

**CONVENTION ON  
BIOLOGICAL  
DIVERSITY**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/3/INF/8  
28 November 2005

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES SERVING AS  
THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE  
PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

Third meeting

Curitiba, Brazil, March 2006

Agenda item 14 of the provisional agenda \*

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES SUBMITTED IN THE INTERIM NATIONAL REPORTS ON  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY**

*Note by the Executive Secretary*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This document contains a summary of the responses provided by Parties for those questions in the Interim National Report on Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety where a multiple-choice option was presented as part of the reporting format. The deadline for submission of interim national reports was 11 September 2005. This summary includes all reports received by 11 October 2005.
2. Responses to those questions that required detailed textual answers are examined in the context of the analysis of information contained in the interim national reports (document UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/3/12) and are not reproduced herein. However, where explanatory text was provided directly within a multiple-choice question, it is reflected below as a footnote next to the country name.
3. The complete text of the responses to all questions provided in the interim national reports submitted to the Secretariat can be accessed through the Biosafety Clearing-House at the following address: <<http://bch.biodiv.org/protocolreports/>>.
4. A key to the country name acronyms used in the tables below is annexed to the present document.

\* UNEP/CBD/BS/COP-MOP/3/1.

/...

## II. SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

### *Article 2 – General provisions*

<b>Q2. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)	at, be <sup>1</sup> , kh <sup>2</sup> , dk, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, nl, no, pl, pt, md, sk, si, za, es, ch, gb	27
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)	al, dz, bg, kh <sup>3</sup> , cm, cu, eg, et, ir, ml, mx, pe, ro, se, tg, ua	16
c) no measures yet taken	bz, kn	2

### *Articles 7 to 10 and 12 – The advance informed agreement procedure*

<b>Q4. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters / under the jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be, bg, kh, cm, dk, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, mx, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, sk, si, za <sup>4</sup> , es, se, ch, gb	31
b) no		0
c) not applicable - not a Party of export	al, dz, bz, cu, eg, et, id, ir, ml, ro, kn, tg, ua	13

---

<sup>1</sup> Almost

<sup>2</sup> Draft law

<sup>3</sup> Not yet, only in the draft law

<sup>4</sup> Completion of an affidavit to declare information is true and accurate

<b>Q5. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Party of import to review a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.2?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes (please give details below)	kh	1
b) no	be, mx, za	3
c) not applicable - not a Party of export	al, dz, at, bz, bg, cm, cu, dk, eg, ee, et, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, kn, sk, si, es, se, ch, tg, ua, gb	40

<b>Q6. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 9.2(c)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	dz, kh <sup>5</sup> , cm, fr <sup>6</sup> , id, pl, ro, za	8
b) no	dk, mx, md	3
c) not applicable - no decisions taken during the reporting period	al, at, be, bz, bg, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, eu, fi, de, hu, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pe, pt, md, kn, sk, si, es, se, ch, tg, ua, gb	35

*Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing*

<b>Q9. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) Yes	at, be, bg, kh, cm, cu, dk, eg, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, mx, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, tg, gb	36
b) no	al, dz, ir, ua	4
c) not applicable - (please give details below)	bz, et <sup>7</sup> , ml, kn	4

<sup>5</sup> Draft law

<sup>6</sup> For experimental purposes

<sup>7</sup> The national legislation and associated directives are not yet approved

<b>Q10. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes (please give details below)	dz, kh <sup>8</sup> , eg, ee, id, lv, lt, pe <sup>9</sup> , md, sk	10
b) no	al, bg, cm, cu, dk, et, hu, ir, jp, ml, mx, pl, za, ua	14
c) not relevant	at, be, bz, eu, fi, fr, de, ie, it, nl, no, pt, ro, kn, si, es, se, ch, tg, gb	20

<b>Q11. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 11.4?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	dz, eu, fr, de, it, jp, mx, sk, za, es, ch	11
b) no	cm, ie, nl, md	4
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	al, at, bz, bg, kh, cm, cu, dk, eg, ee, et, fi, hu, id, ir, lv, lt, ml, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, kn, si, se, tg, ua, gb	30

*Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management*

<b>Q16. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments carried out for all decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at <sup>10</sup> , dk, fr <sup>11</sup> , id, mx <sup>12</sup> , pl, ro, sk, za, es, gb	11
b) no (please clarify below)	kh <sup>13</sup>	1
c) not a Party of import	al, dz, be, bz, bg, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, eu, fi, de, hu, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pt, md, kn, si, se, ch, tg, ua	31

<sup>8</sup> Yes, for capacity in RA and RM, lab facilities and so forth to be able to detect LMO-FFPs

<sup>9</sup> Yes, applying to UNEP-GEF

<sup>10</sup> Yes, as involved in the procedure acc. To dir. 2001/18/ac

<sup>11</sup> Imports were for experimental purposes, see q6 and q8

<sup>12</sup> Please see q23

<sup>13</sup> No, because the law is not in place to regulate LMO releases

<b>Q17. If yes, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - in all cases	dk, fr, id, pl, ro, sk, za, es, gb	9
b) yes - in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)	kh <sup>14</sup>	1
c) no	at, mx	2
d) not a Party of import	al, dz, be, bz, bg, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, eu, fi, de, hu, ir, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, no, md, si, se, ch, tg, ua	27

<b>Q18. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require the notifier to bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - in all cases	kh, id, lv, pl, ro, za, gb	7
b) yes - in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)	be, lv, se	3
c) not a Party of import	al, dz, at <sup>15</sup> , bz, cu <sup>16</sup> , eg <sup>17</sup> , ee, et <sup>18</sup> , lv, lt, ml, mx, sk, es, ch <sup>19</sup>	15

<b>Q19. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures and strategies to regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the Protocol? (Article 16.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	dz <sup>20</sup> , at, be, cm, cu, eg, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, mx, nl, no, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, gb	32
b) no	al, bz, bg, kh, et, ir, ml, kn, tg, ua	10

<sup>14</sup> Exporter has to provide document related to RA of such LMOs check. Cambodia may ask experts to review

<sup>15</sup> n/a

<sup>16</sup> n/a

<sup>17</sup> n/a

<sup>18</sup> ?

<sup>19</sup> n/a

<sup>20</sup> As part of NBF

<b>Q20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transboundary movements of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	dz <sup>21</sup> , at, be, cm, cu, eg, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, mx, nl, no, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, gb	32
b) no	al, bz, bg, kh, et, ir, ml, kn, tg, ua	10

<b>Q21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether imported or locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with its life-cycle or generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - in all cases	dz, at, be, bg, eg, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lt, mx, nl, no, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, ua, gb	31
b) yes - in some cases (please give further details below)	kh <sup>22</sup> , cm, cu <sup>23</sup> , ir	4
c) no (please give further details below)		0
d) not applicable (please give further details below)	al, bz, et <sup>24</sup> , ml, kn, tg	6

<b>Q22. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes (please give further details below)	al, at, be, cu, ee, eu, fr, de, hu, ie, it, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pl, pt, sk, si, es, se, ua, gb	24
b) no (please give further details below)	dz, bz, bg, kh, cm, eg, et <sup>25</sup> , id, ir, jp, mx, md, ro, za, ch, tg	16

<sup>21</sup> As part of NBF

<sup>22</sup> (Yes, in some cases. For the first time when LMOs are allowed to develop or conduct a field trial and has gone through a full RA (the notifier has a licence). Thus domestic reproduction does not require a full scale RA unless adverse affect exposes into the environment)

<sup>23</sup> Locally developed LMOs

<sup>24</sup> The mechanism has not been yet in place

<sup>25</sup> There was no such circumstance that required for cooperation

*Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures*

<b>Q24. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction that led, or could have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism that had, or could have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately consult the affected or potentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes – all relevant States immediately	kh	1
b) partially (please clarify below)		0
c) no (please clarify below)	al, dz, at, be, bz, bg, cm, cu <sup>26</sup> , eg, ee, et, eu, fi, fr, hu, id, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, mx, nl, pl, pt, md, ro, kn, sk, si, es, ch, tg, ua, gb	37

*Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification*

<b>Q26. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are subject to transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (Article 18.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes (please give details below)	at, be, bg, cm, cu <sup>27</sup> , ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ir, it, jp, lv, lt, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, gb	30
b) no	al, dz, ml, mx, se <sup>28</sup> , ua	6
c) not applicable (please clarify below)	bz, kh, eg, et, kn, tg	6

<sup>26</sup> There have been no cases

<sup>27</sup> Only applicable to transgenic microorganisms and LMOs destined for contained use

<sup>28</sup> Contained use of GMM

<b>Q27. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they ‘may contain’ living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for information? (Article 18.2(a))</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be, bg, kh <sup>29</sup> , ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, it, jp, lv, lt, mx, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch <sup>30</sup> , tg, gb	30
b) no	al, dz, bz, cm, cu, eg, et, ir, ml, kn, ua	11

<b>Q28. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modified organisms and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the living modified organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be, bg, kh <sup>31</sup> , cm, cu, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, it, jp, lv, lt, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, tg, gb	31
b) no	al, dz, bz, eg, et, ir, ml, mx, se <sup>32</sup> , ua	10

---

<sup>29</sup> Yes, but not applicable until the draft law is passed

<sup>30</sup> See Q 30

<sup>31</sup> Yes, but not applicable until the draft law is passed

<sup>32</sup> Contained use of GMM



<b>Q29. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be, bg, cm, cu, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, it, jp, lt, ml, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, tg, gb	30
b) no	al, dz, bz, kh, eg, et, ir, mx, kn, ua	10

*Article 21 – Confidential information*

<b>Q32. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be, bg, kh, cm, cu, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, mx, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, tg, ua, gb	37
b) no	al, dz, bz, eg, et, kn	6

<b>Q33. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) Yes	id, ro, za, es, gb	5
If yes, please give number of cases	id (7 products), za (all)	
b) no	mx, sk	2
c) not applicable – not a Party of import	al, dz, at, be, bz, bg, kh, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, eu, fi, de, hu, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, kn, si, se, ch, tg, ua	35

*Article 22 – Capacity-building*

<b>Q36. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes (please give details below)	at, be, dk, eu, fr, hu, ie, it, jp, nl, no, pl, si, za, es, se, ch, gb	18
b) no	kh, fi, mx <sup>33</sup>	3
c) not applicable - not a developed country Party	al, dz, bz, bg, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, id, ir, lv, lt, ml, pe, pt, md, ro, kn, sk, tg, ua	22

<sup>33</sup> Nevertheless, Mexico has cooperated

<b>Q38. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of biotechnology to the extent that it is required for biosafety?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	sk	1
b) yes - capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	al, dz, bg, cm, cu <sup>34</sup> , ee, id, lv, lt, ml, mx, pe, ro, tg	14
c) no - capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	bz, eg, ir, md, kn, ua	6
d) no - we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	kh, et, za	3
e) not applicable - not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	at, be, dk, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, ie, it, jp, nl, no, pl, pt, si, es, se, ch, gb	20

<b>Q39. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk management for biosafety?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	sk	1
b) yes - capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	al, dz, bg, cm, cu <sup>35</sup> , ee, id, lv, lt, ml, mx, pe, ro, kn, tg	15
c) no - capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	bz, kh, eg, ir, md, tg, ua	7
d) no - we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	et, za	2
e) not applicable - not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	at, be, dk, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, ie, it, jp, nl, no, pl, pt, si, es, se, ch, gb	20

<sup>34</sup> Cooperation has been received for institutional and technical capacity-building in some areas, such as risk assessment. The need remains to extend this cooperation to the area of technology

<sup>35</sup> It is necessary to develop specialized training in this area for the regulating body's personnel. IT is furthermore necessary to build the capacity of the facilities that will be carrying out the scientific tests or trials required identify and monitor LMOs, and determine and assess their adverse effects

<b>Q40. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and institutional capacities in biosafety?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	sk	1
b) yes - capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	al, dz, bg, cm, cu <sup>36</sup> , ee, id, lv, lt, ml, mx, pe, ro	13
c) no - capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	bz, kh, eg, ir, md, kn, tg, ua	8
d) no - we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	et, za	2
e) not applicable - not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	at, be, dk, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, ie, it, jp, nl, no, pl, pt, si, es, se, ch, gb	20

*Article 23 – Public awareness and participation*

<b>Q42. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health? (Article 23.1(a))</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - significant extent	at, be, dk, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, it, jp, lt, ml, nl, no, pl, ro, sk, ch, gb	21
b) yes - limited extent	al, dz, bz, bg, kh, cm, cu, eg, et, ir, ie, lv, mx, pe, pt, md, si, za, es, se, tg, ua	22
c) no	kn	1

<sup>36</sup> Cooperation has been received to build institutional capacity through GEF UNEP projects. It is necessary to begin to develop the technical capacity required by the development of biosafety

<b>Q43. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - significant extent	dk, ee, eu, de, nl, no, gb	7
b) yes - limited extent	al, at, be, bz, bg, et, fi, fr, hu, id <sup>37</sup> , ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, es, se, ch, tg, ua	28
c) no	kh, cm, cu, eg, mx, pe, za	7

<b>Q44. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education encompass access to information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol that may be imported? (Article 23.1(b))</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - fully	dz, at, be, bg, dk, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, it, lt, ml, nl, no, pl, ro, sk, se, ch, gb	22
b) yes - limited extent	al, bz, cm, cu, id, ir, ie, jp, lv, mx, pe, pt, md <sup>38</sup> , si, za, es, tg, ua	18
c) no	kh, eg, et, kn	4

<b>Q45. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult the public in the decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of such decisions available to the public? (Article 23.2)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - fully	at, be, bg, kh <sup>39</sup> , eg, eu, fi, fr, de, id, ie, it, jp, lt, nl, no, pl, pt, ro, sk, si, es, se, ch, gb	25
b) yes - limited extent	al, bz, dk, ee, hu, ir, lv, ml, za, se, tg, ua	12
c) no	dz, cm, cu, et, mx, pe, kn	7

<b>Q46. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosafety Clearing-House? (Article 23.3)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - fully	be, bg, eu, nl, pl, pt, sk, ch, gb	9

<sup>37</sup> With ILSI, FAO, IFPRI and ASEAN

<sup>38</sup> The country did not make any decisions regarding LMOs

<sup>39</sup> Will fully consult once the law is adopted

b) yes - limited extent	al, at, bz, kh, cm, cu, dk, ee, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ir, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, no, pe, md, ro, si, za, es, se, tg, ua	29
c) no	dz, eg, et, ml, mx, kn	6

*Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements*

<b>Q49. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes	at, be <sup>40</sup> , bg, kh, cm, cu, dk, ee, eu, fi, fr, de, hu, id, ie, it, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, sk, si, za, es, se, ch, ua, gb	35
b) no	al, dz, bz, eg, et, ir, mx, kn, tg	9

*Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations*

<b>Q51. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it take into account socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological diversity to indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - significant extent	kh, id, pl	3
b) yes - limited extent	it, mx, ro, sk, za, se	6
c) no	be, eu, fi, de, jp, pt, es, gb	8
d) not a Party of import	al, dz, at, bz, bg, cm, cu, eg, ee, et, hu, ir, ie, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, md, kn, si, ch, tg, ua	24

---

<sup>40</sup> Partly

<b>Q52. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio-economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - significant extent		0
b) yes - limited extent	dz, be, kh, et, de, it, mx, sk, es	9
c) no	al, at, bz, bg, cm, cu, dk, eg, ee, eu, fi, hu, id, ir, ie, jp, lv, lt, ml, nl, no, pe, pl, pt, md, ro, si, za, se, ch, tg, ua, gb	33

*Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources*

<b>Q54. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.</b>	<b>Countries that responded</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
a) yes - made financial resources available to other Parties	be, dk, eu, fi, fr, de, it, jp, no, es	10
b) yes - received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	al, dz, bg, cm, cu, ee, et, hu, id, ir, lv, lt, ml, pe, md, ro, sk, si, ua	19
c) both	pl	1
d) neither	at, bz, kh, eg, ie, nl, pt, kn, za, se, ch, tg, gb	13

*Annex*

***Key to country name acronyms used in this note, sorted alphabetically by country***

(Acronyms used are based on relevant Internet domain extensions)

<b>Country name</b>	<b>Acronym</b>
Albania	al
Algeria	dz
Austria	at
Belgium	be
Belize	bz
Bulgaria	bg
Cambodia	kh
Cameroon	cm
Cuba	cu
Denmark	dk
Egypt	eg
Estonia	ee
Ethiopia	et
European Community <sup>41</sup>	eu
Finland	fi
France	fr
Germany	de
Hungary	hu
Indonesia	id
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	ir
Ireland	ie
Italy	it

<b>Country name</b>	<b>Acronym</b>
Japan	jp
Latvia	lv
Lithuania	lt
Mali	ml
Mexico	mx
Netherlands	nl
Norway	no
Peru	pe
Poland	pl
Portugal	pt
Republic of Moldova	md
Romania	ro
Saint Kitts and Nevis	kn
Slovakia	sk
Slovenia	si
South Africa	za
Spain	es
Sweden	se
Switzerland	ch
Togo	tg
Ukraine	ua
United Kingdom	gb

----

---

<sup>41</sup> Draft report