

Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework

Monitoring Framework

Jillian Campbell, Convention on Biological Diversity



UNITED NATIONS DECADE ON
ECOSYSTEM
RESTORATION
2021-2030

UN
environment
programme

GBF Logic

- **Goals:** State and state indicators (e.g. Ecosystem extent, Gross Ecosystem Product)
- **Targets:** Actions and action indicators (e.g. Protected areas (policy indicator), material footprint (consumption indicator))



Shift from the Aichi (2010-2020) which only included targets.



Monitoring approach

Group 1 - Headline indicators:

- A minimum set of high-level indicators to capture the overall scope of the goals and targets.
- Attempting to develop goal datasets/models which can be used in absence of national data sources.
- For National Reporting

Group 2 - Component indicators

- More detailed, often a disaggregation of the Headline indicators

Group 3 - Complementary indicators

- For thematic or in-depth analysis, may be less relevant some countries.





Current status and way forward

- It is expected that the monitoring framework will be agreed at COP-15 with the Headline indicators adopted as a part of the GBF.
- A proposed monitoring framework was discussed by SBSTTA (CBD/SBSTTA/24/3/Add.1),
 - At SBSTTA -24 the document was discussed and a survey on headline indicators was conducted. The co-chairs text on item 3 of the SBSTTA-24 is available on the SBSTTA website and summarizes the discussion and survey.
 - In general SBSTTA agreed to recommend the creation of an Ad Hoc Technical Expert Group to further work on the indicators after their adoption at COP-15.
- Based on the feedback at SBSTTA the Headline indicators were revised for OEWG-3 (CBD/WG2020/3/3/Add.1).
- The monitoring framework will be further discussed in January at the resumed SBSTTA and OEWG meeting.



Measuring ABS

- There is a lack of indicators which measure either the policy aspects of ABS or the impacts of ABS in a consistent, policy-relevant way.
- ABS is central to the Convention and to the GBF and improved data and indicators are needed to better inform national policy and global review.
- The proposed indicators in the context of the GBF still need work.



A few reflections

- There is a need for tools to track both national and global progress.
 - The Headline indicators are particularly focused on national relevance
- Countries have already mentioned the need for capacity building for tracking national progress
- In addition to providing a framework for monitoring, the monitoring framework will provide a basis for building capacity related to data and indicators.

