

144. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.3.

Item 10

Assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol

145. Working Group II considered agenda item 10 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 10).

146. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

147. At its 4th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

148. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol and Kenya.

149. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L5.

¹⁶ CBD/NP/MOP/5/7.

Item 11**Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives**

150. Working Group II considered agenda item 11 at its 2nd session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.¹⁷

151. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina and Togo.

152. Statements were also made by representatives of the Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea of the United Nations, the Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and the World Health Organization.

153. Working Group II agreed to invite the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol to take note of the information contained in the document.

Item 13**Enhancing the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in the context of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework**

154. Working Group II considered agenda item 13 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 13) and a note by the Secretariat on enhancing the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in the context of the Framework.¹⁸

155. A statement was made by a representative of the European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol.

156. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would conduct informal consultations with Parties, as appropriate, and prepare a revised draft decision for the group's consideration.

157. At its 4th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

158. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Kenya and Peru.

159. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.6.

Item 15**Specialized international access and benefit-sharing instruments in the context of Article 4, paragraph 4, of the Nagoya Protocol**

160. Working Group II considered agenda item 15 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 15).

161. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Mery Ciacchi (European Commission) and Patience Gandiwa (Zimbabwe), with a mandate to address the unresolved issues in the draft decision.

162. At its 12th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

163. A statement was made by a representative of Brazil.

164. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.8.

¹⁷ CBD/NP/MOP/5/8.

¹⁸ CBD/NP/MOP/5/9.

Item 16

Global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism

165. Working Group II considered agenda item 16 at its 1st session. It had before it a draft decision (see CBD/NP/MOP/5/2, item 16) and a note by the Secretariat on the global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism.¹⁹

166. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Egypt, European Union and its member States Parties to the Protocol, Gabon, Morocco, Switzerland, Togo, Uganda and United Kingdom.

167. At its 10th session, Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.

168. A statement was made by a representative of Togo.

169. At its 12th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

170. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil and Morocco.

171. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/NP/MOP/5/L.7.

¹⁹ CBD/NP/MOP/5/10.