



Advances in the implementation of Aichi Targets 5 and 15



[illegible]

IMPORTANCE/RELEVANCE

- Sustainable increase in agricultural productivity and reduction of demand for agricultural products
 - BANGLADESH
 - INDIA
 - NEPAL
 - PHILIPPINES
 - SRI LANKA
 - THAILAND
 - VIETNAM
- Incentives for the private sector and other economic actors
 - BANGLADESH
 - INDIA
 - NEPAL
 - PHILIPPINES
 - SRI LANKA
 - THAILAND
 - VIETNAM
- Participation of and support to indigenous and local communities, landowners
 - BANGLADESH
 - INDIA
 - NEPAL
 - PHILIPPINES
 - SRI LANKA
 - THAILAND
 - VIETNAM
- Market instruments such as ecosystem banking, PES
 - BANGLADESH
 - INDIA
 - NEPAL
 - PHILIPPINES
 - SRI LANKA
 - THAILAND
 - VIETNAM


ECONOMIC MEASURES

NEED FOR SUPPORT



Recommended analysis of measures from GBO-4 for Aichi Target 5 – questionnaire results (22 responses)

2 types of measures: legal and economic.



Tools for protected areas effectiveness

1. RAPPAM Ervin, J. (2003). WWF: Rapid Assessment and Prioritization of Protected Area Management (RAPPAM) Methodology. WWF, Gland, Switzerland.

The WWF Rapid Assessment and Prioritization of Protected Area Management (RAPPAM) methodology provides a country-wide assessment of the effectiveness of protected area management, threats, vulnerabilities and degradation. The RAPPAM methodology is already available in the following languages: English, French, Spanish, Portuguese, Russian, Mongolian, Bulgarian, Georgian, Bahasa Indonesia, Khmer,. For a download of the English version, please visit

http://www.panda.org/about_wwf/what_we_do/forests/our_solutions/protection/rappam/index.cfm

2. World Bank/WWF Tracking Tool

Commonly referred to as the Tracking Tool, this rapid assessment is being used in all World Bank/WWF Alliance protected area project sites to track changes in effectiveness of management. The system has also been adopted by the Global Environment Facility as the basis for tracking changes in management effectiveness in all GEF protected area project sites. A version of the Tracking Tool has been developed for Marine Protected Areas by the World Bank (see listing under Marine Protected Areas. The Tracking Tool is available in the following languages: Bahasa Indonesia, Chinese, English, French, Khmer, Lao, Mongolian, Portuguese, Romanian, Russian, Spanish and Vietnamese. For a download of the English version, please refer to

http://www.panda.org/about_wwf/what_we_do/forests/our_solutions/protection/rappam/tracking_tool/index.cfm

3. WWF/CATIE methodology (1999). Evaluation of Protected Area Management Effectiveness: Analysis of Procedures and Outline for a Manual.


The WWF/CATIE evaluation methodology was developed as a structured, sequential and simple-to-use evaluation methodology, based on a scoring system which was developed to address the special needs of protected areas in Latin America.. Together with the PROARCA-CAPAS methodology, the WWF-CATIE system has been widely applied across Central America. Available in English and Spanish versions. http://www.iucn.org/themes/WCPA/pubs/mgteffectpdfs/PARKSfin_esp.pdf

http://www.iucn.org/themes/WCPA/pubs/mgteffectpdfs/Art_Eng.pdfCont.



Recommended analysis of measures from GBO-4 for Aichi Target 5 – questionnaire results (22 responses)

2 types of measures: legal and economic.



Sustainable increase in agricultural productivity and reduction of demand

ANALYSING POLICIES TO IMPROVE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH, SUSTAINABLY


<http://www.oecd.org/tad/agricultural-policies/Analysing-policies-improve-agricultural-productivity-growth-sustainably-december-2014.pdf>

A Draft Framework for analysing the role of governments in improving agriculture innovation was developed and published in OECD (2013b), *Agricultural Innovation Systems: A Framework for Analysing the Role of the Government*, OECD Publishing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264200593-en> .

UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/20/L.15 - MAINSTREAMING OF BIODIVERSITY ACROSS SECTORS INCLUDING AGRICULTURE, FORESTS, FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE




Priority action for restoration planning or implementation



Mapping priority areas based on potential for carbon sink and storage	0	0%
Mapping priority areas based on degree of degradation	8	40%
Mapping priority areas based on vulnerability to climate change	1	5%
Mapping priority areas based on importance for ecosystem services	6	30%
Mapping agriculture areas that are abandoned or may become abandoned	0	0%
Developing economic models in agroforestry or silviculture that provide arguments in favour of restoration	3	15%
Other	2	10%




Priority action for restoration planning or implementation






Are there any institutions or agencies that you could coordinate with for the implementation of this action?



Yes	19	95%
No	1	5%



Do you need technical or financial support from external partners to conduct this action?



Yes	17	85%
No	3	15%

based on the presentations given this week, where could you find technical support for this action?

CBD Secretariat UN agencies International NGOs

FAO, CBD, ITTO, FERI, World Bank, UN-REDD, UNCDD,

CBD, donors, projects

From NGOs, private sectors

FAO, IUCN, Mangroves for the future

May be IUNC, CBD (facilitator), FAO, etc.

FAO, IUCN, MANGROVE FOR THE FUTURE



What could be achieved by the end of 2016 under this action?

The forest areas and its quality can be increased significantly by the end of this year
Planning for restoration and NBSAP Can be prepared
May be just coordination among the relevant stakeholders and arrangement to seek TA and Financial Resources from relevant donor agencies.
NBSAP Will be prepared well.
Proposals, mapping and approvals to carry out restoration work
Baseline information and spatial data of degradation, and drivers and underlying causes and restoration planning (draft)



What are you going to do NEXT WEEK when you get back to the office to get this action started?

Hold a meeting with National Focal Point and relevant agencies

Send emails and plan meetings with relevant people such as those in the Conservation Divisions who carry out operations work in these sites. Main aim is to map these areas before scoping the research and work to be carried out

Reporting to Director General of Forest Department, Director of National Forest and Plantation Division of Forest Department for their consideration on restoration plan.

I could debrief my boss, and hope that he will discuss it with the CBD_NFP, However, they both probably already understand the need for this at a conceptual level. But with more than 20 years of working with government, they rarely initiate anything bottom-up, its mostly top-down.

