



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: Limited
23 October 2025
Original: English

**Subsidiary Body on Scientific,
Technical and Technological Advice**
Twenty-seventh meeting
Panama City, 20–24 October 2025
Agenda item 12
Adoption of the report

Draft report

Introduction

1. The twenty-seventh meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice was held in Panama City from 20 to 24 October 2025. The meeting was attended by [--] Parties and [--] non-Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity and [--] Parties and [--] non-Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.¹

Item 1

Opening of the meeting

2. The meeting was opened at 10.10 a.m. on 20 October 2025 by the Chair, Jean Bruno Mikissa (Gabon).

3. The Chair welcomed participants to the meeting, which he said was taking place at a critical juncture in the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. Under agenda item 3, the Subsidiary Body was being called upon to provide rigorous scientific and technical advice to support the global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Framework. In that regard, he acknowledged the work of the Ad Hoc Scientific and Technical Advisory Group for the Preparation of the Global Report on Collective Progress in the Implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework that had been tasked to provide input on the matter. He also drew attention to other pressing issues on the agenda that reflected the various scientific and technical dimensions of the Framework, including the interlinkages between biodiversity and climate change; a review of findings of the assessments of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services; the strategic review of the programmes of work under the Convention; and the review of updates on work related to invasive alien species, the International Initiative for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Soil Biodiversity and biodiversity and health. Wishing everyone a productive and successful meeting, he emphasized the importance of developing a package of clean draft recommendations for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its seventeenth meeting.

¹ See CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/1 for the list of participants.

4. Opening statements² were made by the Executive Secretary of the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Astrid Schomaker, and the Minister of Environment of Panama and President of the Forum of Ministers of Environment of Latin America and the Caribbean, Juan Carlos Navarro.

5. The Executive Secretary began by acknowledging the hospitality of the President, the Minister of Environment and the people of Panama and thanking the various Governments that had provided financial support for the participation of delegates from 92 Parties, although she noted with concern that there had been insufficient funding to support all those who had applied for it. Stressing the importance of inclusivity and broad representation, she called for adequate funding for participants for the various meetings to be held in 2026. Turning to the present meeting, she echoed the Chair's comments regarding its importance at the current stage of implementation of the Framework. While the Framework was steadily gaining recognition, deadlines were being missed and resource mobilization remained challenging, and she urged the Subsidiary Body to provide rigorous and actionable scientific and technical advice to accelerate implementation. The Subsidiary Body had a heavy agenda and would need to maximize efficiency, to which end the Secretariat had introduced the pilot modalities for the early statement submission at the present meeting. Two core items on the agenda were the global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Framework and biodiversity and climate change. In terms of collective progress, she reported that, although 55 Parties had submitted updated national biodiversity strategies and action plans and 140 had submitted a total of 3,300 national targets, progress was not on track with the ambition of the Framework. Regarding biodiversity and climate change, the Subsidiary Body's mandate, defined under the Framework, was to provide the evidence-based guidance to ensure that efforts on biodiversity and ecosystem conservation, restoration and sustainable use and on climate mitigation and adaptation were mutually reinforcing. Overall, the Subsidiary Body needed to provide clear guidance for all items on its agenda with respect to the scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework, and she therefore called upon participants to commit to a week of constructive engagement, focused dialogue and mutual respect in the interest of a highly productive meeting.

6. The Minister of Environment of Panama welcomed all participants to Panama, highlighting his country's immense biodiversity and its corresponding will to act. He emphasized the urgency of real, immediate and tangible on-the-ground action in the face of the biodiversity crisis, which was part of the same challenge as climate change and land degradation, and underscored that there had never been more evidence, technology or capacity for delegations to work together to tackle that challenge. He outlined the actions taken by Panama to protect its natural areas through science-based conservation and the full and effective participation of local communities, highlighting the creation of the Nature Pledge, which combined all of the country's national goals on climate, biodiversity, plastics and land, marine and ocean conservation under a single framework in order to negotiate them all jointly in the various environment forums. Stressing that time was of the essence, he urged Parties, for the sake of biodiversity, to consider forgoing consensus, which seemed impossible to achieve among 196 countries, in favour of taking decisions by majority, as the General Assembly did. He expressed the desire for the discussions over the next two weeks to be useful, practical, evidence-based and guided by common sense, and for the decisions taken to translate into real policies, accessible financing and measurable results. He urged delegations to match ambition and coherence, and not to demand more conservation without providing the means to achieve it. Furthermore, every nation should assume the responsibility to act both within its own territory and at the global level, with all the resources and alliances it could mobilize with local communities, indigenous peoples and its own citizens. Re-emphasizing the need to set a concrete, immediate and effective agenda to stop the lethal threat of species extinction, with real actions on the ground, in each country and at the global level, he invited all Parties to work together with determination to act and secure agreement and to ensure that the meeting would be remembered as the moment when science and policy had come together to defend life on Earth.

² Statements made during the meeting and submitted in writing by participants are available at cbd.int/meetings/SBSTTA-27.

Item 2

Organizational matters: election of officers, adoption of the agenda and organization of work

Election of officers

7. In accordance with the elections held at the twenty-fifth and twenty-sixth meetings of the Subsidiary Body and the sixteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, the Bureau of the twenty-seventh meeting comprised the following members:

Jean Bruno Mikissa (Gabon), Chair

Mostafa Madbouhi (Morocco)

Kenneth Uiseb (Namibia)

Jahidul Kabir (Bangladesh)

Ruliyana Susanti (Indonesia)

Taulant Bino (Albania)

Jan Plesnik (Czechia)

Aria St. Louis (Grenada), with Francis Reyes Polanco (Dominican Republic) as substitute for matters pertaining to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity;

Paulina Stowhas Salinas (Chile), with Ana Laura Mello Villamarin (Uruguay) as substitute for matters pertaining to the Cartagena Protocol and the Nagoya Protocol;

Scott Wilson (Canada), with Jane Stratford (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland) as substitute for matters pertaining to the Cartagena and Nagoya Protocols;

Sanne Kruid (Kingdom of the Netherlands)

8. At the 1st plenary session, on 20 October, it was agreed that Ms. Susanti (Indonesia) would act as Rapporteur.

9. The Rapporteur made a statement of thanks on behalf of all participants.

10. At its 4th plenary session, on 21 October, the Subsidiary Body elected the following members to serve on the Bureau for a term commencing at the end of the present meeting and ending at the close of its twenty-ninth meeting, to replace the members from Albania, Bangladesh, Canada, Grenada and Namibia: Dickson Chitupa (Zimbabwe), Camille Inatio (Federated States of Micronesia), with Karl Fellenius (Marshall Islands) as substitute for matters pertaining to the Cartagena Protocol; Karen Khachatryan (Armenia), Kishma Primus-Ormond (Antigua and Barbuda) and Nicolas Watner (Switzerland).

Adoption of the agenda and organization of work

11. At its 1st plenary session, the Subsidiary Body adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda prepared by the Secretariat:³

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Organizational matters: election of officers, adoption of the agenda and organization of work.
3. Planning, monitoring, reporting and review: global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework.
4. Matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
5. Biodiversity and climate change.

³ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/1](#).

6. Scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework:
 - (a) Strategic review and analysis of the programmes of work under the Convention in the context of the Framework;
 - (b) Strategic review of and updates to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity;
 - (c) Areas of potential further work.
 7. Risk assessment and risk management.
 8. Invasive alien species.
 9. Biodiversity and agriculture.
 10. Biodiversity and health.
 11. Other matters.
 12. Adoption of the report.
 13. Closure of the meeting.
12. At the same plenary session, the Subsidiary Body approved the proposed organization of work.⁴

Item 3

Planning, monitoring, reporting and review: global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

13. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 3 at its 1st plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on the global review of collective progress in the implementation of the Framework, which contained a draft recommendation.⁵ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to item 3: an analysis of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and national targets established by Parties aligned with the Framework;⁶ and reflections on the peer review of the annotated outline for the global report of collective progress in the implementation of the Framework, which contained an annotated outline for the global report in its annex,⁷ and the report of the Bogis-Bossey expert workshop on the Bern Process and the contribution of multilateral environmental agreements to the implementation of the Framework.⁸
14. One the Co-Chairs of the Ad Hoc Scientific and Technical Advisory Group for the Preparation of the Global Report on Collective Progress in the Implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework presented a brief update on the group's work.
15. Statements were made by the representatives of the following parties: Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Denmark, Egypt, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Guatemala, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Norway, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Togo, United Kingdom, Uruguay and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the Africa States).
16. At its 2nd plenary session, on 20 October, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 3.

⁴ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/1/Add.2](#), annex.

⁵ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/2](#).

⁶ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/4/Rev.1](#).

⁷ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/5](#).

⁸ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/15](#).

17. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Bangladesh, Belgium, Cuba, Djibouti, Fiji, Ghana, Jordan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Sudan, Türkiye, United Republic of Tanzania and Yemen.
18. Statements were also made by representatives of the CBD Women's Caucus, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and the International Union for Conservation of Nature.
19. The Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Sanne Kruid (Kingdom of the Netherlands) and Hesiquio Benítez Díaz (Mexico), with a mandate to refine the text of the proposed annotated outline of the global report contained in the annex to document CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/5.
20. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Brazil, Egypt and Norway.
21. The Chair asked the representatives of Argentina, Brazil, Egypt and Norway to consult with the Co-Chairs of the contact group in order to find on a way forward on the arrangements for the contact group. Following informal discussions, one of the Co-Chairs of the contact group reported that agreement had been reached.
22. *[to be completed]*.

Item 4

Matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

23. The Subsidiary Body considered agenda item 4 at its 2nd plenary session. It had before it notes by the Secretariat on matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and implications of its assessments for the work undertaken under the Convention, which included a draft recommendation;⁹ a review of findings from the summary for policymakers of the thematic assessment of the interlinkages among biodiversity, water, food and health and their implications for the work undertaken under the Convention;¹⁰ a review of findings from the summary for policymakers of the thematic assessment of the underlying causes of biodiversity loss and the determinants of transformative change and options for achieving the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity and their implications;¹¹ and a review of the scoping report for a second global assessment of biodiversity and ecosystem services and its potential implications for the work undertaken under the Convention.¹²
24. The newly appointed Executive Secretary of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Luthando Dziba, delivered brief remarks.
25. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Cameroon, Canada, Colombia, Czechia, Denmark, Egypt, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Ghana, Guatemala, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malawi, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Norway, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Suriname, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.
26. Statements were also made by representatives of the CBD Alliance, the CBD Women's Caucus, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network and the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

⁹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/3](#).

¹⁰ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/3/Add.1](#).

¹¹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/3/Add.2](#).

¹² [CBD/SBSTTA/27/3/Add.3](#).

27. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that he would prepare a revised draft recommendation, in consultation with the Secretariat, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.
28. At its 5th plenary session, on 22 October, the Subsidiary Body considered the revised draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.
29. Statements were made by the representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Czechia, Egypt, European Union, India, Germany, Ghana, Japan, Jordan, Malawi, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Russian Federation, South Africa, Sweden, Togo, Tunisia, Türkiye and United Kingdom.
30. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to convene a small group of interested Parties, composed of the representatives of Argentina, Brazil, Czechia, the European Union, Malawi, the Russian Federation, South Africa and the United Kingdom, to finalize the text of paragraphs 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the revised draft recommendation, for consideration at a later session.
31. *[to be completed]*.

Item 5

Biodiversity and climate change

32. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 5 at its 2nd plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on biodiversity and climate change, which included a draft recommendation with an annex containing a draft supplement to the Voluntary Guidelines for the Design and Effective Implementation of Ecosystem-based Approaches to Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction.¹³ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to item 5: a compilation of inputs into the process for identifying options for enhanced policy coherence and cooperation between the Rio conventions;¹⁴ and an expanded supplement to the Voluntary Guidelines for the Design and Effective Implementation of Ecosystem-based Approaches to Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction.¹⁵
33. A representative of the Secretariat provided an oral correction to the draft recommendation, to delete paragraph 5.
34. Statements were made by representatives of the following parties: Egypt, Denmark and South Africa (on behalf of the African States).
35. At its 3rd plenary session, on 21 October, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 5.
36. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, European Union, Finland, Germany, Guatemala, Iceland, India, Japan, Jordan, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Norway, Panama, Peru, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye, Uganda, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, Uruguay and Yemen.
37. A statement was also made by a representative of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.
38. Further statements were made by representatives of the CBD Alliance, the CBD Women's Caucus, the Global Youth Biodiversity Network, the International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

¹³ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/4](#).

¹⁴ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/8](#).

¹⁵ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/11](#).

39. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Sikeade Egbuwalo (Nigeria) and Clarisse Kehler Siebert (Sweden), to review the draft recommendation, with a view to addressing the broad range of issues identified, including the areas where further guidance might be required.

40. *[to be completed]*.

Item 6

Scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

41. The Subsidiary Body began its consideration of agenda item 6 at its 3rd plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on scientific and technical needs to support the implementation of the Framework,¹⁶ as well as three addenda on the strategic review and analysis of the programmes of work under the Convention in the context of the Framework,¹⁷ the strategic review of and update to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity in the context of the Framework¹⁸ and areas of potential further work in the context of the Framework,¹⁹ respectively. The latter two addenda contained a draft recommendation each. The following information documents had also been issued in relation to item 6: a report on the strategic review of the programmes of work of the Convention,²⁰ a note by the Secretariat on information related to the review of and potential updates to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity in the context of the Framework²¹ and a document prepared by the Collaborative Partnership on Forests on its contributions to conserving, restoring and sustainably managing forests for the Framework.²²

42. At the suggestion of the Chair, the Subsidiary Body agreed to consider sub-items 6 (a) (strategic review and analysis of the programmes of work under the Convention in the context of the Framework), (b) (strategic review of and updates to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity) and (c) (areas of potential further work) jointly.

43. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (a): Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Cook Islands, Denmark, Morocco, New Zealand, Russian Federation and Zimbabwe (on behalf of the African States).

44. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (b): Belgium, Brazil, Denmark, Namibia (on behalf of the African States), New Zealand and Russian Federation.

45. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (c): Brazil, Colombia, Denmark, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Togo (on behalf of the African States) and Uruguay.

46. At its 4th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body resumed its consideration of agenda item 6.

47. General statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: India and United Arab Emirates.

48. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (a): Argentina, Austria, Bhutan, Canada, China, Germany, Japan, Malaysia, Panama, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

49. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (b): Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Canada, China, European Union, Finland, France, Iceland, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland.

¹⁶ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/5](#).

¹⁷ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/5/Add.1](#).

¹⁸ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/5/Add.2](#).

¹⁹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/5/Add.3](#).

²⁰ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/6](#).

²¹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/9](#).

²² [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/10](#).

50. Representatives of the following Parties made statements on sub-item 6 (c): Argentina, Austria, Canada, Egypt, Finland, Germany, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Namibia, Panama, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

51. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Mr. Wilson (Canada) and Senka Barudanović (Bosnia and Herzegovina), to further consider the strategic review and analysis of the programmes of work under the Convention in the context of the Framework and areas of potential further work.

52. The Subsidiary Body also agreed to establish a second contact group, co-chaired by Marina von Weissenberg (Finland) and Mr. Kabir (Bangladesh), to further consider the strategic review of and updates to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity.

(a) Strategic review and analysis of the programmes of work under the Convention in the context of the Framework

53. *[to be completed]*.

(b) Strategic review of and updates to the expanded programme of work on forest biodiversity

54. *[to be completed]*.

(c) Areas of potential further work

55. *[to be completed]*.

Item 7

Risk assessment and risk management

56. The Subsidiary Body considered agenda item 7 at its 4th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on risk assessment and risk management of living modified organisms, which contained a draft recommendation.²³

57. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Austria, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Denmark, Egypt, European Union, Finland, Germany, Guatemala, Hungary, India, Japan, Malawi, Mexico, Morocco, Namibia, New Zealand, Norway, Panama, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland, Uganda and Zimbabwe.

58. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body agreed to establish a contact group, co-chaired by Martha Kandawa-Schulz (Namibia) and Werner Schenkel (Germany), with the mandate to prepare a recommendation for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol at its twelfth meeting, addressing the broad range of issues identified, including areas where further guidance might be required.

59. *[to be completed]*.

Item 8

Invasive alien species

60. The Subsidiary Body considered agenda item 8 at its 4th plenary session. It had before it a progress report by the Secretariat on intersessional activities on invasive alien species, which contained a draft recommendation.²⁴ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to item 8: a report of the Online Forum on Invasive Alien Species²⁵ and a note by the

²³ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/6](#).

²⁴ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/7](#).

²⁵ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/2/Rev.1](#).

Secretariat on activities undertaken in collaboration with the Inter-Agency Liaison Group on Invasive Alien Species.²⁶

61. Statements were made by representatives of the following Parties: Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Cook Islands (on behalf of the Pacific small island developing States represented at the meeting), Denmark, European Union, Finland, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Namibia, New Zealand, Qatar, South Africa (on behalf of the African States) and Spain.

62. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that he would prepare a revised draft recommendation, in consultation with the Secretariat, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body, taking into account the views expressed by Parties.

63. At its 6th plenary session, on 23 October, the Subsidiary Body considered the revised draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

64. Statements were made by the representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Japan, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, South Africa and Viet Nam.

65. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body approved the revised draft recommendation, as orally amended, as draft recommendation CBD/SBSTTA/27/L.2, for formal adoption by the Subsidiary Body at a later stage.

66. *[to be completed]*.

Item 9

Biodiversity and agriculture

67. The Subsidiary Body considered agenda item 9 at its 4th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on biodiversity and agriculture, which contained a draft recommendation.²⁷ The following information documents had also been issued in relation to item 9: a synthesis of submissions on the status of implementation of the Plan of Action (2020–2030) for the International Initiative for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Soil Biodiversity,²⁸ a note by the Secretariat on the early submission of statements for agenda item 9,²⁹ a progress report on the implementation of the Plan of Action (2020–2030) for the International Initiative for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Soil Biodiversity by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations,³⁰ a progress report on the establishment of a global pollinator platform by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations³¹ and a progress report on the implementation of the Plan of Action (2020–2030) for the International Initiative for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Soil Biodiversity by the Global Soil Biodiversity Initiative.³²

68. As the pilot modalities for the early submission of statements³³ had been implemented on a trial basis for item 9, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in information document [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/12/Rev.1](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

69. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed that the statements of the following Parties, which were listed in information document CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/12/Rev.1 and present at the 4th plenary session, would be formally put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 9:

²⁶ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/3](#).

²⁷ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/8](#).

²⁸ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/7](#).

²⁹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/12/Rev.1](#).

³⁰ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/14](#).

³¹ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/16](#).

³² [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/17](#).

³³ [SCBD/SSSF/SS/MS/92475](#), annex I.

Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, Cook Islands, Denmark, European Union, Finland, Germany, Guatemala, Indonesia, Japan, Morocco, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), New Zealand, Republic of Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

70. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Egypt, Ghana (on behalf of the African States) and Mexico.

71. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers, which were listed in information document [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/12/Rev.1](#) and present at the 4th plenary session, would be formally put on record as statements officially submitted for agenda item 9: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Global Youth Biodiversity Network and International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

72. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that he would prepare a revised draft recommendation, in consultation with the Secretariat, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

73. *[to be completed]*.

Item 10 Biodiversity and health

74. The Subsidiary Body considered agenda item 10 at its 5th plenary session. It had before it a note by the Secretariat on biodiversity and health, which contained a draft recommendation.³⁴ An information document had also been issued in relation to agenda item 10, on the early submission of statements for agenda item 10.³⁵

75. As the pilot modalities for the early submission of statements³⁶ had also been implemented on a trial basis for item 10, with statements submitted on an informal and voluntary basis, the Chair asked the Parties and observers listed in information document [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/13/Rev.1](#) and present at the plenary session whether they had any objections to their statements being put on record as statements officially submitted. No objections were raised.

76. The Subsidiary Body therefore agreed for the statements of the following Parties, which were listed in information document [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/13/Rev.1](#) and present at the 5th plenary session, to be formally put on record as statements officially submitted under agenda item 10: Bangladesh, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Denmark, European Union, Finland, Germany, Guatemala, Indonesia, Japan, Morocco, Netherlands (Kingdom of the), Republic of Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

77. In addition, statements were made in session by representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Egypt, India, Jordan, Malawi, Mexico, Panama, Senegal, South Africa (on behalf of the African States) and Uganda.

78. The Subsidiary Body also agreed that the statements of the following observers, which were listed in information document [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/13/Rev.1](#) and present at the 5th plenary session, to be formally put on record as statements officially submitted for agenda item 10: Cohab Initiative, International Indigenous Forum on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services and Global Youth Biodiversity Network.

79. A statement was also made by a representative of the World Health Organization.

80. Further statements were made in session by representatives of the CBD Women's Caucus, Global Greens and the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

³⁴ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/9](#).

³⁵ [CBD/SBSTTA/27/INF/13/Rev.1](#).

³⁶ [SCBD/SSSF/SS/MS/92475](#).

81. Following the exchange of views, the Chair said that he would prepare a revised draft recommendation, in consultation with the Secretariat, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body, taking into account the views expressed or supported by Parties.

82. At its 6th plenary session, the Subsidiary Body considered the revised draft recommendation submitted by the Chair.

83. Statements were made by the representatives of the following Parties: Argentina, Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, China, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Egypt, European Union, Fiji, France, Germany, Guatemala, India, Japan, Jordan, Malawi, Mexico, Nigeria, Norway, Russian Federation, South Africa, Spain, Sudan, Sweden and Togo.

84. Following the exchange of views, the Subsidiary Body approved the revised draft recommendation, as orally amended, on the understanding that final approval of paragraph 1 remained subject to the outcome of the discussion under agenda item 4, on matters related to the work programme of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

85. *[to be completed]*

Item 11

Other matters

86. *[to be completed]*.

Item 12

Adoption of the report

87. *[to be completed]*.

Item 13

Closure of the meeting

88. *[to be completed]*.
