



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.
GENERAL

CBD/SBI/3/4
7 September 2020

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION

Third meeting

Venue and dates to be determined

Item 5 of the provisional agenda*

OVERVIEW OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK PROCESS

Note by the Executive Secretary

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Conference of the Parties, through decision [14/34](#), established an open-ended working group on the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and requested the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting to contribute to the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Subsequently, the Open-ended Working Group on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework at its first meeting invited the Subsidiary Body on Implementation to undertake a set of tasks set out in annex I to its conclusions and to bring to the attention of the Working Group any additional recommendations relevant to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework emerging from the deliberations of the Subsidiary Body.¹ At its second meeting, the Working Group also invited the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting, in line with paragraph 18 of decision 14/34, to provide elements to the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, in particular with regard to means to support and review implementation, including implementation support mechanisms, enabling conditions, responsibility and transparency and outreach and awareness.²

2. In response to the above requests, the present note provides an overview of the progress made in developing the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and the work to be undertaken by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting related to the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. It also contains a draft recommendation for the consideration of the Subsidiary Body. Further, the addendum to this note contains a draft gender plan of action for the post-2020 period. In addition, it is complimented by information document CBD/SBI/3/INF/12, which summarizes the work undertaken by the Co-Chairs of the Working Group and the Executive Secretary in response to relevant decisions and recommendations related to the post-2020 process.

II. PROGRESS MADE IN DEVELOPING THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

A. First meeting of the Working Group

3. At the first meeting of the Open-ended Working Group on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Parties discussed the possible structure and content of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and requested the Co-Chairs of the Working Group and the Executive Secretary, with the oversight of the Bureau, to continue the preparatory process in accordance with decisions 14/34, [CP-9/7](#) and [NP-3/15](#), and to prepare documentation, including a zero draft text of the post-2020 global

* [CBD/WG2020/1/1](#).

¹ See [CBD/WG2020/REC/1/1](#).

² See [CBD/WG2020/REC/2/1](#).

biodiversity framework, for consideration by the Working Group at its second meeting. The outcomes of the meeting are contained in document [CBD/WG2020/1/5](#).

B. Second meeting of the Working Group

4. At its second meeting, the Working Group invited the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting to provide elements for the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, in particular with regard to means to support and review implementation, including implementation support mechanisms, enabling conditions, responsibility and transparency and outreach and awareness. The Working Group also requested the Co-Chairs and the Executive Secretary to prepare relevant documents for these meetings, as well as a first draft text of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework to facilitate the work of the third meeting of the Working Group (see [CBD/WG2020/2/4](#)).

C. Consultations and other events

5. Following the fourteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, the Secretariat, in collaboration with its partners and under the guidance of the Co-Chairs of the Open-ended Working Group, organized several meetings and consultation processes related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.³ Further, in collaboration with its partners and with the guidance of the Co-Chairs, the Secretariat is organizing various meetings related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework throughout the remainder of 2020.⁴ In addition, through decision 14/34, Parties were urged and other entities encouraged to facilitate dialogues on the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and to make the results of these dialogues available through the clearing-house mechanism of the Convention and other appropriate means. In response to this request, several events have been organized.⁵ At various points in time, there have also been requests for submissions related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.⁶ The results from these consultations and submissions have been used in preparing

³ (a) [Regional Consultation Workshop on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for Asia and the Pacific, Nagoya, Japan, 28 January – 1 February 2019](#); (b) [Regional Consultation on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for Western European and Others Group and Other Members of the European Union, Bonn, 19-21 March 2019](#); (c) [Regional Consultation Workshop on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for African region, Addis Ababa, 2-5 April 2019](#); (d) [Regional Consultation on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for Central and Eastern Europe, Belgrade, 16-18 April 2019](#); (e) [Regional Consultation Workshop on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for Latin America and the Caribbean, Montevideo, 14-17 May 2019](#); (f) [Consultation workshop on access and benefit-sharing and the Nagoya Protocol, Nairobi, 25 August 2019](#); (g) [Global Consultation Workshop on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Biosafety and the Cartagena Protocol, 25 August 2019](#); (h) [Liaison Group on the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, thirteenth meeting, Montreal, Canada, 22-25 October 2019](#); (i) [Thematic Workshop on Ecosystem Restoration for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 6-8 November 2019](#); (j) [Thematic Workshop on Marine and Coastal Biodiversity for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Montreal, Canada, 13-15 November 2019](#); (k) [Global Thematic Dialogue for Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Montreal, Canada, 17-18 November 2019](#); (l) [Expert Workshop on the Communications Strategy for 2020, Montreal, Canada, 21-22 November 2019](#); (m) [Workshop on the Evidence Base for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework: Fifth Edition of the Global Biodiversity Outlook and IPBES Global Assessment, Montreal, Canada, 23 November 2019](#); (n) [Informal briefing by the Co-Chairs on the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, Montreal, Canada, 24 November 2019](#); (o) [Thematic Workshop on Area-Based Conservation Measures, Montreal, Canada, 1-3 December 2019](#); (p) [Thematic Workshop on Resource Mobilization for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Berlin, 14-16 January 2020](#); (q) [Thematic Consultation on Transparent Implementation, Monitoring, Reporting and Review for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Rome, 20-22 February 2020](#); (r) [Thematic Consultation on Capacity-building and Technical and Scientific Cooperation for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Rome, 1-2 March 2020](#).

⁴ (a) Webinar on SBSTTA-24 agenda item 3 (post-2020 global biodiversity framework) for Asia and the Pacific (2 July 2020); (b) Webinar on SBSTTA-24 agenda item 3 (post-2020 global biodiversity framework) the Americas) (3 July 2020); (c) Webinar on SBSTTA-24 agenda item 3 (post-2020 global biodiversity framework) for Western, Central and Eastern Europe, Africa, and the Middle East (7 July 2020); and (d) Thematic Consultation on the Sustainable Use of Biological Diversity for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework - Virtual Meeting (27 July 2020).

⁵ (a) [The 9th Trondheim Conference on Biodiversity, Trondheim, Norway, 2-5 July 2019](#), (b) [Expert Thematic Workshop on Landscape Approaches for the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework and Eighth Global Conference of the International Partnership for the Satoyama Initiative, Kumamoto, Japan, 3-6 September 2019](#), (c) [Thematic Workshop on Human Rights as enabling condition in the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 18-20 February 2020](#), (d) Workshop of Subnational, Regional and Local Governments on the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom, 1-3 April 2020 (Online).

⁶ <https://www.cbd.int/conferences/post2020/submissions>

documentation related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and, where relevant, documents for the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation.

III. WORK TO BE UNDERTAKEN BY THE SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION AT ITS THIRD MEETING

6. Issues related to the post-2020 global biodiversity will be addressed under the following [agenda](#) items during the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation:

(a) Agenda item 3: review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 – By decision [14/1](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will update the analysis of progress towards the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 on the basis of information contained in the sixth national reports prepared by the Executive Secretary (CBD/SBI/3/2);

(b) Agenda item 6 (a): resource mobilization – By decision [14/22](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will review outputs of the expert panel on resource mobilization (see CBD/SBI/3/5);

(c) Agenda item 6 (b): financial mechanism – By decision [14/23](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will prepare proposals for a four-year outcome-oriented framework of programme priorities for the eighth replenishment period (July 2022–June 2026) of the Trust Fund of the Global Environment Facility for the Convention and its Protocols, aligned with the draft post-2020 global biodiversity framework;

(d) Agenda item 7 (a): capacity-building, technical and scientific cooperation, and technology transfer – By decision [14/24](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will consider a draft long-term strategic framework for capacity-building beyond 2020, aligned with the draft post-2020 global biodiversity framework and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The Subsidiary Body will also undertake related work in accordance with Nagoya Protocol decision [NP-3/5](#);

(e) Agenda item 7 (b): knowledge management and the clearing-house mechanism – By decision [14/25](#), the Executive Secretary was requested, subject to the availability of resources, to undertake a number of activities related to knowledge management. These activities were the further implementation of the work programme for the clearing-house mechanism, to support Parties in establishing, sustaining and further developing their national clearing-house mechanisms, to update and further implement the web strategy for the Convention and its Protocols, to contribute to the development and testing of the Data and Reporting Tool, to identify, publicize and promote communities of practice, knowledge networks and collaborative dialogue platforms, and to develop a knowledge management component as a part of the preparatory process for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework based on the experience gained from relevant knowledge management initiatives and lessons learned and best practices. The Subsidiary Body on Implementation will consider a progress report on the implementation of these activities;

(f) Agenda item 7 (c): communication – By decision [14/26](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will consider a progress report on the implementation of the framework for a global communications strategy;

(g) Agenda item 8: cooperation with other conventions, international organizations and initiatives – By decision [14/30](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will consider an update on cooperation (CBD/SBI/3/10), including a progress report by the informal advisory group on synergies, as well as the reports of workshops with biodiversity-related and Rio conventions on the post-2020 global biodiversity framework;

(h) Agenda item 9: mechanisms for reporting, assessment and review of implementation – By decisions [14/27](#), [14/29](#) and [14/34](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will host a forum on testing a Party-led review process and consider options for enhancing review mechanisms. The Subsidiary Body will also consider an update on national reporting, including proposed elements of the reporting

framework for implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework (see CBD/SBI/3/11 and Add.1 and 2);

(i) Agenda item 11: mainstreaming of biodiversity within and across sectors and other strategic actions to enhance implementation – By decision [14/3](#), the Subsidiary Body on Implementation will consider the progress report on the work of the Informal Advisory Group on Mainstreaming of Biodiversity (CBD/SBI/3/13), taking into account any outcomes of the Working Group.

7. While the agenda items above will mostly be addressed under separate agenda items, the Subsidiary Body on Implementation should bear in mind that most are cross-cutting and interrelated. For example, cooperation with other conventions, international organizations and initiatives (agenda item 8) and mainstreaming biodiversity (agenda item 11) both relate to issues which can help to guide implementation. Similarly, resource mobilization and financial mechanisms (agenda item 6), capacity-building, knowledge management and communication (agenda item 7), mechanisms for reporting, assessment and review of implementation (agenda item 9) and a review of progress and processes (agenda item 3) represent a means of supporting implementation. In addition, many of these agenda items have links to other processes, including the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and assessment processes being undertaken by the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES).

8. In order to facilitate the consideration of issues related to the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, the Co-Chairs of the Open-ended Working Group have identified a set of questions for which they would like the input of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation. These questions, which are set out in the annex to the present document, are likely to be addressed naturally during the course of the discussions on the agenda items listed above. However, Parties may wish to bear them in mind during their deliberations.

IV. POST-2020 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND CAPACITY-BUILDING ACTION PLAN FOR THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

9. In decision CP-9/7, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety decided to develop a specific post-2020 implementation plan for the Protocol that is anchored in and complementary to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.

10. In decision CP-9/3, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties acknowledged the need for a specific action plan for capacity-building for implementation of the Cartagena Protocol and its Supplementary Protocol that is aligned with the implementation plan and complementary to the long-term strategic framework for capacity development.

11. As requested in the decisions, the draft post-2020 implementation plan and capacity-building action plan for the Cartagena Protocol were developed through an extensive consultative process that included submissions, open-ended online discussions, review by the Liaison Group on the Cartagena Protocol and a peer-review process.

12. The implementation plan has been developed as a framework of broad desirable achievements and accomplishments to help guide Parties in their implementation of the Protocol and measure progress in this regard for the period 2021-2030. The capacity-building action plan is presented alongside the implementation plan and provides examples of capacity-building activities that can support the achievement of the goals and outcomes of the implementation plan. Presenting the two plans alongside one another helps to show their alignment and complementarity and avoid duplication.

13. Furthermore, by facilitating implementation of the Cartagena Protocol, the implementation plan and the capacity-building action plan can contribute to achieving the biosafety target of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, in particular for those Parties to the Convention that are also Parties to the Cartagena Protocol.

14. Further details on the process for developing the implementation plan and the capacity-building action plan for the Protocol as well as the draft plans themselves are contained in document

CBD/SBI/3/18, which will also be considered by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation under item 5 of the provisional agenda for its third meeting.

V. OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

15. The 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action, welcomed in decision [XII/7](#), expires in 2020. The Secretariat is preparing a draft outline of a gender plan of action for the post-2020 period for the consideration of the Subsidiary Body and adoption by the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting. The draft plan will build on the 2015-2020 Gender Plan of Action and will be aligned with the zero draft post-2020 global biodiversity framework and its goals and targets. The new gender plan of action is intended to help implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework in a gender-responsive manner.

VI. PROPOSALS FOR THE DATE, VENUE AND PERIODICITY OF UPCOMING MEETINGS

16. The provisional agenda for the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation foresees that the Subsidiary Body will also address proposals for the date, venue and periodicity of upcoming meetings of the Conference of the Parties and of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meetings of the Parties to the Protocols. Pursuant to decision [XIII/33](#) of the Conference of the Parties, which was recalled in decision [14/38](#), it was decided that the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties would be held in Turkey.

17. The future periodicity of meetings will need to take into account various issues related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, including mechanisms for reporting, assessment and review of implementation being discussed under agenda item 9, as well as any other mechanisms or processes established to operationalize the framework. It also needs to take into account processes under the Protocols including the processes for assessment and review⁷ and the compliance procedures and mechanisms.⁸

18. In this light, and in view of the evolving situation related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Subsidiary Body may wish to have an initial sharing of views on this issue and request the Executive Secretary to develop a plan for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol at its tenth meeting and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to Nagoya Protocol at its fourth meeting, taking into account these views and the outcomes of the consideration of relevant agenda items.

VII. SUGGESTED ELEMENTS OF A DRAFT RECOMMENDATION

19. The Subsidiary Body on Implementation may wish to adopt a recommendation along the following lines:

The Subsidiary Body on Implementation

1. *Requests* the Co-Chairs of the Open-ended Working Group to take into account recommendation SBI-3/--⁹ when preparing documentation for the third meeting of the Working Group;

⁷ Article 35 of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (with the fourth assessment and review of the Protocol to be considered under item 4 of the agenda for the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation); Article 13 of the Nagoya – Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress; and Article 31 of the Nagoya Protocol.

⁸ The meetings of the Parties to the Cartagena and Nagoya Protocols have each agreed on compliance procedures and mechanisms and established Compliance Committees for the respective Protocols. Membership in the Committees is premised on four-year terms with elections held at each meeting of the Parties. A move away from a two-year periodicity of meetings of the Parties would require adjustments to this process.

⁹ Recommendations related to agenda items 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

2. *Requests* the Executive Secretary to update the draft gender plan of action in the light of the comments made during the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation and to make it available for the consideration of the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting;

3. *Also requests* the Executive Secretary, in view of the holding of the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties in Turkey and taking into account the comments made at the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation and the outcomes of that meeting, to prepare a proposal on the periodicity of meetings of the Conference of the Parties beyond the sixteenth meeting, for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting;

4. *Recommends* that the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth meeting adopt a decision along the following lines:

The Conference of the Parties

Adopts the gender plan of action for the post-2020 period to help implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework in a gender-responsive manner.

Annex

Input needed from the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting for the preparation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework	Relevant agenda item
1. Resource mobilization	
1.1. Need	6
1.1.1. Consideration and reflections on the cost of implementing the post-2020 global biodiversity framework.	6
1.1.2. Consideration and reflections of the costs of inaction.	6
1.2. Consideration and reflections of the savings which can be achieved through effective and coherent action.	6
1.3. Source of funds (Article 20)	6
1.3.1. Consideration and reflections of the resources that can be generated by repurposing existing subsidies and incentives.	6
1.3.2. Consideration and reflections on other sources of funds (e.g. philanthropy).	6
1.3.3. Consideration and reflections of the resources which can be generated through indirect source of funding (e.g. business spending).	6
1.3.4. Consideration and reflections of the resources that can be generated through national budgets. Should this be captured in national budgets, national financial plans (e.g. like the unconditional commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change)?	6
1.3.5. Consideration and reflections of the resources which can be generated through official development assistance.	6
1.3.6. What is the role of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) (Article 21)? How could GEF expedite access to funds, with minimal delays, to support timely implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework by least developed countries, small island developing States and countries with economies in transition?	6
1.3.7. Consideration and reflections of the role of other funds (e.g. Green Climate Fund) and how to leverage these. What is the potential overlap between the Convention and these other funds? Should new funding windows be developed or established, as was the case for the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change?	6
1.4. National biodiversity finance plan	6
1.4.1. What level of detail is needed in national biodiversity finance plans? Should financial plans address each target in the post-2020 global biodiversity framework?	6
1.4.2. Should national biodiversity finance plans be mandatory or should Parties be encouraged to develop them? Should the national biodiversity finance plans be merged with national plans?	6
1.4.3. What is the role of financial plans for other processes, such as climate change, in addressing biodiversity issues?	6

Input needed from the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting for the preparation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework	Relevant agenda item
2. Capacity-building and development	
2.1. Is a global capacity-building strategy the best tool to achieve result for capacity-building and development?	7
2.2. How are national conditions and needs determined and communicated? Should this be done through the national plans?	7
3. Knowledge generation management and sharing	
3.1. What processes are needed for traditional knowledge?	7
3.2. What processes are needed to further support the development of biodiversity information and knowledge?	7
4. Technical and scientific cooperation, technology transfer and innovation	
4.1. How should technical and scientific cooperation, technology transfer and innovation encouraged and supported? What is the role of cooperation between developing country Parties and of cooperation between developing country Parties supported by developed country Parties and other partners?	7
5. Responsibility and transparency	
5.1. General questions	
5.1.1. How is transparency and the responsibility of all stakeholders ensured?	9
5.1.2. How do other United Nations conventions report on their commitments and actions related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework?	9
5.1.3. How do businesses report on their commitments and actions?	9
5.1.4. How do subnational governments report on their commitments and actions? Is this through their national Governments?	9
5.2. Planning	
5.2.1. Are national biodiversity strategies and action plans (NBSAPs) the basis for the national plans to implement the post-2020 global biodiversity framework? What is the instrument to communicate national commitments and action plans to the Convention? What is the role of NBSAPs in this? How often and when are national plans updated?	9
5.2.2. Are changes to the NBSAPs needed?	9
5.2.3. If NBSAPs are to be updated, how do we ensure that financial support is available in a timely manner?	9
5.2.4. Must NBSAPs include all relevant targets from the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and, if so, how do we ensure this and who decides what is relevant?	9
5.2.5. Must NBSAPs reflect all headline indicators? Can countries use their own national indicators or only indicators agreed by the Conference of the Parties?	9

Input needed from the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting for the preparation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework	Relevant agenda item
5.2.6. Do Parties have the necessary capacity and resources to report on mandatory indicators?	9
5.2.7. How can we ensure that NBSAPs are developed expediently? What is the time frame for minimizing delays in the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework at the national level?	9
5.2.8. How can benefits (synergies) from the coordination and integration with other plans, coordination be realized?	9
5.3. Reporting	
5.3.1. National report	
5.3.1.1. What is the process/modalities for national reporting (i.e. some rapid concise report more frequently and/or more infrequent comprehensive reports)? Should the current reporting period for the Convention on Biological Diversity be maintained?	9
5.3.1.2. How should countries report on their commitments/actions listed in their national plans? Should reports cover all actions and commitments or a subset?	9
5.3.1.3. Should different types of information be reported at different times or frequencies (i.e. interim report in between full reports)?	9
5.3.1.4. How do we ensure that reports are provided according to the agreed schedule?	9
5.3.1.5. How do we ensure adequate and timely financial support is available for developing countries, including the least developed countries and small island developing States, to support the preparation of national reports?	9
5.3.1.6. How could the reports for different processes be harmonized, synchronized or even integrated (including for the Convention and Cartagena and Nagoya Protocols)?	9
5.3.2. Global reports	
5.3.2.1. What is the role of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) and the <i>Global Biodiversity Outlook</i> in the global reporting system? What are the gaps and overlaps of these? What is the value of the <i>Global Biodiversity Outlook</i> ? How can efficiency be improved?	9
5.4. Review	
5.4.1. How do we ensure that the review system ensures learning, transparency and adaptation?	9
5.4.2. Should national reports be reviewed for their conformity to guidelines agreed by the Conference of the Parties and if so what are the modalities for this and who should undertake the review?	9
5.5. Global stocktake	
5.5.1. What kind of data should be used and what is the role of data collected by non-State actors (e.g. remote sensing)? What are the modalities for data collection for the global stocktake?	9

Input needed from the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting for the preparation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework	Relevant agenda item
5.5.2. How often should the global stocktakes be prepared?	9
5.5.3. Should the global stock focus on the entire post-2020 global biodiversity framework or specific goals, targets and headline indicators? Should stocktakes only be based on objective or numerical indicators?	9
5.6. Open forum	
5.6.1. Should there be an open forum as part of the multidimensional review processes and, if so, what is the best format?	9
5.6.2. Should the open forum be done on a global or regional basis?	9
5.6.3. How often should the open forum be held and how often should Parties be reviewed? Should the schedule for review be based on a cycle (e.g. once every five years) or take a needs- or risk-based approach (e.g. with some Parties being reviewed more often than others)?	9
5.6.4. Should the presentation focus on all issues related to implementation or on a particular cross-cutting issue?	9
5.7. Voluntary peer review	
5.7.1. How can the value of the voluntary peer review process be maximized for Parties not being reviewed? How could countries that have undergone peer review better share their experiences?	9
5.7.2. Is keeping the voluntary peer-review process voluntary the best way forward?	9
5.7.3. What should the overall schedule be for planning, reporting and review processes?	9
