



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: Limited
26 February 2025

Original: English

Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety Eleventh meeting

Cali, Colombia, 21 October–1 November 2024

Online, 3–6 December 2024

Rome, 25–27 February 2025

Agenda item 16

Adoption of the report

Draft report of the meeting

I. Introduction

A. Background

1. The eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety was held concurrently with the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity and the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization. The eleventh meeting commenced in Cali, Colombia, on 21 October 2024 and had to be suspended on the morning of 2 November 2024, for lack of a quorum, before all items could be considered in plenary. As a result, a first resumed meeting was held online, under silence procedure, from 3 to 6 December 2024 to consider the budget-related decisions (see item 6 below), and a second resumed meeting was held in person in Rome from 25 to 27 February 2025 to consider all remaining items (see items 1–3 and 15–17 below).

2. The eleventh meeting was preceded by a ceremonial opening, on 20 October, consisting of a cultural presentation and statements by the President of Colombia, Gustavo Petro Urrego; the Secretary-General of the United Nations, António Guterres; the Minister of Ecology and Environment of China and President of the fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, Runqiu Huang; the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia, María Susana Muhamad González; the Governor of the Department of Valle del Cauca, Dilian Francisca Toro Torres; and the Mayor of Cali, Álvaro Alejandro Eder Garcés.

B. Attendance

3. [to be completed]

II. Proceedings

Item 1

Opening of the meeting

4. The 1st plenary session was held jointly with those of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol, on 21 October 2024.
5. The President of the fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention declared the meetings open at 9.30 a.m. and delivered his opening remarks.
6. Opening remarks were also delivered by the Executive Secretary of the Convention, Astrid Schomaker, and the incoming President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia.¹

Resumed meeting

7. The President of the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia,² opened the second resumed meeting at 10.10 a.m. on 25 February 2025, at the 7th plenary session.³
8. Opening remarks were made by the President, the Executive Secretary and the Deputy Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme, Elizabeth Mrema, on behalf of the Secretary-General.⁴

Item 2

Organizational matters

9. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 2 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a provisional agenda,⁵ an annotated provisional agenda⁶ and a proposed organization of work.⁷ An information document on the procedures for elections to fill the positions of vice-presidents of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and chairs of the intergovernmental subsidiary bodies had also been issued in relation to item 2.⁸

Election of the President

10. Pursuant to Article 29, paragraph 3, of the Cartagena Protocol, the Bureau of the Conference of the Parties also serves as the Bureau of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. Accordingly, the Minister of Environment and Sustainable Development of Colombia, who had been elected President of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, also served as the President of the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol.

Election of substitute officers

11. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure for meetings of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, which apply mutatis mutandis under the Protocol, 10 members of the

¹ See CBD/COP/16/13, paras. xx, for a summary of the opening remarks.

² See "Election of the President" under item 2.

³ The first resumed meeting, representing the 6th plenary session, was held online under silence procedure from 3 to 6 December 2024 (see item 6).

⁴ See CBD/COP/16/13, paras. xx–xx, for a summary of opening remarks for the second resumed meeting.

⁵ CBD/CP/MOP/11/1.

⁶ CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.1.

⁷ CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.2.

⁸ CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/4.

Bureau had been elected by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention at its fifteenth meeting to serve until the closure of the sixteenth meeting. Subsequently, one member of the Bureau had been replaced by the Party concerned. All countries represented being Parties to the Cartagena Protocol, the Bureau for the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol comprised the following members, in addition to the President:

Khangeziwe Mabuza (Eswatini)
 Abderahmane Zino Izourar (Algeria)
 Krishneel Nand (Fiji)
 Somaly Chan (Cambodia)
 Teona Karchava (Georgia)
 Angela Lozan (Republic of Moldova)
 Gillian Guthrie (Jamaica)
 Maria Teresa Becerra Ramírez (Colombia)
 Eric Schauls (Luxembourg)
 Norbert Bärlocher (Switzerland)

12. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol agreed that Ms. Chan would serve as Rapporteur for the meeting, as proposed by the Bureau.

13. At its 3rd plenary session, on 30 October, in accordance with rule 21 of its rules of procedure, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention elected the following representatives to serve as members of its Bureau for a term of office commencing upon the closure of its sixteenth meeting, and hence as the Bureau for the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol upon the closure of its eleventh meeting:

Joséphine Thérèse Babette Beyala Epse Eloundou (Cameroon)
 Jonas Komi Anthé (Togo)
 Bilal Qteshat (Jordan)
 Illam Atho Mohamed (Maldives)
 Adla Kahrić (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 Jakhongir Talipov (Uzbekistan)
 Corina Sarli (Argentina)
 Gillian Guthrie (Jamaica)
 Gaute Hanssen (Norway)
 Eric Schauls (Luxembourg)

14. At its 4th plenary session, on 31 October, in accordance with Article 29, paragraph 3, of the Protocol, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol elected Gerardo Evia (Uruguay) as a member of the Bureau for the Cartagena Protocol, substituting for Corina Sarli (Argentina), as Argentina was not party to the Protocol.

Adoption of the agenda

15. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the Bureau:

1. Opening of the meeting.

2. Organizational matters.
3. Report on the credentials of representatives to the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol.
4. Reports of subsidiary bodies.
5. Report of the Compliance Committee.
6. Administration of the Protocol and budget for the trust funds.
7. Matters related to the financial mechanism and resources.
8. Operation and activities of the Biosafety Clearing-House.
9. Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.
10. Review of effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols.
11. Risk assessment and risk management.
12. Detection and identification of living modified organisms.
13. Socioeconomic considerations.
14. Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress.
15. Other matters.
16. Adoption of the report.
17. Closure of the meeting.

Organization of work

16. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol agreed to organize its work as set out in annex III to the proposed organization of work. It consequently agreed to establish two working groups. Working Group I would be chaired by Charlotta Sörqvist (Sweden) and Working Group II by Hesiquio Benítez Díaz (Mexico).

Work of sessional working groups

17. Working Group I held six sessions between 21 October and 1 November 2024 and adopted its report⁹ on 1 November 2024.

18. Working Group II held 12 sessions between 21 October and 1 November 2024 and adopted its report¹⁰ on 1 November 2024.

First stocktake session

19. The 2nd plenary session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, on 25 October, served in part as a stocktake session held jointly with the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol. During the stocktake session, the Chairs of Working Group I, Working Group II and the contact group on budgetary matters reported on the progress made to date.

20. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Fiji (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States Parties to the Protocol represented at the meeting) and Lao People's Democratic Republic (on behalf of the States members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations represented at the meeting).

⁹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/WGI/L.1.

¹⁰ CBD/CP/MOP/11/WGII/L.1.

21. A statement was also made by a representative of the following non-Party: Russian Federation (also on behalf of Brazil, China, Egypt, Ethiopia, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), South Africa and the United Arab Emirates).

Second stocktake session

22. The 3rd plenary session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol served in part as a second stocktake session held jointly with the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol. During the stocktake session, representatives heard a report from the President on the outcomes of the high-level segment, as well as reports by the Chairs of Working Group I, Working Group II and the contact group on budgetary matters on the progress made to date.

23. A statement was made by a representative of the Plurinational State of Bolivia (also on behalf of Antigua and Barbuda, Brazil, the Cook Islands, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Fiji, Guatemala, Haiti, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Malaysia, the Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of) Nauru, Palau, the Philippines, Marshall Islands, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Vanuatu, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) and the African States).¹¹

First resumed meeting

24. Ahead of the first resumed meeting, the Secretariat issued an opening communication from the President¹² and a scenario note¹³ for the adoption under silence procedure of two budget-related draft decisions.¹⁴ The result of the silence procedures is described under item 6 below.

Second resumed meeting

25. At its 7th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol had before it an annotated provisional agenda for all outstanding items¹⁵ and a proposed organization of work and scenario note,¹⁶ both of which had been prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the Bureau.

26. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol approved the proposed scenario note, as set out in section II of document CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.5, and the proposed organization of work, as set out in the annex to the same document.

27. [to be completed]

Item 3

Report on the credentials of representatives to the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol

28. At its 1st plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol noted that, in accordance with the relevant rules of procedure for meetings of the Parties, the Bureau had reviewed the list of observers admitted to the meeting¹⁷ and would examine the credentials of delegations and report thereon at a later session. It also noted that the Bureau had designated Ms. Mabuza as its representative for the review of credentials.

29. At the 2nd plenary session, Ms. Mabuza reported that 151 Parties to the Protocol were registered as attending the meeting. Representatives of 108 registered Parties had submitted

¹¹ The Cook Islands, Haiti, Micronesia (Federated States of), Vanuatu and some African States are not party to the Cartagena Protocol.

¹² Available at www.cbd.int/meetings/CP-MOP-11-R1, together with all documents pertaining to the online resumed meeting.

¹³ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.3](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/1/Add.3).

¹⁴ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.12/Rev.1](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/L.12/Rev.1) and [CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.13](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/L.13).

¹⁵ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.4](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/1/Add.4).

¹⁶ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/1/Add.5](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/1/Add.5).

¹⁷ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/5](http://www.cbd.int/mop/11/INF/5), annex.

credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 1 registered Party had submitted credentials that were not fully compliant. Representatives of 42 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials.

30. At the 3rd plenary session, Ms. Mabuza reported that 155 Parties to the Protocol were registered as attending the meeting. Representatives of 115 registered Parties had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 1 registered Party had submitted credentials that were not fully compliant. Representatives of 39 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials.

31. At the 4th plenary session, the President informed delegates that the report on credentials had been issued as information document CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/6. In addition, the representatives of Tajikistan had submitted fully compliant credentials, bringing the number of Parties that had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure to 116 for the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.

32. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of, and approved, the report on credentials.¹⁸

Second resumed meeting

33. At the 8th plenary session, on 26 February 2025, Ms. Mabuza informed the Conference of the Parties that 136 Parties were registered as attending the second resumed meeting. Representatives of 117 registered Parties had submitted credentials in full compliance with rule 18 of the rules of procedure, while representatives of 19 registered Parties had not yet submitted credentials. The report on credentials for the second resumed meeting had been issued as information document [CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/7](#).

34. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of, and approved, the report on credentials.

Item 4

Reports of subsidiary bodies

35. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 4 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it the following reports on intersessional work undertaken by various bodies since its tenth meeting: the report of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice on its twenty-sixth meeting¹⁹ and the reports of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation on its fourth and fifth meetings.²⁰

36. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol heard oral reports from the Chair of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice and the Chair of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation.

37. Statements were made by the representatives of the following Parties: Democratic Republic of the Congo and Egypt (speaking in his capacity as Rapporteur at the fifth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation).

38. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol took note of the information provided and agreed to consider the recommendations of the subsidiary bodies in the working groups, under the relevant agenda items.

¹⁸ The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela submitted credentials after the report on credentials had been issued, and a revised report ([CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/6/Rev.1](#)) was issued on 26 November with updated data.

¹⁹ CBD/SBSTTA/26/9.

²⁰ CBD/SBI/4/17 and CBD/SBI/5/4, respectively.

Item 5

Report of the Compliance Committee

39. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 5 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a draft decision²¹ and the report of the Compliance Committee on the work of its eighteenth and nineteenth meetings.²² An information document on activities undertaken by the Committee with regard to the obligation to submit national reports leading up to the recommendation to caution individual Parties had also been issued in relation to item 5.²³

40. The Chair of the Compliance Committee, Rigobert Ntep (Cameroon), presented the Committee's report.

41. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Colombia, Egypt, European Union and its member States, New Zealand and Norway.

42. In accordance with the organization of work, substantive matters arising from the report were referred to Working Group II for consideration.

43. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol decided to extend by two more years the term of the five members of the Compliance Committee listed in table A of the annex to notification [No. 2024-092](#) of 12 September 2024 issued by the Secretariat in relation to the nomination and election of members of the Committee. It also decided to hold elections at a later session in relation to the 10 members whose terms would end on 31 December 2024, as listed in table B of the annex to the notification.

44. At its 4th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol elected the following members of the Compliance Committee for a four-year term beginning on 1 January 2025:

Rigobert Ntep (Cameroon)

Dorington O. Ogoyi (Kenya)

Yousef Saleh al-Hafedh (Saudi Arabia)

Yan Liu (China)

Hana Jiráková (Czechia)

Klodiana Marika (Albania)

Alejandra Ferenczi (Uruguay)

Daniel Lewis (Grenada)

Andreas Heissenberger (Austria)

Casper Linnestad (Norway)

45. Working Group II considered agenda item 5 at its 2nd session, on 22 October.

46. The Chair of the Compliance Committee reported on the work of the Compliance Committee at its eighteenth and nineteenth meetings.

47. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Ecuador, Egypt, European Union and its member States, Gabon, India, Japan, Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Republic of Moldova, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and Uruguay.

²¹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 5.

²² CBD/CP/MOP/11/3.

²³ [CBD/CP/MOP/11/INF/2](#).

48. The Chair established a group of friends of the Chair, to be facilitated by Mr. Linnestad, to resolve the outstanding issues. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the outcome of the discussion in the group of friends of the Chair into account.

49. At its 7th session, on 28 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following the discussion in the group of friends of the Chair and approved it for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.7.

50. At its 3rd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.7, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II.

51. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the draft decision, as orally amended in the Spanish version, as decision [CP-11/1](#).

Item 6

Administration of the Protocol and budget for the trust funds

52. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered agenda item 6 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a draft decision²⁴ and notes by the Secretariat on the administration of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2023–2024,²⁵ the proposed budget for the programmes of work of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2025–2026,²⁶ the requirements for the trust fund for additional voluntary contributions in support of approved activities²⁷ and the functional review of the Secretariat.²⁸

53. The Executive Secretary reported on the activities of the Secretariat and presented the proposed budget for the programmes of work of the Convention and its Protocols for the biennium 2025–2026.

54. The Conference of the Parties to the Convention, serving also as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols, established a contact group on budgetary matters, chaired by Charles Gbedemah (Ghana), with the mandate to consider the proposed budget for the biennium 2025–2026 in detail.

55. At its 5th plenary session, on 1 November, the Conference of the Parties to the Convention, serving also as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols, heard a report from the Chair of the contact group on budgetary matters, also in his capacity of facilitator of the group of friends of the President.

56. Owing to the loss of a quorum, however, discussions had to be suspended before they could be completed (see item 17 below).

57. From 3 to 6 December 2024, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol held its 6th plenary session, by means of an online resumed meeting, to consider the adoption under silence procedure of draft decisions [CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.12/Rev.1](#) and [CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.13](#), submitted by the President.

58. The silence procedure having concluded on 6 December without the Parties raising any objections during the period specified for breaking silence, the President issued a closing communication informing the Parties that the two draft decisions were considered as adopted, as decisions [11/11](#) and [11/12](#), respectively.

²⁴ CBD/COP/16/4/Add.1, item 7.

²⁵ CBD/CP/MOP/11/4.

²⁶ CBD/CP/MOP/11/5.

²⁷ CBD/CP/MOP/11/5/Add.1.

²⁸ CBD/CP/MOP/11/5/Add.2.

Item 7**Matters related to the financial mechanism and resources**

59. Working Group I considered agenda item 7 at its 2nd session, on 22 October. It had before it a draft decision²⁹ and a note by the Secretariat on the financial mechanism and resources.³⁰
60. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, Gabon, Guatemala, Japan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malawi, Norway, South Africa, Switzerland and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).
61. Working Group I agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.
62. At its 3rd session, on 25 October, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.
63. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, China, European Union and its member States, Japan, Malawi, Norway, South Africa, Suriname, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe.
64. Working Group I approved the revised draft decision, except for subparagraph 1 (d), and agreed that a small group, consisting of the representatives of the interested Parties and facilitated by Niklaus Wagner (Switzerland), would attempt to resolve the outstanding issues in that paragraph, in particular with regard to ring-fenced funding.
65. At its 5th session, on 31 October, Working Group I considered a further revised draft decision following discussions in the small group.
66. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Norway, South Africa, Switzerland, United Kingdom and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).
67. Working Group I approved the further revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.8.
68. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.8, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I.
69. The representative of the Secretariat introduced oral amendments to the draft decision.
70. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol adopted the draft decision, as orally amended, as decision [CP-11/2](#).

Item 8**Operation and activities of the Biosafety Clearing-House**

71. Working Group II considered agenda item 8 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision³¹ and a note by the Secretariat on the operation and activities of the Biosafety Clearing-House.³²
72. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, European Union and its member States, Gabon, Guatemala, India, Malaysia, Republic of Moldova, South Africa, Tunisia and Uruguay.

²⁹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 7.

³⁰ CBD/CP/MOP/11/6.

³¹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 8.

³² CBD/CP/MOP/11/7.

73. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, taking the views that had been expressed into account.
74. At its 6th session, on 25 October, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.
75. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil and European Union and its member States.
76. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.2.
77. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.2, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/3](#).

Item 9

Cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives

78. Working Group II considered agenda item 9 at its 2nd session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on cooperation with international organizations, bodies established under other conventions, and initiatives.³³
79. Statements were made by representatives of the International Union for Conservation of Nature and the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture.
80. Working Group II took note of the information contained in document CBD/CP/MOP/11/8/Rev.1.

Item 10

Review of effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols

81. Working Group I considered agenda item 10 at its 3rd session, in conjunction with agenda item 27 of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and agenda item 12 of the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol. It had before it three draft decisions: one on options to further improve the effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols; another on procedures for convening virtual and hybrid meetings; and a third on a procedure for avoiding or managing conflicts of interest in expert groups.³⁴
82. The Chair established a group of friends of the Chair, facilitated by Joaquín Salzberg (Argentina), with the mandate to discuss and reach agreement on the first two draft decisions.

Options to further improve the effectiveness of processes under the Convention and its Protocols

83. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussions in the group of friends of the Chair.
84. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, European Union and its member States and United Kingdom.
85. A statement was also made by a representative of the following non-Party: Russian Federation.
86. Working Group I approved the draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.10.

³³ CBD/CP/MOP/11/8/Rev.1.

³⁴ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 10.

87. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.10, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/5](#).

Procedures for convening virtual and hybrid meetings

88. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussions in the group of friends of the Chair.

89. A statement was made by a representative of the following non-Party: Argentina.

90. Working Group I approved the draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.9.

91. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.9, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/4](#).

Procedure for avoiding or managing conflicts of interest in expert group

92. With respect to the third draft decision, the Working Group I agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration.

93. At its 5th session, Working Group I considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

94. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Democratic Republic of the Congo, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, Norway and United Republic of Tanzania.

95. Statements were also made by representatives of the following non-Parties: Argentina and Canada.

96. Working Group I approved the draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.11.

97. At its 5th plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.11, submitted by the Chair of Working Group I, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/6](#).

Item 11

Risk assessment and risk management

98. Working Group II considered agenda item 11 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision³⁵ and a note by the Secretariat on additional voluntary guidance materials to support case-by-case risk assessments of living modified organisms containing engineered gene drives.³⁶

99. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Burkina Faso, Colombia, Ecuador, Egypt, European Union and its member States, India, Kenya, Malawi (on behalf of the African States), New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Rwanda, Suriname, Uganda and Zambia.

100. Working Group II agreed to establish a contact group, chaired by Marja Ruohonen-Lehto (Finland) and Martha Kandawa-Schulz (Namibia), to resolve diverging views on the issue of the development of guidance materials to support case-by-case risk assessments for living modified fish, focusing on paragraphs 7 to 10 and 15 (c) to 15 (f) of and the annex to the draft decision, as well as, if time allowed, to address the brackets remaining in the text.

³⁵ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 11.

³⁶ CBD/CP/MOP/11/9.

101. At its 7th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair following discussion in the contact group.

102. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.6.

103. At its 3rd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.6, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/7](#).

Item 12

Detection and identification of living modified organisms

104. Working Group II considered agenda item 12 at its 2nd session. It had before it a draft decision.³⁷

105. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, with the support of the Secretariat and through informal consultations with Parties, as necessary.

106. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

107. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, European Union and its member States, Guatemala, India, Kenya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Republic of Moldova, Uganda, United Kingdom and Uruguay.

108. At its 7th session, Working Group II resumed its consideration of the revised draft decision.

109. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Brazil, Colombia, European Union and its member States, India, Rwanda, Togo and Uruguay.

110. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.5.

111. At its 3rd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.5, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/8](#).

Item 13

Socioeconomic considerations

112. Working Group II considered agenda item 13 at its 3rd session, on 23 October. It had before it a draft decision³⁸ and a note by the Secretariat on socioeconomic considerations.³⁹

113. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States, India, Malawi, Malaysia, Norway, Republic of Moldova, South Africa, Uganda and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

114. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision for its consideration, with the support of the Secretariat.

115. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

³⁷ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 12.

³⁸ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 13.

³⁹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/10.

116. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.3.

117. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.3, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/9](#).

Item 14

Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress

118. Working Group II considered agenda item 14 at its 3rd session. It had before it a draft decision⁴⁰ and a note by the Secretariat on the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress.⁴¹

119. Statements were made by the following Parties: Central African Republic, European Union and its member States, India, Malawi (on behalf of the African States), Republic of Moldova and Uganda.

120. Working Group II agreed that the Chair would prepare a revised draft decision, with the support of the Secretariat, for consideration at a later session.

121. At its 6th session, Working Group II considered a revised draft decision submitted by the Chair.

122. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: European Union and its member States, Japan and New Zealand.

123. Working Group II approved the revised draft decision, as orally amended, for transmission to the plenary session as draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.4.

124. At its 2nd plenary session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol considered draft decision CBD/CP/MOP/11/L.4, submitted by the Chair of Working Group II, and adopted it as decision [CP-11/10](#).

Item 15

Other matters

125. [to be completed]

Item 16

Adoption of the report

126. [to be completed]

Item 17

Closure of the meeting

127. At the 5th plenary session, a roll call was held pursuant to a request from a representative of Panama to verify the number of Parties present and determine whether decisions could still be taken in accordance with rule 30 of the rules of procedure. As a quorum was not met, the President proposed to suspend the meeting.

128. The President subsequently suspended the meeting at 8.30 a.m. on 2 November 2024.

129. [to be completed]

⁴⁰ CBD/CP/MOP/11/2, item 14.

⁴¹ CBD/CP/MOP/11/11.