

Monitoring and Evaluation of the Implementation of Capacity- Building Framework

Record of discussions from Group 3

Moderators: Mr Caiphus Khumalo (South Africa) and Ms Lucy Mullenkei (Kenya)

INTRODUCTION

- Capacity building has always been viewed as a critical matter to be undertaken to ensure the effective implementation of the Post 2020 Global Biodiversity Framework
- On the other hand, it is also crucial that once the framework is concluded, there is a need the focus attention made to theory of change, strategies of implementation and a comprehensive Monitoring and Evaluation process
- M&E enables the implementers to be able to check and adapt as the process continues and ensures a good product
- Below is the captured notes on how Group 3 deliberated on the side of M&E of the Capacity-Building Framework

RECORDS OF DISCUSSION POINTS

- Ensure that implementation of programmes continue in parallel with M&E and includes technological transfer
- Ensure that we develop SMART indicators to evaluate the implementation of the framework
- The M&E should be undertaken at individual, local, society, national, regional and higher up in synergy with other conventions / UN programmes
- Capacity building should be undertaken for the institution as opposed to individuals to ensure that when people leave the expertise is not lost. Trained people to also ensure that they transfer their expertise to others within the institution
- There is a need to evaluate the quality of capacity building reports and their impacts to people thereof
- Need to develop baseline information from which the future monitoring and evaluation will be based

RECORD OF DISCUSSION CONTINUES

- Capacity building reporting cycle is longer to ensure that M&E is meaningfully undertaken
- Develop SMART indicators that will measure the implementation of the framework
- Adopt capacity building implementation after determination of the indicators
- Continuously analyse the national reports to check if interventions are effectively implemented
- Determine the available tools to enable appropriate implementation of various tools. e.g. Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety
- The use of numbers should be accompanied by qualitative indicators where appropriate
- Set up assessments by the end of each workshop / training and further visit to evaluate the effectiveness of the knowledge implementation thereafter over time

RECORD OF DISCUSSION CONTINUES

- Proposed indicators may include but not limited to: # of workshops, # of attendants, # of reflected issues by attendees after training and # of issues covered
- Monitoring of M&E implementation may need to be peer reviewed by other parties or organizations for the credibility check. Although some parties tend to have some reservations in this kind of actions
- Parties will need technical and financial resource support to implement the M&E
- Develop scorecard as an implementation tool
- Ensure the institutionalization of M&E in all contracting Parties
- Build institutions dealing with local and indigenous knowledge. Further intergrate their contributions in the implementation of M&E

RECORD OF DISCUSSION CONTINUES

- Indicators should be in three levels, namely, Output, outcomes and impacts
- Develop the review mechanism to ensure improvement of ailing areas
- There is a need for resources for the implementation of the framework. Therefore, relevant resource mobilization is needed for the success implementation of the framework
- Ensure to institute concrete monitoring and evaluation implementation programmes which will withstand the political changes within contracting Parties
- Develop M&E feedback loop that will indicate if correct capacity building is done in the right manner and is also achieving the intended outcomes
- M&E should be implemented as a collaborative initiative through dialogue with all affected parties to ensure its success (including donors)
- Ensure mainstreaming of biodiversity into national planning and implementation of other sectoral work within contracting Parties