





CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Distr.

GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/1/10 5 November 2003

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY SERVING AS THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

First meeting Kuala Lumpur, 23-27 February 2004 Agenda item 6.7 of the provisional agenda *

MONITORING AND REPORTING UNDER THE PROTOCOL (ARTICLE 33): FORMAT AND TIMING FOR REPORTING

Note by the Executive Secretary

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The work plan of the Intergovernmental Committee for the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (ICCP) adopted by the fifth meeting of the Conference of the Parties included the item "Monitoring and reporting (Article 33)". This item was to be considered by the ICCP at its second meeting. In adopting the work plan (decision V/1, annex), the Conference of the Parties specified that the issue to be considered under this item was "format and timing for reporting".
- 2. The Executive Secretary prepared a draft format for reporting under the Protocol for consideration by the ICCP at its second meeting (UNEP/CBD/ICCP/2/4, annex). Development of the draft format was based in part on the experience gained in national reporting for the Convention under Article 26 (decision V/19 of the Conference of the Parties). Analysis of the second national reports under the Convention indicated that countries submitted reports using the recommended format, and that the hybrid nature of the format—posing questions on national implementation of each obligation under the Convention, followed by the opportunity for the Party to provide a detailed response explaining the context to the preceding answers or identifying successes and constraints—successfully met its dual purpose of facilitating assessment of the state of implementation of the Convention and allowing individual Parties to provide detailed information on measures taken for implementation of the Convention and the effectiveness of these measures.

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/1/1

/...

- 3. In considering the item, the Intergovernmental Committee supported the general format proposed in the annex of the note by the Executive Secretary, and invited Governments to provide written comments on the draft format to the Executive Secretary before 15 January 2002, with a view to further developing the format (recommendation 2/2).
- 4. In advance of the third meeting of the ICCP, the Executive Secretary received comments from Australia, Canada, European Union, Slovenia, Switzerland and Viet Nam.
- 5. The ICCP further considered this item at its third meeting on the basis of a note prepared by the Executive Secretary (UNEP/CBD/ICCP/3/8), and encouraged Governments that had not submitted comments pursuant to recommendation 2/2 of the ICCP to review the reporting format and submit any comments by no later than five months prior to the first meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Convention.
- 6. As of 22 October 2003, the following Governments and organizations had submitted comments on the draft reporting format: Australia, Paraguay, Switzerland, United States of America, and WWF International.

II. SYNTHESIS OF COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT REPORTING FORMAT

- 7. The comments submitted pursuant to the recommendations of ICCP at both its second and third meetings have been compiled in an information document (UNEP/CBD/COP-MOP/1/INF/9).
- 8. In general, the reporting Governments felt that the draft reporting format was a good starting point, which could be further improved in the future. It should be noted that the reporting format under the Convention has changed considerably over time, due in part to the need to report on the implementation of decisions of the Conference of the Parties. Similarly, it is expected that the format for reporting under the Protocol will also change over time in response to the need to report on the implementation of decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.
- 9. In addition to specific comments on individual questions, the major substantive comments received from governments are as follows:
 - (a) The format is long and could be overly burdensome on Parties;
- (b) It may be possible to eliminate some questions that are partly duplicative of other questions;
- (c) It may be appropriate to delete certain questions which are not directly relevant to reporting on implementation as required by Article 33;
- (d) Some questions make implicit interpretations about how governments will choose to implement certain provisions of the Protocol, and should be deleted;
 - (e) The format should be organized more explicitly according to the articles of the Protocol;
- (f) The wording of some questions should be adjusted to more precisely reflect the wording used in the Protocol;

III. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS FOR REVISION OF THE REPORTING FORMAT

- 10. In addition to comments received from Governments on the draft reporting format, it is also possible and appropriate to take into account the ongoing development of the national reporting process under the Convention. In particular, lessons learned in reporting under the Convention have been taken from decision VI/25 of the Conference of the Parties, on national reports, the recommendations of the Open-ended Inter-Sessional Meeting on the Multi-Year Programme of Work of the Conference of the Parties up to 2010 with respect to national reports (UNEP/CBD/COP/7/5, annex, recommendation 2), and the note by the Executive Secretary on development of the format for the third national reports prepared for the seventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties (UNEP/CBD/COP/7/17/Add.2).
- 11. From these documents, there are some key recommendations for the reporting process under the Convention that are generally applicable to reporting under the Protocol as well, such as the following:
- (a) To the extent possible, means other than national reports should be used to gather the required information. In the case of the previous draft reporting format for the Protocol, many questions asked if information has been provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House. These questions have been consolidated since the Secretariat already has that information;
- (b) Questions should be incorporated that allow for description of experiences in implementation, including any obstacles or impediments encountered;
 - (c) Questions should be framed in a direct way to reduce complexity and ambiguity;
- (d) Parties should be requested to report on the financial resources that have been made available or received for the purposes of implementation.
- 12. The reporting format has been revised in line with the comments received from governments and the guidance from the Conference of the Parties that is relevant to reporting under the Protocol, and is contained in the annex to the draft decision below.

IV. DRAFT DECISION

13. In the light of the above, Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol may wish to consider adopting a decision along the following lines:

"The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol

- 1. *Takes note* of the note of the Executive Secretary on monitoring and reporting (UNEP/CBD/COP-MOP/1/10);
 - 2. *Recognizes* the need for clear and simple reporting requirements that:
- (a) Consider technical, technological and financial capacity limitations in developing countries, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and countries with economies in transition, as well as countries that are centres of origin and centres of genetic diversity;
- (b) Avoid duplication of other requirements pursuant to the Convention on Biological Diversity;
 - (c) Support statistical analysis and compilation;

- (d) Encourage Parties to provide detailed information at national as well as at regional levels, where such information can be useful to other Parties;
 - 3. Requests Parties to make use of the reporting format as annexed to this decision;
- 4. *Recommends* that Parties prepare their reports through a consultative process involving all relevant stakeholders, as appropriate;
 - 5. *Requests* Parties to submit their reports:
- (a) On a general frequency of every four years, but in the initial four-year period to submit an interim report two years after the entry into force of the Protocol;
- (b) Twelve months prior to the meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol that will consider the report;
 - (c) In an official language of the United Nations;
 - (d) In both hard copy and electronic format;
- 6. *Decides* that the intervals and formats of the reports should be kept under review, building on the experience of Parties in preparing their reports.

Annex

DRAFT FORMAT FOR THE INTERIM NATIONAL REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

CONTENTS

GUIDELINES FOR USE OF THE REPORTING FORMAT	Page 6
Origin of report	
• •	
Obligations for provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House	
Article 2 – General provisions	11
Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure	12
Article 11 – procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed processing	•
Article 13 – Simplified procedure	14
Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements	14
Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management	15
Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures	16
Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification	17
Article 19 - Competent national authorities and national focal points	18
Article 20 – Information-sharing and the biosafety clearing-house	18
Article 21 – Confidential information	19
Article 22 – Capacity-building	20
Article 23 – Public awareness and participation	22
Article 24 – Non-parties	23
Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements	23
Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations.	24
Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources.	25
Other information	26
Comments on reporting format	26

GUIDELINES FOR USE OF THE REPORTING FORMAT

The following format for preparation of the report on implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety called for under Article 33 of the Protocol is a series of questions based on those elements of the Protocol that establish obligations for Contracting Parties. Responses to these questions will help Parties to review the extent to which they are successfully implementing the provisions of the Protocol and will assist the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol to assess the overall status of implementation of the Convention.

Parties are requested to submit an interim national report on implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety in this format to the Executive Secretary no later than 11 September 2005. The reporting format is intended to be specific to the interim national report only. It is expected that the format for the first national report will be slightly more detailed, to allow for reporting on decisions that will have been taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. Similarly, for subsequent national reports, the format is expected to evolve, as questions that are no longer relevant after the first national report may be deleted, questions that are relevant to ongoing progress in implementation will be retained, and additional questions will be formulated pursuant to future decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.

The wording of questions follows the wording of the relevant articles of the Protocol as closely as possible. The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

The format tries to minimize the reporting burden on Parties, while eliciting the important information regarding implementation of the provisions of the Protocol. Many questions require only a tick in one or more boxes. 1/ Other questions seek a qualitative description of experiences and progress, including obstacles and impediments to the implementation of particular provisions. 2/ Although there is no set limit on length of text, in order to assist with the review and synthesis of the information in the reports, respondents are asked to ensure that answers are as relevant and as succinct as possible.

The information provided by Parties will not be used to rank performance or to otherwise compare implementation between individual Parties.

The Executive Secretary welcomes any comments on the adequacy of the questions, and difficulties in completing the questions, and any further recommendations on how these reporting guidelines could be improved. Space is provided for such comments at the end of the report.

It is recommended that Parties involve all relevant stakeholders in the preparation of the report, in order to ensure a participatory and transparent approach to its development and the accuracy of the information requested. A box is provided in which to identify those groups who have been involved.

Parties are requested to submit an original signed copy by post and an electronic copy on diskette or by electronic mail. An electronic version of this document will be sent to all national focal points and this will also be available from the Convention's website at: http://www.biodiv.org

^{1/} If you feel that, in order to properly reflect the circumstances, it is necessary to tick more than one box, please do so. In this case, you are encouraged to provide further information in the text answers that follow.

^{2/} Please feel free to append to the report further information on any of the questions.

Completed reports and any comments should be sent to:

The Executive Secretary
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
World Trade Centre
393 St. Jacques Street West, suite 300
Montreal, Quebec
H2Y 1N9 Canada

Fax: (+1 514) 288 6588 e-mail: secretariat@biodiv.org

Origin of report

Party	
	Contact officer for report
Name and title of contact officer:	
Mailing address:	
Telephone:	
Fax:	
E-mail:	
	Submission
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	
Date of submission:	
	on the process by which this report has been prepared, including rs who have been actively involved in its preparation and on the report:

Obligations for provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the BCH, describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):		

Information required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

- (a) Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20.3(a))
- (b) National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.5);
- (c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and 24.1);
- (d) Contact details for competent national authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));
- (e) In cases of multiple competent national authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles 19.2 and 19.3);
- (f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));

- (g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);
- (h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);
- (i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));
- (j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);
- (k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);
- (1) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with Annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))
- (m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)
- (n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);
- (o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)
- (p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1); and
- (q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).

Article 2 – General provisions

2. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)		
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)		
c) no measures yet taken		
3. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

4. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters ½/ under the jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)		
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of export		
5. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Parreview a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of export		
6. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory fram by Article 9.2(c).	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period		
7. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
8. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol

Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing

9. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)		
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable (please give details below)		
10. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not relevant		
11. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory fram by Article 11.4?	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period		
12. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
13. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

$Article\ 13-Simplified\ procedure$

14. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements		
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.		
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.		
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House. 15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		
15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any		

Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

16. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments carried out for all decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)		
a) yes		
b) no (please clarify below)		
c) not a Party of import		
17. If yes, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment?		
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import		
18. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)	re the notifier to	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
19. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures and strategies to regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the Protocol? (Article 16.1)		
a) yes		
b) no		
20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transboundary movements of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)		
a) yes		
b) no		
21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether imported or locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with its life-cycle or generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)		
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)		
c) no (please give further details below)		
d) not applicable (please give further details below)		

22. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5?		
a) yes (please give further details below)		
b) no (please give further details below)		
23. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency me	easures	
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.		
24. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction that led, or could have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism that had, or could have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately consult the affected or potentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?		
a) yes – all relevant States immediately		
b) partially (please clarify below)		
c) no (please clarify below)		
25. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification

26. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are subject to transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (Article 18.1)		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not applicable (please clarify below)		
27. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they 'may contain' living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for information? (Article 18.2(a))		
a) yes		
b) no		
28. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modified organisms and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the living modified organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))		
a) yes		
b) no		
29. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))		
a) yes		
b) no		
30. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

31. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

$Article\ 21-Confidential\ information$

32. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)	
a) yes	
b) no	
33. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)	
a) yes	
If yes, please give number of cases	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a Party of import	
34. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:	
35. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:	

Article 22 – Capacity-building

36. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?	
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	
b) not applicable – not a developed country Party	
37. If yes, how has such cooperation taken place:	
38. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
b) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
39. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
b) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	

40. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and institutional capacities in biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
b) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
41. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any ol impediments encountered:	

Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

42. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health? (Article 23.1(a))	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
43. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
44. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education encompass access to information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol that may be imported? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
45. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult the public in the decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of such decisions available to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
46. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosa House? (Article 23.3)	afety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
47. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Article 24 – Non-Parties

48. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and

a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of difficulties encountered:	any impediments or
Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements	
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.	
49. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)	
a) yes	
b) no	
50. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well a your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or improvement encountered:	

Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations

51. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it take into account socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological diversity to indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
d) not a Party of import	
52. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio-economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
53. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

54. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.	
a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	
c) both	
d) neither	
55. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Other information

56. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:
Comments on reporting format
The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions:
