





Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/ID/AHTEG/2015/1/INF/11 31 August 2015

ENGLISH ONLY

AD HOC TECHNICAL EXPERT GROUP MEETING ON INDICATORS FOR THE STRATEGIC PLAN FOR BIODIVERSITY 2011-2020

Geneva, Switzerland, 14-17 September 2015

INDICATORS PROCESS FOR THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Note by the Executive Secretary

- 1. During the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20), held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in June 2012, member States agreed to start a process to develop a set of sustainable development goals. In January 2013 an open working group of the United Nations General Assembly was established and tasked with developing a proposal for the set of sustainable development goals.
- 2. The Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals released its proposal for a set of sustainable development goals and targets in July 2014. The proposal included 17 goals and 169 targets and potential targets. The Sustainable Development Goals are to be adopted during a high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly to be held from 25 to 27 September 2015.
- 3. Regarding indicators for the Sustainable Development Goals, during its forty-sixth session, held in March 2015, the United Nations Statistical Commission created an Inter-Agency and Expert Group on Sustainable Development Goals Indicators (IAEG-SDGs) to develop proposals for a global indicators framework for the sustainable development goals. Among its terms of reference is the development of an indicator framework and a list of indicators for the monitoring of the goals and targets of the post-2015 United Nations development agenda at the global level. The framework and indicators are to be adopted by the United Nations Statistical Commission at its forty-seventh session, in 2016.
- 4. In May 2015, the IAEG-SDGs, based on input and consultation from various stakeholders, compiled a proposed list of more than 300 priority indicators. This list was based on a much longer list developed through consultation with various stakeholders, including United Nations agencies. The proposed list of priority indicators was considered by the first meeting of the IAEG-SDGs held on 1 and 2 June 2015 and was subsequently revised. An open consultation is being held from 11 August to 4 September 2015 which will be used to further refine the list of indicators so that it can be considered by the second meeting of the IAEG-SDGs from 26 to 28 October 2015.
- 5. The following note contains the current list of indicator proposals. It is presented in the language and format in which it was accessed from website of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.

List of indicator proposals (11 August 2015)

Read me first

- 1. The list of proposals contains suggestions for global indicators for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda based on inputs from international agencies and entities. It also presents the assessment made by countries of the indicators that were suggested in February on the basis of three criteria (feasibility, suitability and relevance). It provides a starting point for the deliberations of the IAEG-SDGs to identify the most appropriate indicators under the goals and targets, taking into account the relevant criteria for the selection of indicators, as well as the need for the coherent and comprehensive measurement of all goals and targets and the need to limit the number of global indicators.
- 2. Every effort has been made to reflect all inputs from international agencies and entities that are or could be responsible for the global monitoring of the proposed indicators. However, this list of proposals is work in progress and further inputs might be required during the discussions of the IAEG-SDGs

List of Indicator Proposals - made available 11 August 2015

3. This list of indicator proposals has incorporated the changes in the goal and targets adopted by the Intergovernmental negotiations and included in their final proposal: "Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development" published on 1 August 2015. The indicators included in this new version of the list of proposals remains unchanged from the version released on 7 July 2015.

Version 2 - made available on 7 July 2015

- 4. This version of the list of proposals incorporates all additional or updated inputs, comments or corrections received from agency experts at the first meeting of the IAEG-SDGs, held from 1-2 June 2015 or immediately after. The meeting report, the list of statements and related inputs received during or subsequent to the meeting and the list of all inputs received from agencies and other entities on indicator proposals and metadata are available on the SDG indicator website at http://unstats.un.org/sdgs/.
- 5. The list contains suggested indicators (highlighted in blue), as well as additional proposals or inputs provided by agencies and entities. Initially suggested indicators that were assessed by countries are highlighted in light blue (see below for further information). The letters in parenthesis after these indicators indicate the rating based on the three criteria as indicated above. The suggested indicators under each target are based on the inputs and comments received. The presentation does not imply any judgment by the Secretariat on which indicators should eventually be selected. For the suggested indicators, the Secretariat indicates their state of statistical development according to a three tier system (see for further information in paragraph 9 below) based on the information available, which will need to be revisited as more complete information becomes available.
- 6. All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.

Version 1 – made available on 29 May 2015

List of indicator proposals (11 August 2015)

Read me first

- 7. In preparation of the first meeting of the IAEG-SDGs, the Secretariat compiled a first list of indicator proposals and associated metadata ('List of proposals' version 1) with the help of agencies and entities and based on the initial assessment of proposed provisional indicators included in the Technical report of the Bureau of the Statistical Commission presented to the March 2015 session of the intergovernmental negotiations. The initial assessment of proposed provisional indicators in which 70 Member States participated is entirely reflected in this list of indicator proposals and associated metadata. The assessed indicators are highlighted in light blue and are recognizable by the assessment results shown behind them in brackets. For example an indicator rated "AAA" has been found to be easily feasible, suitable and very relevant to measure the respective target for which it was proposed by a majority of national statistical offices (60 per cent or more). Please see the technical report for full details.
- 8. When conducting the assessment of proposed provisional indicators in February and March 2015, many national statistical offices expressed their wish for detailed metadata and an improved description of the proposed indicators. Therefore, the Secretariat requested agencies and entities to provide this additional information, which was then presented along with the assessment in the list of indicator proposals and associated metadata that was provided as an input to the first meeting of the IAEG-SDGs.
- 9. Within the list of proposed indicators and associated metadata, one or more indicators were identified as the suggested priority indicators based on the inputs from agencies and entities that were requested to identify their priority indicator for the targets in their area of work and expertise. In cases where multiple priority indicators were proposed under one target, precedence was in general given to the proposals by agencies with a mandate in the specific area and/or already responsible for global monitoring of the specific indicator. The suggestion of one priority indicator under each target was meant to illustrate a possible framework consisting of a limited number of indicators, as requested by the inter-governmental negotiations on SDGs, and did not imply any judgment by the Secretariat on which indicators should eventually be selected.
- 10. In addition, for each suggested indicator the Secretariat evaluated its stage of development according to a three tier system based on the information provided by the relevant entities: a first tier for which an established methodology exists and data are already widely available; a second tier for which a methodology has been established but for which data are not easily available; and a third for which an internationally agreed methodology has not yet been developed. It should be noted that the coverage and level of detail of the metadata provided by the relevant agencies vary across indicators and that this initial evaluation may need to be revisited as more complete information becomes available.

* Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.

				•		
เวกลเ เ	- Fna n	overty ir	n all its	torms	everyw	nere

Target 1.1 By 2030.	verty in all its forms everywhere					
	eradicate extreme poverty for all people everywhere, currently mea	sured as people living on less than \$1.25 a day.				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Proportion of population below \$1.25 (PPP) per day disaggregated by sex and age	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.)	World Bank and ILO	Tier I		2.3,1.2,8.5
	group and employment status (or Proportion of employed people living on less that					
	\$1.25 PPP) a day)					
ndicator 1.1.1 Proport	tion of population below \$1.25 (PPP) per day disaggregated by sex and age group (ABB	3)				
IFAD					1	2.3
ILO	Alternative text: [Proportion of population below \$1.25 (PPP) per day per capita	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	Responsible entities: World Bank and ILO.		1	8.5
'	disaggregated by sex and age group and employment status.] Justification: the		Availability: ILO has estimates available by			
'	disaggregation by status in employment will allow for capturing the working poor		employment status for 119 countries.			
'	which is one of the core MDG indicators					
UNICEF	The extreme poverty rate is the proportion of the population living on less than the	World Bank PovCalNet; Micro database (World Bank)	World Bank. Globally available.		1	1.2
'	extreme poverty line (currently at US\$1.25 per day), measured at 2011 international		·			
<u> </u>	prices, adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP). This indicator is expressed as a					
	percentage. The underlying disaggregation can calculate the poverty rates for the					
<u> </u>	different population subgroups, specifically the child poverty rate (aged 0-17). Other					
<u> </u>	dimensions of disaggregation are location.					
<u> </u>	annensions of disaggi egation are rocations					
WB	Poor populations are defined by comparing household consumption or income	Household Survey	World Bank		1	
***	aggregates per capita with a new international poverty line after switching the 2005	Thousehold Salivey	World Bulk		_	
<u> </u>	PPP with the 2011 PPP. We suggest the indicator description be modified to:					
'	["Proportion of population below \$1.25 (PPP) per day, with disaggregations of it by					
'	sex and age group."] In this way, it is clear that we need to monitor the proportion for					
'	all people as well."					
), reduce at least by half the proportion of men, women and children					
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Proportion of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex	Household surveys	World Bank	Tier I		1.1,8.5,
	and age group					
			Data availability:			
			Unisex: Data available for all countries			
			that have household income or			
			consumption surveys.			
			SPC: data are widely available and used			
			by Pacific Island countries, most of which			
			have by now two data points; ILO:			
			working poverty available by			
			employment status for 44 countries;			
	nensional Poverty Index (MPI) disaggregated by sex and age group (BBA)					
IFAD	Disaggregated by urban and rural				1	2.3
UNICEF	[Proportion of children living in multidimensional poverty.] This indicator is					
		MICS and DHS; household surveys	UNDP, UNICEF. MPI is available over 100		1	1.1; 2.1; 2.2; 3.1; 3.2; 3.7;
1	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on	MICS and DHS; household surveys	UNDP, UNICEF. MPI is available over 100 countries.			
		MICS and DHS; household surveys				
	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on	MICS and DHS; household surveys				3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter	MICS and DHS; household surveys				3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter	MICS and DHS; household surveys				3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation.	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty.	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
WB	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension	MICS and DHS; household surveys			1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not.				1	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living				2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not.	(AAA)	countries.		2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not. To population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group Alternative text: [Proportion of population living below national poverty line,		countries. Responsible entities: World Bank and ILO.		2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not. of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group Alternative text: [Proportion of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group and employment status.] Justification: the	(AAA)	Responsible entities: World Bank and ILO. Availability: ILO working poverty available		2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not. of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group Alternative text: [Proportion of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group and employment status.] Justification: the disaggregation by status in employment, namely: employed, unemployed, outside the	(AAA)	countries. Responsible entities: World Bank and ILO.		2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1
ndicator 1.2.2 Proportion	expressed as a percentage. Deprivation dimensions and indicators should be based on internationally agreed standards and definitions. Deprivation dimensions include inter alia: nutrition, education, health, housing, water and sanitation. The MPI (Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index) cannot measure Target 1.2. Instead, we propose an indicator that is more directly linked to this indicator. Target 1.2 says for each of all dimensions, we need to halve the proportion of people living in poverty. But, MPI does not measure that. In other words, even if MPI is halved by 2030, the proportion of people living in poverty in some dimensions might not be halved. In other words, this indicator does not fit the objective of Target 1.2 well. Instead, we would propose measuring the [proportion of people in poverty for each dimension separately]. By doing this, we can directly see whether the proportion of people living in poverty is halved for all dimensions or not. of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group Alternative text: [Proportion of population living below national poverty line, disaggregated by sex and age group and employment status.] Justification: the	(AAA)	Responsible entities: World Bank and ILO. Availability: ILO working poverty available		2	3.8; 4.1; 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 6.1; 6.2 10.3; 11.1

List of Proposal	ls					
Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U)	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNICEF	[Proportion of children (0-17) living in households defined as poor according to the national poverty line.] This indicator is expressed as a percentage. The underlying disaggregation can calculate the poverty rates for the different subgroups specified in the target, specifically children (aged 0-17) and women and girls to be able to measure progress towards Target 1.2.	Household budget or income surveys	World Bank, UNICEF. Data available for all countries that have household income or consumption surveys.		2	1.1
UNWOMEN	UN Women supports the disaggregation of the population living below the national poverty line by sex and age group. At the regional level, CEPAL has made progress in identifying innovative ways to measure poverty by sex. For example, the ratio of women to men living below the national poverty line (often referred to as the Poverty Femininity Index) is routinely calculated by countries in Latin America and the Caribbean as a supplementary measure under Goal 1 of the MDGs. The measure yields important findings about women's vulnerability to poverty. The measure is currently calculated for women and men age 20 to 59 as follows: sum of female in poor households/Sum of male in poor households/sum of female in all households.	In the case of Latin America and the Caribbean, the ratio is calculated using data come from national household-budget surveys. When such surveys are not available, other household surveys conducted by official institutions of statistics are used. Analysis done for other regions has tended to use the DHS and MICs, in these cases the wealth index has been used as the proxy for identifying poorest households.	calculated for about 90 countries; but		1	
WB arget 1.3 Implem	Poor populations are defined as those whose household expenditure or income aggregates per capita (or per adult equivalence scale) are smaller than national poverty lines and the ratio of the poor population over the total population is used for this indicator. Disaggregations of this by sex and age groups will be also calculated. nent nationally appropriate social protection systems and measures	for all, including floors, and by 2030 achieve substantial covera	ge of the poor and the vulnerable.		1	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Percentage of population covered by social protection floors/systems, disaggregated by sex, composed of the following: a) Percentage of older persons receiving a pension; b) Percentage of households with children receiving child support; c) Percentage of working-age persons without jobs receiving support; d)Percentage of persons with disabilities receiving benefits; e) Percentage of women receiving maternity benefits at childbirth; f) Percentage of workers covered against occupational injury; and g) Percentage of poor and vulnerable people receiving benefits.	Social Security administrative data consolidated by the ILO Social Security Inquiry.	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Information on old age coverage for 175 countries; on jobless support for 79 countries; on disability for 171 countries; on maternity for 139 countries; on child benefits for 109 countries; on occupational injury coverage for 172 countries. Also responsible: OECD.	Tier II		5.4, 8.5, 8.8, 10.4
	age of population covered by social protection floors/systems, disaggregated by say y	ith break down by children, unemployed, old age, people with disabilities, pre	nant women/new-borns, work injury victin	ns. poor	and vulne	rable, including one or more
f the following: a) Percent	age on population covered by social protection floors/systems, disaggingated by sex, w tage of older persons receiving a pension; b) Percentage of households with children re- men receiving maternity benefits; f)Percentage of workers covered against occupation	eceiving child support; c) Percentage of unemployed persons receiving unemplo	yment benefits; d)Percentage of persons wi		lities rece	eiving disability benefits; e)

List of Proposal	s					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
WB	Alternative formulation: "Percentage of poor and vulnerable people covered by social protection systems further break downs including one or more of the following: • Percentage of older persons receiving a pension; • Percentage of households with children receiving child support; • Percentage of unemployed persons receiving unemployment benefits; • Percentage of persons with disabilities receiving disability benefits; • Percentage of pergant women receiving maternity benefits; • Percentage of workers covered against occupational accidents; • Percentage of poor and vulnerable people receiving benefits"	Household surveys reported in the ASPIRE platform (world bank): www.worldbank.org/aspire	For main indicator - percentage of poor and vulnerable covered - World Bank, data currently available for 112 countries, with expansion to 140 countries in July 2015; for break down: Social Security Inquiry (ILO)			1.4; 1.5; and :3.8 : universa health coverage; coverage is social protection is the mai vehicle on how to ensure that people are protected against the financial consequences of ill health 5.4 (social protection explicitly mentioned); 8.8 (coverage by SP as important part of the decei work agenda) 10.4.: social protection is the most reliable way to achieve redistribution in favour of the bottom 40%, 12.c: coverage by compensatory social protection transfers is the proven way to protect the poor in energy subsidy reforms 13.1: social protection measures directly contribut to resilience to climate shocks
ndicator 1.3.2 Average	social protection transfers as % of income / or poverty line (BBB)				l	
	[Percentage of children receiving a child or other social grant (disaggregated as possible by poverty status, wealth quintiles, disability, gender and location).] Note: Social grants include cash grants, assistance for school fees, material support for education, income generation support in cash or kind, food assistance provided at the household level, or material or financial support for shelter D, ensure that all men and women, in particular the poor and the vuli		world Bank, ILO, UNICEF cess to basic services, ownership an	ıd cont	1	1.1; 1.2 r land and other forms
	ce, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial serv					
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Proportion of the population living in households with access to basic services.	Source MICS and DHS; household surveys	Entity World Bank, UNDP, UNICEF	Tier II	Priority	Interlinkages 1.2; 3.1; 3.2; 3.7; 3.8;4.1 4.2; 4.5; 4.6; 5.6; 6.1; 6.2 7.1; 11.1
uggested Indicator	Share of women among agricultural land owners by age and location (U/R)	Included in Minimum Set Gender Indicators	FAO and UNSD (EDGE)	Tier III soon Tier II		
	ion of population/households with access to basic services (to be defined) by sex and a					
ITU	Proposed indicator to measure this target: [proportion of households with broadband Internet access, by urban/rural]	households with Internet access (not broken down by narrowband/broadband)	ITU collects data for this indicator from NSOs annually. Overall, the indicator is available for 53 countries at least from one survey in the years 2011-2014. Survey data for the proportion of households with Internet access (not broken down by narrowband/broadband) are available for 101 countries and ITU estimates data for this indicator for almost all other countries.			9.1, 9.c, 11.1
UNCDF	We suggest following basis but transformational somiless renounable an	Database for each of the conjuger selected see he identified at a second stand	Can be identified at a second stage		1	Targets in Goals 2,3 4, 6,7,
UNICEF	We suggest following basic but transformational services: renewable energy and water, sustainable transport, insurance, credit, justice, and information [Proportion of the population living in households with access to basic services].	Database for each of the services selected can be identified at a second stage MICS and DHS; household surveys	Can be identified at a second stage World Bank, UNDP, UNICEF		1	Targets in Goals 2,3 4, 6,7, 10, 16 1.2; 3.1; 3.2; 3.7; 3.8;4.1; 4
	Basic services to be defined but should include: antenatal care (access to health professionals at birth), basic vaccines, access to primary and secondary education, improved water source, improved sanitation, electricity and social security (TBC).					4.5; 4.6; 5.6; 6.1; 6.2; 7.1; 11.1

ote on Disaggr	regation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/	R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
PU	The key issue is the definition of a basket of transformative basic services to be included in this indicator: (1) included in this should be electronic access, more specifically to ICT, such as the proportion of households with access to the Internet, ownership of a mobile phone, and with broadband internet, but also physical access to basic e-commerce logistics and postal services, such as the proportion of population with a physical address and benefiting from home delivery for postal and parcel services. (2) for access to formal financial services provided by financial institutions, payment and account services should be ideally distinguished: \% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money service in the past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by income e.g. bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day. Adults: ages 15+. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type of financial institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial institution for the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12 months. Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months."	UPU existing data; ITU existing data; World Bank Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World Poll)	(1) On home delivery for postal and parcel services: Universal Postal Union. Data availability: ~ 160 countries. Annual. Available since 1875 (19th century) up to 2014 (21st century). (2) On postal accounts and payment services: Universal Postal Union. Data availability: ~ 130 countries. Annual. Available since 1899 (19th century) up to 2014 (21st century).		5.b, 9.1, 9.c, 10.3 17.6, 17.8; And 1 8.10	1.4, 2.3, !
WB	Basic services is a complicated and unclear metric, and success of this indicator will rely on the clear definition of services as sub-indicators. It doesn't seem feasible/technically robust to aggregate "ownership and control of land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services" under one overarching category "basic services" as the "services" included in the target seem to be quite diverse. (1) Included in this should be access to the internet, ownership of a mobile phone, and households with broadband internet access. (2) For access to financial services, there exists a well-established and widely available existing indicator that is comparable across countries: "% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money service in the past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by income e.g. bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day. Adults: ages 15+. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type of financial institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial institution for the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12 months. Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months."	ITU Existing data; World Bank Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World Poll)	On Financial Services: World Bank. Data availability: ~ 145 countries. Triennial. Available for 2011 and 2014.	1	5.b, 9.1, 9.c, 10.3 17.6, 17.8; And 1 8.10	1.4, 2.3,

Indicator 1.4.2 Proportion of adult population with tenure that is legally recognised and documented or perceived as secure, by sex and age group (BBB)

Note on Disaggrega	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
IFAD	FAO recognizes the value of the indicator 1.4.2 currently included in the UNSD template. However, such indicator poses several challenges in terms of feasibility, methodology and data availability. In order to be operational, the indicator should be more specific, and focus either on "equality in recognition and documentation" or on "perception of tenure security". The attempt to address the two issues (equality and perception) with one indicator could result into misleading and incomparable data. In addition, "perception of tenure security" requires focused and not trivial methodological efforts in order to reach a proper operational definition. On this basis, FAO believes an alternative indicator will be more appropriate to monitor Target 1.4 adequately. As an alternative to the current 1.4.2 indicator, FAO proposes the following indicator: "[Percentage of female/male agricultural landowners out of total agricultural landowners". disaggregated by age groups, ethnicity and income levels".] This indicator shows the distribution of male and female owners of agricultural land and hence zooms in on gender inequalities in this highly important productive resource. An increase in the percentage of female landowners indicates that out of those with ownership rights to land, a larger proportion is women, signifying progress towards equal rights to land, a larger proportion is women, signifying progress towards equal rights to land. This indicator is based on a broad definition of ownership. In addition to officially titled ownership, it also includes other proxies, such as the right to use, sell or bequeath the land, or the right to use it as collateral. This enable the indicator to capture a "bundle of rights" related to land, rather than land ownership in the strictest sense of the term. The indicator frames gender differences in resource ownership by comparing the proportions of men and women out of those that have some degree of rights to land.	No data is available for the indicator 1.4.2 currently included in this template. For the landownership indicator proposed by FAO, data is available for 11 countries. Additional, but yet unprocessed surveys lead to a conservative estimate of additional 10-15 countries for which the indicator will be derived. This indicator will be more available in the future through the data collection processes indicated in the relevant factsheet.	FAO-UN. FAO has the mandate to collect and disseminate information related to agriculture and is strategically positioned to monitor legal frameworks related to land tenure, as well as to collect, analyse and disseminate land-related statistics. FAO is working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the development of the AGRIS toolkit are clear indications of the commitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators.	1	5.a
FAO	FAO recognizes the value of the indicator 1.4.2 currently included in the UNSD template. However, such indicator poses several challenges in terms of feasibility, methodology and data availability. In order to be operational, the indicator should be more specific, and focus either on "equality in recognition and documentation" or on "perception of tenure security". The attempt to address the two issues (equality and perception) with one indicator could result into misleading and incomparable data. In addition, "perception of tenure security" requires focused and not trivial methodological efforts in order to reach a proper operational definition. On this basis, FAO believes an alternative indicator will be more appropriate to monitor Target 1.4 adequately. As an alternative to the current 1.4.2 indicator, FAO proposes the following indicator: "Percentage of female/male agricultural landowners out of total agricultural landowners", disaggregated by age groups, ethnicity and income levels". This indicator shows the distribution of male and female owners of agricultural land and hence zooms in on gender inequalities in this highly important productive resource. An increase in the percentage of female landowners indicates that out of those with ownership rights to land, a larger proportion is women, signifying progress towards equal rights to land. This indicator is based on a broad definition of ownership in addition to officially titled ownership, it also includes other proxies, such as the right to use, sell or bequeath the land. This enable the indicator to capture a "bundle of rights" related to land, rather than land ownership in the strictest sense of the term. The indicator frames gender differences in resource ownership by comparing the proportions of men and women out of those that have some degree of rights to land. See metadata for complete description of indicator		FAO-UN. FAO has the mandate to collect and disseminate information related to agriculture and is strategically positioned to monitor legal frameworks related to land tenure, as well as to collect, analyse and disseminate land-related statistics. FAO is working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the development of the AGRIS toolkit are clear indications of the commitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators.	11	5.a
UNCDF	Propose a Multi-Purpose Indicator: [Adults owning an account either through a financial institution or mobile money provider, disaggregated by income level, geography location gender, age and education]	Global Findex	World Bank - Data is available for 142 countries	2	Targets 2.3 , 5.a , 8.10, 10.2
UNEP	[Percentage of women, men, indigenous peoples and local communities with secure tenure rights to individually or communally held land, property and natural resources]	This will be measured by: i) percentage with legally documented or recognized evidence of tenure, and ii) percentage who perceive their rights are recognized and protected (disaggregation by sex, urban/rural). Using administrative data, global polls, surveys, censuses (More info in the attached doc - Suggested phased approach)	FAO, UN-Habitat, UNEP, WRI - A few countries, but scaling-up is feasible	1	1.4; 2.3; 5.a.; 10.2; 11.1.; 15.a

List of Proposa	15					
* Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNWOMEN	As an alternative to the current 1.4.2 UN Women joins FAO in proposing the following	No data is available for the indicator 1.4.2 currently included in this template.	FAO, UNSD, UN Women		1	5.a, 2.3
	indicator: ["Proportion of women who own and/or control land out of total	For the alternative proposed indicator: the EDGE project will have data for 8				
	agricultural landowners. Landowners are defined as those having the right sell	countries. FAO has identified another 11 or so countries with more surveys				
	(where applicable), bequeath and make decisions about the use of the land".] The	planned.				
	indicator is based on a broad definition of ownership covering officially titled					
	ownership, but also other proxies, such as the right to use, sell (in context where the					
	right to sell is applicable) or bequeath the land. This enable the indicator to capture a					
	"bundle of rights" related to land. This conceptualization of ownership is important,					
	particularly in economies where the framework of ownership is not well defined. In					
	such situations, ownership may be supported by legal documents or simply recognized					
	within the community. As conceptualized the indicator captures a 'bundle of rights',					
	with respect to land ownership .					
UPU	One missing issue here was the lack of explicit reference to geography or a proper and	On the population formally covered by street addressing systems, the Universal	On home delivery for postal services:		2	1.4; 2.3; 5.a.; 10.2; 11.1.;
	formal street address. The indicator should be refined as follows: proportion of adult	Postal Union regularly uses as proxy \percentage of the population having mail	Universal Postal Union. Data availability: ~			15.a
	population (by sex and age) with tenure that is legally recognised and documented,	delivered at home\"	160 countries. Annual. Available since			
	including a formal street address, by sex and age group.]		1875 (19th century) up to 2014 (21st			
			century).			
IUCN	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator.				1	2.3
Target 1.5 By 203	0, build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations	and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related	extreme events and other econom	ic, socia	al and e	nvironmental shocks
and disasters.						
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR	Tier II		11.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3

Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Her	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR	Tier II		11.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3
	per 100,000 people.					
icator 1.5.1 Number	of people affected by hazardous events by sex (CBB)					
UNEP	Alternative: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -	UNEP/UNISDR see UNEP Supplementary technical document	UNEP/UNISDR Global - all countries		1	2.1;2.4;11.5;13.1
	related events by sex					
IFAD					1	13.1
UNICEF	[Number of people affected by hazardous events by sex.] Should also be					
	disaggregated by age and disability. Could consider categorizing 'affected' (dead.					
	Injured, displaced etc.). The ISDR expert group when developing similar indicators for					
	Sendai stated: The "affected" indicator is very subjective, not easily defined, and					
	therefore, any measure of this variable would be not comparable over time or among					
	countries, thus making it inappropriate to track progress or use as a target. It is					
	advisable to use instead a combination or one of the following: injured, evacuated,					
	relocated, houses damaged, houses destroyed and directly exposed.					
UNISDR	UNISR proposes refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured,	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		1	11.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15
	relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people."]. Please see UNISDR					
	input paper attached." Disaster is defined by UNISDR as a "serious disruption of the					
	functioning of a community or a society involving widespread human, material,					
	economic or environmental losses and impacts, which exceeds the ability of the					
	affected community or society to cope using its own resources." The terms "relocated"					
	and "evacuated" are still under discussion by relevant agencies.					
	ion of health and educational facilities affected by hazardous events (BBB)					
IFAD					2	
UNICEF	[Proportion of health and educational facilities affected by hazardous events.]			1		
	Impact of events should be measured on a scale, not left up to governments to					
	interpret 'affected'. So as per above perhaps 'damaged' may be better, is more			1		
	measurable and links with Sendai targets language (though appreciate this may not					
	capture economic and social shocks).			ļ		
UNISDR	UNISR proposes ["Direct disaster economic loss in relation to global gross domestic	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		2	11.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3,
	<pre>product"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached."</pre>					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. OHCHR [Percentage of persons forcibly displaced by disasters, crises and other shocks who Existing/developing (national level) Government statistics and population data. UNHCR (global coverage, with data 11.5, 16.1, 10.7, 13.1 have found a durable solution to their displacement] Registration and documentation of IDPs and refugees, in particular UNHCR generally provided by Governments, based Current indicators 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 should be replaced as they are covered more egistration (figures disaggregated by age, gender and disabilities - AGD on their own definitions and methods of comprehensively by/under 11.5.1 and 11.5.2. However, whereas 11.5 and its mainstreaming) and profiling exercises, annual refugee flow and stock figures data collection). Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (Currently internal indicators cover only disasters. 1.5 covers a wider range of hazards, such as social. and number of asylum applications, participatory needs assessments and economic and environmental shocks. Hence a multi-purpose global indicator covering | population surveys by humanitarian actors. IOM Displacement Tracking Matrix. displacement profiles for 50 countries. the number of people killed, injured, displaced or otherwise affected by disasters. Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC) IDP Database and Annual Global reports since 1998.) crises and other (social, economic and environmental) shocks (linked to 1.5, 11.5, 13.1 Global Estimates Reports for displacement induced by conflict/generalized 16.1 as well as 10.7) would be advisable, complemented by the above alternative violence and disasters, as well as UN Population Fund (UNFPA) figures to normalize displacement estimates. Joint IDP Profiling Service (collects data indicator 1 for 1.5 (linked also to 11.5, 13.1, 16.1 as well as 10.7)) that would measure disaggregated by sex, age, location and diversity). OCHA situation reports (in the (number and) percentage of forcibly displaced people who have found a durable solution to their displacement as a measure of resilience among particularly vulnerable ongoing humanitarian emergencies). Centre for Research on the Epidemiology and marginalized groups (i.e. refugees and internally displaced persons). See of Disasters (CRED) EM-DAT International Disaster Database supplementary metadata material for justification. Ensure significant mobilization of resources from a variety of sources, including through enhanced development cooperation, in order to provide adequate and predictable means for developing countries, in particular least developed countries, to implement programmes and policies to end poverty in all its dimensions. Contributor Name Specification Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Entity Suggested Indicator Share of total overall government spending (incl. subnationals) on programs World Bank [3.1; 3.2; 3.3; 3.4; 3.7; 3.8; directed to bottom 40% of population of country (%). 4.1; 4.2; 4.3; 4.6; 5.6] ndicator 1.a.1 Resources mobilized and spent for poverty reduction, including government, private sector and development partners (BBB) UNICEF [New indicator proposed] [Spending on essential services (education and health) as Government expenditure data: IMF's World Economic Outlook database (total UNICEF Total coverage is 124 countries. 3.1; 3.2; 3.3; 3.4; 3.7; 3.8; % of total government spending (% of total government spending)]. This indicator is government expenditures), UNESCO's Institute for Statistics database 4.1; 4.2; 4.3; 4.6; 5.6 expressed as a percentage. (education expenditures) and World Bank Development Indicators (health expenditures). WB Indicator 1.a.1 has no precise meaning and cannot be measured as proposed. Suggest to drop. Alternatively replace with something like: ["Share of total overall government spending (incl. subnationals) on programs directed to bottom 40% of population of country (%)."] New possible indicator for 1a: ["Sum of Total Grants and FDI and non-debt creating inflows - \$\$\$ equivalent."] Create sound policy frameworks at the national, regional and international levels, based on pro-poor and gender sensitive development strategies, to support accelerated investment in poverty eradication Target 1.b actions. Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Number of national action plans related to multi-lateral environmental agreements Data on all national action plans for MEAs can be found in INFORMEA, which INFORMEA (www.informea.org) Targets 1.b. 13.2 and 15.9 that support accelerated investment in actions that eradicate poverty and in turn draws upon the data from individual MEAs such as the National nformation currently available for more than 160 countries sustainably use natural resources. **Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans** (http://www.bipindicators.net/statusofNBSAPs and http://www.cbd.int/nbsap/) WB A suggestion is that indicator 1.b should read: ["Share of government recurrent and capital spending going to sectors that disproportionately benefit women, poor and vulnerable groups (%)"] UNEP Targets 1.b, 13.2 and 15.9 [Number of national action plans related to multi-lateral environmental agreements | Data on all national action plans for MEAs can be found in INFORMEA, which in | INFORMEA (www.informea.org). turn draws upon the data from individual MEAs such as the National that support accelerated investment in actions that eradicate poverty and Information currently available for more sustainably use natural resources Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans than 160 countries http://www.bipindicators.net/statusofNBSAPs and http://www.cbd.int/nbsap/ End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture Goal 2 Target 2.1 By 2030, end hunger and ensure access by all people, in particular the poor and people in vulnerable situations, including infants, to safe, nutritious and sufficient food all year round. Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Prevalence of undernourishme Suggested Indicator The FAO methodology combines available micro-data on food consumption FAO - Consistent time series for the derived from surveys with macro-data from food balance sheets. The ability ndicator exist from 1990-92 for about of the indicator to approximate access to food depends upon the extent to 140 countries. The indicator is regularly which existing data allow characterizing effectively the probability distribution on reported in the annual State of Food of habitual food consumption in the reference population. Insecurity in the World Report published by FAO, IFAD and WFP since 1999 and in the Millennium Development Goal Report of the UN Statistics Division. Data on the indicators are published on the FAO Statistics website, at http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/essfs/ess-fadata/it/#.VM89cGiF-VM and updated every year.

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Prevalence of population with moderate or severe food insecurity, based on the For the FIES: FAO and National Data. FAO can ensure global coverage (about The severity of food Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) Data are collected annually by FAO for about 150 countries through the Food 150 countries every year covering more insecurity is a determinant Insecurity Experience Scale module included in the Gallup World Poll, starting than 95% of the world population) and early warning sign of from 2014. A number of countries already use similar tools for national food annually. possible malnutrition. The insecurity assessment (e.g., HFSSM in the US and Canada; EMSA in Mexico; FIES based indicators can For countries that regularly use similar thus be used as predictors of EBIA in Brazil; ELCSA in Guatemala.) scales, national data will be used to Data collected through these tools may be used to inform an assessment that inform the indicators for global various forms of would be comparable with the ones obtained by FAO using the FIES in other monitoring. malnutrition, and therefore countries. Over time, ownership of the FIES indicators will be transferred to FAO provides the methodology for be relevant for target 2.2. countries that may start producing their own data. calibrating all measures against the common, global reference. A number of experts have FCS data is collected around the world by WFP, NGOs, and government Indicators values will be disseminated highlighted the contribution partners are often collected within the context of larger/broader food security annually by FAO. of the FCS indicator to monitoring systems (FSMS). information on nutrient FSMS surveys and associated household questionnaires typically include a adequacy estimates, caloric number of core modules; household demographics, income sources, intake, and have also expenditures, food consumption and food sources, coping strategies and highlighted unique benefits shocks. A typical completed FSMS household questionnaire, if collected using not associated with other a conventional "face-to-face" (i.e. on site enumerator and respondent) dietary diversity indicators approach, costs approximately \$30. For the purpose of providing a rough In that context the use of

		estimate of the cost and feasibility of collecting only the FCS data together with the standard household demographic data, we estimate the cost at approximately \$15 to \$20 per household using the conventional face-to-face approach for data collection. See attached metadata for a more complete explanation.			the FCS would also be an added value to target 2.2, 3.1, and 3.2.
dicator 2.1.1 Prevale	nce of Undernourishment (PoU). (BAA)				
IFAD IFAD	This is the current MDG indicator 1.9. It is proposed here as it is already established, and FAO will continue to publish it in the future. However, it presents several limitations as an indicator for the new and more ambitious target to "ensure access by all people to [] food". In particular, it does not allow for disaggregation by population groups and it is not sufficiently sensitive to detect very low levels of undernourishment (5% being the lowest detectable limit). For these reasons, we propose two additional indicators that have not been established yet, but for which there is on-going work by FAO and the WFP respectively. These are: [Indicator 2.1.2 below, on the prevalence of population with moderate or severe food insecurity, based on the FIES, developed by FAO, and the percentage of households with insufficient food consumption, based on the Food Consumption Score, developed by WFP.]	existing data allow characterizing effectively the probability distribution of habitual food consumption in the reference population.	Consistent time series for the indicator exist from 1990-92 for about 140 countries. The indicator is regularly reported in the annual State of Food Insecurity in the World Report published by FAO, IFAD and WFP since 1999 and in the Millennium Development Goal Report of the UN Statistics Division. Data on the indicators are published on the FAO Statistics website, at http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/ess-fs/ess-fadata/it/#.VM89cGjF-VM and updated every year.	1	
FAO	This is the current MDG indicator 1.9. It is proposed here as it is already established, and FAO will continue to publish it in the future. However, it presents several limitations as an indicator for the new and more ambitious target to "ensure access by all people to [] food". In particular, it does not allow for disaggregation by population groups and it is not sufficiently sensitive to detect very low levels of undernourishment (5% being the lowest detectable limit). For these reasons, we propose two additional indicators that have not been established yet, but for which there is on-going work by FAO and the WFP respectively. These are: Indicator 2.1.2 below, on the [prevalence of population with moderate or severe food insecurity, based on the FIES, developed by FAO, and the percentage of households with insufficient food consumption, based on the Food Consumption Score, developed by WFP.]	existing data allow characterizing effectively the probability distribution of habitual food consumption in the reference population.	Consistent time series for the indicator exist from 1990-92 for about 140 countries. The indicator is regularly reported in the annual State of Food Insecurity in the World Report published by FAO, IFAD and WFP since 1999 and in the Millennium Development Goal Report of the UN Statistics Division. Data on the indicators are published on the FAO Statistics website, at http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/ess-fs/ess-fadata/it/#.VM89cGjF-VM and updated every year.	1	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.				
WB			FAO		

* Note on Disaggreg	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.		
IFAD	This indicator is a direct implementation of the concept of "access to food" that informs the target and is based on the FIES, which is an example of experience-based food insecurity scales, directly measuring the effective ability to access food at the individual or household level. Experience-based food security scales have been tested since 1995 and used in a number of countries for regular monitoring of food insecurity among households. FAO has piloted its application in 2013, and started collecting data globally in 2014. A global reference scale of severity and universal thresholds for classification of moderate and severe levels of food insecurity for comparable use worldwide are produced by the FAO Voices of the Hungry project. The Food Consumption Score measured by the World Food Programme can in certain countries complement FIES- and undernourishment indicator. The FCS indicator is a "food access" indicator, and is based on both dietary diversity, and the frequency of food groups consumed. The FCS is a score calculated using the frequency of consumption of different food groups consumed by a household during the 7 days before the survey. The FCS in its standard form has been in use by WFP for over 15 years and has enabled the organization to assess and monitor food access and consumption in developing countries. See attached metadata for a more complete explanation.	For the FIES: FAO and National Data. Data are collected annually by FAO for about 150 countries through the Food Insecurity Experience Scale module included in the Gallup World Poll, starting from 2014. A number of countries already use similar tools for national food insecurity assessment (e.g., HFSSM in the US and Canada; EMSA in Mexico; EBIA in Brazil; ELCSA in Guatemala.) Data collected through these tools may be used to inform an assessment that would be comparable with the ones obtained by FAO using the FIES in other countries. Over time, ownership of the FIES indicators will be transferred to countries that may start producing their own data. FCS data is collected around the world by WFP, NGOs, and government partners are often collected within the context of larger/broader food security monitoring systems (FSMS). FSMS surveys and associated household questionnaires typically include a number of core modules; household demographics, income sources, expenditures, food consumption and food sources, coping strategies and shocks. A typical completed FSMS household questionnaire, if collected using a conventional "face-to-face" (i.e. on site enumerator and respondent) approach, costs approximately \$30. For the purpose of providing a rough estimate of the cost and feasibility of collecting only the FCS data together with the standard household demographic data, we estimate the cost at approximately \$15 to \$20 per household using the conventional face-to-face approach for data collection. See attached metadata for a more complete explanation.	2	The severity of food insecurity is a determinant and early warning sign of possible malnutrition. The FIES based indicators can thus be used as predictors of various forms of malnutrition, and therefore be relevant for target 2.2. A number of experts have highlighted the contribution of the FCS indicator to information on nutrient adequacy estimates, caloric intake, and have also highlighted unique benefits not associated with other dietary diversity indicators. In that context the use of the FCS would also be an added value to target 2.2, 3.1, and 3.2.
FAO UNWOMEN WR	This indicator is a direct implementation of the concept of "access to food" that informs the target and is based on the FIES, which is an example of experience-based food insecurity scales, directly measuring the effective ability to access food at the individual or household level. Experience-based food security scales have been tested since 1995 and used in a number of countries for regular monitoring of food insecurity among households. FAO has piloted its application in 2013, and started collecting data globally in 2014. A global reference scale of severity and universal thresholds for classification of moderate and severe levels of food insecurity for comparable use worldwide are produced by the FAO Voices of the Hungry project. The Food Consumption Score measured by the World Food Programme can in certain countries complement FIES- and undernourishment indicator. The FCS indicator is a "food access" indicator, and is based on both dietary diversity, and the frequency of food groups consumed. The FCS is a score calculated using the frequency of consumption of different food groups consumed by a household during the 7 days before the survey. The FCS in its standard form has been in use by WFP for over 15 years and has enabled the organization to assess and monitor food access and consumption in developing countries. See attached metadata for a more complete explanation.	For the FIES: FAO and National Data. Data are collected annually by FAO for about 150 countries through the Food Insecurity Experience Scale module included in the Gallup World Poll, starting from 2014. A number of countries already use similar tools for national food insecurity assessment (e.g., HFSSM in the US and Canada; EMSA in Mexico; EBIA in Brazil; ELCSA in Guatemala.) Data collected through these tools may be used to inform an assessment that would be comparable with the ones obtained by FAO using the FIES in other countries. Over time, ownership of the FIES indicators will be transferred to countries that may start producing their own data. FCS data is collected around the world by WFP, NGOs, and government partners are often collected within the context of larger/broader food security monitoring systems (FSMS). FSMS surveys and associated household questionnaires typically include a number of core modules; household demographics, income sources, expenditures, food consumption and food sources, coping strategies and shocks. A typical completed FSMS household questionnaire, if collected using a conventional "face-to-face" (i.e. on site enumerator and respondent) approach, costs approximately \$30. For the purpose of providing a rough estimate of the cost and feasibility of collecting only the FCS data together with the standard household demographic data, we estimate the cost at approximately \$15 to \$20 per household using the conventional face-to-face approach for data collection. See attached metadata for a more complete explanation.	2	The severity of food insecurity is a determinant and early warning sign of possible malnutrition. The FIES based indicators can thus be used as predictors of various forms of malnutrition, and therefore be relevant for target 2.2. A number of experts have highlighted the contribution of the FCS indicator to information on nutrient adequacy estimates, caloric intake, and have also highlighted unique benefits not associated with other dietary diversity indicators. In that context the use of the FCS would also be an added value to target 2.2, 3.1, and 3.2.
WB	The concern we have with this indicator is that it seems new and untested so would suggest removing, unless it can be demonstrated be a robust estimate of food insecurity (tested with actual data compared to other indicators)			

Note on Disaggrega	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	(P) and other characteristics, as relevant and nossible				
			Since 2002 WED's MANA/Miles we billion		1	A number of owners !
WFP	["The Food Consumption Score measured by the World Food Programme] can in	"FCS data is collected around the world by WFP, NGOs, and government	Since 2003, WFP's VAM/Vulnerability		1	A number of experts have
	certain countries complement FIES- and undernourishment indicator. The FCS indicato		Analysis and Mapping team has completed			highlighted the reliability of
	is a "food access" indicator, and is based on both dietary diversity, and the frequency	monitoring systems (FSMS). FSMS surveys and associated household	more than 80 baseline surveys worldwide,			the FCS indicator with
	of food groups consumed. The FCS is a score calculated using the frequency of	questionnaires typically include a number of core modules; household	most of these have been carried out with			respect to nutrient adequac
	consumption of different food groups consumed by a household during the 7 days	demographics, income sources, expenditures, food consumption and food	national scale coverage. The large			estimates, caloric intake, an
	before the survey. The FCS in its standard form has been in use by WFP for over 15	sources, coping strategies and shocks. A typical completed FSMS household	majority of these surveys contain Food			have also highlighted unique
	years and has enabled the organization to assess and monitor food access and	questionnaire, if collected using a conventional "face-to-face" (i.e. on site	Consumption Score data. The FCS is			benefits not associated with
	consumption in developing countries. While by definition the FCS is a composite	enumerator and respondent) approach, costs approximately \$30. For the	measured at household level, and			other dietary diversity
	indicator, the food frequency data collected for its computation provides a rich data	purpose of providing a rough estimate of the cost and feasibility of collecting	therefore can easily be aggregated at the			indicators. In that context th
	repository that may be employed in a variety of ways. For example, nutrient adequacy	only the FCS data together with the standard household demographic data, we	community, national, or regional level			use of the FCS would also be
	may be analysed from the raw frequency data, and unweighted or differentially	estimate the cost at approximately \$15 to \$20 per household using the	using appropriate population adjustments.			an added value to target 2.2
	weighted scores may be adapted to reflect cultural and geographic dietary variation, to		The proportion of households failing to			3.1, and 3.2.
	account for seasonality, or to prioritize dietary habits that are consistent with	International Household Survey Network (IHSN). As a member of IHSN, WFP	achieve a minimally acceptable FCS is			
	sustainable development goals. WFP currently has statistically representative FCS	maintains a micro-data catalogue and associated website, with meta-data files	easily comparable across countries, while			
	data at national scale, for over 35 countries around the world, from which baseline	for its statistically representative household level surveys. These surveys and	scores for households that are not in			
	values have been derived. To facilitate global monitoring, global targets would have to	related studies are known and referred to as Comprehensive Food Security	states of severe or moderate food			
	be established, which would require significant investments."	Vulnerability Assessments (CFSVAs). The CFSVA surveys contain Food	insecurity are more easily subjected to			
		Consumption Score (FCS) data, along with many other variables. Detailed	cultural and geographic variation. To			
		metadata for the CFSVA surveys, including the metadata for the FCS Indicator	account for this variation, an analysis of			
		data; can be viewed and accessed at WFP's IHSN Survey Data Portal at the	scores associated with high-quality diets in			
		following link: http://nada.vam.wfp.org/index.php/catalog . WFP is committed	each country can be used to estimate			
		to transparency and data access, and survey data are maintained in publicly	proportions of households meeting			
		available databases. Detailed Metadata tables for the FCS indicator are	acceptable dietary requirements.			
		available at the link immediately below: http://www.wfp.org/content/meta-				
		data-food-consumption-score-fcs-indicator""				
		NDI Disaggragata bu disalasament status				
GlobalMigrationWG		IND: Disaggregate by displacement status				
1		NB! Disaggregate by displacement status ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children	under 5 years of age, and address	the nut	ritional	needs of adolescent
arget 2.2 By 203	00, end all forms of malnutrition, including achieving, by 2025, the interesting women and older passage		under 5 years of age, and address	the nut	ritiona	needs of adolescent
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la	octating women and older persons.	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children				
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name	actating women and older persons. Specification	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children Source	Entity	Tier	ritional Priority	
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children				
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	actating women and older persons. Specification	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children Source	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children Source	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight,	ernationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries)	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA)	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women,	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight,	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past.	Entity UNICEF, WHO, World Bank joint dataset (145 countries) FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer	Tier		
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator idicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 5D from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator idicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator idicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator idicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB	Specification Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS.	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. In the provides of the sunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries)	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. [UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2
FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale UNICEF	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age] UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	Source MICS, DHS and other national household surveys The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator idicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale UNICEF UNWOMEN	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. [Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. [UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries)	Tier	Priority 1 1 2	Interlinkages targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale UNICEF UNWOMEN WB	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. I Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age] UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. I Prevalence of overweight (weight for height >+2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries)	Tier	1 1 2 2 2	targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2 targets 3.4 targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1,
arget 2.2 By 203 irls, pregnant and la Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 2.2.1 Prevale IFAD FAO UNICEF UNWOMEN WB dicator 2.2.2 Prevale UNICEF UNWOMEN WB	Prevalence of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age ence of Stunting (low height-for-age) in children under 5 years of age. (BAA) While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the [Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator], that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. While we support use of the two listed indicators on stunting and overweight, maintained by WHO and UNICEF, we strongly encourage inclusion of the Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (MDD-W) indicator, that is the percentage of women, 15-49 years of age, who consume at least 5 out of 10 defined food groups. This is an indicator of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. In the provides of the probability of micronutrient adequacy, which provides a necessary link between food and nutrition in the global assessment. In the provides of stunting (height for age <-2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. Prevalence of overweight children under 5 years of age. (BAA) [Prevalence of overweight children under 5 years of age. (BAA) [Prevalence of overweight (weight for height >+2 SD from the median of the WHO Child Growth Standards) among children under five years of age. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. The MDD-W is a new indicator that has been developed and validated against high-quality quantitative dietary data. It is not yet regularly reported although similar data on dietary diversity of women have been reported in the past. Potential data sources include the DHS surveys and the UNICEF MICS. MICS, DHS and other national household surveys MICS, DHS and other national household surveys	FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. FAO proposes to become the maintainer of the MDD-W indicator. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries) WHO UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Joint dataset (145 countries)	Tier	1 1 2 2 2	targets 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 4.1, 4.2 targets 3.4

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. [Prevalence of anaemia (Hb = 11 g/dl) among women of reproductive age] MICS, DHS and other national household surveys WHO Global databases (all countries Target 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, globally; developed and developing as they 4.1, 4.5, 5.5 are modelled estimates) By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment. Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Value of production per labour unit (measured in constant USD), by classes of National enterprise surveys. For agriculture, specialized farm surveys, or FAO and the World bank. Data to Tier II farming/pastoral/forestry enterprise size integrated household surveys including an agricultural module already exist compute the indicator for agricultural (eg., LSMS-ISA, Integrated Surveys for Agriculture) FAO and the World Bank producers are currently available for nine are working to define a new Agricultural and Rural Integrated Survey (AGRIS) developing countries through LSMS-ISA. program, that may be used as a source of data to inform this and many others When the AGRIS program will be in indicators of relevance for the SDG that depend on farm/enterprise level operation, indicators will be published through FAOSTAT. Indicator 2.3.1 Value of agricultural production per hectare (measured in constant USD/hectare, disaggregated for the two lowest quintiles of countries' farm size distribution, as well as for female-headed smallholder producer households) (BBB) IFAD Propose improved alternative: "[Value of production per labour unit (measured in National enterprise surveys. For agriculture, specialized farm surveys, or FAO and the World bank, Data to compute 1 constant USD), by classes of farming/pastoral/forestry enterprise size]". integrated household surveys including an agricultural module already exist (eg., the indicator for agricultural producers are currently available for nine developing This indicator measures labour productivity as a proxy for net income of small food LSMS-ISA, Integrated Surveys for Agriculture) FAO and the World Bank are producers, and thus is more directly relevant to the formulation of the target. working to define a new Agricultural and Rural Integrated Survey (AGRIS) countries through LSMS-ISA. When the Agreement needs to be found on a comparable definition of "small scale producer" in program, that may be used as a source of data to inform this and many others AGRIS program will be in operation, each sector indicators will be published through indicators of relevance for the SDG that depend on farm/enterprise level information. FAOSTAT. FAO Propose improved alternative: ["Value of production per labour unit (measured in National enterprise surveys. FAO and the World bank. 1 constant USD), by classes of farming/pastoral/forestry enterprise size".] For agriculture, specialized farm surveys, or integrated household surveys Data to compute the indicator for This indicator measures labour productivity as a proxy for net income of small food including an agricultural module already exist (eg., LSMS-ISA, Integrated Surveys agricultural producers are currently producers, and thus is more directly relevant to the formulation of the target. for Agriculture) available for nine developing countries Agreement needs to be found on a comparable definition of "small scale producer" in FAO and the World Bank are working to define a new Agricultural and Rural through LSMS-ISA. each sector. Integrated Survey (AGRIS) program, that may be used as a source of data to When the AGRIS program will be in inform this and many others indicators of relevance for the SDG that depend on operation, indicators will be published through FAOSTAT. farm/enterprise level information LINCDE World Bank - Data is available for 142 Targets 1.4, 5.a, 8.10, 10.2 Propose an additional Multi-Purpose Indicator: [Adults owning an account either Global Findex through a financial institution or mobile money provider, disaggregated by income countries level, geography location gender, age and education] FAO, UNSD, UN Women UNWOMEN As an additional indicator UN Women proposes the following: "Proportion of women No data is available for the indicator 1.4.2 currently included in this template. 1.4, 5a who own and/or control land out of total agricultural landowners. Landowners are For the alternative proposed indicator: the EDGE project will have data for 8 defined as those having the right sell (where applicable), bequeath and make countries. FAO has identified another 11 or so countries with more surveys decisions about the use of the land".] The indicator is based on a broad definition of ownership covering officially titled ownership, but also other proxies, such as the right to use, sell (in context where the right to sell is applicable) or bequeath the land. This enable the indicator to capture a "bundle of rights" related to land. This conceptualization of ownership is important, particularly in economies where the framework of ownership is not well defined. In such situations, ownership may be supported by legal documents or simply recognized within the community. As conceptualized the indicator captures a 'bundle of rights', with respect to land

ownership .

List of Proposa	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
WB	A concern with this indicator is that it would be only collected infrequently (not					
	annually). Data on the distribution of farm sizes, and yields on these farm sizes in not					
	available for many countries for one year, let along multiple years. We propose two					
	alternative indicators since the disaggregation by economic classification is important,					
	as needed gains by poorest countries (e.g. Africa) are larger than less poor (e.g. Asia).					
	[(1) Cereal yields by economic classification: FAO would be responsible for this. (2)					
	Agricultural value added per worker by economic classification. World Bank (World					
	<u>Development Indicators) would be responsible for this.</u>] Finally, we propose another					
	alternate indicator as the proposed indicator 2.3.1 can be considered as an outcome of					
	improved access to/use of goods and services mentioned in the second half of the					
	wording of the target. For access to financial services: ["% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money service in the past 12 months"]. Possible					
	to have a break down by income e.g. bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day.					
	Adults: ages 15+. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type of financial					
	institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post					
	office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial institution for					
	the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving					
	wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12 months.					
	Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the					
	Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive					
	money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months."					
IUCN	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN recommends that the indicator of				1	1.4
10014	["Proportion of adult population with tenure that is legally recognised and				-	1.4
	documented of perceived as secure, by sex and age group"], proposed as 1.4.2 would					
	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target.					
Toward 2.4 By 202	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target.			46-4		
	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. Description contacts and implement resilies.		ion, that help maintain ecosystems	s, that s	strength	en capacity for
adaptation to climate	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. O, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and	that progressively improve land and soil quality.				
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	that progressively improve land and soil quality. Source	Entity	Tier	strength Priority	Interlinkages
adaptation to climate	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. O, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and	that progressively improve land and soil quality. Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process			Interlinkages The proposed alternative is
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	that progressively improve land and soil quality. Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	At that progressively improve land and soil quality. Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture,	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT)	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	Source At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
adaptation to climate ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices.	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies.	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices.	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies.	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management
adaptation to climate ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. s of greenhouse gases in agriculture (per hectare of land and per unit of output, sepail Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. [At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process	Tier		Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target,	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies.	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. **sof greenhouse gases in agriculture (per hectare of land and per unit of output, sepain Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Tately for crop and livestock sectors**). (BBB) At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most o environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A=	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most o the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices". The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Tetal Process of the country there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards,	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Cately for crop and livestock sectors**). (BBB)* At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of obuntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Percentage of agricultural practices". The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Tately for crop and livestock sectors**). (BBB) **At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices.	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "Woold Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Cately for crop and livestock sectors**). (BBB)* At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of obuntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture = the	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most o the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. D, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices". The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture = the surface area identified and/or acknowledged by the government as being affected by	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Tetal Process of the country there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture = the surface area identified and/or acknowledged by the government as being affected by agronomic activities and practices that contribute to environmental sustainability of	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. **Cately for crop and livestock sectors**). (BBB) At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable
ContributorName ContributorName Suggested Indicator	be an appropriate additional indicator to reflect the elements of "secure and equal access to land" in this Target. 7. ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilie change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and Specification Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices. Propose improved alternative: "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target, particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A= area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture = the surface area identified and/or acknowledged by the government as being affected by agronomic activities and practices that contribute to environmental sustainability of	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to those schemes and strategies. Tately for crop and livestock sectors). (BBB) At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, either of a regulatory nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards, public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected	Entity FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and monitor SLM as well as land degradation. FAO is carrying on a consultation process to develop an indicator on "Area under sustainable land management", to be developed by the end of 2015. The process will be within the framework of the "World Overview of Conservation Approaches and Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in the support of UNCCD implementation and will support countries to assess, map and	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable management The proposed alternative is used as one component of indicator 15.3.2, Area of land/soils under sustainable

FAO	regation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U, Propose improved alternative: ["Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most o	fEAO is carrying on a consultation process	1	The proposed alternative
AO	agricultural practices"]. The indicator is more directly linked with the target,	the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to	to develop an indicator on "Area under	1	used as one component
	particularly to the aspects of sustainable production, adaptation to climate change and		sustainable land management", to be		indicator 15.3.2, Area o
	improvement of land and soil. The indicator is defined by the following formula: A=	nature, like protected areas for instance, or as part of a subsidies scheme or in a	= -		land/soils under sustainal
	area on which are conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of	payment for environmental services scheme or as part of voluntary standards,	will be within the framework of the "World		management
	agriculture / agricultural area, where Agricultural Area = Arable land and Permanent	public or private. Countries are also preparing, as part of national reports for the			management
	crops + Permanent meadows and pastures (FAOSTAT), and Area on which are	state of the world biodiversity for food and agriculture, statistics on practices	Technologies" (WOCAT) partnership and in		
	conducted practices contributing to environmental sustainability of agriculture = the	contributing to biodiversity, most of which have a broader positive impact on	the support of UNCCD implementation and		
	surface area identified and/or acknowledged by the government as being affected by	the environment. Moreover, many countries are participating in internationally	will support countries to assess, map and		
	agronomic activities and practices that contribute to environmental sustainability of	established strategic frameworks which promote the collection of data at	monitor SLM as well as land degradation.		
	agriculture.	country level. Hence, the data for computing the indicator should be collected	montor service as well as land degradation.		
	agriculture:	through the records that are held in the process of the country participation to			
		those schemes and strategies.			
İ					
UNISDR	UNISR proposes \[Agricultural loss due to disasters"]. Please see UNISDR input paper	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR	1	15.3, 1.5, 13.1, 11.5, 14.2
WB	attached." Change indicator name to \[IEmissions of greenhouse gases in agriculture \[(CO2 \] \]		FAO		
WB	equivalent per hectare of land and per unit of output, separately for crop and		FAU		
I	livestock sectors).]"				
icator 2.4.2 Abs	solute levels of emissions in relevant sectors and sub-sectors. (BBB)				
IFAD	Propose dropping in favour of above			1	
IIAD	alternative, ["Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural				
	practices"				
FAO	Propose dropping in favour of above				
	alternative, ["Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural				
	practices"]				
UNISDR	UNISR proposes "[Direct disaster economic loss in relation to global gross domestic	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR	2	11.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3, 2.4
	<pre>product]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached."</pre>				
WB	The concern we have with this indicator is that it implies that the absolute levels of				
	emissions across all countries and sectors should decline. But it is better for overall				
	emissions reduction to produce more beef in Ireland (for example) that has lower				
	emissions intensive production than some other European countries. This may raise				
	absolute emissions in Ireland, but lower it by more in other countries with substitution				
	of production.				
IUCN	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: Focusing both indicators under Target 2.4 or	Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Responsible entities and national	2	15.5 (and disaggregated
	GHG emissions seems too narrow. IUCN suggests complementing them with ["Red List	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/), generating "RLI for species used for food and	availability: TRAFFIC and IUCN Red List		versions for other targets
	Index (biodiversity used for food and medicine)"]. This would also help to reflect	medicine" as used by Butchart et al. (2010) Science 328: 1164-1168.	Partnership		
	contributions towards resilience, maintenance of ecosystems, and adaptation to		(http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part		
	climate change. It is used as an indicator towards Aichi Target 14		ners-and-technical-support). Available		
	(http://www.bipindicators.net/foodandmedicine).		globally since 1980s, and can be		
			disaggregated to national and regional		
			levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE		
			9(11): e113934).	1	

Target 2.5 By 2020, maintain the genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals and their related wild species, including through soundly managed and diversified seed and plant banks at the national, regional and international levels, and ensure access to and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge, as internationally agreed.

ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Ex Situ Crop Collections Enrichment index	Data are reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture on the implementation of the Second Global Plan of Action for PGRFA, as agreed at CGRFA-15	FAO - The indicator has been calculated by FAO in 2008 and 2014. It will be calculated again in 2015 and then periodically every 2-3 years based on data reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture. Country data are stored in WIEWS, the FAO PGRFA information system.	Tier I		15.5
Indicator 2.5.1 Ex-situ o	rop collections indicator. (CBB)					
UNEP	The two indicators 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 should be rolled into one, so that a second indicato can measure ABS which is a second part of this Target. Therefore, propose Indicator 2.5.1 to read: [Number/percentage of local crops and breeds, and their wild relatives, classified as being at-risk, not-at-risk, and unknown-levels of risk of extinction]	http://www.bipindicators.net/domesticanimals and http://www.bipindicators.net/cropcollections : data collected from Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS), and EURISCO, USDA-GRIN, ICRISAT, CIAT, SINGER, ILRI	WCMC working with FAO, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Bioversity International			Goal 15

List of Proposal	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
FAO	Proposed reformulation of the name: Ex-Situ Crop Collections Enrichment index] It measures global trends in the diversity of ex situ conserved materials, providing an overall assessment of the extent to which we are managing to maintain and/or increase the total genetic diversity required for current and future production and therefore secure under controlled conditions from any permanent loss of this type of genetic diversity occurring in the field. For a detailed description see http://www.bipindicators.net/cropcollections	Data are reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture on the implementation of the Second Global Plan of Action for PGRFA, as agreed at CGRFA-15	FAO - The indicator has been calculated by FAO in 2008 and 2014. It will be calculated again in 2015 and then periodically every 2-3 years based on data reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture. Country data are stored in WIEWS, the FAO PGRFA information system.	1	1	15.5
IFAD	Proposed reformulation of the name: [Ex Situ Crop Collections Enrichment index] It measures global trends in the diversity of ex situ conserved materials, providing an overall assessment of the extent to which we are managing to maintain and/or increase the total genetic diversity required for current and future production and therefore secure under controlled conditions from any permanent loss of this type of genetic diversity occurring in the field. For a detailed description see http://www.bipindicators.net/cropcollections	Data are reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture on the implementation of the Second Global Plan of Action for PGRFA, as agreed at CGRFA-15	FAO - The indicator has been calculated by FAO in 2008 and 2014. It will be calculated again in 2015 and then periodically every 2-3 years based on data reported by member countries to the Commission of Genetic Resources of Food and Agriculture. Country data are stored in WIEWS, the FAO PGRFA information system.		1	15.5
WB	No sure what this means. An alternative is \[[Number of varieties and animal breeds integrating germplasm accessed from gene banks under benefit sharing contracts"]					
IUCN	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports the adoption of this indicator. It is used as an indicator towards Aichi Target 13 (http://www.bipindicators.net/cropcollections).				1	
ndicator 2.5.2 Number	I r/percentage of local breeds classified as being at-risk, not-at-risk, and unknown-levels	of risk of extinction. (BBB)			i	
IFAD	The indicator presents the percentage of livestock breeds classified as being at risk, not at risk or of unknown risk of extinctions at a certain moment in time, as well as the trends for those percentages.	The indicator serves to monitor the implementation of the Global Plan of Action for Animal Genetic Resources. Data are contained in FAO's Global Databank for Animal Genetic Resources DAD-IS	FAO - The indicator is based on the most up to date data contained in FAO's Global Databank for Animal Genetic Resources DAD-IS (http://dad.fao.org/) at the time of calculation		1	15.5
FAO	The indicator presents the percentage of livestock breeds classified as being at risk, not at risk or of unknown risk of extinctions at a certain moment in time, as well as the trends for those percentages.	The indicator serves to monitor the implementation of the Global Plan of Action for Animal Genetic Resources. Data are contained in FAO's Global Databank for Animal Genetic Resources DAD-IS	FAO - The indicator is based on the most up to date data contained in FAO's Global Databank for Animal Genetic Resources DAD-IS (http://dad.fao.org/) at the time of calculation		1	15.5
UNEP	Alternative: [Number of permits or their equivalents made available to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearinghouse established under the Nagoya Protocol and number of Standard Material Transfer Agreements, as communicated to the Governing Body of the International Treaty]	The ABS Clearinghouse will make permits available on-line: https://absch.cbd.int/.	CBD (ABS Clearing House) and FAO (Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture)			Goal 15
WB IUCN	Modify currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports the adoption of this indicator. However, rather than expressing this indicator as "Number/percentage", it would be much preferable to express it as ["Red List Index (local breeds and wild relatives)"], and to assess the extinction risk of local breeds and wild relatives against The IUCN Red List Categories & Criteria (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/categories-and-criteria) accordingly. This is also used as an indicator towards Aichi Target 13 (http://www.bipindicators.net/domesticatedanimals).		UNEP		2	15.5 (and disaggregated versions for other targets
Target 2.a Increase	e investment, including through enhanced international cooperation	, in rural infrastructure, agricultural research and extension ser	vices, technology development and	d plant	and live	stock gene banks in
order to enhance agri	cultural productive capacity in developing countries, in particular lea	st developed countries.				
ContributorName Suggested Indicator	Specification The Agriculture Orientation Index (AOI) for Government Expenditures	Source FAO collects, in collaboration with the IMF, data on Government expenditure in Agriculture. The annual data and indicator value compiled by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO), can be found on the FAOSTAT domain at: http://faostat3.fao.org/download/I/IG/E, covering the periods 2001-2012. The underlying annual data is official country data, from 2001 to 2012, reported by countries through a questionnaire jointly developed by FAO and the IMF using the COPGG and GFSM classifications. The database currently	Entity FAO - Coverage is high, with 139 countries included. However, some countries have not provided data for all 13 years from 2001 to 2012, and the level of government to which expenditures pertain can differ.	Tier Tier I	Priority	Interlinkages
Indicator 2.a.1 Agriculti	ure Orientation Index for Government Expenditures (BBB)	covers 139 countries.				

* Note on Disaggreg	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
IFAD	The Agriculture Orientation Index (AOI) for Government Expenditures is defined as the Agriculture share of Government Expenditures, divided by the Agriculture Share of GDP, where Agriculture refers to the agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting sector.	FAO collects, in collaboration with the IMF, data on Government expenditure in Agriculture. The annual data and indicator value compiled by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO), can be found on the FAOSTAT domain at: http://faostat3.fao.org/download//IG/E, covering the periods 2001-2012. The underlying annual data is official country data, from 2001 to 2012, reported by countries through a questionnaire jointly developed by FAO and the IMF using the COFOG and GFSM classifications. The database currently covers 139 countries.	included. However, some countries have not provided data for all 13 years from 2001 to 2012, and the level of government	1	
FAO	The Agriculture Orientation Index (AOI) for Government Expenditures is defined as the Agriculture share of Government Expenditures, divided by the Agriculture Share of GDP, where Agriculture refers to the agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting sector.	FAO collects, in collaboration with the IMF, data on Government expenditure in Agriculture. The annual data and indicator value compiled by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO), can be found on the FAOSTAT domain at: http://faostat3.fao.org/download//Io/Fc, covering the periods 2001-2012. The underlying annual data is official country data, from 2001 to 2012, reported by countries through a questionnaire jointly developed by FAO and the IMF using the COFOG and GFSM classifications. The database currently covers 139 countries.	Coverage is high, with 139 countries included. However, some countries have not provided data for all 13 years from 2001 to 2012, and the level of government to which expenditures pertain can differ.	1	
UPU	Either adding a second indicator for target 2.a. covering[access to basic rural infrastructure], or adding a new dimension to the index in 2.a.1. A complementary proxy indicator in this area could be defined as follows: proportion of the total numbe post offices located in rural areas.	UPU existing data	On number of post offices in rural areas: Universal Postal Union. Data availability: ~ 180 countries. Annual but collection was discontinued for a number of years between 1990 and 2014 and will be collected again in 2016 and onwards on an annual basis. Otherwise available since 1875 (19th century) up to 2014 (21st century).	n/a	

Target 2.b Correct and prevent trade restrictions and distortions in world agricultural markets, including through the parallel elimination of all forms of agricultural export subsidies and all export measures with equivalent effect, in accordance with the mandate of the Doha Development Round.

	ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Sug	gested Indicator	Percent change in Import and Export tariffs on agricultural products	wтo	wто	Tier I		
Sug	gested Indicator	Agricultural Export Subsidies	OECD	OECD	Tier I		
	WB	As stated, this is not a measurable indicator. Alternatives could be [(1) Trade	(1) Trade restrictiveness indicators (OTRI) for agricultural sector: Data is	(1) Trade restrictiveness indicators			17.10
		restrictiveness indicators (OTRI) for agricultural sector or (2) Distortions to	available for up to 170 countries. The website of trade restrictiveness with more	(OTRI) for agricultural sector: World Bank			
		<u>Agricultural Incentives</u>]. (1) Trade restrictiveness indicators (OTRI) for	information:	(2) Distortions to Agricultural Incentives:			
		agricultural sector: The overall trade restrictiveness indicators (OTRI) summarizes the	http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTDEC/EXTRESEARCH/0,,conte	World Bank. Current work on distortions			
		trade policy stance of a country by calculating the equivalent uniform tariff that will	ntMDK:22574446~pagePK:64214825~piPK:64214943~theSitePK:469382,00.html	to agricultural incentives is ongoing in			
		keep its overall imports at the current level when the country in fact has different	(2) Distortions to Agricultural Incentives: Data is available for up to 82 countries.	partnership with IFPRI and other			
		tariffs and non-tariff barriers for different sectors, including agricultural goods. OTRI	For more details:	organizations: http://www.ag-			
		and some other related indices, such as the Trade Restrictiveness Index (TRI) and the	http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTDEC/EXTRESEARCH/EXTPRO	incentives.org/			
		Market Access Overall Trade Restrictiveness Index (MAOTRI). The rigorous analytical	GRAMS/EXTTRADERESEARCH/0,,contentMDK:21012395~pagePK:64168182~piP				
		method can be used to update the indicators on an annual basis.	K:64168060~theSitePK:544849,00.html				
		(2) Distortions to Agricultural Incentives: The World Bank's research project on					
		"Distortions to Agricultural Incentives" has produced a core database of Nominal Rates					
		of Assistance to producers, or NRAs, together with a set of Consumer Tax Equivalents,					
		or CTEs, for farm products and a set of Relative Rates of Assistance to farmers in 82					
		focus countries					
	ESCAP	The indicator does not reflect the target correctly. New Indicator - Reduction in the	WTO	WTO			
		following specific indicators of the WTO members: 1. Import and Export tariffs 2.					
		Anti dumping, safeguard and CVD cases 3. Domestic subsidy on agricultural					
		products. 4. Export subsidy on agricultural products 5. Non tariff measures.]					
	OECD	Suggested Alternative Indicator: [Producer Support Estimates in Agriculture that are	OECD; Producer and Consumer Support Estimates Database; see	OECD		1	
1		highly production and trade distortive.] This indicator fits the target very well, a well-	http://www.oecd.org/tad/agricultural-				
1		established methodology exists and data cover a large number of the countries for	policies/producerandconsumersupportestimatesdatabase.htm				
		which this issue is most relevant.					
Tai	get 2.c Adont n	neasures to ensure the proper functioning of food commodity marke	ats and their derivatives and facilitate timely access to market in	formation including on food rese	rvos ir	order t	o help limit extreme

Target 2.c Adopt measures to ensure the proper functioning of food commodity markets and their derivatives and facilitate timely access to market information, including on food reserves, in order to help limit extreme food price volatility.

P						
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Indicator of (food) Price Anomalies (IPA) (CBB)		FAO	Tier II		
Indicator 2.c.1 Indicator	of (food) Price Anomalies (IPA) (CBB)					

List of Pro	posals					
* Note on Disa	aggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (J/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
IFAD	The IPA is uniquely suited to the Target 2.c as it allows early detection of abnormal		FAO	1	1	
	market conditions, permitting the timely adoption of policies and measures aiming to			I	1	
	limit extreme food price volatility.				<u> </u>	
FAO	The IPA is uniquely suited to the Target 2.c as it allows early detection of abnormal		FAO	I	1	
	market conditions, permitting the timely adoption of policies and measures aiming to limit extreme food price volatility.			I	1	
Caal 2	,				\vdash	
	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all a					
	By 2030, reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100					
Contributor	·	Source CRVS, household surveys, censuses, health facility data, RAMOS, confidential	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicato	or Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births	enquiries, modelling	Maternal Mortality Expert and Interagency Group (MMEIG), led by WHO	Tier I		
		enquiries, modelling	with UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank.			
			UNDESA; data - all countries, global			
			database available; bi-annual global			
			reporting			
Suggested Indicato	or Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel	Household Surveys (will also start producing modelled time series from 2016)		Tier I		3.7, 3.8
	Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births (AAA)					
UNICEF	[Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births]	Estimates by UN Interagency Maternal mortality Estimation Interagency Group	WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank	I	1	
		(MMEIG) based on national data from vital registration, household surveys,		l	1	
		surveillance or sample registration systems, Census and RAMOS,		ı	1	
LINIM/ORATA:	LIN Woman calls for this indicator to be disagregated by sources of and the state of the state o				┝─┤	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by causes of maternal death, where data allows.			l	i I	
WHO	No change; [Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births]: annual number of female	CRVS, household surveys, censuses, health facility data, confidential enquiries,	Maternal Mortality Expert and		1	
WIIO	deaths from any cause related to or aggravated by pregnancy or its management	modelling	Interagency Group (MMEIG), led by WHO	l	1 - 1	
	(excluding accidental or incidental causes) during pregnancy and childbirth or within	=	with UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank,	l	1	
	days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and site of the		UNDESA; data - all countries, global	I	1	
	pregnancy, per 100 000 live births, for a specified time period		database available; bi-annual global	I	1	
	pregnancy, per 200 000 live bit dis, for a specifica time period		reporting	I	1	
UNFPA	[Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births]: annual number of female deaths from any	CRVS, Household Surveys and Population census, plus modelling by the MMEIG;	WHO, UNFPA, the World Bank, UNICEF,		1	
	cause related to or aggravated by pregnancy or its management (excluding accidenta	confidential enquiries	UNPD. Data available for all UN countries	I	1	
	or incidental causes) during pregnancy and childbirth or within 42 days of termination		and series for the period 1990-2015	I	1	
	of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and site of pregnancy, per 100,000 live			l	1	
	births, for a specified time period.			<u> </u>		
	Skilled birth attendance (AAA)					
UNICEF	[Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel]	Household Surveys (will also start producing modelled time series from 2016)	UNICEF and WHO	I	1	3.7; 3.8
LINIMONAEN	LIN Wayner calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by location and income group				\vdash	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by location and income group			I	1	
WHO	No change; [Percentage of live births attended by skilled health personnel during a	Household surveys, health facility data	WHO, UNICEF; data - all countries, global		2	
Willo	specified time period]	Thousehold surveys, health raciney data	database available	l	1 - 1	
UNFPA	[Per cent of births attended by skilled health personnel (SBA) during a specified tim	Household surveys (DHS and MICS): Health Facility Data	WHO, UNICEF		2	
UNFPA	[Per cent of births attended by skilled health personnel (SBA) during a specified tim period]	e Household surveys (DHS and MICS); Health Facility Data	WHO, UNICEF		2	
			· ·	births a		er-5 mortality to at
Target 3.2	period] By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of the period is a second control of the period contr		· ·	births a		er-5 mortality to at
Target 3.2 I	period] By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births.	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to	at least as low as 12 per 1,000 live		and und	
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to	at least as low as 12 per 1,000 live	births a		er-5 mortality to at
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to	at least as low as 12 per 1,000 live	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Farget as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN	at least as low as 12 per 1,000 live Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality	Tier	and und	<u> </u>
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	at least as low as 12 per 1,000 live Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank;	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Farget as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Fast as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and WOrld Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Farget as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 Farget as low as Contributor	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. **RName** **Specification**	of age, with all countries aiming to reduce neonatal mortality to Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at least two available data points during this	Tier	and und	<u> </u>
Farget 3.2 E east as low as Contributor suggested Indicato	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. Thame Specification Or Under-five mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration systems, etc.	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at least two available data points during this time period for 3.2.1, and for 186 countries for 3.2.2.	Tier Tier I	and und	<u> </u>
Farget 3.2 E east as low as Contributor suggested Indicato	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. Thame Specification Or Under-five mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN systems, etc.	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at least two available data points during this time period for 3.2.1, and for 186 countries for 3.2.2. UNICEF, WHO, UN Population Division,	Tier	and und	
Farget 3.2 E east as low as Contributor Suggested Indicato	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. Thame Specification Or Under-five mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration systems, etc. Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration (UN IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at least two available data points during this time period for 3.2.1, and for 186 countries for 3.2.2.	Tier Tier I	and und	
Target 3.2 Isleast as low as Contributor Suggested Indicato	By 2030, end preventable deaths of newborns and children under 5 years of 25 per 1,000 live births. Thame Specification Or Under-five mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Source Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN systems, etc.	Entity UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; 3.2.1: Data are available for 196 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014 for 3.2.1, and 191 countries for 3.2.2. For 196 countries and territories there are at least two available data points during this time period for 3.2.1, and for 186 countries for 3.2.2. UNICEF, WHO, UN Population Division,	Tier Tier I	and und	

UNWOMEN WHO	should be: [Under-five mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)]	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.	Lunger was the second			ı
WHO		Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN	UNICEF, WHO, UN Population Division,		1	
WHO		IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	World Bank			
WHO		systems, etc.				
<u> </u>	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex					
	No change; [Probability of a child born in a specific year or period dying before	CRVS, household surveys, censuses	UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality		1	
	reaching the age of five years, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of that period,		Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and			
	expressed per thousand live births.]		WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; Data			
			are available for 196 countries and			
			territories for the period 1990-2014. For			
]			196 countries and territories there are at			
			least two available data points during this			
			time period.			
			time period.			
icator 3.2.2 Neonatal	Il mortality per 1,000 live births (AAA)				1	
	should be: [Neonatal mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)]	Estimates by The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN	UNICEF, WHO, UN Population Division,		1	
UNICEF	should be. [Neonatal mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)]				1	
		IGME) based on data from household surveys, censuses, vital registration	World Bank			
	IN COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	systems, etc.				
	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex				L .	
	No change; [Probability of a child born in a specific year or period dying during the	CRVS, household surveys, modelling	UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality		2	
	first 28 completed days of life, if subject to age-specific mortality rates of that		Estimation (IGME), led by UNICEF and			
	period, expressed per thousand live births.]		WHO, with UNDESA and World Bank; data -			
			Data are available for 191 countries and			
			territories for the period 1990-2014. For			
			186 countries and territories there are at			
			least two available data points during this			
			time period.			
WHO	[Full immunization coverage (DTP3 containing vaccine, measles, all recommended	Household surveys, health facility data	WHO - UNICEF, annual joint reporting;		2	
l l	vaccines)]	Thousehold surveys, nearth radiney data	global database available with data for all		_	
	<u>vaccinesji</u>		countries			
	O and the social action of AIDC to be applied to be a decided to	l	•			
	0, end the epidemics of AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria and neglected tro					
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key		UNAIDS	Tier I		3.2, 3.1, 10.2; is partl
	populations)	consistent modelled estimates	158 countries			overlapping with 6.1 and
			Updated annually			
gested Indicator	TB incidence per 1,000 persons per year	CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global	Tier I		
			database available; annual reporting			
gested Indicator	Malaria incident cases per 1,000 person per year	Household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global	Tier I		
			database available; annual reporting			
gested Indicator	Estimated number of new hepatitis B infections per 100,000 population in a given	Household surveys, health facility data, madling	WHO - data: estimates under	Tier I		
	year		development for all countries;			
icator 3.3.1 HIV incide	dence per 100 susceptible person years (adults, key populations, children, adolescents)(AAA)				
UNAIDS	Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and	Country owned, internationally-consistent modelled estimates	UNAIDS, 158 countries; Updated annually		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
	key populations)]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			. , . , .
li li	The target is "Reducing new HIV infections among adults to below 200,000", but the				1	
	indicator is a case rate - number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population				1 -	
UNICEF	indicator is a case rate - number of new my infections per 1000 susceptible population	1	1			
UNICEF	The proposed indicator is not consistent with the target which is a number is a 200 000					
UNICEF	The proposed indicator is not consistent with the target which is a number, i.e. 200,000					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target.					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target.	Country owned, internationally-consistent modelled estimates	UNAIDS, 158 countries		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNICEF	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.	Country owned, internationally-consistent modelled estimates	UNAIDS, 158 countries		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNICEF UNWOMEN WB	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible]	Country owned, internationally-consistent modelled estimates Household surveys, surveillance, modelling	UNAIDS, 158 countries UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global		1 1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNWOMEN WB WHO	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [\number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"]		,			3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNWOMEN WB WHO	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among		UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global database available; biannual reporting for			3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNWOMEN WB WHO	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among		UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global			3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNWOMEN WB	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons]	Household surveys, surveillance, modelling	UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global database available; biannual reporting for countries, annual for regions and global		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNICEF UNWOMEN WB WHO	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons] [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons		UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global database available; biannual reporting for			3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNWOMEN WB WHO	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons] [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons [age, sex, key populations)]	Household surveys, surveillance, modelling	UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global database available; biannual reporting for countries, annual for regions and global		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
UNICEF UNWOMEN WB WHO UNFPA cator 3.3.2 HIV/AIDS	new infections by 2030. If the indicator remains as a case rate, then replace the word 'susceptible' with 'uninfected population'. Susceptible is ambiguous and subject to multiple interpretations, if all that is intended to mean is 'uninfected population'. OR Simplify the indicator to ["Number of new infections"] so it is in line with the current wording of the target. UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. We suggest changing to [Number of new HIV infections per 1000 susceptible population (by age, sex, and key populations)"] No change; [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons] [Number of new HIV infections per 1,000 person years among susceptible persons	Household surveys, surveillance, modelling	UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global database available; biannual reporting for countries, annual for regions and global		1	3.2, 3.1, 10.2

	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and nossible				
UNICEF	Similar to the comments above, the target is a number, i.e. zero AIDS-related deaths,	and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			1	
UNICEF				ı	1	
1	while the indicator is a case rate. It is not, therefore, clear what the ideal case rate			ı		
1	threshold should be that countries would use for measuring progress. Also need to			ı		
1	clarify whether this will be based on all the population in the country, or only the total			ı		
1	number of people living with HIV, so it is more specific to the intended target			ı		
1	population. Alternatively simplify the indicator to [number of AIDS related deaths'] to			ı		
I	be in line with the wording of the proposed target.			ı		
<u> </u>						
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.				_	2224422
WB	We suggest changing terminology to ["AIDS-related deaths per 100,000 population"]	Country owned, internationally-consistent modelled estimates	UNAIDS, 158 countries	ı	2	3.2, 3.1, 10.2
14/110	No should be transfer and a supplier of a dular and ability or about here did due to	CDVC have hald a second hald facility data and alling	LINIAIDS WILO data all acceptation alabat		2	
WHO	No change; [Estimated number of adults and children that have died due to	CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries; global	ı	2	
1	HIV/AIDS in a specific year, expressed as a rate per 100 000 population]		database available; biannual reporting for	ı		
I			countries, annual for regions and global	ı		
UNFPA	[Fatimeted number of edular and children that have died due to IIII/AIDS in a	CDVC household surveys health facility data modelling	LINAIDS MILO, data, all sountries		2	
UNFPA	[Estimated number of adults and children that have died due to HIV/AIDS in a	CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	UNAIDS, WHO; data - all countries	ı	2	
icator 3.3.3 TB incid	specific year, expressed as a rate per 100,000 population.]					
UNICEF	dence per 1,000 person years (AAA) [TB incidence per 1,000 person years]		1			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.		+		+	
WHO	["TB incidence per 1,000 persons per year"] - No change; Estimated number of new	CRVS household surveys health facility data modelling	WHO: data - all countries: global database		1	
WHU		CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global database	ı l	1	
I	and relapse tuberculosis (TB) cases arising in a given year, expressed as the rate per		available; annual reporting	ı		
Ì	100 000 population. All forms of TB are included, including cases in people living with HIV					
lantan 2.2.4 Nombre	r of TB deaths (AAA)					
						
UNWOMEN WB	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
WB	We suggest changing to [\Number of deaths attributable to tuberculosis (TB) in a			ı		
WHO	given year, expressed as the rate per 100 000 population"]	CDVC have hald a second has been facility above and allian	MIIO dete ell'econtries debel deteles		2	
WHO	No change; [Estimated number of deaths attributable to tuberculosis (TB) in a given	CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global database	ı	2	
2.2.E. Ba-l-ut-	year, excluding HIV-positive TB deaths]		available; annual reporting			
	incident cases per 1,000 person years (AAA)					
UNICEF UNWOMEN	[Malaria incident cases per 1,000 person years] UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
WHO	No change; [Number of confirmed reported malaria cases per 1000 persons per	Household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global database		1	
WHO	year]	Household surveys, health facility data, modelling	available; annual reporting	ı	1	
icator 3.3.6 Malaria	deaths per 100,000 population (AAA)		available, allitual reporting			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
WHO	No change; [Number of adults and children that have died due to malaria in a	CRVS, household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO; data - all countries; global database	 	2	
I	specific year, expressed as a rate per 100 000 population]	errys, nouseriola surveys, nearth facility data, modelling	available; annual reporting	ı	-	
icator 3.3.7 Prevale	ence of hepatitis B surface antigen in children under 5 (BBA)		available, airitidal reporting			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.			$\overline{}$		
WHO	Replace: [Estimated number of new hepatitis B infections per 100,000 population in	Household surveys, health facility data, modelling	WHO - data: estimates under		1	
1	a given year]	Troubenious surveys, meaning data, modelling	development for all countries;		*	
icator 3.3.8 Presence	ce of 13 IHR core capacities for surveillance and response (BBB)		and a countries,			
WHO	Move to 3.d.1			T		Now as indicator 3.d.:
WITU						aicator Jidi.
	[Number of people requiring interventions against neglected tronical diseases]	Household surveys, health facility data, administrative data	WHO - data: all countries		2	
WHO	[Number of people requiring interventions against neglected tropical diseases]	Household surveys, health facility data, administrative data	WHO - data: all countries		2	
WHO					2	
wно rget 3.4 Ву 203	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me	ntal health and well being.	Tier		Interlinkanas
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source	ntal health and well being. Entity		2 Priority	Interlinkages
wно rget 3.4 Ву 203	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me	ntal health and well being.	Tier Tier II		Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source	ntal health and well being. Entity			Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys	ntal health and well being. Entity			Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys	ntal health and well being. Entity			Interlinkages
rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 lility of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys	ntal health and well being. Entity			Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 Illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income.	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN WHO	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN WHO	Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 Illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 Illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory diseases.]	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN WHO icator 3.4.2 Current	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 lility of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory diseases.]	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages
WHO rget 3.4 By 203 ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN WHO icator 3.4.2 Current	Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 Illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 Illity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory diseases.]	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys between ages 30 and 70 (BAA)	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	
ContributorName gested Indicator icator 3.4.1 Probabi UNWOMEN WHO Cator 3.4.2 Current UNWOMEN WHO	80, reduce by one third premature mortality from non-communicable Specification Probability of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease between ages 30 and 70 iiity of dying of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory disease UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income. No change; [Probability of dying between the exact ages 30 and 70 years from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory diseases.] tobacco use among persons 15 years and over (AAA) UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex, age and income.	diseases through prevention and treatment and promote me Source CRVS, household surveys Detween ages 30 and 70 (BAA) CRVS, household surveys	ntal health and well being. Entity WHO; data - all countries		Priority	Interlinkages Now as Indicator 3.a.

ist of Proposa	IIS					
	ition: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ggested Indicator	Coverage of treatment interventions (pharmacological, psychosocial and	Administrative records:	WHO; data - all countries; global	Tier II		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	rehabilitation and aftercare services) for substance use disorders	Annual Report Questionnaire Part II Comprehensive Approach to Drug	database available; regular global			
	Tenaphitation and difference services, 151 substance and disorders	Demand Reduction and Supply as mandated by the Drug Conventions and	monitoring report;			
		compiled annually by UNODC; WHO, Global Information System on Alcohol	UNODC for drug-related treatments, all			
		and Health (GISAH); WHO, ATLAS-SU: Resources for Treatment and				
			countries are mandated to report as a			
		Prevention of Substance Use Disorders	yearly cycle (Response Rate=60-65% of			
			MS)			
	ge of opioid substitution therapy among opioid-dependent drug users (BBB)	_	T			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income.					
WHO	[Percentage of people who suffer from substance abuse disorders receiving	Special surveys; administrative records	UNODC; global database; annual updating		2	
	treatment and care (by substance and type)]					
UNODC	Coverage of treatment interventions (pharmacological, psychosocial and rehabilitation	Administrative records;	WHO; data - all countries; global database		1	
	and aftercare services) for substance use disorders	Annual Report Questionnaire Part II Comprehensive Approach to Drug Demand	available; regular global monitoring report;			
		Reduction and Supply as mandated by the Drug Conventions and compiled	UNODC for drug-related treatments, all			
		annually by UNODC; WHO, Global Information System on Alcohol and Health	countries are mandated to report as a			
		(GISAH); WHO, ATLAS-SU: Resources for Treatment and Prevention of Substance	•			
		Use Disorders	MS)			
1		OSC DISORDERS	1415)			
cator 3.5.2 Covera	ge of interventions for the prevention of substance abuse interventions among people	under 25 (BBB)				
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and income.					
WHO	Replace: [Total alcohol per capita (APC) is defined as the total (sum of recorded APC	Administrative records	WHO; data - all countries; global database		1	
WHO		Administrative records			1	
	three-year average and unrecorded APC) amount of alcohol consumed per adult		available; regular global monitoring report			
	[15+ years) over a calendar year, in litres of pure alcohol]					
UNODC	Coverage of evidence based and evaluated interventions for the prevention of	Annual Report Questionnaire Part II Comprehensive Approach to Drug Demand	UNODC for drug-related prevention, all		2	
	substance use	Reduction and Supply as mandated by the Drug Conventions and compiled	countries are mandated to report as a			
		annually by UNODC; WHO, Global Information System on Alcohol and Health	yearly cycle (Response Rate=60-65% of			
		(GISAH); WHO, ATLAS-SU: Resources for Treatment and Prevention of Substance	MS) and WHO for alcohol			
		Use Disorders	·			
arget 3.6 By 20	20, halve the number of global deaths and injuries from road traffic a	ccidents				
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (age-standardized)	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records	WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration	Tier I		is partly overlapping w
			data collation			11.2
			data - all countries: global database			
			data - all countries; global database			
			available; annual updating, regular global			
Total 201 November			, 6			
	er of deaths due to road traffic accidents (AAA)		available; annual updating, regular global			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.	Donale of Board Cafety	available; annual updating, regular global report			
	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part	Decade of Road Safety	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is	Decade of Road Safety	available; annual updating, regular global report			
UNWOMEN WB	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."]	·	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is	·	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration		1	
UNWOMEN WB	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."]	·	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database		1	
UNWOMEN WB	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (age-	·	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation		1	
UNWOMEN WB WHO	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)]	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report	ve hea		national strategies
UNWOMEN WB WHO urget 3.7 By 20	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (age-	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report	ve heal		national strategies
WHO who wrget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes.	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti		th into	
WHO wget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti	Tier		national strategies :
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproduction of the integration of reproduction of the integration of reproduction of the integration of the integration of reproduction of the integration of the integrat		th into	
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020)."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the	Tier	th into	
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and	Tier	th into	
WHO WRO WHO Operating the state of the st	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the	Tier	th into	
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available	Tier	th into	
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and	Tier	th into	
WHO WRO WHO Operating the state of the st	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available data points.	Tier	th into	
WHO WRO WHO Operating the state of the st	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available data points. 183 countries and territories have data on	Tier	th into	
WHO rget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report and the integration of reproductive in the integration of the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available data points. 183 countries and territories have data on contraceptive prevalence (one	Tier	th into	
WHO who wrget 3.7 By 20 ogrammes. ContributorName	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report , and the integration of reproducti Entity UNDESA, UNFPA; Data are available for 138 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available data points. 183 countries and territories have data on contraceptive prevalence (one component of this indicator); 156	Tier Tier I	th into	
WHO who who who who was a second with the who who work with the whole who was a second with the whole which which we will always a second with the whole which which we will always a second with the whole which which we will always a second with the whole which which will be written as a second with the whole which which which will be written as a second with the whole which which will be written as a second with the whole which which will be written as a second with the whole which will be written as a second with the whole which will be written as a second with the whole which will be written as a second with the whole which will be written as a second will be written as a sec	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. Consider changing to ["Fatalities due to road crashes" (this target is in place as part of the UN global Decade of Action on Road Safety, Note that the target deadline is 2020]."] No change: [Number of road traffic fatal injury deaths per 100 000 population (agestandardized)] 30, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care set Specification Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for	CRVS, household surveys, administrative records rvices, including for family planning, information and education Source	available; annual updating, regular global report WHO and UN Road Safety Collaboration data collation WHO; data - all countries; global database available; annual updating, regular global report and the integration of reproductive in the integration of the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories have at least two available data points. 183 countries and territories have data on contraceptive prevalence (one	Tier Tier I	th into	

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Adolescent birth rate (10-14; 15-19) per 1,000 women in that age group CRVS, household surveys, censuses UNDESA; Data for the adolescent birth 5.3, 5.6 rate (15-19) are available for 225 countries and territories for the period 1990-2014; 223 countries and territories have at least two data points. Data on births to mothers under the age of 15 are available for at least 102 countries and territories for the period 2000-2014, Indicator 3.7.1 Adolescent birth rate (10-14, 15-19) (AAA) UNICEF [Adolescent birth rate (10-14, 15-19)] Household Surveys UNWOMEN UN Women recommends giving priority 1 to indicator 3.7.2 [Demand satisfied with modern contraceptives.] The indicator should be disaggregated by income group, rural/urban location and other context specific factors. WHO Target 5.3 and 5.6 [Annual number of births to women aged 15-19 years per 1,000 women in that age | CRVS, household surveys, censuses UNDESA; Data for the adolescent birth 2 group.] The birth rate among adolescents younger than age 15 is more meaningfully rate (15-19) are available for 225 countries measured for ages 12-14 as births among 10-11 year olds are rare and a rate with and territories for the period 1990-2014; respect to the 10-14 year old population would not correctly reflect the increased risk 223 countries and territories have at least of early childbearing by age. two data points. Data on births to mothers under the age of 15 are available for at least 102 countries and territories for the period 2000-2014. UNFPA [Adolescent birth rate (10-14; 15-19) years per 1,000 women in that age group.] Vital statistics and household surveys (DHS and MICS) UNPD. UNFPA 2 Demand satisfied with modern contraceptives (BBA) ndicator 3.7.2 UNICEF [Demand satisfied with modern contraceptives] Household Surveys WB The global RH community has suggested this. The question is whether it will be easy to track and interpret. [Contraceptive prevalence rate] which is commonly measured in surveys (DHS and MICS) and is MDG indicator is an alternative WHO [Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for Household surveys UNDESA, UNFPA: Data are available for 1 Target 5.6 family planning satisfied with modern methods.] The numerator is the percentage of 138 countries and territories for the period women of reproductive age (15-49 years old) who are currently using, or whose sexual 1990-2014; 90 countries and territories partner is currently using, at least one modern contraceptive method. The have at least two available data points. denominator is the total demand for family planning (the sum of contraceptive 183 countries and territories have data on prevalence (any method) and the unmet need for family planning. contraceptive prevalence (one component of this indicator); 156 countries and territories have at least two data points. UNFPA Household surveys (DHS and MICS) [Percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who have their need for UNFPA, UNPD 1 family planning satisfied with modern methods.] The numerator is the percentage of women of reproductive age (15-49 years old) who are currently using at least one modern contraceptive method. The denominator is the total demand for family planning (the sum of contraceptive prevalence (any method) and the unmet need for family planning. Achieve universal health coverage, including financial risk protection, access to quality essential health-care services and access to safe, effective, quality and affordable essential medicines and vaccines for all. Target 3.8 ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Coverage of tracer interventions (e.g. child full immunization, ARV therapy, TB ousehold surveys and facility data WHO and World Bank: data - all is part of 1.2; partly treatment, hypertension treatment, skilled attendant at birth, etc.) countries; WHO global database for overlapping with 10.4 tracer indicators available; biannual global progress report on UHC, first in Suggested Indicator Fraction of the population protected against catastrophic/impoverishing out-of-Household surveys WHO and World Bank; data - 89 Tier II pocket health expenditure countries; global database under development; biannual global progress report on UHC, first in 2015 Indicator 3.8.1 Fraction of the population protected against impoverishment by out-of-pocket health expenditures (BBB) WB Suggest to consider the following wording: [Financial protection coverage, People experiencing impoverishment due to out-of-pocket health care expenditures (by quintiles), People experiencing catastrophic health expenditures (by quintiles)]

	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,					
WHO	Replace: [Coverage of tracer interventions (e.g. child full immunization, ARV	Household surveys, health facility data	WHO and World Bank; data - all countries;		1	
	therapy, TB treatment, hypertension treatment, skilled attendant at birth, etc.).]		WHO global database for tracer indicators			
	NOTE: Coverage of tracer interventions may include: antenatal care (4+ visits), NTD		available; biannual global progress report			
	preventive chemotherapy, ARV therapy, TB treatment, ITN use; also pneumonia care		on UHC, first in 2015			
	seeking, diarrhoea treatment with ORS+zinc in children, ACT for malaria treatment,		,			
	treatment severe mental illness, coverage emergency obstetrics care, hypertension					
	treatment, diabetes treatment etc. Indicators in other targets also used for monitoring					
	3.8 are skilled birth attendance, immunization coverage, demand for modern					
	contraceptives satisfied, coverage of treatment and care for people who suffer from					
	substance abuse, harmful use of alcohol, air pollution levels, and tobacco use.					
150.4	0 1 50 61 11 11 1 11 11 11 11	h	harrie day day			
IFPA	Replace: [Coverage of tracer interventions (e.g., child full immunisation, ARV	Household surveys, health facility data	WHO and World Bank;		1	
	therapy, TB treatment, skilled birth attendance, etc.).] *Coverage of tracer					
	interventions may include: antenatal care, NTD preventive chemotherapy, ARV					
	therapy, TB treatment, ITN use, also pneumonia care seeking and diarrhoea treatment					
	with ORS+zinc in children; treatment severe mental illness; coverage emergency					
	obstetric care, etc.					
	on of households protected from incurring catastrophic out-of-pocket health expenditure					
ICDF	Alternative Indicator: [Adults who personally paid for health insurance]	Global Findex	World Bank - Data is available for 142		2	
	[Facestial hoolik comition according to the condition of		countries			
В	[Essential health services coverage (promotion and prevention).] This will comprise		1			
	of the following: Women with at least four antenatal care visit during pregnancy (by		1			
	quintile), Contraceptive prevalence rate among women of reproductive age (by		1			
	quintile), Postnatal care visit within two days of birth (by quintile), Children fully					
	immunized (by quintile) Essential health services coverage (treatment and					
	rehabilitation,), Births attended by skilled health personnel (by quintile), Smear-					
	positive tuberculosis treatment-success rate (by quintile), Eligible adults and children					
	currently receiving antiretroviral therapy (by quintile), Children under 5 with fever who					
	are treated with appropriate anti-malarial drugs (by quintile), Under-fives with					
	suspected pneumonia taken to an appropriate health-care provider (by quintile),					
	Under-fives with diarrhoea receiving oral rehydration and continued feeding (by					
	quintile), Children under five years old suffering from stunting (height for age) (by					
	quintile), Non-use of tobacco among age 15 years or more (by quintile), Population					
	using improved drinking-water sources (by quintile), Population using improved					
	sanitation facilities (by quintile)					
	samuelon raumaes (b) quintine)					
/HO	No change: [Fraction of the population protected against	Household surveys	WHO and World Bank; data - 89 countries;		1	
VIII 0	catastrophic/impoverishing out-of-pocket health expenditure]	Trouseriola surveys	1		-	
	catastrophic/impoverishing out-or-pocket nearth expenditure]		global database under development;			
			biannual global progress report on UHC,			
			first in 2015			
NFPA	Replace: [Fraction of the population protection against catastrophic and	Household Surveys	WHO and World Bank;		2	
NICEF	impoverishing out-of-pocket health spending] [Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel]	Household Surveys (will also start producing modelled time series from 2016)	UNICEF and WHO		1	3.7; 3.8
MCEI	[110portion of births attended by skined nearth personner]	Thousehold surveys (will also start producing modelled time series from 2010)	Siviles and wite		-	3.7, 3.0
NICEF	[Proportion of pregnant women who had at least four antenatal care visits]	Household Surveys (will also start producing modelled time series from 2016)	UNICEF and WHO		2	3.7; 3.8
NICEF	[Proportion of children age 12-23 months who received third dose of DPT containing	WHO and UNICEF estimates of national immunization coverage (WUENIC)	UNICEF and WHO		2	3.8
NICEF	[Sumbay and assessment of 104 World Health Assembly March or Chates that year	WILLO and LINICEE actimates of national immunication agrees - (AILIENICS	WILLO and LINICEE		1	
NICEF	[number and percentage of 194 World Health Assembly Member States that reach	WHO and UNICEF estimates of national immunization coverage (WUENIC)	WHO and UNICEF		1	
	>/=90% national coverage for all vaccines in their national immunization schedule,					
	unless otherwise recommended (3 doses of DTP containing vaccine, 3 doses of polio					
	vaccine, 1 dose of MCV for all Member States and BCG for Member States where		1			
	included in the schedule as well as three doses of Hepatitis B vaccine, three doses of					
	Hib vaccine, two or three (depending on vaccine used) doses of PCV, and two or		1			
	three (depending on vaccine used) doses of rotavirus vaccine.]					
NICEF	[Proportion of children under-five sleeping under an insecticide treated bed net]	Household surveys (modelled time series data using program data on nets	UNICEF		1	
NICEF	[Proportion of children with suspected pneumonia who sought care from health	delivered and distributed and household surveys)	UNICEF	+	1	
INICEF	[Proportion of children with suspected pneumonia who sought care from health facility or provider]	Household surveys	UNICEF		1	
INICEF	[Proportion of children with diarrhoea who sought care from health facility or	Household surveys	UNICEF		1	
	provider]		5521		*	
		Household surveys	UNICEF		1	
NICEF	[Proportion of children with diarrhoea who received ORS and Zinc]	Household surveys	ONICE			

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Population in urban areas exposed to outdoor air pollution levels above WHO Administrative records: satellite data WHO; data - 91 countries in global is partly overlapping with guideline values database; biannual updates planned; 6.3. 11.6 and 12.4 OECD also has geospatially-based measures for air pollution exposure with significant granularity at local level. It is rather straightforward to extend country coverage to a global level. Indicator 3.9.1 Population in urban areas exposed to outdoor air pollution levels above WHO guideline values (BBB) UNFP Measured against 2012 baseline (note: Global Burden of Disease 6.2, 6.3, Alternative: [Death and disability (disaggregated by sex and age) from indoor and WHO, and Secretariats of the Basel. outdoor air quality, water/sanitation, and contaminated sites] methodologies). Data on water/sanitation and contaminated sites can be Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions obtained from the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm convention's national National air quality observatories. reports. Data for small particulate matter due to transportation in urban areas. WHO Administrative records; satellite data WHO; data - 91 countries in global No change 1 database; biannual updates planned Target 3.a Strengthen the implementation of the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control in all countries, as appropriate. ContributorName Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Tobacco use among persons 18 years and older Household surveys WHO; data - all countries; global database available; regular global Age-standardized prevalence of current tobacco use among persons aged 18 years reporting WHO [Tobacco use among persons 18 years and older]: Age-standardized prevalence of Household surveys WHO; data - all countries; global database 1 current tobacco use among persons aged 18 years and older available; regular global reporting Support the research and development of vaccines and medicines for the communicable and non-communicable diseases that primarily affect developing countries, provide access to affordable essential medicines and vaccines, in accordance with the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health, which affirms the right of developing countries to use to the full the provisions in the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights regarding flexibilities to protect public health, and, in particular, provide access to medicines for all. ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Proportion of population with access to affordable essential medicines on a acility surveys WHO: data - all countries sustainable basis WHO [Access to affordable essential medicines]: [Proportion of population with access to Facility surveys WHO: data - all countries 1 affordable essential medicines on a sustainable basis] Substantially increase health financing and the recruitment, development, training and retention of the health workforce in developing countries, especially in least developed countries and small island Target 3.c developing States. ContributorName Entity Interlinkages Specification Source Tier Priority Suggested Indicator Health worker density and distribution Census, household surveys, health facility data, administrative systems WHO; data - all countries; global Tier I database available; annual updating [General government expenditure on health as % of GDP]: Current expenditure on WHO National Health Accounts WHO; data - all countries; global database 2 nealth by general government and compulsory schemes (% current expenditure on available; annual updating nealth) WHO [Health worker density and distribution:] Number of health workers per 10000 Census, household surveys, health facility data, administrative systems WHO: data - all countries: global database 1 population (by categories, geographic distribution, place of employment, etc.) available; annual updating Strengthen the capacity of all countries, in particular developing countries, for early warning, risk reduction and management of national and global health risks. Target 3.d ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Percentage of attributes of 13 core capacities that have been attained at a specific Country report and independent assessment WHO; data - all countries; globa database available; regular updati WHO Percentage of attributes of 13 core capacities that have been attained at a specific Country report and independent assessment WHO; data - all countries; global database 1 point in time. The 13 core capacities are: (1) National legislation, policy and financing, available; regular updating (2) Coordination and National Focal Point communications; (3) Surveillance; (4) Response; (5) Preparedness; (6) Risk communication; (7) Human resources; (8) Laboratory; (9) Points of entry; (10) Zoonosis; (11) Food safety; (12) Chemical; (13) Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all Goal 4 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes. arget 4.1 ContributorName Specification Source Tier Priority Interlinkages

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Percentage of children/young people at the end of each level of education achieving Various international (eg PIRLS, PISA, TIMSS), regional learning assessments UNESCO-UIS will form a broad technical 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1 at least a minimum proficiency level in (a) reading and (b) mathematics. (eg LLECE, SACMEQ, PASEC) national and citizen-led learning assessments. group including Member States to 3.3, 3.4, 3.7, 3.c, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are available) develop and maintain measures. 5.b,7.a, 8.6, 8.7, 8.b, 10.2, Data are available at the primary level for about 50 countries from PIRLS and 10.6, 12.8, 13.3, 13.b, 16.a; at lower secondary level for about 70 countries from PISA and 65 countries UNESCO-UIS will compile data from is part of 4.5 from TIMSS. Once the learning scale has been created the existing results can learning assessments conducted by other be reported according to a common scale. This is expected to take 3-5 years to organizations and transform them to the achieve. common learning scale. Indicator 4.1.1 Percentage of children who achieve minimum proficiency standards in reading and mathematics at end of: (i) primary (ii) lower secondary (BAA) UNESCO Various international (eg PIRLS, PISA, TIMSS), regional learning assessments (eg UNESCO-UIS will form a broad technical 1.2. 1.4. 1.5. 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. [Percentage of children/young people at the end of each level of education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (a) reading and (b) mathematics.] LLECE, SACMEQ, PASEC) national and citizen-led learning assessments. Data are group including Member States to develop 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.7, 3.c, 5.3, 5.4 These minimum proficiency levels will be defined with reference to a new universal available at the primary level for about 50 countries from PIRLS and at lower and maintain measures. UNESCO-UIS will 5.5, 5.b, 7.a, 8.6, 8.7, 8.b, compile data from learning assessments 10.2, 10.6, 12.8, 13.3, 13.b, learning scale which is being developed to allow for the calibration of different secondary level for about 70 countries from PISA and 65 countries from TIMSS. assessments according to a common metric. Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth Once the learning scale has been created the existing results can be reported conducted by other organizations and 16 a and others where data are available) according to a common scale. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. transform them to the common learning UNICEF [Percentage of children who achieve minimum proficiency standards in reading and Various international (eg PIRLS, PISA, TIMSS), regional learning assessments (eg UNESCO-UIS will form a broad technical 1 LLECE, SACMEQ, PASEC) and citizen-led assessments. Data are available at the group including Member States to develop mathematics at end of: (i) Grade 2; (ii) primary; and (iii) lower secondary.] UNICEF suggest the inclusion of "grade 2" as a critical stage for monitoring children's learning. primary level for about 50 countries from PIRLS and at lower secondary level for and maintain measures. UNESCO-UIS will Percentage of children/young people at the end of each level of education achieving at about 70 countries from PISA and 65 countries from TIMSS. Once the learning compile data from learning assessments least a fixed level in (a) reading and (b) mathematics. The fixed level will vary according scale has been created the existing results can be reported according to a conducted by other organizations and to the specific learning assessment used as may the age or grade of the pupils covered. common scale. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. transform them to the common learning A new universal learning scale is being developed which will allow for the calibration of scale different assessments according to a common scale. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. The indicator requires the development of a global metric for each subject as a WB reference point to which different assessments (national, regional and international) can be anchored. Assessments at other levels (e.g. Grade 2) could be considered. Indicator 4.1.2 Completion rate (primary, lower secondary, upper secondary) (AAA) UNESCO 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, [Percentage of children/young people aged 3-5 years above the official age for the Household surveys including DHS, MICS, national surveys which collect data on UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter-agency last grade of each level of education who have completed that level. the highest grade/year of education completed. Currently available for c100 low group of experts to develop common 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.7, 3.c, 5.3, 5.4 Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are available)] and middle income countries. Further development work is needed to agree on methodologies for, initially, completion 5.5, 5.b, 7.a, 8.6, 8.7, 8.b, a common indicator methodology and to extend the coverage especially to and participation indicators derived from 10.2, 10.6, 12.8, 13.3, 13.b more developed countries. This is expected to take a further 1-3 years. household surveys, UNESCO-UIS will 16.a compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations. UNICEF [Percentage of children/young people aged 3-5 years above the official age for the Household surveys including DHS, MICS, national surveys which collect data on UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter-agency 2 last grade of each level of education who have completed that level.] the highest grade/year of education completed. Currently available for c100 group of experts to develop common low and middle income countries. Further development work is needed to agree methodologies for, initially, completion on a common indicator methodology and to extend the coverage especially to and participation indicators derived from more developed countries. This is expected to take a further 1-3 years. household surveys. UNESCO-UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. WB This indicator is currently available but work is required to finalise a common methodology and increase the number of surveys available to calculate it. Target 4.2 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education. Interlinkages ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority Source Percentage of children under 5 years of age who are developmentally on track in One possible source is the ECDI from MICS but other sources should be UNESCO-UIS will compile data fron Suggested Indicato health, learning and psychosocial well-being explored in order to ensure that the range of characteristics and their levels ousehold surveys conducted by other is part of 4.5 are relevant in all parts of the world. This is expected to take 3-5 years to organizations.

The ECDI is currently available for about 30 countries.

Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are available)

Indicator 4.2.1 Early Childhood Development Index (BBB)

ist of Proposa	ls					
Note on Disaggrega	ition: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNESCO		One possible source is the ECDI from MICS but other sources should be explored in order to ensure that the range of characteristics and their levels are relevant in all parts of the world. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. The ECDI is currently available for about 30 countries.	UNESCO-UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations.		1	1.4
WB	This indicator is currently tracked via the Early Childhood Development Index available from MICS but work is needed over the next 3-5 years to examine other alternatives, reach consensus and develop a set of questions for use across surveys.					
dicator 4.2.2 Particip	include younger children and hence a broader range of organized learning opportunities: Participation rate in organized learning (from 24 months to the official primary entry age). The indicator is the percentage of children in the given age range	This indicator can be calculated from two different sources: (i) administrative data from schools and other centres of organized learning or (ii) household surveys (eg MICS, DHS, national surveys). The first of these is often limited to formal types of learning and hence may not cover the full range of learning opportunities. It may also double-count children participating in more than one programme in different settings. (The UIS survey currently collects data on both early childhood educational development and pre-primary education by single year of age from 2 years upwards.) The latter may require some adaptation to cover the youngest children and also the full range of learning opportunities. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. Data for the age-group 3 and above is currently available from MICS/DHS for about 60 developing countries.	UNESCO-UIS from administrative sources. UNICEF and others from household surveys. UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter- agency group of experts to develop common methodologies for, initially, completion and participation indicators derived from household surveys. UNESCO- UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations.		2	1.4
UNWOMEN WB	UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. It is necessary to harmonise this indicator across surveys in two areas: (i) age group of reference (e.g. MICS asks question about 3- to 4-year-olds) and (ii) description of programmes (e.g. many surveys may not capture the concept of organized learning).					
,	30, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and qua	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	. ,			
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Participation rate of adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the last 12 months	This indicator is usually calculated from individual level data collected in household surveys. One such source is the European Union's Adult Education Survey covering about 30 countries. Considerable work is required to develop a set of questions to be applied in labour force or other surveys globally. This is expected to take 1-3 years to achieve.	UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter- agency group of experts to develop common methodologies for, initially, completion and participation indicators derived from household surveys. UNESCO- UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations.	Tier II		1.4, 4.4, 5.b, 8.5, 9.2
	ent ratios by level and type of education (TVET and tertiary) (AAA)		T			
UNESCO	<u>I"Enrolment ratios by level and type of education: (a) participation rate of 15-24 year olds in TVET and (b) gross enrolment ratio in tertiary education"</u>] *** [This is really two indicators as the TVET one is now proposed as a net participation rate. Enrolment ratios by level and type of education: (a) participation rate of 15-24 year olds in TVET and (b) gross enrolment ratio in tertiary education (a) the percentage of young people aged 15-24 years participating in technical and vocational education or	These indicators can be calculated from two different sources: (i) administrative data from educational institutions (eg schools, colleges and universities) or (ii) household surveys with specific questions/modules on education and training of those aged 15 years and above. The first of these is often limited to formal types of learning and usually does not cover TVET provided by employers or in other settings then educational institutions. The latter is most easily captured	compile the data collected in household		2	1.4, 3.b, 5.b, 8.5, 8.6, 8. 9.2, 9.5, 10.2, 14.a

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. UNICEF [This is really two indicators as the TVET one is now proposed as a net participation These indicators can be calculated from two different sources: (i) administrative (i) UNESCO-UIS (ii) UNESCO-UIS will rate.] [Enrolment ratios by level and type of education: (a) participation rate of 15data from educational institutions (eg schools, colleges and universities) or (ii) compile the data collected in household 24 year olds in TVET and (b) gross enrolment ratio in tertiary education (a) the household surveys with specific questions/modules on education and training of surveys run by other organizations. percentage of young people aged 15-24 years participating in technical and those aged 15 years and above. The first of these is often limited to formal vocational education or training (in a given time period eg last 12 months) (b) total types of learning and usually does not cover TVET provided by employers or in enrolments of any age in tertiary education expressed as a percentage of the 5-year other settings then educational institutions. The latter is most easily captured age-group immediately following the end of upper secondary education] through surveys of individuals. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. WB Enrolment ratio for tertiary is available. Data are available on technical-vocational enrolment in upper secondary, post-secondary non-tertiary and short-cycle tertiary education. There are difficulties in collecting data by age and TVET in settings other than formal schools/universities. UNESCO New proposal (previously under Target 4.3 but we think it fits better under 4.4): This indicator is usually calculated from individual level data collected in UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter-agency 1 1.4. 4.4. 5.b. 8.5. 9.2 [Participation rate of adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the household surveys. One such source is the European Union's Adult Education group of experts to develop common last 12 months.] The percentage of people in a given age-range (eg 25-64 years) Survey covering about 30 countries. Considerable work is required to develop a methodologies for, initially, completion participating in education or training in the 12 months prior to being interviewed. set of questions to be applied in labour force or other surveys globally. This is and participation indicators derived from Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are available) household surveys. UNESCO-UIS will expected to take 1-3 years to achieve. compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations. UNICEE New proposal (previously under Target 4.4 but we think it fits better under 4.3): This indicator is usually calculated from individual level data collected in UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter-agency 2 [Participation rate in formal and non-formal education and training in the last 12 household surveys. One such source is the European Union's Adult Education group of experts to develop common months.] The percentage of people in a given age-range (eg 25-64 years) participating Survey covering about 30 countries. Considerable work is required to develop a methodologies for, initially, completion in education or training in the 12 months prior to being interviewed set of questions to be applied in labour force or other surveys globally. This is and participation indicators derived from expected to take 1-3 (or 3-5?) years to achieve. household surveys, UNESCO-UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations. By 2030, substantially increase the number of youth and adults who have relevant skills, including technical and vocational skills, for employment, decent jobs and entrepreneurship ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Percentage of youth/adults with ICT skills by type of skill Already collected by ITU. See ITU's response on indicator 5.b.2 for further 5.b, 8.5, 8.6, 8.b, 9.2, 9.c Suggested Indicato International Telecommunications Un Indicator 4.4.1 Participation rate in formal and non-formal education and training in the last 12 months among 25-64 year-olds (BAB) UNESCO Suggest to move this indicator to Target 4.3 as it is a measure of participation not a This indicator is usually calculated from individual level data collected in UNESCO-UIS will convene an inter-agency 1.4, 4.3, 5.b, 8.5, 9.2 measure of skills acquired. [The percentage of people in a given age-range (eg 25-64 household surveys. One such source is the European Union's Adult Education group of experts to develop common years) participating in education or training in the 12 months prior to being Survey covering about 30 countries. Considerable work is required to develop nethodologies for, initially, completion interviewed. Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are set of questions to be applied in labour force or other surveys globally. This is and participation indicators derived from available)] expected to take 1-3 years to achieve. household surveys. UNESCO-UIS will compile data from household surveys conducted by other organizations. UNICEF Suggest to move this indicator to Target 4.3 as it is a measure of participation not a measure of skills acquired. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. W/B Currently data are only available on adult education in European Union countries. Considerable work is required to develop a set of questions to be applied in labour orce or other surveys globally Indicator 4.4.2 Percentage of youth/adults who are computer and information literate (BBB) ILO Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). Currently Alternative indicator: ["Skills mismatch index"]. Justification: Computer and Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: ILO 1 information literacy is a narrow indicator to access the level of skills for employment. calculations only available based on European LFS. skills mismatch index available for 33

details.

Already collected by ITU. See ITU's response on indicator 5.b.2 for further

countries.

(ITU)

International Telecommunications Union

5.b, 8.5, 8.6, 8.b, 9.2, 9.c

The skills mismatch index captures the underutilization or inadequate employment

aspect of jobs.

available)

UNESCO

related to skills by occupation and other variables and therefore captures the decent

["Percentage of youth/adults with ICT skills by type of skill"] *** The name of the

Measuring ICT for Development: Percentage of youth/adults with ICT skills by type of skill. According to UN definitions, youth are in the age group 15-24 years and adults are represented by the population aged 15 years and above. See ITU's response on indicator 5.b.2 for further details. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are

indicator has been modified to better reflect the proposal of the Partnership on

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. UNICEF The name of the indicator has been modified to better reflect the proposal of the Already collected by ITU. See indicator 5.b.2 for further details. ITU Partnership on Measuring ICT for Development: [Percentage of youth/adults with ICT skills by type of skill.] Youth are normally defined as the age group 15-24 years. Adults are normally the population aged 15 years and above. See indicator 5.b.2 for further UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex. UNWOMEN Few surveys (e.g. ICILS) attempt to measure such skills. Major efforts are required to Existing Indicator collected and 4.3, 5.b, 8.2, 8.3 WB mprove global data collection. There is an indicator on Individuals with ICT skills, by maintained by ITU type of skill, by age. By 2030, eliminate gender disparities in education and ensure equal access to all levels of education and vocational training for the vulnerable, including persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples and children Target 4.5 in vulnerable situations. ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source uggested Indicato Parity indices (female/male, urban/rural, bottom/top wealth quintile) for all Same sources and availability as the underlying indicators themselves All equity targets and targe indicators on this list that can be disaggregated Data available for over 100 countries associated with the underlying indicators: covers also 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 4.6 is part of 5.1 Indicator 4.5.1 Parity indices (female/male, urban/rural, bottom/top wealth quintile] for all indicators on this list that can be disaggregated (BBA) UNESCO Same sources and availability as the These indices require no additional data than the specific disaggregations of interest. | Same sources and availability as the underlying indicators themselves. All equity targets and targets They are simply the ratio of the indicator value for one group to that of the other. underlying indicators themselves. associated with the Typically the likely more disadvantaged group is the numerator. A value of exactly 1 underlying indicators indicates parity between the two groups. The indicator is not symmetrical about 1 but a simple transformation can make it so (by inverting ratios that exceed 1 and subtracting them from 2). This will make interpretation easier. In addition, education indicators for with disabilities or in conflict-affected or emergency situations will be monitored in line with efforts to improve coverage. Disaggregations: sex. location. wealth (and others such as disability status or conflict-affected as data become available) UNICEF These indices require no additional data than the specific disaggregations of interest. | Same sources and availability as the underlying indicators themselves. Same sources and availability as the 1 All equity targets They are simply the ratio of the indicator value for one group to that of the other. underlying indicators themselves. Typically the likely more disadvantaged group is the numerator. A value of exactly 1 ndicates parity between the two groups. The indicator is not symmetrical about 1 but a simple transformation can make it so (by inverting ratios that exceed 1 and subtracting them from 2). This will make interpretation easier. Other disaggregations such as by disability status should be added as data become available. UNWOMEN UN Women supports this indicator and It is included as a Tier I indicators (#24) under UIS Data available for over 100 countries NA (would not be the 52 minimum set of gender statistics. appropriate for other targets WB Alternative ideas instead of the parity index may be: [(i) odds ratio; (ii) concentration index; or (iii) least advantaged group (e.g. poorest rural girls) relative to the mean.] In addition, education indicators for people with disabilities will be monitored in line with efforts to improve coverage. By 2030, ensure that all youth and a substantial proportion of adults, both men and women, achieve literacy and numeracy. Target 4.6 ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage of the population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of This indicator is collected via skills' assessment surveys of the adult OECD (PIAAC) 1.2, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.3 World Bank (STEP) proficiency in functional (a) literacy and (b) numeracy skills. nonulation. 3.4, 3.7, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 8.5 8.6, 8.b, 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others where data are available) Currently data are available for 33 mostly high-income countries from PIAAC. UNESCO-UIS will compile the data Similar information is available for (urban areas of) of 13 low- and middlecollected in assessment surveys run by income countries from STEP. other organizations Considerable work is required to develop a cost-effective module that can be integrated into national and international surveys. This is expected to take 3-5 vears to achieve. Indicator 4.6.1 Percentage of youth/adults proficient in literacy and numeracy skills (BAA) UNESCO [Percentage of the population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of | This indicator is collected via skills' assessment surveys of the adult population. | OECD (PIAAC), World Bank (STEP), 1.2. 1.5. 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. 3.1. proficiency in functional (a) literacy and (b) numeracy skills.] According to UN Currently data are available for 33 mostly high-income countries from PIAAC. UNESCO-UIS will compile the data 3.3, 3.4, 3.7, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 definitions, youth are in the age group 15-24 years and adults are represented by the Similar information is available for (urban areas of) of 13 low- and middlecollected in assessment surveys run by 8.5, 8.6, 8.b, 10.2, 12.8, 13.3 population aged 15 years and above. Disaggregations: sex, location, wealth (and others income countries from STEP. Considerable work is required to develop a costother organizations. 13.b, where data are available) ffective module that can be integrated into national and international surveys. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve.

* Note on Disaggre	gation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNICEF	[Percentage of the population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of	This indicator is collected via skills' assessment surveys of the adult population.	OECD (PIAAC), World Bank (STEP).		1	
	proficiency in functional (a) literacy and (b) numeracy skills.] Youth are normally	Currently data are available for 33 mostly high-income countries from PIAAC.	UNESCO-UIS will compile the data			
	defined as the age group 15-24 years. Adults are normally the population aged 15	Similar information is available for (urban areas of) of 13 low- and middle-	collected in assessment surveys run by			
	years and above.	income countries from STEP. Considerable work is required to develop a cost-	other organizations.			
		effective module that can be integrated into national and international surveys.				
		This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve.				
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
WB	While a number of middle-income (STEP) and high-income (PIAAC) countries have					
	assessed literacy skills of adults, a cost-effective tool needs to be inserted in other					
	surveys for use across countries.					
ndicator 4.6.2 Yout	h/adult literacy rate (AAA)					
UNESCO	[Percentage of the population in a given age group able to read with understanding	Household surveys including DHS, MICS, national surveys and censuses which	UNESCO-UIS		2	1.2, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1,
	a simple sentence about their every day life.] According to UN definitions, youth are	collect data on literacy skills. Available regularly (at least once every 5-10 years)	(3.3, 3.4, 3.7, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6,
	in the age group 15-24 years and adults are represented by the population aged 15	but not annually for c160 developing countries but few developed countries				8.5, 8.6, 8.b, 10.2, 12.8, 13.3,
	years and above. Disaggregations: sex and location (and others where data are	collect similar data.				13.b,
	available)					
UNICEF	[Percentage of the population in a given age group able to read with understanding	Household surveys including DHS, MICS, national surveys and censuses which	UNESCO-UIS		2	
	a simple sentence about their every day life.] Youth are normally defined as the age	collect data on literacy skills. Available regularly (at least once every 5-10 years)				
	group 15-24 years. Adults are normally the population aged 15 years and above.	but not annually for c160 developing countries but few developed countries				
		collect similar data.				
UNWOMEN	UN Women supports this indicator and part of it is (Youth literacy rate) included as a					
	Tier I indicators (#20) under the 52 minimum set of gender statistics, but we would like					
	it to be disaggregated by sex.					
Target 4.7 By 2			through education for sustainable	develor	ment	and sustainable

Target 4.7 By 2030, ensure that all learners acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development, including, among others, through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equality, promotion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship and appreciation of cultural diversity and of culture's contribution to sustainable development.

ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
iuggested Indicator	Percentage of 15-year old students enrolled in secondary school demonstrating at least a fixed level of knowledge across a selection of topics in environmental science and geoscience. The exact choice/range of topics will depend on the survey or assessment in which the indicator is collected. Disaggregations: sex and location (and others where data are available)	PISA 2006, administered in 57 countries, estimated an "environmental science performance index." ICCS 2009, which included 38 countries, contains workable items for larger-scale tracking that will require validation in developing world settings. ICCS 2016 will provide globally-comparable data on civic knowledge and engagement, and students' roles in peaceful functioning of schools. Major efforts will be required to develop a tool for use in other surveys. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve.	UNESCO-UIS will compile data from assessments and surveys run by other organizations	Tier III		1.5, 3.d, 11.6, 12.2, 12.8, 13.1, 13.3, 13.b, 15.9
ndicator 4.7.1 Percent	tage of 15- year old students showing proficiency in knowledge of environmental sciency	ce and geoscience (BBB)				
UNESCO	[Percentage of 15-year old students enrolled in secondary school demonstrating at least a fixed level of knowledge across a selection of topics in environmental science and geoscience.] The exact choice/range of topics will depend on the survey or assessment in which the indicator is collected. Disaggregations: sex and location (and others where data are available)	PISA 2006, administered in 57 countries, estimated an "environmental science performance index." ICCS 2009, which included 38 countries, contains workable items for larger-scale tracking that will require validation in developing world settings. ICCS 2016 will provide globally-comparable data on civic knowledge and engagement, and students' roles in peaceful functioning of schools. Major efforts will be required to develop a tool for use in other surveys. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve.	OECD (PISA), IEA (ICCS) UNESCO-UIS will compile data from assessments and surveys run by other organizations		1	1.5, 3.d, 11.6, 12.2, 12.8, 13.1, 13.3, 13.b, 15.9
UNICEF		PISA 2006, administered in 57 countries, estimated an "environmental science performance index." ICCS 2009, which included 38 countries, contains workable items for larger-scale tracking that will require validation in developing world settings. ICCS 2016 will provide globally-comparable data on civic knowledge and engagement, and students' roles in peaceful functioning of schools. Major efforts will be required to develop a tool for use in other surveys. This is expected to take 3-5 years to achieve.	OECD (PISA), IEA (ICCS), UNESCO-UIS will compile data from assessments and surveys run by other organizations		1	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for the indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Only one survey (PISA 2006) attempts to measure such knowledge. Major efforts will PISA (2006) be required to develop a global measurement tool. Defining this indicator via the knowledge of environmental science and geoscience is reaching very high. Instead a simple, standardized test could be designed that looks at basic sustainability concepts, such as: land use (long term productivity ensured); biodiversity (humans coexisting with other species); resource security (water, land, mineral resources); consumption, material flows and recycling (how can I consume and manage my waste with maximum sustainability?); pollution (and how it affects basic resources and ecosystem services); population growth, economic growth (how many people, and how much consumption, can an ecosystem support?); fragility of ecosystems (major threats such as climate change, deforestation, pollution, depletion of resources, collapse of ecosystems – e.g. oceans). Such a standardized test would probably show very precisely which level of awareness the youth of a society has. The adult population is of course another matter. UNFPA Replace with: [Percentage of schools that provided life skills-based HIV and sexuality | Data source: EMIS Annual School Census. UNESCO 1 education] This indicator is in a testing phase, with the infrastructure in place. Proposal is consistent with indicator 28 of the Framework for Action of the Post 2015 Education agenda (draft version 31 March 2015), Annex I (Technical Advisory Group/TAG proposed indicators).] Indicator 4.7.2 Percentage of 13-year old students endorsing values and attitudes promoting equality, trust and participation in governance (CBB) UNESCO [Percentage of 13-year old students enrolled in school supporting a range of values | ICCS 2009, which included 38 countries, has measured such attitudes. Major IEA (ICCS), UNESCO-UIS will compile data 1.5, 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 12.8, 13.3 13.b, 16.1, 16.3, 16.6, 16.7 and attitudes promoting equality, trust and participation in governance.] The exact efforts will be required to develop a tool for use in other surveys. This is from assessments and surveys run by choice/range of values and attitudes will depend on the survey or assessment in which expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. other organizations the indicator is collected. Disaggregations: sex and location (and others where data are available) UNICEF [Percentage of 13-year old students enrolled in school supporting a range of values ICCS 2009, which included 38 countries, has measured such attitudes. Major IEA (ICCS), UNESCO-UIS will compile data 2 and attitudes promoting equality, trust and participation in governance.] The exact efforts will be required to develop a tool for use in other surveys. This is from assessments and surveys run by choice/range of values and attitudes will depend on the survey or assessment in which expected to take 3-5 years to achieve. other organizations the indicator is collected. UNESCO UNWOMEN Alternative proposal: [Percentage of schools that provide life skills-based HIV and Country reports 2 3.7. 5.6 sexuality education.] This indicator is currently proposed as a thematic indicator by Technical Advisory Group on Education. The indicator requires development. An overhaul of the way countries report on this indicator will be required to ensure estimates are better linked to the reality at the school level. UNFPA Replace with: [Countries implementing the framework on the World Programme on (as per UNGA resolution 59/113, and part of the existing accountability OHCHR 2 Human Rights Education Proposal is consistent with indicator 29 of the Framework framework as per Recommendation 1974, part of statutory reporting and with a for Action of the Post 2015 Education agenda (draft version 31 March 2015), Annex I reporting track record.) (Technical Advisory Group/TAG proposed indicators).] Target 4.a Build and upgrade education facilities that are child, disability and gender sensitive and provide safe, non-violent, inclusive and effective learning environments for all Tier Priority ContributorName Specification Source Entity Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage of schools with access to (i) electricity; (ii) Internet for pedagogical The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on school JNESCO-UIS and UNICE 6.1, 6.2, 7.1, 9.c, 17.8 purposes (iii) basic drinking water and (iv) basic sanitation facilities: and (v) basic facilities. Data are currently available on electricity and Internet for c70 handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions) countries and on water and sanitation for c100 countries. Considerable efforts will be required to apply the WASH definitions fully and extend coverage to more countries. This is expected to take 1-3 years. Percentage of schools with access to (i) electricity; (ii) drinking water; and (iii) single-sex sanitation facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions) (BAA) UNESCO Proposed modification: ["Percentage of schools with access to (i) electricity; (ii) The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on school facilities. UNESCO-UIS and UNICEF 6.1, 6.2, 7.1, 9.c, 17.8 Internet for pedagogical purposes (iii) basic drinking water and (iv) single-sex basic Data are currently available on electricity and Internet for c70 countries and on sanitation facilities; and (v) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator water and sanitation for c100 countries. Considerable efforts will be required to apply the WASH definitions fully and extend coverage to more countries. This is definitions)"]. The indicator is the percentage of schools (primary, lower and upper secondary) with each of the facilities listed. Basic drinking water is defined as: A expected to take 1-3 years. functional drinking water source (MDG 'improved' categories) on or near the premises and water points accessible to all users during school hours. Basic sanitation facilities are defined as: Functional sanitation facilities (MDG 'improved' categories) separated for males and females on or near the premises. Basic handwashing facilities are defined as: Functional handwashing facilities, soap (or ash) and water available to girls and boys. Disaggregations: location and, for basic sanitation and handwashing facilities, sex

Note on Proposa	tion. All indicators should be disconnected by any are vasidance (II	(B) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible				
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	•	I			ı
UNICEF	[Percentage of schools with access to (i) electricity; (ii) Internet for pedagogical	The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on school facilities.	UNESCO-UIS and UNICEF		1	
	purposes (iii) basic drinking water and (iv) basic sanitation facilities; and (v) basic	Data are currently available on electricity and Internet for c70 countries and on				
	handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)] The indicator is the	water and sanitation for c100 countries. Considerable efforts will be required to				
	percentage of schools (primary, lower and upper secondary) with each of the facilities	apply the WASH definitions fully and extend coverage to more countries. This is				
	listed. Basic drinking water is defined as: A functional drinking water source (MDG	expected to take 1-3 years.				
	'improved' categories) on or near the premises and water points accessible to all users					
	during school hours. Basic sanitation facilities are defined as: Functional sanitation					
	facilities (MDG 'improved' categories) separated for males and females on or near the					
	premises. Basic handwashing facilities are defined as: Functional handwashing					
	facilities, soap (or ash) and water available to girls and boys					
UNISDR	UNISDR propose ([a) \Number of educational facilities damaged due to disasters"	(a) National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016), (b)	UNISDR		(a) 1,	(a)(b) 9.1, 1.5, 11.5, 1
01113511	and (b) "Number of countries with critical infrastructure protection plan".] Please	SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in	ornos.		(b)2	14.2, 15.3
	see UNISDR input paper attached."	2013)			(6)2	14.2, 13.3
		/				
WB	Could also include \((iv)\) computers for pedagogical purposes]. However, it should be	Existing data collected by UIS	UIS			4.1, 9.1
	noted that considerable work is required to extend the coverage of current data					
	collection efforts to all countries."					
UNFPA	[Percentage of students experiencing bullying, corporal punishment, harassment,	This indicator is part of an existing accountability framework and available	UNESCO			
	violence, sexual discrimination and abuse] [Proposal is consistent with indicator 34 of	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			l	
		Tan ough the Global School-based Student Fledith Survey by Ols-ONESCO			l	
	the Framework for Action of the Post 2015 Education agenda (draft version 31 March					
	2015), Annex I (Technical Advisory Group/TAG proposed indicators). Whereas the				l	
	current indicator addresses physical aspects of an enabling learning environment, the				l	
	proposed additional indicator addresses social and safety aspects, in line with the				l	
	target. Given that the target covers multiple areas, the two indicators together try to				l	
	capture this better than only one.					
	capture this better than only one.					
UNESCO	The indicator is the [percentage of schools (primary, lower and upper secondary)	Major preparatory work will be required to develop an approach on the	Not yet identified		2	1.4, 6.2, 10.210.3
	with adapted facilities and resources designed for those with disabilities.]	assessment of school conditions for people with disabilities. This is expected to				
المتران ويستطيم ويرام مرسوعا						
gner education, inc	luding vocational training and information and communications tech	nology, technical, engineering and scientific programmes, in de	veloped countries and other devel	oping c	ountrie	s.
gner education, inc	luding vocational training and information and communications tech	nology, technical, engineering and scientific programmes, in de	veloped countries and other devel	oping c	ountrie	es.
ContributorName	luding vocational training and information and communications tech Specification	nology, technical, engineering and scientific programmes, in de Source	veloped countries and other developed	oping o	ountrie Priority	Interlinkages
ContributorName				· •		Interlinkages
	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study;	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the	Entity	Tier		Interlinkages
ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns	Entity OECD-DAC;	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b,
ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b,
ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b,
ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b,
ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate.	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b,
ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate.	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing	Tier		Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers.	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC	Tier Tier I	Priority 1	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships.	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC	Tier Tier I	Priority 1	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6
ContributorName tested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships.	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC	Tier Tier I	Priority 1	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to Specification	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least dev	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ries and small island
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to Specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I	1 countr	1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 2.a, 2.a, 2.a, 2.a, 2.a, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 yeloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName sested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 reloping States ContributorName	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 /eloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 reloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) lage of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 20: veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to the substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to the substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to the substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to the substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to the substantial pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv).	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC ping countries, especially least developments.	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13
ContributorName gested Indicator UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 reloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13
ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 20: veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 20: veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB get 4.c By 20: veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator brough international cooperation for teacher training in develor Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13
ContributorName gested Indicator UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 reloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. Se of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)]	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) Lipper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Ping countries, especially least devi	Tier I Tier I Tel open	Priority 1 Countr	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator UNESCO WB get 4.c By 203 reloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)] [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13
ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB Tget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available). [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available). [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the properties of the	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3. 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13.
ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO UNICEF	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)] [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.
ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB Tget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Cator 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. e of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available). [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available). [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the properties of the	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ries and small island
ContributorName gested Indicator Grant 4.b.1 Volume UNESCO WB rget 4.c By 203 veloping States ContributorName gested Indicator Grant 4.c.1 Percent UNESCO UNICEF	Specification Volume of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study; Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships and student costs in donor countries (types of aid E01 and E02). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate. See Of ODA flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (BBB) See OECD-DAC's response for definition of this indicator This indicator only measures some sources of scholarships. 30, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including to specification Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available) age of trained teachers by level of education according to national standards (AAA) [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)] [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)] [Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher (i.e. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)	Source Data are compiled by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development from returns submitted by its member countries and other aid providers. See OECD-DAC's response for sources of this indicator hrough international cooperation for teacher training in develo Source The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries. The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data are currently available for c100 countries. Considerable further work would be required if a common standard for teacher training is to be applied across countries.	Entity OECD-DAC; Data are available for essentially all high-income countries, and for an increasing number of middle-income aid providers. OECD-DAC Entity UNESCO-UIS UNESCO-UIS	Tier I Tier I Tel open	1 Countr Priority	Interlinkages 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 1.a, 2.a, 9.5, 9.b, 10.b, 13.b, 17.2, 17.6 ies and small island Interlinkages 1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2. 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8. 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13

List of Proposal	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNESCO	[Percentage of teachers in (i) pre-primary (ii) primary, (iii) lower secondary and (iv) upper secondary education who have at least the minimum academic qualifications required for teaching at the relevant level or a given subject in a given country. Academic qualifications are most often linked to the subject(s) the teacher teaches. Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are available)]	The indicator can be calculated from administrative sources on teachers. Data at the international level were collected for the first time in 2014 but some further work is required to extend the country coverage. This is expected to take 1-3 years to achieve	UNESCO-UIS		2	1.2, 1.4, 1.a, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.c, 3.d, 5.1, 5.5, 5.b, 8.6, 10.2, 12.8, 13.3, 13.b
ioal 5 Achie	ve gender equality and empower all women and girls					
	forms of discrimination against all women and girls everywhere.					
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Whether or not legal frameworks are in place to promote equality and non-discrimination on the basis of sex	Member State responses to CEDAW, World Bank Women Business and Law Database	Methodology being developed by OHCHR and UN Women. A tentative proposal is that the CEDAW Committee would monitor the indicator as part of their country reporting and review process using a standardized template to assess all countries in a comparable manner.	Tier III		
ndicator 5.1.1 Whethe	er or not legal frameworks discriminate against women and girls, as identified by the Cl	DAW committee (BBB)				
UNWOMEN	Revised proposal: [Whether or not legal frameworks are in place to promote equality and non-discrimination on the basis of sex.] This is a new indicator requiring development. The indicator is a binary indicator (Yes/No). Countries need to report a yes on all of the following questions: 	Member State responses to CEDAW, World Bank Women Business and Law Database	Methodology being developed by OHCHR and UN Women. A tentative proposal is that the CEDAW Committee would monitor the indicator as part of their country reporting and review process using a standardized template to assess all countries in a comparable manner.		1	10.3, 16.b
UNWOMEN	If 5.1.1 is accepted as priority 1 we would suggest dropping 5.1.2 as it is redundant.					
			-			
	ate all forms of violence against all women and girls in the public and					
ContributorName uggested Indicator	Specification Proportion of ever-partnered women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to physical and/or sexual violence by a current or former intimate partner, in the last 12 months	Source The data would come from DHS and other specialized VAW surveys. Included in the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators	Entity The data would be compiled by UNICEF, UN Women and UNSD — around 100 but not fully comparable, UNFPA, WHO.	Tier II	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Proportion of women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to sexual violence by persons other than an intimate partner, since age 15	The data would come from DHS and other specialized VAW surveys. Included in the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators.	The data would be compiled by UNICEF, UN Women, UNSD, UNFPA, and WHO.	Tier II		16.1
dicator 5.2.1 Proport	ion of ever-partnered women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to physical and/or sexua	al violence by a current or former intimate partner, in the last 12 months (BAA)				
UNICEF	[Proportion of ever-partnered women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to physical and/or sexual violence by a current or former intimate partner, in the last 12 months l	Household surveys such as DHS.	Unisex maintains a global database on the issue since 2014. Fully comparable data are available for more than 40 low- and middle-income countries. Additional data (based on slightly different definitions) are available for a number of LAMI and high income countries.		1	
UNWOMEN	This indicator is included as a Tier II indicator under the 52 minimum set of gender statistics endorsed by the Statistical Commission through its decision 44/109. The indicator should be disaggregated by age groups (5 year groups), income, rural/urban location and other context specific factors.	The data would come from DHS and other specialized VAW surveys, not yet compiled by EDGE data portal and Minimum Set of Gender Indicators	The data would be compiled by UNICEF, UN Women and UNSD		1	Can be used to track 16.
WB		DHS	39 countries			
GlobalMigrationWG	[Number of victims of human trafficking per 100,000 persons (5.2 and 16.2).] See full specification in attached meta-data word file	Administrative statistics from the criminal justice system (courts, police, etc.). Current data sources include the UNODC Global Report on Trafficking in Persons, the U.S. Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report; IOM Trafficked Migrants Assistance Database	Ministries of Justice/Interior, Global Migration Group		1	10.7; 16.2

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. [Proportion of ever-partnered women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to physical Household and specialized surveys using WHO methodology. Data available for UN Women, WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and/or sexual violence by a current or former intimate partner, in the last 12 months majority of countries and trends for few of them. (explore expansion to women over 49 years of age)]. While disaggregation is currently limited to ages 15-49, we would advocate for efforts to explore expansion of this measurement to women over 49, for possible consideration in a periodic review of the indicators somewhere down the line. UNFPA could lead this effort Proportion of women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to sexual violence by persons other than an intimate partner, since age 15. (BAA) Indicator 5.2.2 UNWOMEN This is included as a Tier II indicator under the 52 minimum set of gender statistics The data would come from DHS and other specialized VAW surveys, , not yet The data would be compiled by UNICEF, 2 16.1 endorsed by the Statistical Commission through its decision 44/109. compiled by EDGE and Minimum Set of Gender Indicators UN Women and UNSD WB DHS 39 countries UNFPA [Proportion of women and girls (aged 15-49) subjected to sexual violence by persons | Household and specialized surveys using WHO methodology. Data available for |, WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA other than an intimate partner, in the last 12 months (explore expansion to women majority of countries and trends for few of them. over 49 years of age)]. While disaggregation is currently limited to ages 15-49, we would advocate for efforts to explore expansion of this measurement to women over 49, for possible consideration in a periodic review of the indicators somewhere down the line. UNFPA could lead this effort Target 5.3 Eliminate all harmful practices, such as child, early and forced marriage and female genital mutilation. ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married or in a union before age 18 (i.e. | Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. UNICEF maintains a global database on Tier I child marriage) the issue since 2003. Fully comparable Included in the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators data are available for some 117 low- and niddle-income countries. UNICEF is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators. UNFPA. Suggested Indicator Percentage of girls and women aged 15-49 years who have undergone FGM/C, by Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. UNICEF maintains a global database on age group (for relevant countries only) Included in the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators the issue since 2004. Data are available for some 29 low- and middle-income countries where the practice is concentrated. UNICEF is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators. UNFPA Indicator 5.3.1 Percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married or in a union before age 18 (i.e. child marriage) (AAA) UNICEE Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. UNICEF maintains a global database on [Percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married or in a union before age 18 1 (i.e. child marriage)] the issue since 2003. Fully comparable data are available for some 117 low- and middle-income countries. UNICEF is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators. UNWOMEN UNICEF maintains a global database on [Percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married or in a union before age 18 Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. Equal Also relevant for 5.6 the issue since 2003. Fully comparable (i.e. child marriage)] priority data are available for some 117 low- and middle-income countries LINICEE is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators. WB DHS 90 countries UNFPA UNFPA and UNICEF [Percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married or in a union before age 18 Household surveys (DHS and MICS) (i.e. child marriage)] ndicator 5.3.2 Percentage of girls and women aged 15-49 years who have undergone FGM/C, by age group (for relevant countries only) (CBB) UNICEF [Percentage of girls and women aged 15-49 years who have undergone FGM/C, by Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. UNICEF maintains a global database on age group (for relevant countries only)] the issue since 2004. Data are available fo some 29 low- and middle-income countrie where the practice is concentrated. UNICEF is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators.

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Revised formulation: [Percentage of girls and women aged 15-49 years who have Household surveys such as MICS and DHS. UNICEF maintains a global database on Egual undergone FGM/C, disaggregated by age group with a particular focus on 15-19]. the issue since 2004. Data are available for priority Note: monitoring the 15-19 age group will enable focussing on the most vulnerable age some 29 low- and middle-income countrie group and would be a more sensitive measure of the impact of policy interventions. where the practice is concentrated. UNICEF is also the agency responsible for reporting on this indicator as part of the UN expert group on gender indicators. UNFPA [Percentage of girls and women aged 15-19 who have undergone FGM] Household surveys (DHS and MICS) UNFPA, UNICEF Recognize and value unpaid care and domestic work through the provision of public services, infrastructure and social protection policies and the promotion of shared responsibility within the household and Target 5.4 the family as nationally appropriate. ContributorName Interlinkages Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Suggested Indicator Average daily (24 hours) spent on unpaid domestic and care work, by sex, age and 68 countries with TUS data since 2005; UNSD has compiled info on unpaid UN Women and UNSD will monitor. location (for individuals five years and above) work for 51 countries. use surveys data compiled from Included in the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators databases from ECLAC, OECD, UNECE and national statistical offices for 75 Average weekly hours spent on unpaid domestic and care work, by sex, age and location (for individuals five years and above) (CBB) Indicator 5.4.1 UNWOMEN This indicator is included as a Tier II indicator under the 52 minimum set of gender UN Women and UNSD will monitor. Time statistics. Data exists for this indicator exists, coming from several time use surveys. use surveys data compiled from databases Currently we have data disaggregated by sex for a specific age group per survey. Not from ECLAC, OECD, UNECE and national all of the surveys have the data disaggregated by location. In the future we aspire to statistical offices for 75 countries. be collect this data for individuals five years and above, but currently it is not available WB LSMS and LFS (World Bank) 12 countries Indicator 5.4.2 Proportion of households within 15 minutes of nearest water source (BBB) Household surveys (DHS and MICs) UNWOMEN Revised indicator: [Percentage of population using an improved source with a total JMP on WASH would monitor the Target 6.1 2 collection time of 30 minutes or less for a roundtrip including queuing.] indicator. The DHS database (Statcompiler has data available for 60 countries since 2000. MICs data reaches 108 countries since 1994. Ensure women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of decision-making in political, economic and public life. Target 5.5 ContributorName Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Specification Source Suggested Indicato Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments lember States. Included in IPU, Country coverage: all countries with Tier I the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators national parliaments Suggested Indicator Proportion of seats held by women in local governments Member States. Included in UN Women UCLG; Country coverage: All Tier I 16.7 the Minimum Set of Gender Indicators Indicator 5.5.1 Proportion of seats held by women in local governments (AAA) UNWOMEN UN Women proposes that indicator 5.5.1 (local government) and the alternative Member States UN Women UCLG: Country coverage: All 1 16.7 proposal for 5.5.2 (national parliaments) should have equal priority. 5.5.1 is an countries. indicator that will complement data on national parliaments to provide a more complete picture of women's representation in public life at all levels, as the target specifies. There is strong demand for this data from multiple stakeholders, yet no global dataset exists. Methodologies and standards are currently being developed by UN Women and UCLG to enable global comparison of national data. Indicator 5.5.2 Proportion of women who have a say in household decisions (for large purchases, their own health and visiting relatives) (BBB) UNWOMEN Alternative proposal: [Proportion of women in national parliaments] Memher States IPU, Country coverage: all countries with national parliaments Target 5.6 Ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights as agreed in accordance with the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development and the Beijing Platform for Action and the outcome documents of their review conferences. ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Suggested Indicator Proportion of women (aged 15-49) who make their own sexual and reproductive DHS, MICS and other health and household surveys Tier II Suggested Indicator [Proportion (%) of countries with laws and regulations that guarantee all women Member States UNPFA, some baselines available. Tier II 3.7 and adolescents access to sexual and reproductive health services, information and education (official records) ndicator 5.6.1 Percentage of women and girls who make decisions about their own sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights by age, location, income, disability and other characteristics relevant to each country (CBB) UNWOMEN UNPFA, Indicator will be measured Revised indicator: [Percentage of women (aged 15-49) who make their own sexual DHS, MICS and other health and household surveys. and reproductive decisions]. See attached supplementary document. through DHS and MICS covering most of low and middle income countries. In developed countries the indicator will be measured through national household survevs

List of Proposa	ls					
	ition: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNFPA	[Percentage of women (aged 15-49) who make their own sexual and reproductive decisions.] Rationale: This is an indicator measuring specific decisions by women (aged 15-49) on their own sexuality and reproduction. Interviewees will have to provide a "yes" answer to all three questions in order to count as a woman who makes her own sexual and reproductive decisions. The first question looks at the ability to say no to sexual intercourse as a critical condition of sexual autonomy. The second question measures the woman's decision concerning using or not using contraception. The third question measures the woman's decision about reaching sexual and reproductive healthcare for her***see supplementary technical materials attached***	Measurement: Indicator will be measured through DHS and MICS covering most of low and middle income countries. In developed countries the indicator will be measured through national household surveys	UNFPA		1	
	ce of laws and regulations that guarantee all women and adolescents informed choices					
UNWOMEN	Revised indicator: [Proportion (%) of countries with laws and regulations that guarantee all women and adolescents access to sexual and reproductive health services, information and education (official records)]	Member States	UNPFA, some baselines available.		2	3.7
Target 5.a Under	[Proportion (%) of countries with laws and regulations that guarantee all women and adolescents access to sexual and reproductive health services, information and education.] Legal/regulatory frameworks covered by this indicator include laws and regulations that explicitly guarantee: 1. Access to SRH services without third party authorization (from the spouse, guardian, parents or others); 2. Access to SRH services without restrictions in terms of age and marital status; 3. Access by adolescents to SRH information and education. Note: the indicator also measures the absence of laws that prohibit or restrict access to SRH services ***See supplementary technical materials attached*** take reforms to give women equal rights to economic resources, as would laws.		os of property, financial services, in	heritar	cce and	natural resources, in
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Share of women among agricultural land owners by age and location (U/R)	Included in Minimum Set Gender Indicators	FAO and UNSD (EDGE), UN Women	Tier III soon Tier II	THOTICY	merimages
Suggested Indicator	The legal framework includes special measures to guarantee women's equal rights to land ownership and control.	Data for both alternative proposals are available and currently disseminated by FAO. Indeed, some indicators are already available through FAO's Gender and Land Rights Database (see next section). In addition to existing data, FAO is working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the development of the AGRIS toolkit. These are clear indications of the commitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators.	"FAO - FAO has the mandate to collect and disseminate information related to agriculture and is working to monitor legal frameworks related to land tenure, as well as to collect, analyse and disseminate land-related statistics. This applies to both alternative indicators proposed. For the rights-based indicator, data is available for over 80 countries (Gender and Land Rights Database, http://www.fao.org/gender-landrights-database/en/). Data on the proportion of adult women landowners out of total landowners is available for 11 countries: http://www.fao.org/gender-landrights-database/datamap/statistics/en/?sta_id=1162. \"	Tier II		1.4

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. FAO suggests that alternative indicators may be more appropriate to monitor Target Data for both alternative proposals are available and currently disseminated by "FAO - FAO has the mandate to collect 1.4 5.a adequately, compared to the proposals contained in the UN Statistical Division's FAO. Indeed, some indicators are already available through FAO's Gender and and disseminate information related to preliminary list of global SDG indicators. As an alternative to the current indicator on Land Rights Database (see next section). In addition to existing data, FAO is agriculture and is working to monitor legal landowners in this list for target 5.a, FAO proposes the following rights-based working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the frameworks related to land tenure, as well indicator: ["The legal framework includes special measures to guarantee women's new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the as to collect, analyse and disseminate land equal rights to landownership and control"]. The indicator is based on rights and development of the AGRIS toolkit. These are clear indications of the related statistics. This applies to both focuses on the legal framework. This makes it more appropriate and valid to monitor ommitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators. alternative indicators proposed. For the Target 5.a which focuses on legal reform processes. The indicator monitors reforms to rights-based indicator, data is available for guarantee women's equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to ownership over 80 countries (Gender and Land Rights Database, http://www.fao.org/genderand control over land through the use of special measures. More specifically, the landrights-database/en/). Data on the indicator allows for monitoring progress towards gender equity through the adoption of women-specific measures to strengthen women's secure rights to land and other proportion of adult women landowners productive resources. The proposed indicator is supported also by a number of out of total landowners is available for 11 international instruments and, in particular, monitors legal reforms that guarantee countries: http://www.fao.org/genderwomen's land rights and increase their access and ownership of land or other landrights-database/datamap/statistics/en/?sta_id=1162. \" productive resources. The indicator also provides a good indication of governments' efforts to move towards the realization of women's land rights and more gender-equal land tenure. For more information on this indicator, please see the relevant factsheet FAO FAO suggests that alternative indicators may be more appropriate to monitor Target Data for both alternative proposals are available and currently disseminated by FAO - FAO has the mandate to 1 1 4 5.a adequately, compared to the proposals contained in the UN Statistical Division's FAO. Indeed, some indicators are already available through FAO's Gender and collect and disseminate information preliminary list of global SDG indicators. As an alternative to the current indicator on Land Rights Database (see next section). In addition to existing data, FAO is related to agriculture and is working to landowners in this list for target 5.a, FAO proposes the following rights-based working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the monitor legal frameworks related to land indicator: ["The legal framework includes special measures to guarantee women's new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the tenure, as well as to collect, analyse and equal rights to landownership and control". The indicator is based on rights and development of the AGRIS toolkit. These are clear indications of the disseminate land-related statistics. This focuses on the legal framework. This makes it more appropriate and valid to monitor commitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators. applies to both alternative indicators Target 5.a which focuses on legal reform processes. The indicator monitors reforms to proposed. For the rights-based indicator, guarantee women's equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to ownership data is available for over 80 countries and control over land through the use of special measures. More specifically, the (Gender and Land Rights Database, indicator allows for monitoring progress towards gender equity through the adoption http://www.fao.org/gender-landrightsof women-specific measures to strengthen women's secure rights to land and other database/en/). Data on the proportion of productive resources. The proposed indicator is supported also by a number of adult women landowners out of total international instruments and, in particular, monitors legal reforms that guarantee landowners is available for 11 countries: women's land rights and increase their access and ownership of land or other http://www.fao.org/gender-landrightsproductive resources. The indicator also provides a good indication of governments' database/datamap/statistics/en/?sta id=1162. efforts to move towards the realization of women's land rights and more gender-equa land tenure. For more information on this indicator, please see the relevant factsheet. UNWOMEN 1.4, 2.3 Alternative proposal from FAO supported by UN Women: ["The legal framework Data for both alternative proposals are available and currently disseminated by FAO - FAO has the mandate to collect and 1 includes special measures to guarantee women's equal rights to landownership and FAO. Indeed, some indicators are already available through FAO's Gender and disseminate information related to control"]. The indicator monitors reforms to guarantee women's equal rights to Land Rights Database (see next section). In addition to existing data, FAO is agriculture and is working to monitor legal economic resources, as well as access to ownership and control over land through the working to strengthen and improve data collection through efforts such as the frameworks related to land tenure, as well use of special measures. More specifically, the indicator allows for monitoring progress new Guidelines for the World Census of Agriculture (WCA 2020) as well as the as to collect, analyse and disseminate land towards gender equity through the adoption of women-specific measures to development of the AGRIS toolkit. These are clear indications of the related statistics. This applies to both commitment of FAO in sex-disaggregated land indicators. strengthen women's secure rights to land and other productive resources. The alternative indicators proposed. For the proposed indicator is supported also by a number of international instruments and, in rights-based indicator, data is available for particular, monitors legal reforms that guarantee women's land rights and increase over 80 countries (Gender and Land Rights their access and ownership of land or other productive resources. The indicator also Database, http://www.fao.org/genderprovides a good indication of governments' efforts to move towards the realization of landrights-database/en/). Data on the women's land rights and more gender-equal land tenure. For more information on this proportion of adult women landowners indicator, please see the supplementary information. out of total landowners is available for 11 countries: http://www.fao.org/genderlandrights-database/data-

UPU

Proportion of population with an account at a formal financial institution, by sex and age (BBB)

map/statistics/en/?sta_id=1162.

	S	(D) and other decision as a sixting as a selection of a selection				
	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U					
UNCDF	Refine indicator to be a Multi-Purpose Indicator: Adults owning an account either through a financial institution or mobile money provider, disaggregated by income	Global Findex	World Bank - Data is available for 142 countries			Targets 1.4 , 2.3 , 8.10, 10
	level, geography location gender, age and education]					
UNWOMEN	No changes	World Bank Findex	World Bank		2	
UPU	Payment and account services should be ideally distinguished: \\% adults with a	World Bank Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World	World Bank. Data availability: ~ 145		1	
	formal account or personally using a mobile money service in the past 12 months]".	Poll)	countries. Triennial. Available for 2011 and			
	Possible to have a break down by gender, age (i.e. youth) among other categories (e.g.	,	2014.			
	income, rural). Adults: ages 15+. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type					
	of financial institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or					
	the post office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial					
	institution for the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for					
	agricultural products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of					
	receiving wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12					
	months. Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for					
	the Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive					
	money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months."					
	products among a mostic priorie in the past 12 monats.					
140						
WB	Definition for \% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money	World Bank Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World	World Bank. Data availability: ~ 145		1	Indicator 5.a.2 can be use
	service in the past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by gender, age (i.e.	Poll)	countries. Triennial. Available for 2011 and			for 1.4, 2.3, 5.a, 8.10
	youth) among other categories (e.g. income, rural). Adults: ages 15+. Formal account:		2014.			
	account at a bank or at another type of financial institution, such as a credit union,					
	microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post office (if applicable), or a debit card;					
	including an account at a financial institution for the purposes of receiving wages,					
	government transfers, or payments for agricultural products, paying utility bills or					
	school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving wages or government transfers.					
	Account/card ownership within the past 12 months. Mobile money account includes					
	GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the Unbanked (MMU) services in the past					
	12 months to pay bills or to send or receive money along with receiving wages,					
	government transfers, or payments for agricultural products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months."					
	in the past 12 months.					
GlobalMigrationWG		NB! Disaggregate by migratory status				
rget 5.b Enhanc	e the use of enabling technology, in particular information and com	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor		T1	D. i. vite	Interest and the second
<u> </u>	Specification	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source	nen. Entity	Tier Tier II	Priority	Interlinkages
rget 5.b Enhanc		munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor	Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
rget 5.b Enhand ContributorName	Specification	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys.	Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA)	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015	Entity ITU		Priority	
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A	Entity ITU ITU will start data collection at the		Priority	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1,
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA)	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected	Entity ITU ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of		Priority	
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator		Priority	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1,
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName gested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national		Priority	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator		Priority 1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator icator 5.b.1 Individua	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex]	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level.			1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator icator 5.b.1 Individua	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex { AAA } Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex]	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the			1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator licator 5.b.1 Individua	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex { AAA } Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex]	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of			1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator licator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex { AAA } Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex]	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level.		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName gested Indicator icator 5.b.1 Individua	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex { AAA } Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex]	munications technology, to promote the empowerment of wor Source Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national			1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator iicator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB iicator 5.b.2 Individual	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA)	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator licator 5.b.1 Individua ITU UNWOMEN WB	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones]	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level.		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator licator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA)	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015 Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, though household surveys. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU ITU collect data on this indicator from NSOs, annually. By 2015, data for this		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator licator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015 Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, though household surveys. By	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator iicator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB iicator 5.b.2 Individual	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015 Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, though household surveys. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU ITU collect data on this indicator from NSOs, annually. By 2015, data for this		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName ggested Indicator iicator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB iicator 5.b.2 Individual	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015 Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, though household surveys. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries although OECD countries have been collecting data for this indicator for a	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU ITU collect data on this indicator from NSOs, annually. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13.1, 16.10, 17.8
rget 5.b Enhanc ContributorName gested Indicator icator 5.b.1 Individual ITU UNWOMEN WB icator 5.b.2 Individual	Specification Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex als who own a mobile phone, by sex (AAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, by sex] [Individuals who own a mobile phone, by sex, of which share of smart phones] als with ICT skills, by type of skill, by sex (BAA) Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by	Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys. A number of countries already collect this indicator but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Data for the proportion of individuals owning a mobile phone are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys but data will only be collected at the international level as of 2015 Existing data but new at the international level, data to be collected by ITU from 2015 Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, though household surveys. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries although OECD countries have been collecting data for this indicator for a	ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU will start data collection at the international level in 2015. A number of countries already collect this indicator through official surveys at the national level. ITU ITU collect data on this indicator from NSOs, annually. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries although OECD		1	1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 2.c, 11.b, 12.8, 13 16.10, 17.8 1.4, 11.b, 13.1

List of Proposa	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNWOMEN	Correct indicator name: [proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by sex].	Data for the proportion of individuals with ICT skills, by type of skills, by sex are collected by national statistical offices (NSO). By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries although OECD countries have been collecting data for this indicator for a number of years.	ITU collect data on this indicator from NSO, annually. By 2015, data for this indicator were available for only 3 developing countries although OECD countries have been collecting data for this indicator for a number of years.		2	4.3, 4.4
WB	[Individuals with ICT skills, by type of skill, by age]	ITU	ITU, existing indicator		2	4.3, 4.4, 8.2, 8.3
arget 5.c Adopt	and strengthen sound policies and enforceable legislation for the pro	pmotion of gender equality and the empowerment of all wome				
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Percentage of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment	Methodology being developed.	UN Women takes lead in monitoring progress on the indicator. Through its programmes, UN Women is providing technical support to the governments in setting up accountability systems to track gender equality allocations. The indicator is included in UN Women's Strategic Plan (SP) 2014-2017, allows systematic and regular monitoring on the progress. ** Data is available for 35 countries which reported on the indicator in the first round of monitoring. Detailed list of these countries can be found in Table A 6 of 'Making Development Cooperation more Effective: First Progress Report (2014)'.	Tier III		
dicator 5.c.1 Indicato	or to be finalized which will monitor the existence and quality of policies to achieve ger	olov oguslátu / DDD \				
UNWOMEN	Alternative proposal (priority 2): See proposal for 5.1.1		Methodology being developed by OHCHR and UN Women. A tentative proposal is that the CEDAW Committee would monitor the indicator as part of their country reporting and review process using a standardized template to assess all countries in a comparable manner.		2	5.1
dicator 5.c.2 Percent	tage of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality	and women's empowerment (BBB)		l	<u> </u>	
UNWOMEN	This indicator on gender equality promotes government's accountability towards adequate allocation of resources to address gender equality commitments. The indicator measures whether the governments put in place a system to track and make public resource allocations for gender equality. The indicator recognises that governments play a significant role in the achievement of gender equality outcomes by improving the accountability systems and the efficient management of public resources. The indicator is included as one of ten global indicators in the Busan monitoring framework. For the first round of monitoring, which took place in the last quarter of 2013, UN-DOCO coordinated the process through a joint UNCT effort. Focal points and coordinators from within the national governments were designated to collect the data including for the indicator on gender equality. UNDOCO prepared supplementary guidance for UN participation and each UNCT designated its own focal point for participating in the monitoring process. UN Women also took part in the data collection by working closely with the designated government focal points to ensure that reporting on the gender indicator is completed. Once the data collection process was completed, a UNDP-OCED joint support team (JST) analysed the data to prepare the first progress report on the Busan Partnership Agreement. In case of gender indicator, UN Women took lead in analysing the data and compiling the report in collaboration with the OECD-Gendernet. See metadata for more detailed information.	National governments	UN Women takes lead in monitoring progress on the indicator. Through its programmes, UN Women is providing technical support to the governments in setting up accountability systems to track gender equality allocations. The indicator is included in UN Women's Strategic Plan (SP) 2014-2017, allows systematic and regular monitoring on the progress. ** Data is available for 35 countries which reported on the indicator in the first round of monitoring. Detailed list of these countries can be found in Table A 6 of 'Making Development Cooperation more Effective: First Progress Report (2014).		1	17.1
oal 6 Ensur	re availability and sustainable management of water a	and sanitation for all				
	re availability and sustainable management of water a solution of a sustainable management of water a solution of a solution of the solution o					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services Data on use of basic drinking water sources is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on progress in access to drinking water for administrative sources for all developed countries. Data on safety and continuity of supplies are currently available from household surveys and all countries. WHO/UNICEF JMP is currently developing estimates for the administrative sources including regulators for c.100 countries safety and continuity of drinking water services based on available data. Suggested Indicator Average weekly time spent in water collection (including waiting time at public JMP on WASH could monitor this Tier I Additional could also supply points), by sex, age, location and income. indicator. This data is collected in MICS monitor 5.4. and DHS, for over 100 countries. Indicator 6.1.1 Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (AAA) ECE No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by Data on use of basic drinking water sources is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a Use of safely managed WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme on Water Supply and Sanitation (JMP). nousehold surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on drinking water services is Definition: Population using a basic drinking water source (current JMP categories for administrative sources for all developed countries Data on safety and continuity progress in access to drinking water for all relevant to the achievement of supplies are currently available from household surveys and administrative countries WHO/UNICEF JMP is currently mproved drinking water) which is located on premises and available when needed; of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, free of faecal contamination and/or regulated by a competent authority sources including regulators for c.100 countries developing estimates for the safety and 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9 continuity of drinking water services based 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.4, 6.5, on available data. 6.6, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, UNICEF No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by Data on use of basic drinking water sources is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a Use of safely managed WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme on Water Supply and Sanitation (JMP). household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on drinking water services is Definition: Population using a basic drinking water source (current JMP categories for administrative sources for all developed countries. Data on safety and continuity progress in access to drinking water for all relevant to the achievement countries. WHO/UNICEF JMP is currently mproved drinking water) which is located on premises and available when needed; of supplies are currently available from household surveys and administrative of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, ree of faecal contamination and/or regulated by a competent authority sources including regulators for c.100 countries developing estimates for the safety and 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9 continuity of drinking water services based 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.4, 6.5 on available data. 6.6, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 13.1 UNWOMEN Additional proposal: UN Women would like to add another indicator here, Average DHS/MICs JMP on WASH could monitor this Additional could also weekly time spent in water collection (including waiting time at public supply indicator. This data is collected in MICS monitor 5.4. points), by sex, age, location and income.] and DHS, for over 100 countries. WHO No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by Data on use of basic drinking water sources is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a Use of safely managed WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme on Water Supply and Sanitation (JMP). ** household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on drinking water services is progress in access to drinking water for all Definition: Population using a basic drinking water source (current JMP categories for administrative sources for all developed countries. ** Data on safety and relevant to the achievement improved drinking water) which is located on premises and available when needed; continuity of supplies are currently available from household surveys and countries (http://www.wssinfo.org/) ** of targets 1 1 1 2 1 4 1 5 free of faecal contamination and/or regulated by a competent authority administrative sources including regulators for c.100 countries WHO/UNICEF JMP is currently developing 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9 estimates for the safety and continuity of 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.4, 6.5 drinking water services based on available 6.6, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and end open defecation, paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations. Specification ContributorName Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services Data on use of basic sanitation facilities is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a Tier II Use of safely managed household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on sanitation services is administrative sources for all developed countries ** Data on disposal or progress in access to basic sanitation for relevant to the achievemen treatment of excreta are limited but estimates for safe management of faecal all countries (http://www.wssinfo.org/). of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 wastes can be calculated based on faecal waste flows associated with the use ** WHO/UNICEF JMP is working with the 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9 of different types of basic sanitation facility. GEMI initiative to develop global baseline 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.3, 6.4 estimates for safe management of faecal 6.5, 6.6, 8.9, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3 11.5, 13.1 wastes. Indicator 6.2.1 Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (AAA) ECE Data on use of basic sanitation facilities is already available from national WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a Use of safely managed No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by WHO/UNICEF JMP Definition: Population using a basic sanitation facility (current JMP household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from global database and regularly reports on sanitation services is relevan categories for improved sanitation) which is not shared with other households and administrative sources for all developed countries Data on disposal or progress in access to basic sanitation for al to the achievement of where excreta is safely disposed in situ or transported to a designated place for safe treatment of excreta are limited but estimates for safe management of faecal countries WHO/UNICEF JMP is working targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1 disposal or treatment wastes can be calculated based on faecal waste flows associated with the use of with the GEMI initiative to develop global 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9, 4.1

baseline estimates for safe management

of faecal wastes.

4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5

6.6, 8.9, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 13.1

different types of basic sanitation facility.

Note on Disagg	regation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
UNICEF	No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by WHO/UNICEF JMP. Definition: Population using a basic sanitation facility (current JMP categories for improved sanitation) which is not shared with other households and where excreta is safely disposed in situ or transported to a designated place for safe disposal or treatment	Data on use of basic sanitation facilities is already available from national household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from administrative sources for all developed countries. Data on disposal or treatment of excreta are limited but estimates for safe management of faecal wastes can be calculated based on faecal waste flows associated with the use of different types of basic sanitation facility.	WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a global database and regularly reports on progress in access to basic sanitation for all countries. WHO/UNICEF JMP is working with the GEMI initiative to develop global baseline estimates for safe management of faecal wastes.	1	Use of safely managed sanitation services is relev to the achievement of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.3, 6.4, 6.6, 8.9, 10.3, 11.1, 11.1
WB	[Percentage of population whose faecal waste is safely managed]		JMP	1	3.1, 3.2, 3.4
WHO	No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note prepared by WHO/UNICEF JMP ** Definition: Population using a basic sanitation facility (current JMP categories for improved sanitation) which is not shared with other households and where excreta is safely disposed in situ or transported to a designated place for safe disposal or treatment. ** Definition: Population with a handwashing facility with soap and water in the household	Data on use of basic sanitation facilities is already available from national household surveys and censuses for all developing countries and from administrative sources for all developed countries ** Data on disposal or treatment of excreta are limited but estimates for safe management of faecal wastes can be calculated based on faecal waste flows associated with the use of different types of basic sanitation facility.	WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a global database and regularly reports on progress in access to basic sanitation for all countries (http://www.wssinfo.org/). ** WHO/UNICEF JMP is working with the GEMI initiative to develop global baseline estimates for safe management of faecal wastes.	1	Use of safely managed sanitation services is relev to the achievement of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 9, 4.2, 4a, 5.2, 5.4, 6.3, 6.4, 6 6.6, 8.9, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3
UNSD	No change to indicator		However, any methodology developed under the GEMI initiative should be aligned with the SEEA standard which deals with the collection and treatment of wastewater.	1	
	opulation with a hand washing facility with soap and water in the household (BAA)				
ECE	No change to indicator. Definition: Population with a handwashing facility with soap and water in the household	Data on use of hand washing facilities is available from national hh surveys and censuses. Data is currently available for 50-100 developing countries.	WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a global database on the use of handwashing facilities with soap and water in the household	1	Use of handwashing facilit with soap and water is relevant to the achieveme of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1. 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 13.1.
UNICEF	No change to indicator. Definition: Population with a handwashing facility with soap and water in the household	Data on use of hand washing facilities is available from national hh surveys and censuses. Data is currently available for 50-100 developing countries.	WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a global database on the use of handwashing facilities with soap and water in the household	1	Use of handwashing facili with soap and water is relevant to the achievem of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1. 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4a, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 13.1.
WHO	No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note.	Data on use of hand washing facilities is available from national hh surveys and censuses. Data is currently available for 50-100 developing countries.	WHO/UNICEF JMP already maintains a global database on the use of handwashing facilities with soap and water in the household (http://www.wssinfo.org/)	1	Use of handwashing facili with soap and water is relevant to the achievem of targets 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1. 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.6, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 13.1.

Source

Entity

Tier Priority Interlinkages

increasing recycling and safe reuse globally.

Specification

ContributorName

List of Proposal	s					
* Note on Disaggregat	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
Suggested Indicator	Percentage of wastewater safely treated , disaggregated by economic activity	Existing data are available from WHO/UNICEF JMP, FAO-QUASTAT, IBNET and UN-Water GLAAS, as well as population density data, and land-use/ land-cover data from earth observations. New data will come from a variety of sources combining utility and regulator data for off-site systems and potentially household surveys and measured data for onsite systems, supplemented by modelled estimates where no reliable national data exist. Modelled estimates can be generated using JMP data combined with treatment performance in different population density and income settings	Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, a partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the inter-agency monitoring initiative known as GEMI (Integrated Monitoring of Water and Sanitation Related Targets). GEMI is a new coherent monitoring framework, working closely with JMP), an autonomous programme affiliated with UN-Water, to ensure long-term monitoring for the entire SDG 6. The GEMI monitoring initiative in collaboration with WHO/UNICEF JMP will provide baseline estimates for safe management of faecal wastes. Through combined data sources, data is available for at least 85 countries. Less data are available for onsite and industrial.	Tier II		Safe treatment of wastewater is relevant to the achievement of targets 1.4, 1.5, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9, 6.4 8.9, 9.4, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 1.6, 12.4, 13.1, 14.1.
Suggested Indicator	Percentage of receiving water bodies with ambient water quality not presenting risk to the environment or human health	Existing data (direct values) are available from UNEP's GEMS/Water, GEMStat and OECD. Additional information on optical water properties from remote sensing can be used as proxies for sediments and eutrophication/nutrient loading. Measurements would be completed at local laboratories and/or achieved using field measurements on appropriate protocols for sample collection and analysis. For data-poor areas estimates can be generated using existing - in situ - data combined with modelled data and remote sensing information. Data is collected at the scale of the receiving water body basin scale and can be aggregated to the country and regional scale.	UNEP (through GEMS/Water), on behalf of UN-Water A partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). Related to indicator 6.3.2, GEMI will draw upon metadata standards which are already in place, among other sources on pre-existing datasets such as GEMStat and FAO-AQUASTAT.	Tier II		3.3, 8.4, 9.4, 11.5, 12.4, 14.1, 14.2, 15.1
	age of waste water safely treated (BAA)					
ECE	Definition: Proportion of wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources safely treated compared to total wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources. A ladder will define progressive improvement of "safely treated wastewater" from no treatment the highest level of service. Additional comment from ECE Statistical Division: A clear definition of "safely treated wastewater" and classification for the "ladder" is needed for producing statistics. Ideally this is based on the definition of wastewater treatment steps no treatment, preliminary treatment, primary treatment, secondary treatment, tertiary treatment (different levels possible). Statistics exist for several countries.	Existing data are available from WHO/UNICEF JMP, FAO-QUASTAT, IBNET and UN-Water GLAAS, as well as population density data, and land-use/land-cover data from earth observations. New data will come from a variety of sources combining utility and regulator data for off-site systems and potentially household surveys and measured data for onsite systems, supplemented by modelled estimates where no reliable national data exist. Modelled estimates can be generated using JMP data combined with treatment performance in different population density and income settings. Additional comment by ECE Statistical Division: If the indicator is based on treatment levels official statistics collected by Eurostat, UNSD and others could be used.	WHO and UN-Habitat, on behalf of UN-Water Under the UN-Water umbrella, a partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the inter-agency monitoring initiative known as GEMI (Integrated Monitoring of Water and Sanitation Related Targets). GEMI is a new coherent monitoring framework, working closely with JMP), an autonomous programme affiliated with UN-Water, to ensure long-term monitoring initiative in collaboration with WHO/UNICEF JMP will provide baseline estimates for safe management of faecal wastes. Through combined data sources, data is available for at least 85 countries. Less data are available for onsite and industrial treatment.		1	Wastewater safely treated can inform on the status of the following indicators: Target 3.3: water-borne diseases (as it is a conveyor of such). Target 3.9: water pollution (as it is one of the main water pollutants). 6.2: adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene 6.4: water use efficiency and sustainable withdrawals 6.5: Integrated water resource management 9.4: upgrading industrial infrastructure to make them sustainable 11.6: reducing environmental impacts of cities <u+0085>municipal and other waste management Target 12.4: the management of chemicals and wastes (present in wastewater). 13.1 resilience to climate related hazards and natural disasters Target 14.1: the status of marine</u+0085>

* Note on Disaggre	gation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
UNEP	Multi-purpose indicator: Proportion of population resilient/robust in urban and rural areas to environmental pollutants and hazardous chemicals]	National Reports under the Basel Convention with regard to the accidents involving transboundary movements of hazardous and other wastes. Stockholm Convention: (i) (Global Monitoring Plan, which also collects data on POPs in air, human milk, blood, and water).	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention		Applies to targets 3.9 , and 11.2, 12.4
UNICEF	Definition: Proportion of wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources safely treated compared to total wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources. A ladder will define progressive improvement of "safely treated wastewater" from no treatment the highest level of service	Existing data are available from WHO/UNICEF JMP, FAO-QUASTAT, IBNET and UN-Water GLAAS, as well as population density data, and land-use/ land-cover data from earth observations. New data will come from a variety of sources combining utility and regulator data for off-site systems and potentially household surveys and measured data for onsite systems, supplemented by modelled estimates where no reliable national data exist. Modelled estimates can be generated using JMP data combined with treatment performance in different population density and income settings	WHO and UN-Habitat, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, a partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the inter-agency monitoring initiative known as GEMI (Integrated Monitoring of Water and Sanitation Related Targets). GEMI is a new coherent monitoring framework, working closely with JMP), an autonomous programme affiliated with UN-Water, to ensure long-term monitoring for the entire SDG 6. The GEMI monitoring initiative in collaboration with WHO/UNICEF JMP will provide baseline estimates for safe management of faecal wastes. Through combined data sources, data is available for at least 85 countries. Less data are available for onsite and industrial	1	
UNWTO					target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism
WB	Note: all channels of waste should be considered (including septic tanks, open defecation, industries) but not mining or agriculture (diffuse sources of pollution). Measured in BOD			1	12.4
WHO	No change to indicator. For further details see statistical note. ** Definition: Proportion of wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources safely treated compared to total wastewater generated both through domestic and industrial sources. ** A ladder will define progressive improvement of "safely treated wastewater" from no treatment the highest level of service.	Existing data are available from WHO/UNICEF JMP, FAO-QUASTAT, IBNET and UN-Water GLAAS, as well as population density data, and land-use/land-cover data from earth observations. ** New data will come from a variety of source combining utility and regulator data for off-site systems and potentially household surveys and measured data for onsite systems, supplemented by modelled estimates where no reliable national data exist. ** Modelled estimates can be generated using JMP data combined with treatment performance in different population density and income settings.	WHO and UN-Habitat, as part of an interagency monitoring initiative known as GEMI (Integrated Monitoring of Water and Sanitation Related Targets). (http://www.unwater.org/gemi/en/) ** GEMI is a new coherent monitoring framework, working closely with JMP. ** Through combined data sources, data is available for at least 85 countries. Less data are available for onsite and industrial treatment.	1	Safe treatment of wastewater is relevant to the achievement of targets 1.4, 1.5, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 3.9, 6.4, 8.9, 9.4, 10.3, 11.1, 11.3, 11.5, 1.6, 12.4, 13.1, 14.1.
UNSD	No change to indicator	The SEEA will provide robust statistics on the generation of wastewater by different sectors and treatment of said wastewater in the long-term. It is important that the data collected by the OECD/Eurostat and UNSD/UNEP questionnaire is made fully SEEA compliant.	However, the System of Environmental Economic Accounts provides a standard methodology to measure this indicator, and monitoring initiatives should align to the standard over time.	1	
Indicator 6.3.2 Perc	I entage of receiving water bodies with ambient water quality not presenting risk to the en	vironment or human health (CBB)		ı	

ist of Proposal	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ECE	Definition: Proportion of receiving water bodies with ambient water quality not presenting risk to the environment or human health compared to all receiving water bodies. Water quality is estimated through a water quality index (WQI), compiling a core set of parameters: total dissolved solids (TDS); percentage dissolved oxygen (%DO); dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN); dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP); and Escherichia coli (E. coli). The GEMStat Index approach is used to calculate the index, in which measured parameter values are compared to guideline values (proximity to target approach). The actual parameters as well as guideline values can be adapted to local conditions. WQI ranges from 0 (very bad water quality) to 100 (excellent water quality). Further information will be provided in forthcoming metadata notes for targets 6.3-6.6	Existing data (direct values) are available from UNEP's GEMS/Water, GEMStat and OECD. Additional information on optical water properties from remote sensing can be used as proxies for sediments and eutrophication/nutrient loading. Measurements would be completed at local laboratories and/or achieved using field measurements on appropriate protocols for sample collection and analysis. For data-poor areas estimates can be generated using existing - in situ - data combined with modelled data and remote sensing information. Data is collected at the scale of the receiving water body basin scale and can be aggregated to the country and regional scale.	UNEP (through GEMS/Water), on behalf of UN-Water A partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). Related to indicator 6.3.2, GEMI will draw upon metadata standards which are already in place, among other sources on pre-existing datasets such as GEMStat and FAO-AQUASTAT.		1	This indicator can inform the following targets: Targ 3.3: water-borne diseases coil). Target 8.4: decoupli progress and resource efficiency and effects or ambient WQ Target 9.4 progress in technology ar process transitions towar sustainability and innovati Target 11.5: risk for people be prone to water relate disasters (linked to poor W Target 12.4: outcome of t management of chemica and wastes (water quality Targets 14.1 & 14.2: progr in receiving coastal water and estuaries pollution, management and restorat efficiency. Target 15.1: the status of freshwater ecosystems.
UNEP	[Water quality Index]	http://www.bipindicators.net/wqib as well as Stockholm Convention: (i) (Global Monitoring Plan, which also collects data on POPs in air, human milk, blood, and water).	GEMS/Water (Indicator under the BIP) and Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention			
UNWTO						target 8.9 and 12.b:
ONWIO						sustainable tourism
WB	[Nitrate level of surface water and groundwater measured in a representative		UNEP		1	15.1, 15.8
arget 6.4 By 2030 Offering from waters	 <u> number of points </u> substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and enserging. 	l nsure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater to add	ress water scarcity and substantial	y redu	ce the n	umber of people
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	
ggested Indicator	Percentage change in water use efficiency over time.	The indicator can be calculated using existing datasets from FAO-AQUASTAT on water withdrawals in different sectors, together with datasets on value generation from National Accounts Main Aggregates (UNSD), World Energy Outlook (International Energy Agency), World Bank demographic datasets, WaterStat Database (Water Footprint Network) and IBNET (the International Benchmarking Network for Water and Sanitation Utilities). The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust	FAO, on behalf of UN-Water A partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). Data on efficiency are available for all countries. Data for baseline year will be	Tier I		This indicator informs o the following targets: 2.4 8.4, 9.4 12.2, 12.3, 15.1

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Percentage of total available water resources used, taking environmental water Existing data are available from FAO-AQUASTAT. EWR data are presently not FAO, on behalf of UN-Water. A partial Target 15.1: This indicator requirements into account (Level of Water Stress) collected by AQUASTAT, but many feasible methods are available for monitoring framework is already in place provides information on the countries that do not already have good institutional arrangements in place to currently being finalized under the GEMI level of pressure on freshwater ecosystems collect this data on their own. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while monitoring initiative under the UN-Water capacity is being developed. The System of Environmental-Economic umbrella. As one of the sources for GEMI. FAO-AQUASTAT data are available for all Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the long-term but is currently only being compiled by a countries, with a track record select number of countries. Data are collected at the scale of the river (incomplete) starting in 1960. basin/aquifer and can be aggregated to the sub-national, national and regional scales Indicator 6.4.1 Water Stress (BAA) IFAD Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): [Percentage of total Existing data are available from FAO-AQUASTAT. EWR data are presently not FAO, on behalf of UN-Water, A partial Target 15.1: This indicator available water resources used, taking environmental water requirements into collected by AQUASTAT, but many feasible methods are available for countries monitoring framework is already in place, provides information on the account (Level of Water Stress).] Definition: the ratio between total water that do not already have good institutional arrangements in place to collect this currently being finalized under the GEMI level of pressure on monitoring initiative under the UN-Water freshwater ecosystems withdrawals (use) by all sectors and available water resources, taking environmental data on their own. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while capacity is water requirements (EWR) into account. This indicator is also known as water umbrella. As one of the sources for GEMI being developed. The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA. withdrawal intensity. The indicator builds on MDG indicator 7.5 and also accounts for 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the AO-AQUASTAT data are available for all ountries, with a track record (incomplete EWR and includes both groundwater and surface water withdrawals. It is proposed to long-term but is currently only being compiled by a select number of countries. classify the level of water stress in three main categories: low, high and very high. The Data are collected at the scale of the river basin/aquifer and can be aggregated starting in 1960. thresholds for the indicator could be country specific, to reflect differences in climate to the sub-national, national and regional scales. and national water management goals. Alternatively, uniform thresholds could be proposed using existing literature on water stress and water scarcity (e.g. high stress is when more than 40 % of total available water resources is used, very high stress when more than 80 % of total available water is used). FAO Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): [Percentage of total available Existing data are available from FAO-AQUASTAT. EWR data are presently not FAO, on behalf of UN-Water, A partial Target 15.1: This indicator water resources used, taking environmental water requirements into account (Level | collected by AQUASTAT, but many feasible methods are available for countries monitoring framework is already in place, provides information on the of Water Stress) that do not already have good institutional arrangements in place to collect this currently being finalized under the GEMI level of pressure on Definition: the ratio between total water withdrawals (use) by all sectors and available data on their own. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while capacity is monitoring initiative under the UN-Water freshwater ecosystems water resources, taking environmental water requirements (EWR) into account. This being developed. The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, umbrella. As one of the sources for GEMI. indicator is also known as water withdrawal intensity. The indicator builds on MDG 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the FAO-AOUASTAT data are available for all indicator 7.5 and also accounts for EWR and includes both groundwater and surface long-term but is currently only being compiled by a select number of countries. countries, with a track record (incomplete) water withdrawals. It is proposed to classify the level of water stress in three main Data are collected at the scale of the river basin/aguifer and can be aggregated starting in 1960. categories: low, high and very high. The thresholds for the indicator could be country to the sub-national, national and regional scales. specific, to reflect differences in climate and national water management goals. Alternatively, uniform thresholds could be proposed using existing literature on water stress and water scarcity (e.g. high stress is when more than 40 % of total available

water resources is used, very high stress when more than 80 % of total available water

is used).

	gation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	, ,	I and the state of		T =
ECE	Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): Percentage of total available water resources used, taking environmental water requirements into account (Level of Water Stress) (Old wording: Water Stress) *** Definition: the ratio between total water withdrawals (use) by all sectors and available water resources, taking environmental water requirements (EWR) into account. This indicator is also known as water withdrawal intensity. The indicator builds on MDG indicator 7.5 and also accounts for EWR and includes both groundwater and surface water withdrawals. It is proposed to classify the level of water stress in three main categories: low, high and very high. The thresholds for the indicator could be country specific, to reflect differences in climate and national water management goals. Alternatively, uniform thresholds could be proposed using existing literature on water stress and water scarcity (e.g. high stress is when more than 40 % of total available water is used). Additional comment by ECE Statistical Division: Important are the clarification of terminology and concepts: a) Terminology: In Water Statistics and SEEA Water Accounting the term "use of water" is NOT a synonym for water withdrawal. It is a different concept. B) Concept of the proposed indicator: It is suggested to make a clear distinction between a Water Exploitation Index (annual water abstraction (or withdrawal) in relation to renewable freshwater resources (proposed is to use Long Term Annual Average figures for it) and a water consumption index (where water consumption is defined as the difference between water abstraction and water returns). An index only based on water abstraction will also include non-consumptive uses, such as run-through cooling etc. which only have a small impact on the overall water balance. It is also suggested to define how in-situ uses and hydropower use of	Existing data are available from FAO-AQUASTAT. EWR data are presently not collected by AQUASTAT, but many feasible methods are available for countries that do not already have good institutional arrangements in place to collect this data on their own. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while capacity is being developed. The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the long-term but is currently only being compiled by a select number of countries. Additional comment by ECE Statistical Division: Water Statistics (even if not compiled in form of SEEA-Water Accounts, provide an important data source). They are e.g. available at National Statistical Offices, Eurostat, UNSD. Data are collected at the scale of the river basin/aquifer and can be aggregated to the sub-national, national and regional scales.	FAO, on behalf of UN-Water A partial monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). As one of the sources for GEMI, FAO-AQUASTAT data are available for all countries, with a track record (incomplete) starting in 1960.	1	Target 15.1: This indicator provides information on the level of pressure on freshwater ecosystems
UNWTO	water are to be included or excluded in the definition of water abstraction.				target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism
	r Productivity (BBB)				
IFAD	Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): "Percentage of change in water use efficiency over time". Definition: this indicator tracks change in water use efficiency over time for major sectors, including energy, industry, agriculture, and drinking water supply. The unit for efficiency can vary between the sectors, e.g. revenue in dollars for industry, energy production in kWh for energy or in kcal for agriculture. Sectoral efficiencies are aggregated in a single indicator through the use of weighting coefficients proportional to each sector's share of total water withdrawal/consumption.	Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the long-term but is currently only being compiled by a select number of countries. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while capacity is being developed, so that the indicator could be calculated for all countries immediately. The indicator provides an aggregated measure of overall change in productivity across sectors, but it is built on sectoral data and is therefore relevant to each of the sectors	monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). Data on efficiency are available for all countries. Data for baseline year will be used to track progress in successive years.	1	This indicator informs on the following targets: 2.4, 8.4, 9. 12.2, 12.3, 15.1.
FAO	Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): "Percentage of change in water use efficiency over time". Definition: this indicator tracks change in water use efficiency over time for major sectors, including energy, industry, agriculture, and drinking water supply. The unit for efficiency can vary between the sectors, e.g. revenue in dollars for industry, energy production in kWh for energy or in kcal for agriculture. Sectoral efficiencies are aggregated in a single indicator through the use of weighting coefficients proportional to each sector's share of total water withdrawal/consumption.	The indicator can be calculated using existing datasets from FAO-AQUASTAT on water withdrawals in different sectors, together with datasets on value generation from National Accounts Main Aggregates (UNSD), World Energy Outlook (International Energy Agency), World Bank demographic datasets, WaterStat Database (Water Footprint Network) and IBNET (the International Benchmarking Network for Water and Sanitation Utilities). The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the long-term but is currently only being compiled by a select number of countries. Modelled data could be used to fill in gaps while capacity is being developed, so that the indicator could be calculated for all countries immediately. The indicator provides an aggregated measure of overall change in productivity across sectors, but it is built on sectoral data and is therefore relevant to each of the sectors	monitoring framework is already in place, currently being finalized under the GEMI monitoring initiative under the UN-Water umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). Data on efficiency are available for all countries. Data for baseline year will be used to track progress in successive years.		This indicator informs on th following targets: 2.4, 8.4, 9 12.2, 12.3, 15.1.

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): [Percentage of change in The indicator can be calculated using existing datasets from FAO-AQUASTAT on FAO, on behalf of UN-Water A partial This indicator informs on the water use efficiency over time] (Old wording: Water Productivity) *** Definition: this water withdrawals in different sectors, together with datasets on value monitoring framework is already in place, following targets: Target 2.4: indicator tracks change in water use efficiency over time for major sectors, including generation from National Accounts Main Aggregates (UNSD), World Energy currently being finalized under the GEMI the water aspect of energy, industry, agriculture, and drinking water supply. The unit for efficiency can Outlook (International Energy Agency), World Bank demographic datasets, monitoring initiative under the UN-Water resources use efficiency in vary between the sectors, e.g. revenue in dollars for industry, energy production in WaterStat Database (Water Footprint Network) and IBNET (the International umbrella (see description under 6.3.1). agriculture Target 8.4: water kWh for energy or in kcal for agriculture. Sectoral efficiencies are aggregated in a Benchmarking Network for Water and Sanitation Utilities). The System of Data on efficiency are available for all use efficiency in different single indicator through the use of weighting coefficients proportional to each sector's Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA, 2012) will provide robust countries. Data for baseline year will be ectors Target 9.4: water use share of total water withdrawal/ consumption. Additional comment by ECE Statistical withdrawal and consumption based statistics in the long-term but is currently used to track progress in successive years efficiency in the different Division: A "Percentage of change in water use efficiency over time" would give much only being compiled by a select number of countries. Additional comment by sectors (municipal water ECE Statistical Division: Water Statistics (even if not compiled in form of SEEAefficiency - status of water better values for countries with poor water use efficiencies as there is high potential supply infrastructure, for improvement. For countries who have already achieved a high degree of water use Water Accounts, provide an important data source). They are e.g. available at efficiency the change over time will be much smaller than for countries having still high National Statistical Offices. Eurostat, UNSD, Modelled data could be used to fill industrial efficiency - use of clean and environmentally potential for improvement. It is therefore suggested to compare both, the change over in gaps while capacity is being developed, so that the indicator could be time, but also the actual water use efficiency by economic activity (ISIC Division level). calculated for all countries immediately. The indicator provides an aggregated sound processes). Target Also regional differences, in particular in relation to agriculture and different climatic measure of overall change in productivity across sectors, but it is built on 12.2: water use efficiency in sectoral data and is therefore relevant to each of the sectors. the different sectors Target conditions, are to be considered 12.3: This indicator (disaggregated) informs on water use efficiency in drinking water supply (net losses). Target 15.1: the use of inland freshwater ecosystems and their services UNWTO target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism IUCN Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN recommends use of the ["Natural Water Capital Index"] here. Target 6.5 By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation as appropriate ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Degree of integrated water resources management (IWRM) implementation (0-100) Data for 134 countries are available from UNEP-DHI (e.g. Suggested Indicate JNEP, on behalf of UN-Wate This indicator directly http://www.unepdhi.org/rioplus20 (see data file zip link) – full data available Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI underpins all the other nonitoring initiative (see further water and sanitation relate Data are collected through the use of national IWRM questionnaires (one per information and description under 6.3.1) goals and targets, as it will draw on UNEP-DHI data, which are informs about the Means o country), measuring both qualitative and quantitative aspects of IWRM. This approach has been successfully applied to measure the status of IWRM for the available for 134 countries. This can be Implementation for SDG 6 Commission on Sustainable Development in both 2008 and 2012 (Rio+20). used to provide a baseline for technical targets. The Results can easily be disaggregated to give a more nuanced picture of status measurements indicator can thus be The UN World Water Assessment both at national and regional (transboundary) levels. employed to support Programme (WWAP) initiated a project in reporting on targets 6.a and 2014 to develop a methodology for 6.b. and be further gender-disaggregated data collection and complemented by the UN Water Global Analysis and produce gender-sensitive indicators. In November 2014, the Gender-Assessment of Sanitation Disaggregated Indicators presented by and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) WWAP were officially endorsed by the for WASH-related issues. African Ministers' Council on Water Target 1.b, Target 11.b. (AMCOW). AMCOW officially committed to "establish national targets and a monitoring and evaluation framework for each of the seven pillars of the AMCOW gender policy and strategy, including sexdisaggregated indicators in the African context following guidelines developed by WWAP, by 2016."

Indicator 6.5.1 Status of IWRM Implementation (BBB)

List of Proposal	ls				
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
ECE	Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): Degree of integrated water resources management (IWRM) implementation (0-100)] (Old wording: Status of IWRM Implementation) *** Definition: this indicator defines the extent to which integrated water resources management (IWRM) is implemented, by describing (1) the extent to which an enabling environment for IWRM (policy, strategic planning, legal framework and financing) has been established, (2) the structure and performance of an institutional framework to support IWRM processes, and (3) the degree to which management instruments/tools are applied. Issues relating to gender, governance, ecosystems, capacity, and transboundary aspects of water management are included. Status of implementation can be described as a percentage and as stages in a process, ranging from not developed to fully implemented (0 to 100 %). Calculations are based on a statistical analysis of national questionnaires (one per country).	http://www.unepdhi.org/rioplus20 (see data file zip link) - full data available on request). Data are collected through the use of national IWRM questionnaires	UNEP, on behalf of UN-Water Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring initiative (see further information and description under 6.3.1), will draw on UNEP-DHI data, which are available for 134 countries. This can be used to provide a baseline for measurements. The UN World Water Assessment Programme (WWAP) initiated a project in 2014 to develop a methodology for gender-disaggregated data collection and produce gender-sensitive indicators. In November 2014, the Gender-Disaggregated Indicators presented by WWAP were officially endorsed by the African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW). AMCOW officially committed to "establish national targets and a monitoring and evaluation framework for each of the seven pillars of the AMCOW gender policy and strategy, including sex-disaggregated indicators in the African context following guidelines developed by WWAP, by 2016."	1	This indicator directly underpins all the other wat and sanitation related goa and targets, as it informs about the Means of Implementation for SDG technical targets. The indicator can thus be employed to support reporting on targets 6.a ar 6.b, and be further complemented by the UN Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation a Drinking-Water (GLAAS) fc WASH-related issues. Targ 1.b: This indicator informs the existence of sound poli frameworks to support accelerated investments i poverty eradication action Target 11.b.
WB	[Percentage of basins/catchments with mechanisms for stakeholder involvement in WRM decisions/water allocation]				
ECE Availabi	Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): [Percentage of transboundary basin areas with operational arrangements for integrated management in place] (Old wording: Availability of operational arrangements for transboundary basin management) *** Definition: proportion of surface area of transboundary basins (both surface and groundwater) that have an operational agreement/arrangement or institution for transboundary water cooperation in management, compared to total surface area of transboundary basins. For the cooperation framework to be considered as "Operational", it requires that there are regular meetings of the riparian countries to discuss the integrated management of the water resource and to exchange information.	A global database exists of freshwater treaties and international river basin organizations, as well as several regional ones, e.g., for the Pan-European region the second Assessment under the Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes (Water Convention). A global baseline comparative assessment of transboundary waters, including river basins (286) and 166 aquifers in 90 countries, has been undertaken by the Transboundary Waters Assessment Project (TWAP, completed in 2014), involving generation of geo-referenced datasets. Basin level data can be disaggregated to country level (for national reporting) and aggregated to regional and global level.	UNECE (as Secretariat for the Water Convention) and UNEP, on behalf of UN-Water Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring initiative will provide a basis for monitoring proposed indicator 6.5.2 under the leadership of UNEP, UNECE and UNESCO-IGRAC (Integrated Groundwater Resources Assessment Centre) for this indicator (see 6.3.1 for further description on GEMI). UNECE acts as Secretariat for the Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes (the "Water Convention"). Reporting on transboundary water cooperation is currently being developed under the Water Convention. Spatial data (delineating transboundary basins) are available for all known (286) transboundary basins. Data available at global level on the 120 international river basin organisations.	2	Target 1.b: This indicator informs on the existence of sound policy frameworks a regional and international levels, based on pro-poor ar gender-sensitive development strategies to support accelerated investments in poverty eradication actions Target 11.b: This indicator informs on the existence of integrated policies and plan for transboundary water management.
arget 6.6 By 202	0, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountain	ns, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes.			

List of Proposal		(D) and other elementaristics or relevant and result			
	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U Percentage of change in wetlands extent over time	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. The indicator uses the existing Living Planet Index methodology for data collection and analysis. Data are compiled and disseminated through the Ramsar Convention's "State of the World's Wetlands and their Services" (SoWWS) reports which are overseen by its Scientific and Technical Review Panel. The data originates from multiple sources including national reports submitted to the Ramsar Convention, published scientific papers and, increasingly, through analysis of remote sensing data. Currently, 169 Parties regularly report on trends in wetlands to the Ramsar Convention. Other data sources enable fully global coverage. The data can be disaggregated by wetland type: for example, for lakes, floodplains, coastal wetlands or artificial/constructed wetlands. Wetland area is most accurately estimated through manual digitalization of aerial or satellite images, a methodology that in the coming years will be advanced by remote sensing. Supplementary information comes through scientific papers and national reports. Heterogeneous datasets are considered to be acceptable, if not desirable: change in extent will still be captured and heterogeneous datasets allow for more discrete analysis by wetland type, location and region.	CBD and UNEP, on behalf of UN-Water Assessments are undertaken by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, in collaboration with CBD (including the biodiversity indicators partnership) and UNEP, through the GEMI monitoring initiative. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework in place under the SoWWS (see description of GEMI under 6.3.1). Baseline data are available at the global level. Historical records are available for some regions and wetlands types from the 1700's. The baseline assessment will be 2015 (first SoWWS report) with remote sensing data using 1970 as the baseline year. Currently, 169 Parties regularly report on trends in wetlands to the Ramsar Convention. Other data sources enable fully global coverage.	Tier II	This indicator can inform of the following targets: Targe 9.1 and 9.2, Target 11.7, Target 11.6, Target 11.7, Target 12.2, Target 12.4, Target 13.1, Target 14.1, Target 14.2 and 14.5, Target 15.1, 15.2 and 15.3
ndicator 6.6.1 Change i	in wetlands extent over time (% change over time) (BBB) Proposed new wording (the definition does not change): [Percentage of change in wetlands extent over time] *** Definition: Change in total wetland area over time (% change/year). The Ramsar broad definition of "wetland" is used, which includes rivers and lakes, enabling three of the biome types mentioned in the target to be assessed wetlands, rivers, lakes - plus other wetland types.	The indicator uses the existing Living Planet Index methodology for data	CBD and UNEP, on behalf of UN-Water Assessments are undertaken by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, in collaboration with CBD (including the biodiversity indicators partnership) and UNEP, through the GEMI monitoring initiative. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework in place under the SoWWS (see description of GEMI under 6.3.1). Baseline data are available at the global level. Historical records are available for some regions and wetlands types from the 1700's. The baseline assessment will be 2015 (first SoWWS report) with remote sensing data using 1970 as the baseline year. Currently, 169 Parties regularly report on trends in wetlands to the Ramsar Convention. Other data sources enable fully global coverage.		This indicator can inform or the following targets: Targe 9.1 and 9.2, Target 11.5, Target 11.6, Target 11.7, Target 12.2, Target 12.4, Target 13.1, Target 14.1, Target 14.2 and 14.5, Targe 15.1, 15.2 and 15.3
UNEP	[Wetland Extent Trends (WET) Index, an adaptation of the Living Planet Index (LPI) of species population abundance proposed for target 15.5.] The WET Index presents proportional change in extent over time against a baseline value of 1 (baseline year = 1970 but can be varied). Currently this is the only global indicator of wetland extent available. Methodology and first global and regional results submitted for peer reviewed publication (also used by the CBD Secretariat as a contribution to GBO-4 in 2014, and the Ramsar Secretariat in various State of the World's Wetlands assessment and communication materials produced in 2015). Quality of the index is dependent upon the underlying database of wetland change time series from which it is derived. This can be enhanced over time with more recent (and more representative) time series data and the use of large scale remotely sensed data.	Database of individual wetland extent time series harvested from the literature	Methodology developed by, and global database currently held by, UNEP-WCMC working in collaboration with the Ramsar Secretariat (Indicator under the BIP)		The WET index is directly relevant to Target 15.1 and 15.5; A coastal and marine wetland cut of the WET Inde would be relevant for Targe 14.2

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Proposed additional/alternative indicator: "Change in wetlands extent" focuses solely | Data sources: Protected Planet (http://www.protectedplanet.net/) for Responsible entities and national 15.1 (and disaggregated on area, and so is a poor measure of whether the most important places for protected areas data; Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC, BirdLife versions for other targets) (http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/site) and Alliance for Zero Extinction sites biodiversity are protected. IUCN suggests complementing this with an indicator of International, AZE. Available globally since "Coverage by protected areas of freshwater sites of particular importance for http://www.zeroextinction.org/) for Key Biodiversity Areas data; indicator 1950s, and can be disaggregated to biodiversity"], using Key Biodiversity Areas to identify these. The indicator is used by developed by Butchart et al. (2012) PLoS ONE 7(3): e32529. national and regional levels. the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 11 http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays). UNSD Percentage change in wetlands extent over time As the SEEA Experimental Ecosystem 1 Accounts is being established, the Ecosystem Unit (EU) described in the SEEA EEA Technical Guidance 2015 provides at the framework for classifying wetland assets. The wetland EU can be tailored to country needs and be linked to condition assessments and wetland ecosystem services. The wetland EU can be adapted to both international (Ramsar) and national systems of wetland classifications By 2030, expand international cooperation and capacity-building support to developing countries in water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes, including water harvesting, desalination, water efficiency, wastewater treatment, recycling and reuse technologies ContributorName Source Entity Interlinkages Tier Priority ODA for water and sanitation related activities and programme OFCD-DAG OFCD-DAG Support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management Interlinkages ContributorName Specification Entity Tier Priority NA Goal 7 Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all arget 7.1 By 2030, ensure universal access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services Entity ContributorName Specification Tier Priority Interlinkages Source uggested Indicator Percentage of population with electricity access (%) World Bank (as part of SE4All Tier I uggested Indicator Percentage of population with primary reliance on non-solid fuels (%) ndicator 7.1.1 Percentage of population with electricity access (%) (AAA) UNICEF [Percentage of population with electricity access] UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by location and income. WB Indicators 7.1.1 and 7.1.2 are solid and fit for purpose. However, we would like to note that there is scope to improve these indicators over time to capture important dimensions of energy access such as the reliability and affordability of service, which are highlighted in the formulation if SDG7. A large consortium of agencies co-led by WB and IEA is currently working on a more sophisticated multitier methodology, which is not yet available, but which may - over time - be able to contribute to the improvement of these basic access measures ndicator 7.1.2 Percentage of population with primary reliance on non-solid fuels (%) (BAA) UNICEF [Percentage of population with primary reliance on non-solid fuels (%)] UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by location and income. WB Solid and fit for purpose By 2030, increase substantially the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix arget 7.2 ContributorName Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages uggested Indicator Renewable energy share in the total final energy consumption (%) Tier I ndicator 7.2.1 Renewable energy share in the total final energy consumption (%) (AAA) UNWTO target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism WB Solid and fit for purpose LINSD Share of energy from renewable sources in net domestic energy use The SEEA Central Framework and the SEEA Energy provide standard UNSD/OECD methodology for calculating this indicator. The EA methodology should be evaluated against the standard. ndicator 7.2.2 Enabling legislation and framework for renewable energy production established by 2020 (BBA)

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. ndicator 7.2.2 is not really an indicator of renewable energy outcomes, but rather a measure of the effort that policy makers are putting into creating a regulatory environment for renewable energy. While this is important and valuable, we do not feel it belongs as an SDG indicator. Target 7.3 By 2030, double the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Rate of improvement in energy intensity (%) measured in terms of primary energy and GDP ndicator 7.3.1 Rate of improvement in energy intensity (%) measured in terms of primary energy and GDP (AAA) UNWTO target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism UPU WB Solid and fit for purpose UNSD Ratio of value added to net domestic energy use, by industry. Energy productivity indicators defined as value added generated over net UNSD 1 domestic energy use. Such indicator can be calculated at the aggregate economy-wide level, as well as by industry and by primary energy source. Composite Energy Efficiency Improvement Index built up of sub-indicators measuring transport energy efficiency, industrial energy efficiency, power generation energy efficiency, buildings energy efficiency and agricultural energy efficiency (CBA) Indicator 7.3.2 IFAD MODIFIED: [Composite Energy Efficiency Improvement Index built up of sub-The transport sector is a major user of fossil fuels, and a major emitter of The Global Fuel Economy Initiative keeps indicators measuring average fuel economy of vehicles in litres per 100 kilometre, greenhouse gasses. CO2 emissions are growing more rapidly than any other track of this and publishes a report every 2 energy efficiency, industrial energy efficiency, power generation energy efficiency, sector - set to go from one quarter today to one third by 2050. By measuring vears, http://www.fiafoundation.org/ourbuildings energy efficiency and agricultural energy efficiency] the average fuel economy we can measure the overall CO2 emissions of the work/global-fuel-economy-initiative/abou global fleet. A number of global fora - IPCC, G20, SE4ALL, GFEI, have adopted a target of at least doubling the efficiency of the average vehicles / the global fleet, which would save 2GT CO2e/ year by 2050 UNWTO target 8.9 and 12.b: sustainable tourism LIPLI The Universal Postal Union, with postal and logistics networks heavily involved in The Universal Postal Union produces a Annual Carbon Inventor for the postal As indicated by UNEP: the Global Fuel transportation, supports the following modification introduced by UNEP: composite operators of its 192 member countries. This inventory covers postal activities Economy Initiative keeps track of this and Energy Efficiency Improvement Index built up of sub-indicators measuring average under scope 1, 2 and 3, including energy consumption for transports and publishes a report every 2 years. fuel economy of vehicles in litres per 100 kilometre, energy efficiency, industrial energy buildings at country, regional and global level. As indicated by UNEP: the http://www.fiafoundation.org/ourwork/global-fuel-economy-initiative/abou efficiency, power generation energy efficiency, buildings energy efficiency and transport sector is a major user of fossil fuels, and a major emitter of agricultural energy efficiency. greenhouse gasses. CO2 emissions are growing more rapidly than any other gfei ** UPU data availability: 130 countries on an annual basis since sector - set to go from one quarter today to one third by 2050. By measuring the average fuel economy we can measure the overall CO2 emissions of the 2010/2011 global fleet. A number of global fora - IPCC, G20, SE4ALL, GFEI, have adopted a target of at least doubling the efficiency of the average vehicles / the global fleet, which would save 2GT CO2e/ year by 2050 WB Indicator 7.3.2 is valuable at pointing to the need to measure underlying energy input IEA IFA to output measures in different sectors. Unfortunately, the data needed to implement such an indicator is only available for a handful of countries. However, as input to composite indicator, ["Fuel Economy on New Light Duty Vehicles"] is available for major countries, regions and the globe By 2030, enhance international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technology, including renewable energy, energy efficiency and advanced and cleaner fossil-fuel technology, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technology ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Improvement in the net carbon intensity of the energy sector (GHG/TFC in CO2 UNFCCC (or GHG data derived from the Suggested Indicator energy data above using the IPCC Indicator 7.a.1 Improvement in the net carbon intensity of the energy sector (GHG/TFC in CO2 equivalents) (BBA) Indicator 7.a.1 is useful insofar as the carbon intensity of energy production is a measure that paints a much broader picture of the environmental sustainability of the energy sector, going beyond renewable energy to capture nuclear power as well as lower carbon fossil fuels. ndicator 7.a.2 Amount of Foreign Direct Investment and Financial transfer for these purposes (BBB) WB ndicator 7.a.2 focuses primarily on financing, which is an input variable, and we doubt he necessary data would be available. By 2030, expand infrastructure and upgrade technology for supplying modern and sustainable energy services for all in developing countries, in particular least developed countries and small island developing Target 7.b States ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages

State 2.5.1 May of prevenental to energy productivity (the product collect address of the pages among of committee of the page among of committ	List of Proposa	ls					
Section 2.3.3 The first of previousness to energy productivity (the amount of consonic adjust abstract for a give amount of foreign commentation (and a spiral productive primer) arrange ground primer among growth primer among	* Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all suprementations from the season of th	uggested Indicator	Ratio of value added to net domestic energy use, by industry.	domestic energy use. Such indicator can be calculated at the aggregate	UNSD	Tier I		7.3
deficiency, a energy productively and exemption of the control of			ven amount of energy consumption). (BBA)				
Account 7.2.2 Processing of international coaperation product being implemented for fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation 7.3.2 agreements of fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation 7.3.2 agreements of fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation 7.3.2 agreements of fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement for fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement for fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement in the fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement in the fellitest access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement in the felling of the clean of the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement in the felling of the clean of the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation agreement in the felling of the clean of the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation access to the clean of the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation access to the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation access to the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation access to the clean access to clean every (BB) If activation access to the clean acce	WB	,					
Indicator J. J. 2 again refers to financing, which is an impart rather than an outsut. We also those dools were institled data would be wisible. As attenuity exposed that coals the considered would for a block a trace data on a data than the coals of the coal and the coal and the coal of th	UNSD	Ratio of value added to net domestic energy use, by industry.	domestic energy use. Such indicator can be calculated at the aggregate	UNSD		1	7.3
and to have doubts whether suitable data acoust be available and an enterplace of dean energy technologies by lower strong countries. The could be considered would be to be at trade data on expitate of dean energy technologies by lower strong countries. The indirect of dean energy technologies by lower strong countries. The language of dean energy technologies by lower strong countries. The language is the strong of th			ean energy (BBB)				
Second control of the property of the property of the propose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator (3.1) for short run and real time give variations; on the price will be a strength of the proxy indicator (3.1) for short run and real time give variations; on the price will be a strength of the proxy indicators (3.1) for short run and real time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicators (3.1) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0)	WB	also have doubts whether suitable data would be available. An alternative approach that could be considered would be to look at trade data on uptake of clean energy technologies by lower income countries. Good data is available on the extent if clean energy imports and the existence of tariffs and non-tariff barriers. These indicators successfully capture whether or not countries have access to technologies. This approach is put forward in the SE4ALL Global Tracking Framework					
Second control of the property of the property of the propose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator (3.1) for short run and real time give variations; on the price will be a strength of the proxy indicator (3.1) for short run and real time give variations; on the price will be a strength of the proxy indicators (3.1) for short run and real time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicators (3.1) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes and values of global excoming transactions (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0) variations; volumes (2.0) for short run and real-time (6.0)	Cool C Drove	ata sustainad inclusius and sustainable assumanis sus	l	t work for all			
ContributorName Specification Source Source Source Source Priority Interfiniages					loveler	ad cour	tries
Constitution Cons	raiget 6.1 Sustai	in per capita economic growth in accordance with national circumsta	nices and, in particular, at least 7 per cent gross domestic produ	ict growth per annum in the least t	revelop	eu coui	itties
Constitution Cons	ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
INDEP The purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator. If it is purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator. If it is purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator. If it is purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by country (domestic and/or international artisational spotial and parcels data from tracking reliable real time proxy indicator. If it is purpose would be considered to cover international artisactional (2) for short-run and real-time price variations: on-line protein index by country (one billion prices project).** Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we wealth index (CBB) Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.35. Individual we were the surper specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could provide the start of accessing real-time data. Delete. The target 17.35. Individual we were the surper specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could provide the target 17.35. Individual we were the surper specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could provide the surpe	Suggested Indicator						
UPU The purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator. (1) for short-run and real-time DPV variations: volume and values of global e-commerce transactions by country for short-run and real-time price variations: on-line price variations as well) (2) Aiready potentially available through MIT One billion prices project.\(^*\)." Volume	_	r capita, PPP (AAA)					
The purpose would be to strengthen this indicator by contributing to build up a reliable real time proxy indicator (1) for short-run and real-time Opt variations: volumes and values of global e-commerce transactions by country (domestic and/or international reasctions) (2) for short-run and real-time opt variations: on-line princy domestic analysis indicator by country. 1			W/DI http://data.u.ovidbank.ovg/indicator/AIV CDD DCAD DD CD				
ILO Delete. The target specifies the GDP measurement. Alternative measurement could be considered under target 17.19. National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities. UNEP Intumber and % of subnational regions experiencing 7% per annum GDP growth.] National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities. UNEP/140 1 1.3, 1.5, 9.2, 11.5, 12.2, 17.19		reliable real time proxy indicator: (1) for short-run and real-time GDP variations: volumes and values of global e-commerce transactions by country (domestic and/or international transactions) (2) for short-run and real-time price variations: on-line price index by country	system in real-time, gathering several billions records every year on each international e-commerce transaction (the system could be expanded to cover domestic transactions as well) (2) Already potentially available through MIT	collaboration with UN Global Pulse and UNSD Comtrade (on-going project of testing the proxy within the UN Global Working Group on Big Data for Official Statistics). Data availability: ~170 countries. Real-time data. Available since 2010 in terms of volumes (partial archives back to 1999). Availability of values for most countries starting in 2016-17 (2) MIT. Evolving number of countries available. Potential of accessing real-time		1	
be considered under target 17.19. UNCDF [Number and % of subnational regions experiencing 7% per annum GDP growth.] UNEP UNEP UNEP Mational statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities. UNEP Mational statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities. UNEP UNEP UNEP UNEP/Inclusive wealthindex.org/#the-world-wants-to-know-how-its-doing UNEP/140 1 1.3, 1.5, 9.2, 11.5, 12.2, 17.19 UPU VICTION _		T	T	1			
UNEP ContributorName Specification Spe		be considered under target 17.19.					
Number	UNCDF	[Number and % of subnational regions experiencing 7% per annum GDP growth.]					
UPU	UNEP			UNEP/140		1	
capita among the bottom 40 percent of the population and the total population.""] Note this is similar to Target 10.1 as proposed below. arget 8.2 Achieve higher levels of economic productivity through diversification, technological upgrading and innovation, including through a focus on high-value-added and labour-intensive sectors ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages uggested Indicator Growth rate of GDP per employed person GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household surveys. ILO GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data 1 GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data 1	UPU					2	27.23
ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Luggested Indicator Growth rate of GDP per employed person Bousehold surveys. Growth rate of GDP per employed person (AAA) LIC GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages WB and ILO Tier Interlinkages		capita among the bottom 40 percent of the population and the total population.""] Note this is similar to Target 10.1 as proposed below.		on high-value-added and labour in	tensive	sector	
uggested Indicator Growth rate of GDP per employed person GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household surveys. Growth rate of GDP per employed person (AAA) GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data 1							
ILO GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data 1	ContributorName Suggested Indicator		GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on			Priority	Interlinkages
		rate of GDP per employed person (AAA)	GDP figures based on National Accounts and employment figures on Household surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data available for 124 countries.		1	

ication in terms of products and markets (BBB) a. The focus of the target is clearly on increasing productivity levels. ber / value of investment projects in each region.] itative: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, oncluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal lative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	Already constructed by UNEP-ETB for 128 countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index In, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share		1 Priority	of micro-, small- and Interlinkages 8.5, 8.8
ication in terms of products and markets (BBB) a. The focus of the target is clearly on increasing productivity levels. ber / value of investment projects in each region.] itative: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, oncluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal lative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	(province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
e. The focus of the target is clearly on increasing productivity levels. ber / value of investment projects in each region.] native: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, or ncluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separation indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	National statistics disaggregated by territorial division at the first level (province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
e. The focus of the target is clearly on increasing productivity levels. ber / value of investment projects in each region.] native: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, or ncluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separation indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	(province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
e. The focus of the target is clearly on increasing productivity levels. ber / value of investment projects in each region.] native: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, or ncluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separation indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	(province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
ber / value of investment projects in each region.] rative: [Share of environmental goods in total exports] relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, on cluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	(province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovatio Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, concluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal tative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to valiable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	(province, state, governorate etc.). Statistics from major cities Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovatio Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, on cluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. sate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separate in the indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture with the indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture]. Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Constructed based on UNCOMTRADE and OECD and APEC lists decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier	rowth o	Interlinkages
relopment-oriented policies that support productive activities, on cluding through access to financial services Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. sate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separate in the indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture with the indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture]. Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	countries for the work on the Green Economy Progress Index on, and encourage the formalization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier		Interlinkages
Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal tative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier		Interlinkages
Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal tative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier		Interlinkages
Specification of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separal native indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.		Priority	
of informal employment in non-agriculture employment by sex. ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separativative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.		Priority	
ate (openings as % of employment and openings) and total separations (separative indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	tions as % of employment) in non-farm establishments (BBB)	Share of informal employment available for 62 countries.	Tier II		8.5, 8.8
native indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the					
native indicator: [Share of informal employment in non-agriculture yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the		Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Share			
yment by sex.] Justification: As the target promotes formalization, it is key to vailable information on this aspect to address policies. Moreover, the indicator es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1	8.5, 8.8
es certain continuity to the former indicator on vulnerable employment in the		of informal employment available for 62		_	
		countries.			•
					1
. The initial proposed indicator is not available for most countries and it has not					•
greed or used internationally yet.					•
0.24 in any invalentable CDD it is not your foreible to collect the					
posed, 8.3.1. in our view should be CBB – it is not very feasible to collect the					•
= '' '					•
					1
					1
					•
ith a loan or line of credit (CBB)					
e. Access to financial services is measured in other targets.					
Indicator	Enterprise Surveys	World Bank - Data is available for 135 countries		2	Target 9.3.2
native: [Share of environmental patents in total patents]	WIPO	Data available for 123 countries		1	
rther details, see http://www.enterprisesurveys.org. Firm size levels are 5-19	World Bank Enterprise Surveys	World Bank. Data availability: ~135		2	8.3 and 9.3. Can potentially
, 20-99 (medium), and 100+ employees (large-sized firms).		developing economies, every 3-4 years,			be used for 5.a if broken
		starting in 2006			down by \ownership by
					gender\"."
		wth from environmental degradati	on, in a	accordai	ice with the 10-year
Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
rce productivity.	- Statistical surveys and administrative data on material use and value added	- UNEP/International resources panel is	Tier II		9.4, 12.1, 12.2
	collected from the national statistics office	responsible for policy application of data			
		but not on the data collection and			
		dissemination per se.			
		- UNIDO: Data are partially available for			
		international reporting			
		, ,			
		·			
ational material efficiency (production and consumption approaches) (CBB)					
		International Resource Panel		1	
thin the state of	d indicator. The core element of this target is 'supportive policies' related to the concurship and SME development (which may lead to job creation, but for the proposed indicators will be very hard to measure). It may be more to use Doing Business DTF for "starting a Business" a loan or line of credit (CBB) Access to financial services is measured in other targets. dicator sive: [Share of environmental patents in total patents] ther details, see http://www.enterprisesurveys.org. Firm size levels are 5-19 (20-99 (medium), and 100+ employees (large-sized firms). essively, through 2030, global resource efficiency in consump in sustainable consumption and production, with developed consumption and production, with developed consumption are productivity.	d indicator. The core element of this target is 'supportive policies' related to meurship and SME development (which may lead to job creation, but for the proposed indicators will be very hard to measure). It may be more to use Doing Business DTF for "starting a Business" a loan or line of credit (CBB)	di indicator. The core element of this target is Supportive policies' related to meurship and SME development (which may lead to job creation, but for le proposed indicators will be very hard to measure). It may be more to use Doing Business TF for "starting a Business" a loan or line of credit (CBB) Access to financial services is measured in other targets. dicator Enterprise Surveys World Bank - Data is available for 135 countries vive: [Share of environmental patents in total patents] WIPO Data available for 123 countries world Bank Enterprise Surveys World Bank Enter	di indicator. The core element of this target is 'supportive policies' related to meurship and SME development (which may lead to job creation, but for lee proposed indicators will be very hard to measure). It may be more to use Doing Business 'TE for 'starting a Business' a loan or line of credit (CBB) **Total Countries**	di indicator. The core element of this target is "supportive policies' related to meurship and SME development (which may lead to job creation, but for he proposed indicators will be very hard to measure). It may be more to use Doing Business DTF for "starting a Business" Access to financial services is measured in other targets. dicator Enterprise Surveys World Bank - Data is available for 135 countries NPO Data available for 123 countries Data available for 123 countries World Bank Enterprise Surveys World Bank Enterprise Surveys World Bank Lata valiable for 123 countries Nord Bank - Data is available for 123 countries In the details, see hittp://www.enterprisesurveys.org. Firm size levels are 5-19 World Bank Enterprise Surveys Starting in 2006 Enterprise Surveys Access to financial services in the survey out the survey of the survey of the survey of the survey of the

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. [Resource productivity.] Statistical surveys and administrative data on material use and value added - UNEP/International resources panel is 9.4, 12.1, 12.2 Resource productivity is gross domestic product (GDP) divided by domestic material collected from the national statistics office responsible for policy application of data consumption (DMC). DMC measures the total amount of materials directly used by an but not on the data collection and economy. It is defined as the annual quantity of raw materials extracted from the dissemination per se. domestic territory of the focal economy, plus all physical imports minus all physical - UNIDO: Data are partially available for exports. international reporting - The System of Environmental Economic Accounts provides a standard methodology for calculating this indicator. However, no international data collection mechanism is yet in place and countries are still in implementation phase. OECD Suggested alternative indicator: [Effective Tax Rates on Energy Use.] This indicator OFCD 12.c. 13.2 See http://www.oecd.org/tax/tax-policy/taxingenergyuse.htm and 1 fits the target very well, a well-established methodology exists and data cover 80% of http://www.oecdilibrary.org/docserver/download/2313021e.pdf?expires=1434984054&id=id&ac world energy and 84% of global carbon emissions from energy. name=ocid84004878&checksum=AC6BDF712748EA74C98B4C18438173D9 Indicator 8.4.2 Sectoral material efficiency (CBB) UNEP International Resource Panel By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages 10.3,10.4 Suggested Indicator Average hourly earnings of female and male employees by occupations Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Tier II (Wages/Gender wage gap) Establishment surveys, Administrative records. Hourly earnings and gender wage gap: 66 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: 224 | Tier I/II Unemployment rate by sex, age-group and disability. Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.5.1 Employment to working-age population (15 years and above) ratio by gender and age group, and people with disabilities (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.). ILO Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: 181 2 8.6: 10.3 countries (without breakdown for people with disabilities). Standard Indicator, in agreement WB Indicator 8.5.2 Unemployment rate by gender and age-group (AAA) ILO Alternative indicator: [Average hourly earnings of female and male employees by Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: 10.3.10.4 1 occupations (Wages/Gender wage gap)] Justification: The target is explicit in Hourly earnings and gender wage gap: 66 Establishment surveys, Administrative records, measuring equal pay for work of equal value and therefore it is crucial to include countries. certain component addressing this aspect. Besides, it provides continuity to the MDG ndicator. The initial proposed indicator is already captured in 8.6.2 UNWOMEN Additional indicator proposed by UN Women: [Gender gap in wages]. This indicator Labour Force Surveys ILO, country coverage from ILO database 1 should be added to capture the target element on equal pay for work of equal value. and other national sources is 119 The indicator is a Tier 3 indicator part of the minimum set of gender indicators. More methodological development is requires to enable global comparability. GlobalMigrationWG NB! Disaggregate by migratory status WB Standard Indicator, in agreement By 2020, substantially reduce the proportion of youth not in employment, education or training arget 8.6 ContributorName Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Responsible entity: ILO/OECD. Percentage of youth (15-24) not in education, employment or training (NEET) Availability: 88 countries. Administrative records. ndicator 8.6.1 Percentage of youth (15-24) not in education, employment or training (NEET) (AAA) ILO Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Responsible entity: ILO./OECD 8.5 1 Availability: 88 countries. UNEP WDI: http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.UEM.NEET.ZS UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. WB Standard Indicator, in agreement ndicator 8.6.2 Youth (15-24) unemployment rate (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official ILO Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: 224 8.5 Alternative indicator: [Unemployment rate by gender and age-group.] Justification: 2 By including an age group covering 15-24 years, the indicator covers youth and estimates, Administrative records. countries. compares their situation with the rest of population. It makes therefore the unemployment rate redundant in 8.5.2 allowing to better capture quality aspects of decent work.

WDI http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.UEM.1524.ZS

2

UNEP

UNWOMEN

UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.

st of Proposa		(m) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
WB	Standard Indicator, in agreement					
get 8.7 Take i	mmediate and effective measures to eradicate forced labour, end mo	dern slavery and human traffickign and secure the prohibition	and elimination of the worst form	of chi	ld labour,	including
ruitment and use	of child soldiers, and by 2025 end child labour in all its forms.					
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
gested Indicator	Percentage and number of children aged 5-17 years engaged in child labour, per sex	Household surveys (Child Labour Surveys, Mixed Surveys, LFS, HIES, LSMS,	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability:	Tier II		4.1. 8.8. 16.2
	and age group (disaggregated by the worst forms of child labour)	Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	Data available for about 60 countries (at			, , , ,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		least one data set collected in each of			
			past 5 years for generating estimates of			
			the proposed indicators).			
cator 8.7.1 Percen	tage and number of children aged 5-17 years engaged in child labour, per sex and age g	roup (disaggregated by the worst forms of child labour) (BBA)	,			
ILO		Household surveys (Child Labour Surveys, Mixed Surveys, LFS, HIES, LSMS,	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Data			4.1, 8.8, 16.2
		Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	available for about 60 countries (at least			, 0.0, 10.2
		integrated in Surveys, etc.,	one data set collected in each of past 5			
			years for generating estimates of the			
UNICEF	[Percentage and number of children aged 5-17 years engaged in child labour, per		proposed indicators).		1	
UNICEF						
natau 0.7.2 Nimila	sex and age group (disaggregated by the worst forms of child labour)] er of people in forced labour (CBB)	<u> </u>				
cator 8.7.2 Numb	er of people in forced labour (CBB)	Household suprove (Child Labour Survoye, Mixed Survoye, LES, LUES, LSMS	Posponsible entity: II O Availability: 10			8.8. 16.2
ILO		Household surveys (Child Labour Surveys, Mixed Surveys, LFS, HIES, LSMS,	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: 10			0.0, 10.2
		Integrated HH surveys, etc.).	countries for selected forms of forced			
			labour, pending national circumstances.			
					 	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.					
get 8.8 Prote	ct labour rights and promote safe and secure working environments t	or all workers, including migrant workers, in particular women	migrants, and those in precarious	emplo	yment	
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
gested Indicator	Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability:	Tier II		8.5
	occupational injuries by gender and migrant status	estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	Fatal rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate:			
			89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries.			
			Breakdown by migrant status not			
			currently available.			
gested Indicator	Number of ILO conventions ratified by type of convention.	NORMLEX (Information System on International Labour Standards of the ILO).	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: All	Tier I		8.5
			ILO member states (185). Breakdown by			
			type of ILO convention (fundamental,			
			governance, technical).			
cator 8.8.1 Ratific	ation and implementation of ILO fundamental conventions and relevant international la	abour and human rights standards (BAA)	g			
ILO	Alternative indicator: [Number of ILO conventions ratified by type of convention.]	NORMLEX (Information System on International Labour Standards of the ILO).	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: All			8.5
-	Justification: This indicator is more straightforward and will provide information not		ILO member states (185). Breakdown by		1	***
	only on the ratification of ILO fundamental conventions, but on that of ILO governance		type of ILO convention (fundamental,			
	and technical conventions as well.		governance, technical).			
	and technical conventions as well.		governance, technical).			
	Alternative: Vulnerable employment	WDI http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.EMP.VULN.ZS/countries			1	
UNEP				1		
	ency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational	injuries by gender (BBA)				8.5
	ency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational Alternative indicator: Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries	injuries by gender (BBA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Fatal			0.5
cator 8.8.2 Freque	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Fatal rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89			0.5
cator 8.8.2 Freque	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status].		rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89			0.5
cator 8.8.2 Freque	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries.			0.5
cator 8.8.2 Freque	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently			0.3
ator 8.8.2 Freque	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries.		2	0.3
ILO	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available.	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently		2	0.5
ILO UNEP UNWOMEN	Alternative indicator: Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available. Please change to: by sex and age.	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently available.		2	
UNEP UNWOMEN	Alternative indicator: Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available. Please change to: by sex and age. To be disaggregated by migratory status. See specification in attached meta-data	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently available. National Statistical Offices; Ministry of		2	10.7
UNEP UNWOMEN GlobalMigrationWG	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available. Please change to: by sex and age. To be disaggregated by migratory status. See specification in attached meta-data word file	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently available.		2	
ator 8.8.2 Freque ILO UNEP UNWOMEN GlobalMigrationWG	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available. Please change to: by sex and age. To be disaggregated by migratory status. See specification in attached meta-data word file Likewise, indicator 8.8.2 not very feasible.	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. Labour force surveys, administrative records	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently available. National Statistical Offices; Ministry of		2	
UNEP UNWOMEN GlobalMigrationWG	Alternative indicator: [Frequency rates of fatal and non-fatal occupational injuries and time lost due to occupational injuries by gender and migrant status]. Justification: The target refers particularly to migrant workers and therefore if not included it does not make sense. However, this breakdown is being developed and information is not currently available. Please change to: by sex and age. To be disaggregated by migratory status. See specification in attached meta-data word file	Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. Labour force surveys, administrative records	rate: 117 countries; Non-fatal rate: 89 countries; Time lost: 107 countries. Breakdown by migrant status not currently available. National Statistical Offices; Ministry of		2 Priority	

- 00 0	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U					
ggested Indicator	Tourism direct GDP (as % of total GDP and in growth rate); and Number of jobs in tourism industries (as % total jobs and growth rate of jobs, by	Existing data sources: National Statistical Offices and National Tourism Administrations. Not all countries have GDP figures for tourism, therefore	World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO). Currently around 60 countries have a fully	Tier II		
	gender)	value added could be used instead. The same applies for employment: not all	developed System of Tourism Statistics			
		countries count the number of jobs, some will have only the number of	that allows to construct a Tourism			
		employees (or the full-time equivalents) which is a good substitute.	Satellite Account (TSA) and obtain			
			economic aggregates like tourism GDP.			
			All countries have at least a basic system			
			of Tourism Statistics that allows to gather			
			information about physical flows and			
			monetary aggregates like tourism			
			expenditures.			
icator 8.9.1 Tourism	n direct GDP (BAA)					
ICAO	ICAO proposes that its ['Connectivity Opportunities Utilisation Indicator'] and the	ICAO Data needed for this proposed indicator is collected by ICAO as part of its	ICAO is responsible for global monitoring		1	
icho	current proposed indicators be merged into one indicator. More than half of the	Core Statistics Program (see above). For tourisms contribution to GDP, Data is	of the 'Connectivity Opportunities		-	
ĺ	tourists arrive by air, increasing connectivity is therefore the key catalyst in promoting	collected by UNWTO. Metadata on tourism statistics is available with UNWTO.	Utilisation Indicator'. Data is available for			
	sustainable tourism and economic development. The merged indicator specifically	ICAO and UNWTO collaborate actively in sharing and analysis of each others	all ICAO Member States. UNWTO is			
	measures the efficacy of policy making at the State level aimed at maximizing air	data.	responsible for global monitoring of			
	connectivity and tourism opportunities. With the merged indicator, States can		indicators related to tourism contribution			
	monitor and benchmark the pace of their policy implementation to increase air		to GDP.			
	connectivity and tourism along with tourism's contribution to GDP. The gap between					
	connectivity opportunity available and unutilized can be monitored at the State level					
	as a function of the opportunity available to the State to increase its GDP. It is expected that this will accelerate the pace of implementation of policies leading to increasing of air connectivity and sustainable tourism and economic development. The merged indicator monitors critical policy implementation and thus is better suited to monitoring Target 8.9.					
UNWTO	Economic aggregates indicator: Itourism direct GDP (as % of total GDP and in growth	1	World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO).		1	
	rate) and number of jobs in tourism industries (as % total jobs and growth rate of	Administrations. Not all countries have GDP figures for tourism, therefore value	Currently around 60 countries have a fully			
	iobs, by gender)]	added could be used instead. The same applies for employment: not all	developed System of Tourism Statistics			
		countries count the number of jobs, some will have only the number of	that allows to construct a Tourism Satellite			
		employees (or the full-time equivalents) which is a good substitute.	Account (TSA) and obtain economic			
			aggregates like tourism GDP. All countries			
			have at least a basic system of Tourism			
			Statistics that allows to gather information			
			about physical flows and monetary			
			aggregates like tourism expenditures.			
WB	Likewise, indicator not very feasible. (For 'tourism' there is a challenge in attributing					
	the extent of use of several services by tourists vs non-tourists; it would be a measure					
	of particular sub-service sectors regardless of users).					
cator 8.9.2 Tourism UNEP	a consumption (BAA) Alternative: [Number of jobs in the sustainable tourism sector / total number of				2	
ONE	green and decent jobs x countries]				-	
UNWTO	Replace the indicator \tourism consumption" by Environmental pressure indicator:	to be developed data sources: National Statistical Offices in collaboration with	Only a handful of countries experimented		2	
	residual flows and natural inputs (absolute figures and % change rates) derived	National Tourism Administrations	with environmental-economic account for			
	from a System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) for Tourism "]		tourism in the past years. This is an area			
			where the World Tourism Organisation			
			(UNWTO) has already initiated work to			
			identify SDG specific indicators for tourism.			
WB	[these two indicators may have difficulties in measurement. Jobs created would also					
	depend on definition of 'tourism' sectors/companies. May be more realistic to use					
	more accessible indicators such as ["Tourist arrivals".]					
	gthen the capacity of domestic financial institutions to encourage ar					
ContributorName	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
gested Indicator	Number of commercial bank branches and ATMs per 100,000 adults		IMF Financial Access Survey/189	Tier I		
gested Indicator	0/ adults with a formal account or passandly using a makile manage with the	Mould Bank Clabal Finder (individual company added made to Cally Metald	countries World Bank, Data availability: ~ 145	Tion!		14 22 5 - 040
	% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money service in the	World Bank Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World		Tier I		1.4, 2.3, 5.a, 8.10
gested indicator	nast 12 months" Possible to have a break down by income a g. hotton: 400/ of	IPOII)	countries Triennial Ausilable for 2011			
gested indicator	past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by income e.g. bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day, by gender, age (youth) and rural. Adults: ages 15+	Poll)	countries. Triennial. Available for 2011 and 2014.			

	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (Unique Credit: Distance to Frontier (CBB)	/ N/ and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
	ig Credit. Distance to Frontier (CBB)					
WB	In addition to the 2 indicators 0.10.1 and 0.10.2 we are page 0.10.2. Access to financia	Fox 9 10 1 Morld Donk Doing Dusiness Fox 9 10 2 IMF Financial Access Curvey	For 9 10 1 World Book Date spailability a	1	١ ،	Dranged indicator in call
	In addition to the 2 indicators, 8.10.1 and 8.10.2, we propose 8.10.3: Access to financia	-	For 8.10.1 World Bank. Data availability: ~		3	Proposed indicator in cell
	services: ["% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile money	(survey of financial regulators). 8.10.3 World Bank Global Findex (individual	180 countries. Available annually starting			D196 can also be used for
	service in the past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by income e.g.	survey - added module to Gallup World Poll)	2010. For 8.10.2 IMF. Data availability:			1.4, 2.3, 5.a, 8.10
I	bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day, by gender, age (youth) and rural.		~180 countries. Available annually starting			
	Adults: ages 15+]. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type of financial		2004. For 8.10.3 World Bank. Data			
	institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post		availability: ~ 145 countries. Triennial.			
	office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial institution for		Available for 2011 and 2014.			
	the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving					
	wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12 months.					
	Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the					
	Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive					
	money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months. For indicator 8.10.1 see					
	http://www.doingbusiness.org. For indicator 8.10.2 see http://fas.imf.org.					
UPU	The UPU supports the World Bank proposition to add an additional indicator to the 2	UPU existing data. For 8.10.1 World Bank Doing Business. For 8.10.2 IMF	On postal accounts and payment services:	1	1	Proposed indicator in cell
	indicators, 8.10.1 and 8.10.2, namely: Indicator 8.10.3 measuring access to financial	Financial Access Survey (survey of financial regulators). 8.10.3 World Bank	Universal Postal Union. Data availability: ~			D196 (D196 of the original
	services defined as "[% adults with a formal account or personally using a mobile	Global Findex (individual survey - added module to Gallup World Poll)	130 countries. Annual. Available since			Excel file, D23 here) can also
	money service in the past 12 months". Possible to have a break down by income e.g.		1899 (19th century) up to 2014 (21st			be used for 1.4, 2.3, 5.a, 8.1
	bottom 40% of income share or <\$1.25/day, by gender, age (youth) and rural.		century).			
	Adults: ages 15+]. Formal account: account at a bank or at another type of financial		77			
	institution, such as a credit union, microfinance institution, cooperative, or the post					
	office (if applicable), or a debit card; including an account at a financial institution for					
	the purposes of receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products, paying utility bills or school fees or a card for the purposes of receiving					
	wages or government transfers. Account/card ownership within the past 12 months.					
	Mobile money account includes GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the					
	Unbanked (MMU) services in the past 12 months to pay bills or to send or receive					
	money along with receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural					
	products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months. For indicator 8.10.1 see					
	http://www.doingbusiness.org. For indicator 8.10.2 see http://fas.imf.org.					
	incept// www.domgodomeosorg. For indicator or 2012 Sec Incept// dominiong.					
ndicator 8.10.2 Numb	er of commercial bank branches and ATMs per 100,000 adults (AAA)			•	L	•
	Same Indicator	IMF Financial Access Survey	IMF - Data is available for 189 countries			
UNCDF					1	
UNCDF					1	
	ase Aid for Trade support for developing countries, in particular least	developed countries, including through the Enhanced Integrate	 ed Framework for Trade-Related Te	chnica		tance to Least
	ase Aid for Trade support for developing countries, in particular least	developed countries, including through the Enhanced Integrate	ed Framework for Trade-Related Te	echnica		tance to Least
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name	ase Aid for Trade support for developing countries, in particular least Specification	Source	Entity	Tier		
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	ase Aid for Trade support for developing countries, in particular least Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB)				l Assist	
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB)	Source OECD/WTO	Entity WTO/OECD	Tier	l Assist	
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	ase Aid for Trade support for developing countries, in particular least Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB)	Source	Entity	Tier	l Assist	
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled].	Source OECD/WTO OECD/WTO	Entity WTO/OECD	Tier	l Assist	
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled].	Source OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International La	Entity WTO WTO Organization	Tier Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name	Specification Aid for Trade Support for developing countries, in particular least Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification	Source OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm. Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as	OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lal Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability:	Tier Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name	Specification Aid for Trade Support for developing countries, in particular least Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification	Source OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source	WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 120, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates	OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lal Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability:	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates	OECD/WTO oent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	Entity WTO OOUR Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 120, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates	OECD/WTO OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lal Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates	OECD/WTO oent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records.	Entity WTO OOUR Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D20, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates Novernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and	Source OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. of the national budgets and GDP (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Entity WTO DOUR Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability:	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 1020, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates Iovernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates]. Justification: the Global Jobs Pact called for sound social	Source OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. of the national budgets and GDP (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. D2O, develop and Operationalize a global strategy for youth employment Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates tovernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and	Source OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. of the national budgets and GDP (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 1020, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates 1020	Source OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. of the national budgets and GDP (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Target 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Target 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 8.b.1 Total g	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 220, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates [Overnment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates]. Justification: the Global Jobs Pact called for sound social dialogue and therefore collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. [Total government spending in employment programmes as percentage of the	Source OECD/WTO ent and implement the Global Jobs Pact of the International Lai Source Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys, Administrative records. of the national budgets and GDP (AAA) Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ILO WB	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 220, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates Iovernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates]. Justification: the Global Jobs Pact called for sound social dialogue and therefore collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. [Total government spending in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP]	OECD/WTO OECD/W	Entity WTO WTO Dour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84	Tier II	l Assist	/ Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ILO WB Goal 9 Build	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 220, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates Iovernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates]. Justification: the Global Jobs Pact called for sound social dialogue and therefore collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. [Total government spending in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP]	OECD/WTO OECD/W	Entity WTO bour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II Tier II Tier I	Priority Priority	/ Interlinkages // Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ILO WB Goal 9 Build	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 220, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employm Specification Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates Iovernment spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage Alternative indicator: [Total government spending in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates]. Justification: the Global Jobs Pact called for sound social dialogue and therefore collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. [Total government spending in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP]	OECD/WTO OECD/W	Entity WTO bour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II Tier II Tier I	Priority Priority	/ Interlinkages // Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ndicator 8.a.1 Evoluti ESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ILO WB Goal 9 Build	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 1020, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1021, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1022, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1023, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1041, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1052, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1042, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1053, develop and operational budgets and GDP and collective bargaining in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. 1054 1056, develop and operationalist in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP] 1057 1058, develop and operationalist in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP]	OECD/WTO OECD/W	Entity WTO bour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II Tier II Tier I	Priority Priority	/ Interlinkages // Interlinkages
Farget 8.a Increa Developed Countries Contributor Name Suggested Indicator IESCAP Farget 8.b By 20 Contributor Name Suggested Indicator ILO WB Goal 9 Build Farget 9.1 Devel	Specification Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) Ion in Aid for Trade Commitments and Disbursements (CBB) New - [Enhanced AfT support - In 5 years the amount of AfT should be doubled]. 1020, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1021, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1022, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1023, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1041, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1052, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1042, develop and operationalize a global strategy for youth employment specification 1053, develop and operational budgets and GDP and collective bargaining in social protection and employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP and collective bargaining rates and coverage should be reported and combined to administrative data on government expenditure. 1054 1056, develop and operationalist in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP] 1057 1058, develop and operationalist in employment programmes as percentage of the national budgets and GDP]	OECD/WTO OECD/W	Entity WTO bour Organization Entity Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries. Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Collective bargaining rates available for 84 countries.	Tier II Tier I Tier I	Priority Priority	Interlinkages Interlinkages Interlinkages

	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	<u> </u>	Mathedalam and initial tract areas	Tierrit		2 2 44 2
uggested Indicator	Share of the rural population who live within 2km of an all season road	For Rural access, while historic data based on household surveys exists for many countries, current efforts are underway by the World Bank, DFID, and others to develop a new methodology using GIS data.	Methodology and initial test country data to be completed by June, data to be available for 7 pilot countries by Dec 2015, with dramatic expansion planned in 2016.	Tier II		2.3, 11.2
ggested Indicator	Passenger and freight volumes	For Passenger and Freight volumes, data available from World Bank World Development Indicators.	World Bank, Passenger and freight data available from World Development Indicators, Baseline data exists for [80] countries, with the new methodology to be applied in an expanding set of countries.	Tier II		2.3, 11.2
dicator 9.1.1 Percenta	age share of people employed in business infrastructure (consultancy, accounting, IT a	nd other business services) in total employment (BBB)				
ICAO	ICAO proposes that its indicator "Percentage of effective implementation in the infrastructure development of aerodromes and ground aids" replaces the current indicator 9.1.1. The intent of the target is to have infrastructures of good quality which are resilient and sustainable. Aerodromes are key infrastructures for a State, related to tourism and economic development. The ICAO indicator measures directly the quality and reliability factors of a representative infrastructure, whereas the current indicator focuses on people employed in that sector which is unrelated to quality or sustainability of those infrastructures. The ICAO indicator is a percentage which can be targeted, whereas targeting the employment percentage share is difficult."	provided with the indicator for further information.	ICAO is responsible for global monitoring of the level of implementation of aerodrome and ground aids. Data is available for all ICAO Member States.		1	Target 8.9.1 as aerodrome are a driver for tourism
ILO	The ILO does not compile the numerator of the share.					
ΙΤυ	Proposed alternative indicator: [Proportion of households with broadband Internet access, by urban/rural]	Data on this indicator are produced by NSOs, through household surveys. Some countries conduct a household survey where the question on households with broadband Internet access is included every year. For others, the frequency is every two or three years. Overall, the indicator is available for 53 countries at least from one survey in the years 2011-2014. Survey data for the proportion of households with Internet access (not broken down by narrowband/broadband) is available for 101 countries and ITU estimates data for this indicator for almos all other countries.	ITU collects data for this indicator from NSOs annually. Overall, the indicator is available for 53 countries at least from one survey in the years 2011-2014. Survey data for the proportion of households with Internet access (not broken down by narrowband/broadband) are available for 101 countries and ITU estimates data for this indicator for almost all other countries.			1.4, 9.c, 11.1
UNEP					2	
UNIDO	Proposed new indicator [Proportion of households with broadband internet access, by urban, rural]	Annual surveys by NSOs. Data are available in ITU for more than 170 countries (see technical notes ITU)	ITU For international monitoring data available in ITU for more than 170 countries		1	1.4, 9.c, 11.1
UNISDR	UNISR proposes \[Number of health and educational facilities affected, length of road affected by disasters]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached."	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		1	1.5,11.5,4.a, 13.1, 14.2, 15
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for disaggregation by sex					
UPU	This indicator should be given up and replaced by an overall indicator on the quality of logistics service in the era of e-commerce. In that regard, the UPU would welcome the introduction of [World Bank's Logistics Performance Index (LPI) complemented by a postal and express quality of service indicator: average parcel shipping time/parcel shipping time standards, by country, both for domestic and international service, and by product (UNSD Comtrade HS classification for international trade) and for each bilateral flow for any country-pair.]	UPU existing data; World Bank LPI	UPU - big data available for most countries, both on an annual and real-time basis (trough consolidated tracking systems data and quality of service measurement systems) with real-time data potentially back to 1999 with progressive coverage of almost all countries by 2012 and onwards. World Bank - Data available for most countries		1	
WB	New Indicator Suggested: [Logistics Performance Index]	Surveys	World Bank - Data available for most countries		2	
	rt by air, road and rail (millions of passengers and ton-km and % population with access	7, 7				
ICAO	ICAO supports this indicator as a complement to the ICAO indicator proposed under 9.1.1. Actual usage rates of transport infrastructure are a measure of the effectiveness of those infrastructures. This indicator ties in best with a quality related indicator as the one ICAO proposes as a replacement of 9.1.1. Usage rates alone do not indicate if an infrastructure is of high quality or is sustainable, unless they are seen in conjunction with a quality related standard like the one proposed by ICAO.					
UNEP	Alternative: [Kilometres of walking and cycling facilities, and person-kilometres of mass transit systems]	There is a need to switch to more sustainable modes of transport - i.e. walking/cycling and public transport. The target is about sustainable infrastructure . The currently proposed indicator does not measure that.	(sources will be identified)		1	Alternative indicator woul also be relevant for 11.2

	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,					
UNIDO	Proposed new indicator [Percentage of paved road in total]	Administrative data from national sources	World Bank/UNIDO (data not available for international reporting)		2	2.3
UNISDR	UNISR proposes "[Number of countries with critical infrastructure plan"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached.	SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in 2013)	UNISDR		2	1.5,11.5,4.a, 13.1, 14.
UPU	The postal and express tonnage related to the development of e-commerce (both at	UPU existing data	UPU - big data available for most		1	
010	the domestic and international levels, by product (HS classification), and by country-	or o existing data	countries, both on an annual and real-time		-	
	pair) could be provided by the Universal Postal Union to complement this indicator.		basis (trough consolidated tracking			
	pair) could be provided by the oniversal Postal official to complement this indicator.		systems data) with real-time data			
			1 *			
			potentially back to 1999 for international			
			tonnage and with a progressive coverage			
			of all countries by 2012 and onwards.			
			World Bank - Data available for most countries			
WB	This is merging two separate indicators sets, passenger and freight volumes, and	For Passenger and Freight volumes, data available from World Bank World	World Bank, Passenger and freight data		1	2.3, 11.2
VVD					1	2.3, 11.2
	proportion of population living within two kilometres of an all season road. For access		available from World Development			
	to all season road, the specific indicator should read '[Share of the rural population		Indicators, Baseline data exists for [80]			
	who live within 2km of an all season road]"	The state of the s	countries, with the new methodology to			
			be applied in an expanding set of			
			countries. Methodology and initial test			
			country data to be completed by June,			
			data to be available for 7 pilot countries by			
			Dec 2015, with dramatic expansion			
			planned in 2016.			
	to be being and a state of the behavior between and be 2020 similar	housing industrial shows of small surrout and surrout surrout.	and the first contains a strength of the contains and the			and the three decrees the
-	te inclusive and sustainable industrialization and, by 2030, significan	try raise industry's snare of employment and gross domestic pro	oduct, in line with national circum	stances	, and do	ouble its snare in
veloped countries Contributor Name	Consideration	Cauras	Fraktar	Tion	Priority	Interlinkane
gested Indicator	Specification Natural Services Value Added Cabons in CDD, now conits, 80 growth)	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
gested indicator	Manufacturing Value Added (share in GDP, per capita, % growth)	MVA data are available in a large number of countries. Currently UNIDO maintains the World MVA database which contains data for about 200	UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more	Tier I		
		economies. Data are presented at constant and current prices.	than 200 economies			
		Data can be presented for country groups (LDCs, LLDC) and the world regions.				
gested Indicator	Manufacturing employment, in percent to total employment					
	Imanufacturing employment, in percent to total employment	Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available	UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
		Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available	UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 180 countries	Tier I		8.5.1
	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB)		more than 180 countries	Tier I		8.5.1
	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] ***	Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I	1	8.5.1
	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an		more than 180 countries	Tier I	1	8.5.1
	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] ***		more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I	1	8.5.1
	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an		more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I	1	8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on		more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I	1	8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed.	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA)	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 200 economies	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental?	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database	more than 180 countries UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	share in GDP, per capita, % growth) (AAB) "[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA)	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added	WORD Data are available in UNIDO for more than 200 economies Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB	"[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official	UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 200 economies Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB icator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment,	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	WORD Data are available in UNIDO for more than 200 economies Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB icator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total. employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more	Tier I		8.5.1
UNIDO Eurostat WB icator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified.	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates	Tier I		8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual	Tier I		8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified.	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points.	Tier I	1	
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified. "[Manufacturing employment, in percent to total employment]" *** This indicator	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys.	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points. UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for	Tier I	1	
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa ILO UNIDO	"If Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified. "IManufacturing employment, in percent to total employment]" *** This indicator measures the job creation in manufacturing compared to the whole economy. It has high rating from the member states. Specifications are provided in technical notes	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys. Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for lindustry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points. UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 180 countries		2	8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing in total employment). Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified. "[Manufacturing employment, in percent to total employment]" *** This indicator measures the job creation in manufacturing compared to the whole economy. It has	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys. Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for lindustry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points. UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 180 countries		2	8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa ILO UNIDO UNIDO	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified. "[Manufacturing employment, in percent to total employment]" *** This indicator measures the job creation in manufacturing compared to the whole economy. It has high rating from the member states. Specifications are provided in technical notes	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys. Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available cular in developing countries, to financial services, including affectuary and the surveys including affectuary in developing countries, to financial services, including affectuary in the surveys in the surveys including affectuary in the surveys in the surv	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for lindustry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points. UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 180 countries		2	8.5.1
Eurostat WB cator 9.2.2 Manufa ILO UNIDO	"[Manufacturing value added, per capita, in percent to GDP and growth rates] *** Manufacturing value added is the key indicator for measuring industrialization of an economy. The description of data sources and compilation method is given on technical notes. No replacement of this indicator is proposed. The concept of "sustainability" in industrialization is not really reflected by the indicators. What does "sustainable industrialization" actually mean? Or is this only about economic sustainability, discounting the two other pillars, social and environmental? acturing employment (share of total employment and % growth (AAA) Alternative indicator: [Share of industry (identifying manufacturing) in total employment]. Justification: The target is to increase industry's share of employment, of which manufacturing is a subset. Moreover, data for industry as a whole is more widely available than for the manufacturing sector, improving the robustness of regional and global estimates that could be produced. However, the manufacturing part should be identified. "[Manufacturing employment, in percent to total employment]" *** This indicator measures the job creation in manufacturing compared to the whole economy. It has high rating from the member states. Specifications are provided in technical notes	NSOs and UNIDO MVA database available from Eurostat, assuming MVA means manufacturing value added Household surveys (LFS, HIES, LSMS, Integrated HH surveys, etc.), Official estimates, Establishment surveys. Industrial surveys (NSOs) and UNIDO Gender disaggregated data available cular in developing countries, to financial services, including affectuary and the surveys including affectuary in developing countries, to financial services, including affectuary in the surveys in the surveys including affectuary in the surveys in the surv	Responsible entity: ILO with UNIDO inputs. Availability: 175 countries for industry's share of employment; more limited coverage for annual growth rates which would require consecutive annual data points. UNIDO Data are available in UNIDO for more than 180 countries	on into	1 2	8.5.1

List of Proposal	s					
Note on Disaggregat	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNIDO	<u>"[Share of small scale industries in total industry value added]"</u> *** Refers to valued added of small industries in relation to total value added (See UNIDO technical notes)	Industrial surveys (NSO) and UNIDO	UNIDO (Data for international reporting are partially available)		1	2.3
ndicator 9.3.2 % of (M)SMEs with a loan or line of credit (BBB)			•		
UNCDF	Same Indicator	Enterprise Surveys	World Bank - Data is available for 135 countries		2	Target 8.3.2
UNIDO	Proposed to reformulate as - [Percentage of small scale industry receiving loan or other financial services] (see UNIDO notes)	Central Bank data	UNIDO (data not available for international reporting)		2	1.4
WB		World Bank Enterprise Surveys	World Bank. Data availability: ~135 developing economies, every 3-4 years, starting in 2006		2	8.3 and 9.3. Can potentiall be used for 5.a if broken down by \ownership by gender"."
	upgrade infrastructure and retrofit industries to make them sustai intries taking action in accordance with their respective capabilities	inable, with increased resource-use efficiency and greater ado	ption of clean and environmentally	sound	technol	ogies and industrial
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Carbon emission per unit of value added	Industrial surveys (NSO) / UNIDO database and estimates of emission	UNIDO Data available for more than 150 countries for international reporting	Tier I		8.5.1
ndicator 9.4.1 Intensity	y of material use per unit of value added (international dollars) (CBB)					
UNIDO	Priority of this indicator is changed (see UNIDO technical notes)	Industrial surveys (NSO) ; UNIDO	UNIDO Data are partially available for international reporting		2	8.4.1 and 8.4.2
UNSD	Resource productivity. Resource productivity is gross domestic product (GDP) divided by domestic material consumption (DMC). DMC measures the total amount of materials directly used by an economy. It is defined as the annual quantity of raw materials extracted from the domestic territory of the focal economy, plus all physical imports minus all physical exports.	- Statistical surveys and administrative data on material use and value added collected from the national statistics office	- UNEP/International resources panel is responsible for policy application of data but not on the data collection and dissemination per se. - UNIDO: Data are partially available for international reporting - The System of Environmental Economic Accounts provides a standard methodology for calculating this indicator. However, no international data collection mechanism is yet in place and countries are still in implementation phase.			9.4, 12.1, 12.2
	intensity per unit of value added (international dollars) (BBB)					
UNIDO	This indicator is replaced by [Carbon emission per unit of value added] (see UNIDO technical notes)	Industrial surveys (NSO) / UNIDO database and estimates of emission	UNIDO Data available for more than 150 countries for international reporting		1	8.5.1
_	ce scientific research, upgrade the technological capabilities of indus		, including, by 2030, encouraging in	novatio	on and s	ubstantially increasin
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	R&D expenditure as percentage of GDP	Research and development surveys (NSO, Line ministries)	UNESCO-UIS Data available for about 135 countries for international reporting	Tier I		2a, 3b, 12a, 14a, 17.6, 17.
ndicator 9.5.1 Researc	h and development expenditure and employment (BAA)					
UNIDO	This indicator combines expenditure and employment. It is proposed to replace by a single indicator - [the number of researchers per million inhabitants.]	Research and development surveys (NSO, Line ministries)	UNESCO - UIS Data available for more than 140 countries for international reporting		2	2a, 3b, 5.5, 12a, 14a, 17.6 17.7
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for the indicator on employment in research and development to be disaggregated by sex.					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. UNESCO [(a) Research and development expenditure: R&D expenditure as a % of GDP] ** R&D surveys (NSOs and line ministries) *** (a) Research and development UNESCO-UIS (a) R&D expenditure: 2a, 3b, Disaggregations: field of science (relevant for targets 2a, 3b, 12a, 14a, 17.6 and 17.7) expenditure: Data available for 134 countries; (b) Research and development 12a, 14a, 17.6, 17.7 Overall *** (b) [Research and development employment: Researchers per million employment: Data available for 142 countries R&D data provide a reference inhabitants (in head counts)] Disaggregations: field of science (relevant for targets 2a for specific areas of R&D, 3b. 12a. 14a. 17.6 and 17.7) and sex (relevant for target 5.5) such as health, agriculture. etc. Also, data by field of science provide more targeted data. *** (b) R&D employment: 2a, 3b, 5.5, 12a 14a, 17.6, 17.7 Overall R&D data provide a reference for specific areas of R&D, such as health, agriculture, etc. Also data by field of science provide more targeted data. Data by sex (for researchers) could contribute to Target Indicator 9.5.2 Percentage share of medium and high-tech industry value added in total value added (BBB) UNESCO 3 UNIDO *** Move to Means of Implementation *** UNIDO Research and development surveys (NSO, Line ministries) UNESCO-UIS Data available for about 135 New indicator proposed: [R&D expenditure as percentage of GDP] - Earlier indicator 2a, 3b, 12a, 14a, 17.6, 17.7 (Percentage share of medium and high-tech (MHT)) is moved to means of countries for international reporting implementation Target 9.a Facilitate sustainable and resilient infrastructure development in developing countries through enhanced financial, technological and technical support to African countries, least developed countries, andlocked developing countries and small island developing States Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Amount of investments in infrastructure as a % of GDF Central Bank data Suggested Indicator Data not available for internationa reporting Indicator 9.a.1 Annual credit flow to infrastructure projects (in International Dollar) (BBB) UNIDO Central Bank data Data not available for international Reformulate as "[Amount of investment in infrastructure]" 1 reporting WB indicator does not reflect the sustainability concept expressed in target 9.a. dicator 9.a.2 Percentage share of infrastructure loans in total loans (BBB) UNIDO Reformulated as [Annual credit flow to infrastructure projects] Central Bank data Data not available for international reporting WB indicator does not reflect the sustainability concept expressed in target 9.a. Target 9.b Support domestic technology development, research and innovation in developing countries, including by ensuring a conducive policy environment for, inter alia, industrial diversification and value addition to commodities Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage share of medium and high-tech (MHT) industry value added in total UNIDO Data available for more than 150 Tier I Industrial surveys (NSO), UNIDO alue added countries for international reporting Indicator 9.b.1 Aggregate value of all support mechanisms for technology and innovation (in International Dollar, % of GDP) (CBB) UNIDO New indicator proposed due to low rating of existing indicator -[Percentage share of Industrial surveys (NSO), UNIDO UNIDO Data available for more than 150 medium and high-tech (MHT) industry value added in total value added] - See countries for international reporting Indicator 9.b.2 Aggregate value of expenditure on diversification and value addition policy related instruments and mechanisms (in International Dollar; % of GDP) (CBB) UNIDO Data available for more than 150 UNIDO New indicator proposed due to low rating and limited data availability [Coefficient of Industrial surveys (NSO), UNIDO 822 2 industrial diversification.] Methodology is described in UNIDO notes countries for international reporting Significantly increase access to information and communications technology and strive to provide universal and affordable access to the Internet in least developed countries by 2020 Target 9.c Contributor Name Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Specification Source Percentage of the population covered by a mobile network, by technology Data are produced by national regulatory telecom authorities or Information ITU collects data annually. By 2014, data 1.4, 2.3, 2.c, 9.1, 11.b, 13.1 Suggested Indicator on 2G mobile population coverage were and Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from Intern service providers. By 2014, data on 2G mobile population coverage were available for about 144 countries, from available for about 144 countries, from developed and developing regions. developed and developing regions, and and covering all key global regions. Data on 3G mobile population coverage covering all key global regions. Data on were available for 135 countries. 3G mobile population coverage were available for 135 countries. Indicator 9.c.1 Fixed and Mobile broadband quality measured by mean download speed (BBA)

List of Proposal	ls					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ΙΤυ	Official data on the current indicator do not exist. Proposed alternative indicator to monitor affordability of Internet access: [Broadband Internet prices]	Data are compiled by national regulatory telecom authorities or Information and Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from operators/Internet service providers. For countries that do not respond to the questionnaire, ITU collects data on the broadband Internet prices directly from operators/Internet service providers' websites. By 2014, data were available for 160 economies, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions.	ITU collects data for this indicator annually. By 2014, data were available for 160 economies, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions.			9.1
UNIDO	Proposed new indicator [Broadband Internet prices]. It refers to the price of a monthly subscription to an entry-level (fixed or mobile) broadband plan, based on the offer by the operator with the largest market share in the country	Survey Data from National ICT authorities, ITU	ITU Data available for more than 145 countries for international reporting			9.1
UPU	[Flord has allowed subsectives beginning to see at 1	Estables and Instead by 1991	I I T I I		2	0.4.47.6
WB dicator 9.c.2 Subscrip	[Fixed broadband subscriptions broken down by speed] ption to mobile cellular and/or fixed broad band internet (per household/100 people) (Existing collected by ITU	ІТИ		2	8.1, 17.6
іти	The current indicator is already proposed for Target 9.1. Proposed alternative indicator, which is particularly relevant for LDCs: [Percentage of the population covered by a mobile network, broken down by technology]	Data are produced by national regulatory telecom authorities or Information and Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from Internet service providers. By 2014, data on 2G mobile population coverage were available for about 144 countries, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions. Data on 3G mobile population coverage were available for 135 countries.	ITU collects data annually. By 2014, data on 2G mobile population coverage were available for about 144 countries, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions. Data on 3G mobile population coverage were available for 135 countries.			1.4, 2.3, 2.c, 9.1, 11.b, 13.
UNIDO	Proposed new indicator - [Percentage of the population covered by a mobile broadband network, broken down by technology (see ITU notes)] ** Percentage of the population covered by a mobile broadband network, broken down by technology	Survey Data from National ICT authorities, ITU	ITU Data available for more than 145 countries for international reporting			1.4, 2.3, 2.c, 9.1, 11.b, 13
UPU	The UPU proposes to add a third indicator for target 9.c, namely indicator 9.c.3 related to e-commerce development: [e-commerce as a share of total GDP and/or total international trade.]	UPU existing data; UNCTAD measurement of the information society	UPU - big data available for most countries on a real-time basis (trough consolidated tracking systems data) with real-time data potentially back to 1999 for international tonnage, volumes and with a progressive coverage of all countries by 2012 and onwards. Generalization of the capture of the value of goods (ecommerce related customs declarations) from 2016-17 onwards.		1	
WB		Existing collected by ITU	ITU		1	1.4, 5.b, 9.1, 10.3, 11.1, 1 17.6, 17.8
Goal 10 Red	luce inequality within and among countries					
	030, progressively achieve and sustain income growth of the bottom		<u> </u>			
Contributor Name	Specification Growth rates of household expenditure or income per capita among the bottom 40	Source Household Suprove	Entity World Bank	Tier Tier I	Priority	Interlinkages is partly overlapping wit
ggested mulcator	percent of the population and the total population	nousenou surveys	World Balik	Herr		1.2
OHCHR Measu	ure income inequality using the Gini coefficient or Palma ratio, pre- and post-social tran [Income inequality pre- and post-social transfers/tax at national, regional and global levels]		ined above (AAA) World Bank currently collects relevant data at global level, but at a lower level of disaggregation than required by this lindicator.		1	10.2, 10.3
WB	This indicator does not directly measure the target but Indicator 10.1.2 does.	Household Surveys				
	e in real disposable income and consumption by quintiles over time, at global, regional					
WB	To make the indicator fully consistent with the target we suggest modifying indicator description to \Growth rates of household expenditure or income per capita among the bottom 40 percent of the population and the total population]." The part on 'global' and 'regional' should be taken out due to concerns about aggregation."		World Bank		1	
	030, empower and promote the social, economic and political inclusion					
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Proportion of people living below 50% of median income disaggregated by age and sex	National income and expenditure surveys.	UNDESA. OECD. Widely available for OECD and EU	Tier I		1.2

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Indicator 10.2.1 Measure the progressive reduction of inequality gaps over time, disaggregated by groups as defined above, for selected social, economic, political and environmental SDG targets (at least one target per goal where relevant should be monitored using this approach) (BBB) UNCDF Propose a Multi-Purpose Indicator: [Adults owning an account either through a Global Findex World Bank - Data is available for 142 Targets 1.4, 2.3, 5.a, 8.10 financial institution or mobile money provider, disaggregated by income level, countries geography location gender, age and education] Indicator 10.2.2 Proportion of people living below 50% of median income (AAA) UNICEF [Amended to disaggregate for age and gender] [Proportion of people living below UNDESA. OECD. Widely available for 1.2 National income and expenditure surveys. 50% of median income disaggregated by age and gender]. This would enable OFCD and FU countries capturing children living in relative poverty including in higher income countries. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and other context specific characteristics. Target 10.3 Ensure equal opportunity and reduce inequalities of outcome, including by eliminating discriminatory laws, policies and practices and promoting appropriate legislation, policies and action in this regard Tier Priority Contributor Name Specification Source Interlinkages Entity Suggested Indicator 10.2, 10.3, 16.3, 16b Percentage of population reporting having personally felt discriminated against or Data available at regional level, e.g. EU harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination Fundamental Rights Agency collects for prohibited under international human rights law all 28 EU Member States. No current global collector. Indicator 10.3.1 Percentage of population reporting perceived existence of discrimination based on all grounds of discrimination prohibited by international human rights law (CBB) OHCHR 10.2, 10.3, 16.3, 16b [Percentage of population reporting having personally felt discriminated against or | Survey Data available at regional level, e.g. EU harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination Fundamental Rights Agency collects for all prohibited under international human rights law] 28 EU Member States. No current global collector. GlobalMigrationWG NB! Disaggregate by migratory status Indicator 10.3.2 Existence of an independent body responsible for promoting and protecting the right to non-discrimination (BBB) [Existence of Independent National Human Rights Institution in compliance with the OHCHR, International Coordinating Committee of National Human Rights OHCHR OHCHR, International Coordinating 10.3, 16a, 16b 2 Paris Principles 1 Committee of National Human Rights Institutions Target 10.4 Adopt policies, especially fiscal, wage and social protection policies, and progressively achieve greater equality Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Labour share of GDP, comprising wages and social protection transfers. SNA, IMF Government Finance Statistics, ILO Responsible entities: IMF, ILO. Tier I 8.b.1 Availability: 200 countries. % of people covered by minimum social protection floor, that include basic education and health packages, by age, sex, economic status, origin, place of residence, disability, and civil status (widows, partners in union outside of marriage, divorced spouses, rphan children) and other characteristics of relevance for each country (BBB) ILO Alternative indicator: [Labour share of GDP, comprising wages and social protection SNA, IMF Government Finance Statistics, ILO Responsible entities: IMF, ILO. transfers.] Justification: Social protection floor coverage is already captured in the Availability: 200 countries. indicator 1.3. The alternative provides a more accurate picture of the income WB Indicator 10.4.1 should be simple to identify. Suggest changing along the lines of: "[Percent of total population covered by quality basic health and education services (public or private)"], with quality being assessed by internationally recognized standard test scores (eg PISA). GlobalMigrationWG NB! Disaggregate by migratory status Indicator 10.4.2 Progressivity of tax and social expenditures e.g. Proportion of tax contributions from bottom 40%, Proportion of social spending going to bottom 40% (CBB) ILO Alternative indicator: [Shares of tax revenue coming from indirect and direct taxes]. SNA, IMF Government Finance Statistics, ILO Responsible entities: IMF, ILO. Availability 2 Justification: While indirect taxations is seen as regressive and direct taxation is 200 countries progressive, the proportion of both provides a measure of the tax system's impact on inequality. If inequality is reduced only by only catering for the bottom 40% of income earners, the proposal is biased. It overlooks the amount of public spending that benefits the top 10% of income earners, a major factor in the persistent income inequalities. WB Indicator 10.4.2 should read: ["Improvements in the Gini coefficient due to the incidence of tax policy and public spending reform, and proportion of tax revenues paid by the richest quintiles."] Reasons: progressivity should be measured jointly (taxes and expenditures); also not only social expenditures impact the poor. Third, it is not a good idea to tax mainly the middle class, which could be the result if we want to reduce the burden on the poorest 40%. Improve the regulation and monitoring of global financial markets and institutions and strengthen the implementation of such regulations Target 10.5 Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages

Adoption of a financial transaction tax (Tobin tax) at a world level

Suggested Indicate

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Indicator 10.5.1 Adoption of a financial transaction tax (Tobin tax) at a world level (CBB) The indicator proposed (10.5.1) is technically not sound. What is the baseline? What is the target? How is it quantified, measured? Instead, any indicator for this target should cover financial stability, efficiency, and depth. However, these areas are difficult to measure, especially stability. A suggestion for an indicator for this target would be to use the World Bank's Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) indicators for the financial sector (however the data are not publicly available). These include two sub-indicators that cover financial sector stability and efficiency & depth. A standard deviation measure to indicate whether countries are converging or diverging from meeting international standards, based on these ratings, could serve as a proxy for measuring this target. Further consultation is needed on an adequate indicator for this target Target 10.6 Ensure enhanced representation and voice for developing countries in decision-making in global international economic and financial institutions in order to deliver more effective, credible, accountable and legitimate institutions Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international United Nations/DESA. Data would be Target 16.3 (rule of law at Administrative data of international organizations. organizations available for all international international level). Target 16.7 (which focuses on organizations. inclusive, participatory and representative decisionmaking AT ALL LEVELs). Target 17.10 (nondiscriminatory and equitabl multilateral trading system ndicator 10.6.1 Percentage of voting rights in international organizations of developing countries, compared to population or GDP as appropriate (CBB) Target 10.7 Facilitate orderly, safe, regular and responsible migration and mobility of people, including through the implementation of planned and well-managed migration policies Interlinkages Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Suggested Indicato Recruitment cost born by employee as percentage of yearly income earned in Annual cost surveys based on household surveys, labour force surveys or ad National Statistical offices, Ministries o country of destination. hoc surveys. abour, GMG Suggested Indicator International Migration Policy Index Government agencies, including reporting to follow-up mechanisms of Collective effort by members of the 5.2; 8.8; 10.7; 16.1; 16.2 Tier III relevant human rights instruments. The United Nations Inquiry among Global Migration Group, supported by Governments on Population and Development. World Population Policies national governments and statistical Database. Migration Profiles. Existing migration policy indices agencies Suggested Indicator Number of detected and non-detected victims of human trafficking per 100,000; by National governments/Field studies UNODC. Data on the number of detected Tier II 5.2. 16.2 sex, age and form of exploitation victims of TIP is available for over 130 countries Indicator 10.7.1 Index on Human Mobility Governance measuring key features of good-governance of migration (CBB) ILO Alternative indicator: [Ratification and implementation of the ILO Labour Migration | NORMLEX (Information System on International Labour Standards of the ILO). Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: Conventions] Information on all II O member states (185), of which 49 ratified convention n<U+00B0>97 and 23 ratified convention n<U+00B0>143. GlobalMigrationWG [International Migration Policy Index]. See full specification in attached meta-data Government agencies, including reporting to follow-up mechanisms of relevant | Collective effort by members of the Globa 5.2; 8.8; 10.7; 16.1; 16.2 numan rights instruments. The United Nations Inquiry among Governments on Migration Group, supported by national Population and Development. World Population Policies Database. Migration governments and statistical agencies Profiles. Existing migration policy indices ndicator 10.7.2 Number of migrants killed, injured or victims of crime while attempting to cross maritime, land, air borders (CBB) 10.7, 16.1, 16.2, 16.3, 16b OHCHR See attached metadata Multiple data sources - see attached metadata UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. WB Change to \[Number of victims of human trafficking per 100,000 persons.]" Also, a new indicator 10.7.3 is proposed: "[Recruitment costs borne by agricultural workers' employee, domestic workers' employee and construction workers' employee]" GlobalMigrationWG Recruitment cost born by employee as percentage of yearly income earned in Annual cost surveys based on household surveys, labour force surveys or ad hoc National Statistical offices, Ministries of 2 8.8: 10.7 country of destination]. See full specification in attached meta-data word file Labour, GMG UNODC [Number of detected and non-detected victims of human trafficking per 100,000; by | National governments/Field studies UNODC. Data on the number of detected 1 Target 5.2 sex, age and form of exploitation] victims of TIP is available for over 130 countries Target 10.a Implement the principle of special and differential treatment for developing countries, in particular least developed countries, in accordance with World Trade Organization agreements

Source

Entity

Tier Priority

Interlinkages

Contributor Name

Specification

	ls					
Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
uggested Indicator	Share of tariff lines applied to imports from LDCs/developing countries with zero-	Computed with data from TRAINS-UNCTAD.	TRAINS data is available for 180+	Tier I		17.10
ndicator 10.a.1 Degre	tariff ee of utilization and of implementation of SDT measures in favour of LDCs (CBB)		countries			
WB	An alternate indicator is proposed: ["Share of tariff lines applied to imports from	Computed with data from TRAINS-UNCTAD.	TRAINS data is available for 180+ countries		1	"17.10"
	LDCs/developing countries with zero-tariff."]	·				
	f government actions (by LDCs) that can be covered under the S&D of the WTO agreem					
WB Use of the control	An alternate actions for LDCs, that can be covered under the Sab of the WTO agreem An alternate indicator is proposed: ["Services Trade Restrictions."] The Services Trade Restrictions Database covers 103 countries that represent all regions and income groups of the world. For each country, five major services sectors are covered that encompass a total of 19 subsectors Each subsector in turn covers the most relevant modes of supplying the respective services, yielding overall 34 country-subsector-mode combinations: Mode 1: financial services, transportation and professional services Mode 3: all subsectors Mode 4: professional services. The Eight WTO Ministerial Conference in 2011 adopted a waiver, enabling WTO members to provide preferential treatment to services and service suppliers of LDCs. The services sector has become a key driver of growth and development, accounting for 47 percent of all LDCs' overall GDP in 2011. However compared with the value of world services trade, LDC services trade is still marginal. Hence, over the coming years, the waiver can provide significant opportunities to further enhance the growth of service sectors in LDCs	world Bank. Data available for http://iresearch.worldbank.org/servicetrade/aboutData.htm	World Bank. Data available for up to 103 countries http://iresearch.worldbank.org/servicetra de/aboutData.htm		2	17.10-17.11
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	ourage official development assistance and financial flows, including food landlocked developing countries, in accordance with their national Specification OECD ODA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries		in particular least developed coun Entity OECD		frican co	ountries, small island
	iflows as a share of GDP to developing countries, broken down by group (LDCs, African DDA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries (BBB)	countries, SIDS, LLDCS) and by source country (BAA)				
ndicator 10.b.2 OECD	ODA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries (BBB)					
ndicator 10.b.2 OECD	ODA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries (BBB) 030, reduce to less than 3 per cent the transaction costs of migrant re	mittances and eliminate remittance corridors with costs higher	than 5 per cent		Priority	Interlinkages
ndicator 10.b.2 OECD Farget 10.c By 20 Contributor Name suggested Indicator	ODA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries (BBB) 030, reduce to less than 3 per cent the transaction costs of migrant re Specification Remittance costs as a percentage of the amount remitted				Priority	Interlinkages 10.7; 17.3
ndicator 10.b.2 OECD Farget 10.c By 20 Contributor Name suggested Indicator	ODA data, disaggregated by recipient and donor countries (BBB) 030, reduce to less than 3 per cent the transaction costs of migrant re Specification	mittances and eliminate remittance corridors with costs higher Source Data already collected through quarterly surveys in 226 migration corridors. Information is compiled in existing remittance price database:	than 5 per cent Entity	Tier	Priority	

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. GlobalMigrationWG [Remittance costs as a percentage of the amount remitted]. See full specification in Data already collected through quarterly surveys in 226 migration corridors. World Bank 10.7; 17.3 attached meta-data word file Information is compiled in existing remittance price database: http://remittanceprices.worldbank.org/en, (survey based, mystery shopping) WB [Global average total cost of sending \$200 (or equivalent in local sending currency, World Bank World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide database 1 adjusted for inflation and expressed as % of amount sent).] figure has been used as reference for 5x5 objective: This is the simple average of all services included in the RPW database; Target is max 3% WB [Average total cost of sending \$200 (or equivalent in local sending currency, adjusted | World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide database World Bank 1 for inflation) in each country corridor (expressed as % of amount sent)] are available for 226 corridors in Remittance Prices Worldwide database by the World Bank; Target is max 5% by 2030 in each corridor WB [Global average total cost of sending \$200 (or equivalent in local sending currency, World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide database World Bank 1 adjusted for inflation) with the three cheapest services available in each market and accessible to the large majority of senders and recipients] can be calculated from Remittance Prices Worldwide database by the World Bank; This is the simple average of the three cheapest available services in each corridor meeting requirements of availability and reach; This will allow to monitor the cost of services that are available to senders for a minimum price, regardless of the presence in the market of other more expensive services. Please note that setting a target on prices may lead to price regulations, and in turn, may have unintended consequences such as market distortions that encourage the illegal sector. The current global average price of sending \$200 remittances is 7.9% as per the World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide database, available at http://remittanceprices.worldbank.org. Goal 11 Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable Target 11.1 By 2030, ensure access for all to adequate, safe and affordable housing and basic services and upgrade slums Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Proportion of urban population living in slums Census, DHS, MICs and household surveys UN-HABITAT. The data is available for all Tier I 1.4, 1.a, 5.4, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4 countries in the world. Global Urban **Observatory and City Prosperity** Initiative. Indicator 11.1.1 Percentage of urban population living in slums or informal settlements (BBA) UNHABITAT [Proportion of urban population living in slums] Census, DHS, MICs and household surveys LIN-HABITAT. The data is available for all (141/142/1a1/542/ countries in the world, Global Urban 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1/6.4.1) Observatory and City Prosperity Initiative. Proportion of population that spends more than 30% of its income on accommodation (BAA) Indicator 11.1.2 UNHABITAT same indicator Household surveys no agency. Data is available for many (10.1.2/10.1.2) countries. Household surveys on income and consumption. By 2030, provide access to safe, affordable, accessible and sustainable transport systems for all, improving road safety, notably by expanding public transport, with special attention to the needs of those in vulnerable situations, women, children, persons with disabilities and older persons Contributor Name Interlinkages Specification Source Entity Tier Priority uggested Indicato 3.9. 7.3 Proportion of the population that has a public transit stop within 0.5 km Administrative city information and private/public transport companies Potential lead Agency UN-Habitat, Data is Community-based information not vet available. Percentage of people living within 0.5 km of public transit [running at least every 20 minutes] in cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants (CBB) Indicator 11.2.1 UNHABITAT [Proportion of the population that has a public transit stop within 0.5 km] Administrative city information and private/public transport companies. Potential lead Agency UN-Habitat. Data is (3.9.1 / 7.3.2)Community-based information not yet available. Km of high capacity (BRT, light rail, metro) public transport per person for cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants (CBB) ndicator 11.2.2 UNHABITAT Map of the city. Administrative city information and private/public transport Potential lead Agency UN-Habitat - City 2 (3.9.1 / 7.3.2) same indicator companies. Community-based information Prosperity Initiative is already collecting this indicator in 320 cities WB Measurable through GIS based on Open Data World Bank - Data is currently available 9.1, 11.7 [Share of jobs in the metropolitan area an 'average' household can access within 60/75 minutes without a private car i.e. using walking, cycling and public transport. for an expanding set of cities WB Household surveys [Proportion of income spent by urban families on transport to reach employment, Data exists for some major cities, but not 2 education, health and community services.] all cities have yet systematically collected Target 11.3 By 2030, enhance inclusive and sustainable urbanization and capacity for participatory, integrated and sustainable human settlement planning and management in all countries Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages

Note on Disaggregat	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ggested Indicator	Efficient land use	population growth (UNDESA). Satellite images of cities (open source).	UN-HABITAT. The data is available for all countries in the world. The City Prosperity Initiative is collecting data for this indicator in more than 300 cities. Lincoln Institute and University of New York and UN-Habitat collect for a Global Sample of Cities (200 cities)	Tier II		2.1, 3.9, 6.4, 6.6, 11.a, 11 11.b, 12.1, 13.2, 15.3, 15.
	of land consumption rate to population growth rate at comparable scale (CBB)					
UNHABITAT	[Efficient land use]	population growth (UNDESA). Satellite images of cities (open source)	UN-HABITAT. The data is available for all countries in the world. The City Prosperity Initiative is collecting data for this indicator in more than 300 cities. Lincoln Institute and University of New York and UN-Habitat collect for a Global Sample of Cities (200 cities)		1	(2.1.2/3.9.1/6.4.1/6.6. 11.a/11.1/11.b.1/12.1.1 13.2.1/15.3.1/15.4.1)
WB	This is a much better indicator. It has a clear methodology and can be standardized and collected on a regular basis. The growing availability of EO data, such as the GUF from DLR, the GHSL can serve as baseline, combined with WorldPop. Moving forward, the Sentinell2 will be able to provide data to monitor this indicator, systematically for the world.	Earth Observation Data- DLR GUF, ESA Sentinelle 2; Population, WorldPop			1	
UNFPA	[Ratio of land consumption rate to urban population growth rate at comparable scale]	Satellite imagery (Landsat) and census data; SDSN proposed indicator			1	11.a
UNSD	[Efficient land use]	Land cover account in the SEEA Land accounts in the SEEA Central Framework are useful in organization information on land use and land cover. In particular, the land cover accounts provide the statistical methodology in organization information on land cover ,which reflects the observed physical and biological cover of the Earth's source that is a function of natural changes in the environment and of previous and current land use. The SEEA Central Framework provide a complete classification for land cover. based on the FAO Land Cover Classification, comprises 14 basis classes and is presented in full in Annex I of the SEEA Central Framework. The classification allow the derivation of statistical information on land cover. The land cover account allow an additional step in the analysis of land cover change showing reasons for land cover change, such as changes relates to urban growth and development of infrastructure (through conversion of crops or tree- covered areas), deforestation, desertification, etc. The land use account allows the compilation of indicators related to this target including the urbanization rate, etc.			1	
licator 11.3.2 Cities	with more than 100,000 inhabitants that implement urban and regional development	plans integrating population projections and resource needs (BBB)				
UNHABITAT WB	same indicator This indicator is ambiguous and does not reflect the actual coordination of planning for participatory, integrated and sustainable cities. The indicator does not require the plan to be current, or to actually adequately reflect the challenges in the city. Furthermore 'implementing' the development plan is also unclear, as there can be many levels of implementation.	City reporting.	UN-Habitat.		2	same than 11.a.1
UNFPA	F				2	11.a
rget 11.4 Stren	gthen efforts to protect and safeguard the world's cultural and natu	ral heritage				
Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification Share of national (or municipal) budget which is dedicated to preservation, protection and conservation of national cultural natural heritage including World Heritage sites	Source Ministry of Finance/Budget and National Statistical Offices	Entity UNESCO-UIS (but there are no current data collections for this), UN-HABITAT	Tier Tier II	Priority	Interlinkages 8.9, 11,7, 12.b
U 44 4 4 B	ntage of budget provided for maintaining cultural and natural heritage (BBA)	Ministry of Finance/Budget and National Statistical Offices	UNESCO-UIS (but there are no current		2	
UNESCO	[Share of national (or municipal) budget which is dedicated to preservation, protection and conservation of national cultural natural heritage including World Heritage sites]. Disaggregations: none	and the state of t	data collections for this)		 	

List of Proposa	IS					
	ition: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNSD	[Share of national (or municipal) budget which is dedicated to preservation,	Environmental Protection Expenditure Accounts (EPEA) in the SEEA			1	
	protection and conservation of national cultural natural heritage including World	Cultural and natural heritage are considered as ecosystem assets and hence				
	Heritage sites]	efforts to protect and safeguard the world's cultural and natural heritage is considered as an environmental protection activities				
		considered as an environmental protection activities				
		EPEA in the SEEA Central Framework provide information on the output of				
		environmental protection specific services produced across the economy and on				
		the expenditure of resident units on all goods and services for environmental				
		protection purposes.				
		The CEFA Control Framework provide a complete electification for				
		The SEEA Central Framework provide a complete classification for environmental protection activities (Classification of Environmental Activities)				
		comprises 16 basis classes and is presented in full in Annex I of the SEEA Central				
		Framework. The classification allow the derivation of statistical information on				
		environmental protection activities including the protection of biodiversity,				
		landscape and cultural and natural heritage site.				
Indicator 11.4.2 Perce	Lentage of urban area and percentage of historical/cultural sites accorded protected states	Lus (BAA)				
UNESCO	[Historical/cultural sites and urban area which are subject to protection by law	Municipal/national data and heritage office records; National inventories	UNESCO-UIS (but there are no current		3	
	(legislative regulation?) ensuring their integrity.) Disaggregations: none		data collections for this)			(0.04/0.57/177
UNHABITAT	same indicator	National government and state/provincial inventory	UNESCO, UN-Habitat		2	(8.9.1 / 8.9.2 / 12.b.1 / 12.b.2)
IUCN	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN recommends that ["Change in	Data sources: World Heritage Outlook	IUCN. Available globally, and can be		1	
	aggregate World Heritage Outlook ratings"] would be a useful complementary	(http://www.worldheritageoutlook.iucn.org).	disaggregated to national and regional			
UNESCO	indicator here. [Number and Percentage of the labour force that holds a heritage occupation or is	Labour Force Surveys	levels. UNESCO-UIS from the Cultural		1	
UNESCO	employed in the heritage sector] Disaggregations: sex (and others where data are	Labour Force Surveys	Employment Survey which will be		1	
	available)		launched in July 2015			
Target 11.5 By 2	030, significantly reduce the number of deaths and the number of pe	ople affected and substantially decrease the direct economic lo	sses relative to global gross domes	tic prod	uct cau	used by disasters,
including water-relat	and discretions, with a feature on much atting the many and manufactor with					
meruumg water-relat	ed disasters, with a focus on protecting the poor and people in vulne	rable situations				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
Contributor Name	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters	Source	Entity UNISDR	Tier F	Priority	Interlinkages 1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)			Priority	
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)			Priority	
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events]	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA)	UNISDR		Priority	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)			Priority 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events]	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA)	UNISDR			1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.]	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC	World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat		1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/ 7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1)
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured,	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA)	UNISDR			1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.]	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC	World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat		1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/ 7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1)
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC	World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR		1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1)
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the		1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]\[^n\). Please see UNISDR input paper attached.\[^n\) UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 b
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform o the following targets: 1.5 b 2030 build the resilience of
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.0.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform on the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 61.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 br 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: ["Number of deaths per	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 b 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Were of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by definition of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by definition of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator:"Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type." At the global level, the core indicator should.	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 b 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climaterelated extreme events and other economic, social and other economic, social and
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: "Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type." JAt the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by disaster type (floods, droughts, tsunamis, earthquakes,	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/ 7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climaterelated extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Wer of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by displaced. Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: "Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by disaster type (floods, droughts, tsunamis, earthquakes, landslides etc.) and could be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims;	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/ 7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform on the following targets: 1.5 b 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: ["Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims; further disaggregation at national level to include frequency of event and its	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/ 7.1.1/11.1.1/11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 brown of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerablity to climaterelated extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen resilience and adaptive
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Wer of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by displaced. Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: "Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by disaster type (floods, droughts, tsunamis, earthquakes, landslides etc.) and could be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims;	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform of the following targets: 1.5 br. 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate related
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: ["Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims; further disaggregation at national level to include frequency of event and its	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1 / 1.4.2 / 1.5.1 / 1.5.2 / 6.1.1 / 6.2.1 / 6.3.1 / 6.4.1 / 7.1.1 / 11.1.1 / 11.b.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform or the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerableity to climaterelated extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen resilience and adaptive
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: ["Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims; further disaggregation at national level to include frequency of event and its	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2, 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/7.1.1/11.1.1/11.1.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform or the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate related hazards and natural disasters.
Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 11.5.1 Numb UNEP UNHABITAT UNISDR UNWOMEN	Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. er of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated or otherwise affected by d Multi-purpose indicator: [Proportion of population resilient/robust to hazards and climate -related events] [Number of people killed, injured, displaced, or otherwise affected by critical and slow onset events.] UNISR proposes the refinement into \[Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. This indicator comprises 6 separate categories where each requires monitoring. The trends in numbers mentioned other than number of people killed or injured are reflective of government DRR strategies and are not absolute indications of their effectiveness. A zero evacuation rate might imply a high level of protective structural measures or a high number of people killed due to inaction. Impact of each category is different, that is one death is not equivalent to one person evacuated, making a composite metric for the indicator 11.5.1 difficult to attain. To make this easier to measure and monitor, it could be reduced to the indicator: ["Number of deaths per year resulting from each disaster type."] At the global level, the core indicator should be able to be disaggregated by income, gender, and age of victims; further disaggregation at national level to include frequency of event and its	Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) isasters (BBA) Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor.	UNISDR World Bank, OCHA, UN-Habitat UNISDR WMO, on behalf of UN-Water. Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		1 1	1.5, 13.1, 14.2, 15.3 (1.4.1/1.4.2/1.5.1/1.5.2, 6.1.1/6.2.1/6.3.1/6.4.1/7.1.1/11.1.1/11.1.1) 13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 This indicator can inform or the following targets: 1.5 by 2030 build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations, and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters. 13.1 strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate related hazards and natural disasters.

	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U, REFORMULATED INDICATOR: [Number of people killed, injured, displaced or	Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) EM-DAT	Centre for Research on the Epidemiology		1	1.5, 13.1
ointSubmissionDisplace		International Disaster Database National disaster loss databases and other	of Disasters (CRED) EM-DAT International		*	1.5, 15.1
entIndicators	people killed, injured, displaced or otherwise affected by disasters, crises and other		Disaster Database (global coverage) OCHA			
		emergencies) Existing/developing (national level) Government statistics and	(ongoing humanitarian emergencies)			
	on displacement per se more readily available at global level than in the case of	population data. Registration and documentation of IDPs and refugees, in	Displacement: UNHCR (global coverage,			
	evacuations and relocations. However, should be noted that the effectiveness of	particular UNHCR registration (figures disaggregated by age, gender and	with data generally provided by			
		disabilities - AGD mainstreaming) and profiling exercises, , annual refugee flow	Governments, based on their own			
	reduced disaster risk/impacts. At the same time, while evacuations are mostly	and stock figures and number of asylum applications, participatory needs	definitions and methods of data collection)			
	temporary and often coordinated, displacement encompasses the more longer-term	assessments and population surveys by humanitarian actors. UNHCR	Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre			
	forced uprooting of people and resulting uncertainty and impacts on their lives and	registration data IOM Displacement Tracking Matrix Internal Displacement	(Currently internal displacement profiles			
		Monitoring Centre (IDMC) IDP Database and Annual Global Estimates Reports	for 50 countries. Global reports since			
	where possible, harmonized. Current indicators 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 should be replaced as	for displacement induced by conflict/generalized violence and disasters, as well	1998.)			
	they are covered more comprehensively by/under 11.5.1 and 11.5.2. However,	as UN Population Fund (UNFPA) figures to normalize displacement estimates.	1550.)			
	whereas 11.5 and its indicators cover only disasters, 1.5 covers a wider range of	Joint IDP Profiling Service (collects data disaggregated by sex, age, location and				
	hazards, such as social, economic and environmental shocks. Hence a multi-purpose	diversity) [If expanded to cover also crises and other shocks:] Uppsala Conflict				
	global indicator covering the number of people killed, injured, displaced or otherwise	Data Programme (counts annual number of people killed as a result of conflict,				
	affected by disasters, crises and other (social, economic and environmental) shocks	wars etc.)				
	(linked to 1.5, 11.5, 13.1, 16.1 as well as 10.7) would be advisable, complemented by	wars etc.)				
	the above alternative indicator 1 for 1.5 (linked also to 11.5, 13.1, 16.1 as well as 10.7)					
	that would measure the (number and) percentage of forcibly displaced people who					
	have found a durable solution to their displacement as a measure of resilience among particularly vulnerable and marginalized groups (i.e. refugees and internally displaced					
	persons). See					
	metadata for more detailed information.					
	metadata for more detailed information.					
VB	Modify to ['Number of people killed, injured, displaced, evacuated, relocated, or					
VD	otherwise affected by disasters PER YEAR'; or can be normalized by population size.]					
	otherwise directed by disasters i an increase of population size.					
cator 11.5.2 Numb	er of housing units damaged and destroyed (BBA)					
UNHABITAT	same indicator				2	(1.5.1/15.2/6.4.1/7.1
UNISDR	UNISR proposes \[Direct disaster economic loss in relation to global gross domestic	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		2	13.1, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3, 2
	<pre>product]". UNISDR also proposes "[Number of housing units damaged and destroyed</pre>					
	by disasters]" though priority is lower compared to economic loss indicators. Please					
	see UNISDR input paper attached. "					
505						
ECE	Indicator will be highly variable depending on variability of family income in the local	A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring	WMO, on behalf of UN-Water: Under the			
ECE	Indicator will be highly variable depending on variability of family income in the local society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in	A new monitoring framework is needed drawing upon existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	WMO, on behalf of UN-Water: Under the UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring			
ECE			*			
t(t	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring			
ECE	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under			
ECE	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring			
ECE	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT			
ECE	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT			
ELE	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		2	1.5, 13.1
ECE JointSubmissionDisplace	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.]		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		2	1.5, 13.1
	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall,		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		2	1.5, 13.1
JointSubmissionDisplace	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall,		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		2	1.5, 13.1
IointSubmissionDisplace mentindicators	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be		UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT		2	1.5, 13.1
ointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.]	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target.		2	1.5, 13.1
iointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor.	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target.	Tier	2 Priority	
iointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Coluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa Source	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target.	Tier Tier III		1.5, 13.1 Interlinkages 12.3, 12.5
ointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.]	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. The control of the c	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor for this target.			Interlinkages
pointSubmissionDisplace nentIndicators VB set 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name ested Indicator	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed (disaggregated by type of waste)	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Cluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor for this target.			Interlinkages
ointSubmissionDisplace nentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name ested Indicator	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 3030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed (disaggregated by type of waste)	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Cluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target. and other waste management	Tier III		Interlinkages 12.3, 12.5
iointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name tested Indicator	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed (disaggregated by type of waste) Level of ambient particulate matter (PM 10 and PM 2.5)	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Cluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target. and other waste management	Tier III		Interlinkages 12.3, 12.5 3.9.1
iointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name tested Indicator	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed (disaggregated by type of waste) Level of ambient particulate matter (PM 10 and PM 2.5) age of urban solid waste regularly collected and recycled (disaggregated by E-waste an	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Cluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target. and other waste management	Tier III	Priority	Interlinkages 12.3, 12.5 3.9.1
iointSubmissionDisplace mentIndicators WB get 11.6 By 2 Contributor Name ested Indicator	society; and it is difficult to measure most vulnerable sectors of communities living in informal settlements. Many of the most vulnerable do not live in formal "housing units". It would be more advantageous to focus on major permanent structures of critical importance such as hospitals, schools, and water treatment plants. The preferred indicator would be: ["Damages by disaster type per year to critical infrastructure such as health (hospitals), educational (schools), and water treatment plants.] 11.5.2 encompasses health and education facilities mentioned in 1.5.2. Overall, important to include aforementioned (and other) critical public structures and homes. Modify to ["Number of housing units damaged or destroyed PER YEAR". or can be normalized by population size.] 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, in Specification Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed (disaggregated by type of waste) Level of ambient particulate matter (PM 10 and PM 2.5) age of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed] [Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed]	programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and Desinventor. Cluding by paying special attention to air quality and municipa Source	UN-Water umbrella, the GEMI monitoring framework (see further description under 6.3.1) will draw on existing monitoring programmes/databases such as EM-DAT (CRED) and DesInventor for this target. and other waste management	Tier III	Priority	Interlinkages 12.3, 12.5 3.9.1 (12.3.1/12.3.2/12.5.

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. [Percentage of urban solid waste regularly collected and well managed Solid waste accounts in the SEEA (disaggregated by type of waste)] Solid waste accounts in the SEEA Central Framework are useful in organizing information on the generation of solid waste and the management of flows of solid waste to recycling facilities, to controlled landfills or directly to the environment. Measures of the amount of waste in aggregate or of quantities of specific waste materials are important indicators of environmental pressures. The construction of solid waste accounts allows these indicators to be place in a broader context with economic data in both physical and monitoring terms. The accounts highlight various activities of the waste collection, treatment and disposal industry that include landfill operation, incineration of solid waste, recycling and reuse activities and other treatment of solid waste In sum, the accounts allows the compilation of indicators related to this target including the volume of solid waste recycled, the volume of national waste generation disaggregated by industry, etc. ndicator 11.6.2 Level of ambient particulate matter (PM 10 and PM 2.5) (BBA) **UN-Habitat** same indicator Municipal bodies or private contractor UNEP, UN-Habitat 2 (3.9.1.)An alternative indicator ["Size of urban environmental footprint"] can be considered For existing indicator, particulate matter from transport estimable by ICCT using 3.9 WB Roadmap Model or IEA using MoMo model arget 11.7 By 2030, provide universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible, green and public spaces, in particular for women and children, older persons and persons with disabilities Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages uggested Indicato The average share of the built-up areas of cities in open space in public ownership Satellite imagery (open sources), legal documents outlining publicly owned UN-Habitat 12.b. 16.1 Indicator 11.7.1 Area of public space as a proportion of total city space (BBB) UNHABITAT [The average share of the built-up areas of cities in open space in public ownership Satellite imagery (open sources), legal documents outlining publicly owned **UN-Habitat** 1 (12.b.1 / 16.1.1) and use.] land, community-based maps IUCN Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator. Data sources: Protected Planet (http://www.protectedplanet.net/) for Responsible entities and national 1 protected areas data, overlaid onto urban spatial data. availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC. Available globally since 1950s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels. WB This target should not only target the total number of green and public spaces, but also 2 the distribution of those spaces along the city. This proposed indicator fails to highlight the spatial distribution of green and public spaces. We note the critical importance of public spaces, which include the street network, for providing the main channel through which infrastructure such as water pipes can be laid. Intersections per km is one way to measure the adequacy of the street network. UNSD The average share of the built-up areas of cities in open space in public ownership Land use account in the SEEA Central Framework Land accounts in the SEEA Central Framework are useful in organization and use.1 information on land use and land cover. In particular, the land use accounts provide the statistical methodology in organization information on land use which reflects both the activities undertaken and the institutional arrangements put in place, for a given area for the purposes of economic production, human activities or the main maintenance and restoration of environment function The SEEA Central Framework provide a complete classification for land use comprises 46 basis classes and is presented in full in Annex I of the SEEA Central Framework. The classification allow the derivation of statistical information on land use of built up and related areas for recreational facilities. In sum, the land use account allows the compilation of indicators related to this target including the average share of the built-up areas of cities in open space for recreational use, etc. Please refer to Chapter 5.6 in the SEEA Central Framework for more information on the land accounts Indicator 11.7.2 Proportion of residents within 0.5 km of accessible green and public space (CBB)

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Satellite imagery (open sources), legal documents outlining publicly owned UN-Habitat land, community-based maps This indicator is better, but still does not adequately capture the target. This indicator WB 1 does not highlight the connectivity (and services) that should be provided by green and specially public spaces. The buffer is too big to be considered accessible. Furthermore, it will be hard to get high resolution spatially representative socio-demographic data which includes older persons and people with disabilities as is being proposed by Γarget 11.a Support positive economic, social and environmental links between urban, peri-urban and rural areas by strengthening national and regional development planning Contributor Name Specification Interlinkages Entity Tier Priority Source UNDESA, Census information, city data UNFPA, UN-Habitat, DESA Suggested Indicato Cities with more than 100,000 inhabitants that implement urban and regional evelopment plans integrating population projections and resource needs Indicator 11.a.1 Cities with more than 100,000 inhabitants that implement urban and regional development plans integrating population projections and resource needs (CBB) UNHABITAT same indicator UNDESA, Census information, city data UNFPA, UN-Habitat no link UNFPA 1 11.3 Ratio of land consumption rate to population growth rate at comparable scale (CBB) ndicator 11.a.2 UNHABITAT used as indicator 11.3.1 and modified as efficient land use UN-Habitat and World Bank already covered by indicator 11.3.1 that is a multi-purpose indicator (2.1.2 / 3.9.1 / 6.4.1 /6.6.1 / 11.a / 11.1 /11.b.1/ 12.1.1 / 13.2.1 / 15.3.1 / 15.4.1) WB Land consumption vs. population growth has probably hit a ceiling in some countries, where there are simply no more land resources to distribute (e.g. Bangladesh, Rwanda, Burundi(. Thus this will have to be looked at with a clear context to the current country baseline. UNFPA By 2020, substantially increase the number of cities and human settlements adopting and implementing integrated policies and plans towards inclusion, resource efficiency, mitigation and adaptation to climate change, resilience to disasters, and develop and implement, in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, holistic disaster risk management at all levels Interlinkages Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Percentage of cities implementing risk reduction and resilience policies that include Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC Suggested Indicato UN-Habitat, World Bank, ICLEL UNISDR 13.3 Tier I vulnerable and marginalized groups Rockefeller Foundation, 100 Resilient Cities, Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Reconstruction, Interamerican Development Bank, and C40 Climate Leadership Group Percent of cities with more than 100,000 inhabitants that are implementing risk reduction and resilience strategies aligned with accepted international frameworks (such as the successor to the Hyogo Framework for Action on Disaster Risk Reduction) that include ulnerable and marginalized groups in their design, implementation and monitoring (CBB) UNHABITAT [Percentage of cities implementing risk reduction and resilience policies that include | Government data, OCHA, NGO sources, UNHCR, IOM and IDMC UN-Habitat, World Bank, ICLEI, UNISDR. (13.3.1) /ulnerable and marginalized groups.] Rockefeller Foundation, 100 Resilient Cities, Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Reconstruction, Interamerican Development Bank, and C40 Climate Leadership Group UNISDR UNISDR proposes [Number of local governments with more than 100,000 SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in UNISDR 1 13.1, 9.1, 11.5, 14.2 inhabitants and capital cities that adopt and implement local DRR strategies in line 2013) with Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, in relation to total number of local governments with more than 100,000 inhabitants and capital cities". Please see UNISDR input paper attached." Indicator 11.b.2 Population density measured over continuous urban footprint (BBB) UNHABITAT covered by Indicator 11.3.1 that has been modified as follows: [Efficient land use] refer to indicator 11.3.1 refer to indicator 11.3.1 Target 11.c Support least developed countries, including through financial and technical assistance, in building sustainable and resilient buildings utilizing local materials Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicato Percentage of financial support that is allocated to the construction and retrofitting UN-Habitat, World Bank National accounts and state, provincial and local budgets of sustainable, resilient and resource-efficient buildings Indicator 11.c.1 Percentage of financial support that is allocated to the construction and retrofitting of sustainable, resilient and resource-efficient buildings (CBB) UN-Habitat, World Bank UNHABITAT same indicator National accounts and state, provincial and local budgets 1 no link ndicator 11.c.2 Sub-national government revenues and expenditures as a percentage of general government revenue and expenditures, including for buildings; own revenue collection (source revenue) as a percentage of total city revenue (CBB) UNHABITAT Goal 12 Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns

* Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.

air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment

Target 12.1 Implement the 10-year framework of programmes on sustainable consumption and production, all countries taking action, with developed countries taking the lead, taking into account the development and capabilities of developing countries

| Contributor Name | Specification | Source | Entity | Tier | Priority | Interlinkages

Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Number of countries with SCP National Actions Plans or SCP mainstreamed as a	Data not available currently – quantitative data will be provided by mid-2015	UNEP	Tier II		2.4, 4.7, 8.4, 8.9, 9a,
	priority or target into national policies, poverty reduction strategies and sustainable	as a result of the first Global Survey on SCP, and conducted on a regular basis				11c, 12.3, 12.7, 12.8, 12.a
	development strategies					12.b, 14.7, 17.16, 17.19
	er of countries with SCP National Actions Plans or SCP mainstreamed as a priority or ta		pment strategies (BBB)			
UNEP		Good – Quantitative data will be provided by mid-2015 as a result of the first			1	2.4, 4.7, 8.4, 8.9, 9a,
		Global Survey on SCP, and conducted on a regular basis thereafter				11c, 12.3, 12.7, 12.8, 12.
						12.b, 14.7, 17.16, 17.19
dicator 12.1.2 Number	er of countries with inter-ministerial coordination and multi-stakeholder mechanisms	supporting the shift to SCP, as well as organizations with agreed monitoring, im	plementation and evaluation arrangements	(CBB)		
UNEP	Replace with: Number of countries / organizations actively engaged in regional	Quantitative data will be provided by mid-2015 as a result of the Global Survey			2	2.4, 4.7, 8.4, 8.9, 9a,
	cooperation supporting the implementation of SCP activities at the regional, sub-	on SCP and conducted on a regular basis				11c, 12.3, 12.7, 12.8, 12.
	regional and national levels	_				12.b, 14.7, 17.16, 17.19
arget 12.2 By 20	30, achieve the sustainable management and efficient use of natura	I resources				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
aggested Indicator	Material footprint (MF) and MF/capita		UNEP/OECD. The OECD's Input/output	Tier II	FIIOTILY	8.4, 12.5
iggested indicator	waterial rootprint (wir) and wir/capita	accounts and standard MRIOs such as EXIOBASE, EORA or GTAP-WDIO; for	tables could be used to compute this; see	Her II		0.4, 12.3
			http://www.oecd.org/trade/input-			
		DIVIT: reliable data available from ONEP and Eurostat for the last four decades				
			outputtables.htm.			
	(5.5)					
	stic Material Consumption (DMC) and DMC/capita (BBB)					0.4.40.5
UNEP	DMC is defined as the total amount of materials directly used in the economy (used				1	8.4, 12.5
	domestic extraction plus imports), minus the materials that are exported. This					
1	indicator informs policy about the amount of materials required to produce the					
	national product. Data is available for most countries of the world for the last 4					
	decades. DMC is measured in metric tons					
	al footprint (MF) and MF/capita (BBB)					
UNEP	MF is defined as the global allocation of used raw material extraction to the final	For MF doable for the last two decades based on material extraction satellite	UNEP/OECD. The OECD's Input/output		2	8.4, 12.5
	demand of an economy. It is calculated using a consumption approach based on the	accounts and standard MRIOs such as EXIOBASE, EORA or GTAP-WDIO; for DMI:	tables could be used to compute this; see			
!	attribution of global materials extraction to final consumption. MF is measured in	reliable data available from UNEP and Eurostat for the last four decades	http://www.oecd.org/trade/input-			
	metric tons. In addition, Domestic Material Input (DMI) incl. per capita rates.		outputtables.htm.			
IUCN	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: Indicators of sustainable use of non-living	Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Responsible entities and national		2	15.5 (and disaggregated
1	resources could usefully be supplemented by an indicator of sustainable use of species	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological	availability: IUCN Red List Partnership			versions for other target
1	such as the ["Red List Index (impacts of biological resource use)"]. The indicator is	resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part			
!	used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 4	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	ners-and-technical-support). Available			
1	(http://www.bipindicators.net/redlistindexforbirdsmammalsandamphibians).	schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	globally since 1980s, and can be			
	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		disaggregated to national and regional			
1			levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE			
!			9(11): e113934).			
arget 12.3 By 20	30, halve per capita global food waste at the retail and consumer le	vols and reduce food losses along production and supply chains	, , ,			
Contributor Name	Specification		Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Global Food Loss Index (GFLI)	Source The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies	FAO will compile the indicator on a	Tier II	Priority	interinikages
abbested multatur	GIODAL I OUG E033 IIIUEA (GI EI)	on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural	regular basis as part of the Food Balance	Her II		
			preguial pasis as part of the rood balance			
			Shoots in EAOSTAT			
		Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other	Sheets in FAOSTAT			
dicator 12.2.1 Clabal	Food Loss Index (CELI) / CDD)		Sheets in FAOSTAT			
	Food Loss Index (GFLI) (CBB) The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources.			1	
dicator 12.3.1 Global	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular		1	
	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in		1	
	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular		1	
IFAD	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources.	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT			
	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular		1	
IFAD	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in			
IFAD	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular			
FAO	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in			
FAO dicator 12.3.2 Per cap	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources.	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in		1	
FAO	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources.	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT			1.5, 2.4, 8.4
FAO dicator 12.3.2 Per cap	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. data availability and quality currently poor, baseline needs to be established in order to track percentage reduction. The Food Loss Index will be integrated into	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT		1	1.5, 2.4, 8.4
FAO dicator 12.3.2 Per cap	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources.	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT		1	1.5, 2.4, 8.4
FAO dicator 12.3.2 Per cap	The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food. The indicator measures the totality of losses occurring from the time at which production of an agricultural product is recorded until it reaches the final consumer as food.	Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. The indicator is primarily model-based. The calculation of the indicator relies on primary data collected from government agencies in the Agricultural Production Questionnaire or harvested from official publications and other sources. data availability and quality currently poor, baseline needs to be established in order to track percentage reduction. The Food Loss Index will be integrated into	FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT FAO will compile the indicator on a regular basis as part of the Food Balance Sheets in FAOSTAT		1	1.5, 2.4, 8.4

Contributor Name uggested Indicator		/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.	F.01			
uggested Indicator	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	Number of Parties to international multilateral environmental agreements on	Very good availability of information through the Secretariat of the Basel,	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and	Tier I		Applicable to target 17.14
	hazardous and other chemicals and waste that meet their commitments and	Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, SAICM, Minamata Convention, and	Stockholm Conventions, Interim			
	obligations in transmitting information as required by each relevant agreement	Montreal Protocol(Ozone).	Secretariat of the Minamata Convention,			
			SAICM Secretariat . Countries covered:			
			183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154			
			Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and			
			179 countries to the Stockholm			
			Convention; Montreal Protocol Data are			
			available for up to 196 countries.			
			·			
ndicator 12.4.1 Numb	per of Parties to, and number of national reports on the implementation of, internation	al multilateral environmental agreements on hazardous chemicals and waste (BBB)			
UNEP	Modified : [Number of Parties to international multilateral environmental	Very good availability of information through the Secretariat of the Basel,	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and		1	Applicable to target 17.14
	agreements on hazardous and other chemicals and waste that meet their	Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, SAICM, Minamata Convention, and	Stockholm Conventions, Interim	II.		5
	commitments and obligations in transmitting information as required by each	Montreal Protocol(Ozone).	Secretariat of the Minamata Convention,	ı		
	relevant agreement]	inonarea i i rococo (ozone).	SAICM Secretariat . Countries covered: 183	ı		
	relevant agreement			II.		
			Parties of the Basel Convention, 154	ı		
			Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and	ı		
			179 countries to the Stockholm	ı		
			Convention; Montreal Protocol Data are	ı		
			available for up to 196 countries.			
IUCN	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: Indicators of pollution control could usefully	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsible entities and national	I	1	15.5 (and disaggregated
	be supplemented by an indicator of pollution impacts on nature, such as the ["Red List	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "9 Pollution"	availability: IUCN Red List Partnership	ı		versions for other targets)
	Index (impacts of pollution)"]. The Red List Index is used as an indicator towards Aichi	in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part	ı		
	Target 12 (http://www.bipindicators.net/rli/2010).	documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	ners-and-technical-support). Available	II.		
			globally since 1980s, and can be	ı		
			disaggregated to national and regional	ı		
			levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE	ı		
			9(11): e113934).			
ndicator 12.4.2 Annua	I al average levels of selected contaminants in air, water and soil from industrial sources,	energy generation, agriculture, transport and wastewater and waste treatmen			L	
UNEP	a average revers of selected contaminants in any water and son from madstrar sources	Data on nitrogen surplus, nitrogen deposition, loss of reactive nitrogen to the	International Nitrogen Initiative (Indicator		П	Targets 6.3, 12.4, 14.1
0.12.		environment can be obtained from : http://bipindicators.net/nitrogendposition	under the BIP) and Secretariat of the	ı		1418613 013, 1211, 1111
		Data on POPs and hazardous wastes can be obtained from National reports	Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm	ı		
		l ·	1	ı		
		under the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions .	Conventions (partly). Countries covered:	ı		
			183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154	ı		
			Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and	ı		
			179 countries to the Stockholm	ı		
			Convention	l		
WB	Indicator 12.4.2 will need a lot of careful thinking about the data sources and			II.		
	processing. There will be big differences in monitoring and analytical quality between			ı		
	countries, and data may be diverse and hard to standardize and compare. It may be			ı		
	necessary to issue very details instructions on which parameters to measure, where,			II.		
	how, how frequently and how to format the data.					
	30, substantially reduce waste generation through prevention, reduc	ction, recycling and reuse				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
			Entity Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and	Tier Tier II	Priority	Interlinkages Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name	Specification	Source			Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly).		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown,	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries		Priority	
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal).	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries		Priority	
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled and waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA)	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD		Priority	
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Partles of the Basel Convention, 154 Partles to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including obsolete stockpiles of pesticides, recovered, reused and recycled, and disposed;	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including obsolete stockpiles of pesticides, recovered, reused and recycled, and disposed; Number of facilities for environmentally sound management of hazardous waste; E-	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including obsolete stockpiles of pesticides, recovered, reused and recycled, and disposed;	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including obsolete stockpiles of pesticides, recovered, reused and recycled, and disposed; Number of facilities for environmentally sound management of hazardous waste; E-	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled nal waste generation (solid waste to landfill and incineration and disaggregated data for Alternatives: [Waste generation rates (kg per capita/year, overall and by economic sector and waste type); Percentage of hazardous wastes and other wastes, including obsolete stockpiles of pesticides, recovered, reused and recycled, and disposed; Number of facilities for environmentally sound management of hazardous waste; E-	Source For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal). or e-waste) in kg per capita/year (BAA) Basel Convention (National reports include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention, UNSD Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the		Priority	Applicable to target 11.6

	ls					
Note on Disaggregat	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	I/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNEP	ADD: Share of the re-used goods on the market	For national recycling rate: poor data availability and quality, waste and recycling statistics not well standardized, waste amount often underestimated; for 're-used goods': data availability and quality unknown, no info about such data collection so far. But Basel Convention (National reports) include information on the generation of hazardous and other wastes, also with the indication which wastes are destined for recycling and which are for disposal).	Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions (partly). Countries covered: 183 Parties of the Basel Convention, 154 Parties to the Rotterdam Convention and 179 countries to the Stockholm Convention			Applicable to targets 8.4 11.6, 12.3
WB	Indicator 12.5.2 could include percentage of waste going towards waste to energy schemes (waste incinerators with coupled power or heat generation)					
UNSD	National recycling rate, tonnes of material recycled	Solid waste accounts in the SEEA Central Framework are useful in organizing information on the generation of solid waste and the management of flows of solid waste to recycling facilities, to controlled landfills or directly to the environment. Measures of the amount of waste in aggregate or of quantities of specific waste materials are important indicators of environmental pressures. The construction of solid waste accounts allows these indicators to be place in a broader context with economic data in both physical and monitoring terms. The SEEA accounts highlight various activities of the waste collection, treatment and disposal industry that include landfill operation, incineration of solid waste, recycling and reuse activities and other treatment of solid waste In sum, the accounts allows the compilation of indicators related to this target including the volume of solid waste recycled, the volume of national waste generation disaggregated by industry, etc. Please refer to Chapter 3.6.5 in the SEEA Central Framework for more information on the solid waste accounts			1	
	urage companies, especially large and transnational companies, to a	<u>, i </u>	1 0 7		Priority	
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity			Interlinkages
uggested indicator	Number of companies publishing sustainability reporting	Very Good; GRI, IIRC, UNGC or SASB all have data on company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune	UNEP, GRI	Tier I	. Honey	12.8
dicator 12.6.1 Sustai	nability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies dis	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500		Tier I		
ndicator 12.6.1 Sustain		company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 Gl		Tier I		th information in their
ndicator 12.6.1 Sustain	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 sclosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and		Tier I	oanies wi	th information in their
dicator 12.6.1 Sustain ustainability reporting alig UNEP UNWOMEN WB	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies dispated with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover?	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 sclosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing trelated to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis		Tier I	oanies wi	th information in their
ndicator 12.6.1 Sustain ustainability reporting alig UNEP UNWOMEN WB	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 sclosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing trelated to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis		Tier I	oanies wi	th information in their
ustainability reporting alig UNEP UNWOMEN WB	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover?	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 colosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis rmation in integrated reporting (CBB) Very Good; GRI, IIRC, UNGC or SASB all have data on company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped		Tier I	2	th information in their market share' indicator: 8.
UNEP UNWOMEN WB UNEP UNWOMEN WB Mdicator 12.6.2 Numb UNEP	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? er or % of companies that produce sustainability reports or include sustainability info revise: [Number of companies publishing sustainability reporting] Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover?	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 colosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis Trenation in integrated reporting (CBB) Very Good; GRI, IIRC, UNGC or SASB all have data on company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 e with national policies and priorities	the entire supply chain ; 3) % of the report	Tier I	2	market share' indicator: 8.
UNEP UNWOMEN WB UNEP UNEP UNWOMEN WB UNEP WB Carget 12.7 Prom Contributor Name	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? ver or % of companies that produce sustainability reports or include sustainability info revise: [Number of companies publishing sustainability reporting] Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover?	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 colosing sustainability information 2) the % of such reporting which is addressing related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis rmation in integrated reporting (CBB) Very Good; GRI, IIRC, UNGC or SASB all have data on company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500		Tier I	2	market share' indicator: 8.
UNEP UNWOMEN WB UNEP UNEP UNWOMEN WB INDICATE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies distinct with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? eer or % of companies that produce sustainability reports or include sustainability inform revise: [Number of companies publishing sustainability reporting] Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? note public procurement practices that are sustainable, in accordance Specification Number of countries implementing Sustainable Public Procurement policies and action plans	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to '	the entire supply chain ; 3) % of the report	Tier I	2	market share' indicator: 8. 12.8 Interlinkages
UNEP UNWOMEN WB Indicator 12.6.2 Numb UNEP WB Contributor Name Suggested Indicator	inability reporting rate and quality: 1) Percentage of the world's largest companies dispended with relevant indicators in the SDGs (CBB) replace with: [Market share of goods and services certified by independently verified sustainability labelling scheme] (covering 12.8 as well) UN Women fully supports this indicator. Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? Per or % of companies that produce sustainability reports or include sustainability infor revise: [Number of companies publishing sustainability reporting] Would it make sense to define the size of the targeted companies? If so, by which criteria? E.g. Output? Employees? Turnover? The public procurement practices that are sustainable, in accordance specification Number of countries implementing Sustainable Public Procurement policies and	company reporting and reporting content (though this would need to be pulled together and mapped against the companies listed in the Fortune Global 500 I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to 'market share' indicator: Poor; lack of data from retailers and consumer goods manufacturers and consumer goods manufacturers, especially on a per country basis I related to '	the entire supply chain ; 3) % of the report	Tier I	2	market share' indicator: 8.5

List of Proposal	s					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNEP	ADD: Impact of SPP on CO2 Emissions	for %of SPP: Poor; developed at a pilot level. Issues with availability of procurement data, selection of criteria and product groups; for CO2 emissions: Poor; developed at a pilot level. Issue with the availability of procurement data			2	% of SPP in total PP': 8.4, 12.2; 'CO2 emissions: 8.4, 7
arget 12.8 By 20	030, ensure that people everywhere have the relevant information a	nd awareness for sustainable development and lifestyles in ha	mony with nature			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Number of countries reporting inclusion of sustainable development and lifestyles topics in formal education curricula	Data availability is poor. One source focusing on biodiversity is the Biodiversity Barometer (http://www.bipindicators.net/biodiversitybarometer) but other sources are still under development.	Union for Ethical Biotrade (Indicator under the BIP)	Tier III		Targets 4.1, 4.7 , 8.4, 12.1 and 12.8
	er of countries reporting inclusion of sustainable development and lifestyles topics in					
UNEP		Data availability is poor. One source focusing on biodiversity is the Biodiversity Barometer (http://www.bipindicators.net/biodiversitybarometer) but other sources are still under development.	Union for Ethical Biotrade (Indicator under the BIP)		1	Targets 4.1, 4.7 , 8.4, 12.1, and 12.8
WB	We refer to earlier comments on indicator 4.7.1, and the need to 'ask the right questions in the right way" to really find out about people's awareness and understanding of "sustainability". The term might have very different shades and meanings across countries and cultures.					
UNFPA	Suggested specification of 12.8.1 (or alternative to 12.8.2 to help improve rating): [Percentage of education institutions providing Education for Sustainable Development UNESCO global module (all eleven components, ranging from biodiversity and climate, to disaster risk reduction and sustainable lifestyles, to health promotion and cultural diversity, together offering a holistic approach).]	UNESCO ESD is a global mechanism (as compared to UNECE ESD, which is regional). UNESCO ESD: http://www.unesco.org/new/en/education/themes/leading-the-international-agenda/education-for-sustainable-development/ UNECE ESD: http://www.unece.org/environmental-policy/education-for-sustainable-development/about-the-strategy-for-esd/the-strategy.html				
ndicator 12.8.2 Freque	ency of researches online for key words with direct links with sustainable developmen	t and lifestyles (CBB)				
UNEP		No data for now – but data could be easily gathered through a search engine, analysing search query data			2	4.7, 8.4,
WB	Excellent approach for data collection. Can this be done for other indicators dealing with "popular awareness"? The only caveat we see is that it should be normalized by the rate of internet access, and a presumed bias of higher education levels having better www access.					
arget 12.a Supp	ort developing countries to strengthen their scientific and technolog	cical capacity to move towards more sustainable patterns of co	nsumption and production			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Number of qualified green patent applications	Poor; however, different patent granting norms prevail across countries Green/SCP related patents can be selected from these databases	WIPO	Tier III		8.9, 14.7
	nt of spending on R&D in developing countries, for SCP (BBB)	_				
UNEP	revise: [R&D spending in environmentally sound technologies]	Poor; reported on an annual basis, but there is a paucity of data for developing countries. R&D for environmentally sound technologies need to be selected from R&D spending for the environment			2	17.7, 17.8, 17.18
	er of patents granted annually in developing countries, for SCP products / innovations					
UNEP	revise: [Number of qualified green patent applications]	Poor; however, different patent granting norms prevail across countries Green/SCP related patents can be selected from these databases	WIPO		1	17.7, 17.8
arget 12.b Deve	lop and implement tools to monitor sustainable development impa	cts for sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes loca	culture and products			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Residual flows generated as a result of tourism direct GDP (derived from an extended version of the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) for tourism)	to be developed: National Statistical Offices	World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO) does not collect this data since the conceptual framework is not yet in place.	Tier III		
	ntage of the destinations with a sustainable tourism strategy/action plan, with agreed					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UNEP		Good in Europe, Eurostat already monitors energy and emissions by sector, as well as municipal waste. However, in many countries tourism is not disaggregated from services, and data may be misleading			2	8.9, 14.7

List of Proposal	s					
	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
UNWTO	Proposed alternative and to be developed indicator: [Residual flows generated as a	to be developed: National Statistical Offices	World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO)	l l	1	
	result of tourism direct GDP (derived from an extended version of the System of		does not collect this data since the		_	
	Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) for tourism)]		conceptual framework is not yet in place.			
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
dicator 12.b.2 Adopt	ed national legislation to integrate sustainability objectives in tourism operations (BB	B)				
UNEP	Revise: [ADOPTED NATIONAL POLICIES TO FRAME SUSTAINABILITY IN TOURISM	Poor; opportunity to monitor this on the national level together with other			1	8.9, 14.7
	OPERATION]	areas on tourism				
UNWTO	Proposed alternative and to be developed indicator: [Resources used and resource	to be developed: National Statistical Offices	World Tourism Organisation (UNWTO)		2	
	efficiency in the production of tourism products and services (derived from an		does not collect this data since the			
	extended version of the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) for		conceptual framework is not yet in place.			
	tourism)]					
Target 12.c Ratio	nalize inefficient fossil-fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consu	mption by removing market distortions, in accordance with nat	ional circumstances, including by	restruct	turing ta	exation and phasing of
hose harmful subsidi	es, where they exist, to reflect their environmental impacts, taking f	ully into account the specific needs and conditions of developing	g countries and minimizing the no	ssible a	dverse	impacts on their
	nner that protects the poor and the affected communities	any med decount the specime needs and conditions of developin	is countries and minimizing the po	331210	iu v ci sc	impacts on their
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
uggested Indicator	Amount of fossil fuel subsidies, per unit of GDP (production and consumption), and	Good; EA is estimating fossil fuel subsidies in a regular manner, within the	IEA	Tier II	Priority	13.2
uggested indicator		framework of the World Energy Outlook with database. Considerably less	ILA	Her II		15.2
	as proportion of total national expenditure on fossil fuels	information on producer subsidies.				
ndicator 12.c.1 Amou	I nt of fossil fuel subsidies, per unit of GDP (production and consumption), and as propo	·				
UNEP AMOU	The or result fact substatics, per unit of GDF (production and consumption), and as proper	Good; EA is estimating fossil fuel subsidies in a regular manner, within the	IEA	I	1	13.2
3.1.2.		framework of the World Energy Outlook with database.	i == :	1	_	15.2
		Considerably less information on producer subsidies, no agreed methodology to				
		benchmark them		1		
UNSD		SEEA Energy	UNSD	1	1	
01135		SEER Energy	CNSD		_	
		Methodology related to data on energy taxes and subsidies are discussed in Ch				
		4 of SEEA CF as well as in SEEA Energy. Input data to populate the various				
		energy taxes and subsidies tables come from the national accounts.				
		energy taxes and substates tubies come from the national accounts.				
	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global res	acts (Acknowledging that the United Nations Fra ponse to climate change.)		mate.	Citatig	e is the primary
international, int Target 13.1 Strer	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignmental for negotiating the global resignmental forum for negotiating the global resignmental for negotiating for negot	ponse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries				
International, int Farget 13.1 Stren	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignated resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification	ponse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
international, int Farget 13.1 Strer	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global res gthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards ar Specification	ponse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries				
rarget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignments and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people.	oonse to climate change.) ad natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	Entity UNISDR	Tier		Interlinkages
nternational, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name luggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignment resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Duntries that report having progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from	oonse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) In an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree	Entity UNISDR	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3
rarget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Puntries that report having progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the resilient (in terms of death and impact) sub-	Donse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) In an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by	Entity UNISDR	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Suntries that report having progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from Decrease in the ratio of vulnerable vs resilient (in terms of death and impact) subpopulation (disaggregated+D12, poor) to exposure of climate-related extreme	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; -	Entity UNISDR	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) m an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: -http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -	Entity UNISDR	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Suntries that report having progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from Decrease in the ratio of vulnerable vs resilient (in terms of death and impact) subpopulation (disaggregated+D12, poor) to exposure of climate-related extreme	nd natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; -http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name luggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	nonse to climate change.) Indicator of natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) In an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name luggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	nonse to climate change.) Id natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degre National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name luggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: -http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; -http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; -http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth-edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/;	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	Actional Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree and incomplete and	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) m an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster- management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://yreview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about- disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	In adural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) In an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree lational Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.dc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicat Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 1
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator dicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degre National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster- management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about- disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/;	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree of the countries of the count	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name luggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: -http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; -http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
nternational, intaget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name uggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	de natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree lational Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.dactmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.nunichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.frc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by tsunami event/risk+E258; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
International, interpret 13.1 Street Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of contributor 13.1.1 # of contributor Indicator Indi	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degre National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster- management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ffrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about- disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by tsunami event/risk+E258; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; -	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
international, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree long to the countries of the	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
international, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.infc.org/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by tsunami event/risk+E258; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.duc.org/	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
International, interpret 13.1 Street Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of contributor 13.1.1 # of contributor Indicator Indi	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	In adural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) In an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree lational Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.dactmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://wate-mon/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by tsunami event/risk+E258; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.tsunami.noaa.gov/observations_data.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
International, interpret 13.1 Street Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of contributor 13.1.1 # of contributor Indicator Indi	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	de natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degre National Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster- management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Dataaccess.htm; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about- disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area im+E265pacted by tsunami event/risk+E258; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://yreview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://yreview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://yreview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://yreview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.tsunami.noaa.gov/observations_data.html; - http://www.tsunami.noaa.gov/observations_data.	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
international, int Target 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree in a national Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; http://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicate Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11
international, int Target 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) m an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree long to the complex of the countries of the c	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicator Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11.
international, int Target 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) man intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree in a national Population Areas (geographically defined) ** Area impacted by drought event/risk: - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by flooding event/risk; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; http://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by storm surge event/ risk; - http://preview.grid.unep.ch/index.php?preview=data⟨=eng; - http://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.munichre.com/en/homepage/index.html; - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/disaster-management/about-disasters/definition-of-hazard/industrial-accidents/ ** Area impacted by heat wave event/risk: - http://www.pdc.org/; - https://www.ifrc.org/	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicato Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11.
international, int Farget 13.1 Strer Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Indicator 13.1.1 # of co	ergovernmental forum for negotiating the global resignation and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards are Specification Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000 people. Number of deaths, missing progressed from a perceived low to an intermediate or from the compact of the c	d natural disasters in all countries Source National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) m an intermediate to a high level of adaptive capacity in relation to a two-degree long to the complex of the countries of the c	Entity UNISDR e world (CBB)	Tier		Interlinkages 1.5, 11.5, 14.2, 15.3 Multi-purpose Indicator Targets 1.5 - 2.1 - 2.4 - 11.

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. UNISDR UNISR proposes [Number of deaths, missing people, injured, relocated or evacuated National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNISDR 11.5, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3 due to disasters per 100,000 people]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." UNWOMEN Suggested addition to indicator from UN Women: [also monitor number of countries that identify women as key stakeholders and gender equality as a priority.] IUCN Proposed additional/alternative indicator: Indicators of climate change adaptation Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Responsible entities and national 2 15.5 (and disaggregated could usefully be supplemented by an indicator of climate change vulnerability, such a (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "11 Climate availability: IUCN Red List Partnership versions for other targets) the ["Red List Index (impacts of climate change)"]. The Red List Index is used as an change & severe weather" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part indicator towards Aichi Target 12 (http://www.bipindicators.net/rli/2010). (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classificationners-and-technical-support). Available schemes/threats-classification-scheme). globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). WB It is unclear what stands behind "adaptive capacity", but it looks like a complex amalgamate of a plethora of information, criteria, sub-indicators and subjective udgment. We are not sure it will be workable or meaningful. Would it be possible to define sectoral indicators, that could serve as proxies for overall adaptive capacity in a country? E.g. pick out agriculture / irrigation, water supply / management, and energy production as representative sectors? Indicator 13.1.2 # of casualties and amount of economic losses (BBB) UNISDR UNISR proposes refinement into [\Direct disaster economic loss in relation to global | National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNISDR 2 11.5, 1.5, 14.2, 15.3, 2.4 gross domestic product"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached. ' arget 13.2 Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning Tier Priority Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Interlinkages uggested Indicate Comment: The additional text proposed in the modified indicator aims to Secretariats for IMEAs. Under the Target 17.16 Number of countries that have formally communicated the establishment o integrated low-carbon, climate-resilient, disaster risk reduction development highlight the mitigation aspects of the relevant strategies. Information from Montreal Protocol, such policies have strategies (e.g. a national adaptation plan process, national policies and measures to National reports of relevant conventions been communicated by over 40 countries promote transition to environmentally-friendly substances and technologies). so far ndicator 13.2.1 # of countries which have formally communicated the establishment of integrated low-carbon, climate-resilient, disaster risk reduction development strategies (e.g. a national adaptation plan process) (BAA) UNEP MODIFIED: [Number of countries that have formally communicated the Comment: The additional text proposed in the modified indicator aims to Target 17.16 Secretariats for IMEAs, Under the establishment of integrated low-carbon, climate-resilient, disaster risk reduction nighlight the mitigation aspects of the relevant strategies. Information from Montreal Protocol, such policies have been National reports of relevant conventions communicated by over 40 countries so far development strategies (e.g. a national adaptation plan process, national policies and measures to promote transition to environmentally-friendly substances and technologies).] UNICEF [# of countries which have formally communicated the establishment of integrated low-carbon, climate-resilient, disaster risk reduction development strategies (e.g. a national adaptation plan process)] UNISDR UNISR proposes \Number of countries with national DRR strategies in line with Sendal SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in UNISDR 13.1,9.1,11.5 Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." Suggested addition to indicator from UN Women: [also monitor number of countries UNWOMEN that identify women as key stakeholders and gender equality as a priority. Target 13.3 Improve education, awareness-raising and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning Contributor Name Interlinkages Specification Entity Tier Priority Source Suggested Indicato Number of countries that have integrated mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction UNICER and early warning into primary, secondary and tertiary curricula ndicator 13.3.1 # of countries that have integrated mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning into primary, secondary and tertiary curricula (CBB) UNICEF [Number of countries that have integrated mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning into primary, secondary and tertiary curricula] UNISDR SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in UNISDR 15 3 2 4 11 5 13 1 UNISR proposes \[Number of countries that have probabilistic risk assessment] 1 profile and early warning system against major hazards that the country faces"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." Indicator 13.3.2 % of population with increased knowledge on climate change, disaggregated by sex and age (BBB) UNICEF [% of population with increased knowledge on climate change, disaggregated by sex and age 1 Implement the commitment undertaken by developed-country parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change to a goal of mobilizing jointly \$100 billion annually by 2020 from all sources to address the needs of developing countries in the context of meaningful mitigation actions and transparency on implementation and fully operationalize the Green Climate Fund through its capitalization as soon as possible Contributor Name Tier Priority Interlinkages Specification Source Entity

Note on Disaggregati	S					
HOLE OII DISABBI EBALI	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U)	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ggested Indicator	Mobilized amount of USD per year starting in 2020 accountable towards the USD		UNFCCC	Tier I		
	100 billion commitment					
	red amount of USD per year starting in 2020 accountable towards the USD 100 billion	commitment (CBB)			,	
WB	This indicator assumes that someone actually knows how to best spent the 100 Billion			1		
	commitment. Else this indicator does not really relate to "implementation" but mainly			l		
	to "intention".			<u> </u>		
dicator 13.a.2 % of GC	CF funded projects finalized and sustained afterwards through national funding to pro	duce climate neutral solutions (CBB)				
				Щ_		
arget 13.b Promo	ote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change-rela	ated planning and management in least developed countries, in	cluding focusing on women, youtl	and lo	cal and	marginalized
ommunities						
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	Number of LDCs that are receiving specialized support for mechanisms for raising		OECD	Tier III		
	capacities for effective climate change related planning and management, including					
	focusing on women, youth, local and marginalized communities			1 1		
				1		
licator 13.b.1 # of LDC	Cs that are receiving specialized support for mechanisms for raising capacities for effe	ective climate change related planning and management, including focusing on v	vomen, youth, local and marginalized comp	nunities	(CBB)	
					1	
and 14 Com	anne and anatainable mas the account account manin	a vaca vaca fav avetaja abla davela vacat				
	serve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marin					
rget 14.1 By 202	25, prevent and significantly reduce marine pollution of all kinds, in	particular from land-based activities, including marine debris a	nd nutrient pollution			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Nitrogen use efficiency composite indicator	GPNM and the European Nitrogen Expert Panel)	(sources will be identified)	Tier III		
licator 14.1.1 Fertilize	er consumption (kg/ha of arable land) (BBA)					
UNEP	Alternative: [Nitrogen use efficiency composite indicator] - reflects the N input, the	GPNM and the European Nitrogen Expert Panel)	(sources will be identified)			
	N output, the output/input ratio, and the N surplus/deficit.			1		
WB	Inaccurate measure of nutrient pollution. Fertilizer consumption in some African					
	countries will likely increase (currently consumption is very low), so would not include			1		
	this as indicator with target that fertilizer consumption will decline in all countries.			1		
				l		
licator 14.1.2 Metric t	connes per year of plastic materials entering the ocean from all sources (CBB)					
	Proposed additional/alternative indicator: Indicators of pollution sources could usefully	Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Responsible entities and national		2	15.5 (and disaggregated
	be supplemented by an indicator of pollution impacts on nature, such as the ["Red List	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded as "Marine" and	availability: IUCN Red List Partnership	l		versions for other target
	Index (impacts of pollution on marine species)"]. The Red List Index is used as an	under "9 Pollution" in the Threats Classification Scheme	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part	l		
	indicator towards Aichi Target 12 (http://www.bipindicators.net/rli/2010).	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	ners-and-technical-support). Available	1		
	1	schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	globally since 1980s, and can be	l		
		,	disaggregated to national and regional	l		
			levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE	1		
			9(11): e113934).	í		
rgot 14.2 By 202	20 custoinably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems	to avoid significant adverse impacts, including by strongthening	. , ,	or thoir	roctor	ation in order to
	20, sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems	l to avoid significant adverse impacts, including by strengthening	. , ,	or their	restora	ation in order to
hieve healthy and pr	roductive oceans		their resilience, and take action f			
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name	roductive oceans Specification	Source	their resilience, and take action f	Tier	restora Priority	ation in order to Interlinkages
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name	roductive oceans Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work	their resilience, and take action f			
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of	their resilience, and take action f	Tier		
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work	their resilience, and take action f	Tier		
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name Sgested Indicator	Specification Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of	their resilience, and take action f	Tier		
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB)	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1.	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \[INumber of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due \]	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1.	their resilience, and take action f	Tier		Interlinkages
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB)	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1.	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator dicator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached."	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
chieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached."	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
hieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator licator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \(\begin{align*}\)Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"\). Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Contributor Name gested Indicator iicator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastiline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \[Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-	their resilience, and take action f Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Contributor Name Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \[[Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work]	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1.	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3
Contributor Name ggested Indicator licator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \[INumber of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"\]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15 15.5 (and disaggregat
Contributor Name ggested Indicator licator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators proposed for 14.2 with the ["Red List Index (marine species)"]. The Red List Index is	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1.	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified) Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3 15.5 (and disaggregat
Contributor Name ggested Indicator licator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes \[INumber of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"\]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified)	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3 15.5 (and disaggregat
Contributor Name ggested Indicator licator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators proposed for 14.2 with the ["Red List Index (marine species)"]. The Red List Index is	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified) Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part ners-and-technical-support). Available	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3 15.5 (and disaggregat
chieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator dicator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators proposed for 14.2 with the ["Red List Index (marine species)"]. The Red List Index is	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified) Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part ners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages 11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3
Chieve healthy and pr Contributor Name In the second of th	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators proposed for 14.2 with the ["Red List Index (marine species)"]. The Red List Index is	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified) Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part ners-and-technical-support). Available	Tier	Priority 1	11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3 15.5 (and disaggregate
chieve healthy and pr Contributor Name ggested Indicator dicator 14.2.1 Percent UNISDR UNEP	Specification % of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work tage of coastline with formulated and adopted ICM/MSP plans (CBB) UNISR proposes (Number of mortality, missing, injured, relocated or evacuated due to disasters per 100,000"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached." [% of coastal and marine development (to be defined) with formulated or implemented ICM/MSP plans (that are harmonized where applicable), based on an ecosystem approach, that builds resilient human communities and ecosystems and provides for equitable benefit sharing and decent work] Proposed additional/alternative indicator: IUCN suggests strengthening indicators proposed for 14.2 with the ["Red List Index (marine species)"]. The Red List Index is	Source UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016-2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016) UNEP will be monitoring a similar indicator under its Programme of Work 2016- 2017 adopted by Member States. The process can support monitoring of revised indicator 14.2.1. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity (sources will be identified) UNISDR (sources will be identified) Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part ners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be	Tier	Priority 1	

loto on Dicaggrogs	lls ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/P) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible				
UNEP	[Ocean Health Index] (http://www.bipindicators.net/oceanhealthindex)	http://www.oceanhealthindex.org/	National Centre for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) (Indicator under the BIP) (https://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/)			Targets 14.1 and 14.2
UNISDR	UNISR proposes change into \[Direct disaster economic loss in relation to global gross domestic product]". Please see UNISDR input paper attached. "	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		2	11.5, 13.1, 1.5, 15.3, 2
IUCN	proposed for 14.2 with ["Coverage by protected areas of marine sites of particular importance for biodiversity"], using Key Biodiversity Areas to identify these. The indicator is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 11	Data sources: Protected Planet (http://www.protectedplanet.net/) for protected areas data; Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas (http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/site) and Alliance for Zero Extinction sites (http://www.zeroextinction.org/) for Key Biodiversity Areas data; indicator developed by Butchart et al. (2012) PLoS ONE 7(3): e32529.	Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC, BirdLife International, AZE. Available globally since 1950s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels.		2	15.1 (and disaggregate versions for other targe
rget 14.3 Min	imize and address the impacts of ocean acidification, including throug	h enhanced scientific cooperation at all levels				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Average marine acidity (pH) measured at agreed suite of representative sampling stations	Marine acidity – SEEA Experimental Ecosystem Accounting condition accounts for Marine and coastal areas can be used as measurement framework for acidity.		Tier II		
	age marine acidity (pH) measured at agreed suite of representative sampling stations (C					
IUCN	proposed for 14.3 with the ["Red List Index (corals)"]. The Red List Index is used as an	Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for coral species (Carpenter et al. 2008 Science 321: 560–563).	Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part ners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934).		2	15.5 (and disaggregated versions for other target
UNSD		Marine acidity – SEEA Experimental Ecosystem Accounting condition accounts for Marine and coastal areas can be used as measurement framework for acidity.			1	
licator 14.3.2 Coral	coverage (CBB)			l		
UNEP	Modification: [Change in area coverage of coral functional groups. (Total coral cover itself provides limited information on health/productivity in context of acidification.)					
WB	Important to verify if there is a baseline available, against which to compare? Same goes for indicator 14.1.1. Else this would mean that we are only starting to observe a trend, that could take years to become clear and attributable to causal factors					
•	.020, effectively regulate harvesting and end overfishing, illegal, unregreest time feasible, at least to levels that can produce maximum susta		s and implement science-based ma	nagen	nent plan	ns, in order to restore
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Proportion of fish stocks within biologically sustainable level	FAO has estimates for 584 fish stocks around world, representing 70% of global landings.	FAO has maintained and reported this indicator since 1974. The assessment is done at global level, not at country level, so is not comparable among countries	Tier I		

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. During the Sixteenth Meeting of the UN Open-ended informal consultative process on This new proposed indicator is not currently being monitored, but FAO's 14.6 Oceans and the Law of the Sea, April 6-10, member states frequently mentioned the biannual survey on CCRF implementation already compiles responses by Members on the above mentioned instruments. Therefore, survey responses omission of an indicator on IUU fishing for SDG 14, an issue cited as being directly relevant to the three dimensions of sustainability. In view of this, FAO proposes the and results on this indicator could be reported and presented every two years to following indicator for target 14.6, which is also relevant for target 14.6:[\Progress by FAO's Committee on Fisheries (COFI). This information could serve the purposes countries in the implementation of international instruments aiming to combat IUU of monitoring on Targets 14.4 and 14.6. fishing"]. The indicator focuses on the effort to combat IUU fishing through the effective implementation of key international instruments related to IUU fishing. The indicator is based on FAO member country responses to the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries (CCRF) survey questionnaire which is circulated by FAO every two years to members and IGOs and INGOs. This indicator is calculated on the basis of the efforts being made by countries to implement key international instruments aiming to combat IUU fishing, as reported in a given year of the survey. The indicator variables are the development and implementation of national plan of action (NPOA) to combat IUU fishing in line with the IPOA-IUU; Ratification and implementation of the 2009 FAO Agreement on Port State Measures; Ratification and implementation of the 1993 FAO Compliance Agreement. The weight given to each of the variables in calculating the indicator value are as follows: Variable 1 - 40%; Variable 2 - 40%; Variable 3 - 20%. The absence of an NPOA and the lack of ratification of the binding Agreements will automatically result in a "zero" score for the respective variables, unless there is evidence that efforts to address the matter are being made (in which case some points are awarded). For each variable, the maximum score will be obtained if implementation is also present." FAO During the Sixteenth Meeting of the UN Open-ended informal consultative process on This new proposed indicator is not currently being monitored, but FAO's FAO 14 6 2 Oceans and the Law of the Sea, April 6-10, member states frequently mentioned the biannual survey on CCRF implementation already compiles responses by omission of an indicator on IUU fishing for SDG 14, an issue cited as being directly Members on the above mentioned instruments. Therefore, survey responses relevant to the three dimensions of sustainability. In view of this, FAO proposes the and results on this indicator could be reported and presented every two years to following indicator for target 14.6, which is also relevant for target 14.6: Progress by FAO's Committee on Fisheries (COFI). This information could serve the purposes countries in the implementation of international instruments aiming to combat IUU of monitoring on Targets 14.4 and 14.6. fishing"]. The indicator focuses on the effort to combat IUU fishing through the

		effective implementation of key international instruments related to IUU fishing. The				
		indicator is based on FAO member country responses to the Code of Conduct for				
		Responsible Fisheries (CCRF) survey questionnaire which is circulated by FAO every				
		two years to members and IGOs and INGOs. This indicator is calculated on the basis of				
		the efforts being made by countries to implement key international instruments				
		aiming to combat IUU fishing, as reported in a given year of the survey. The indicator				
		variables are the development and implementation of national plan of action (NPOA)				
		to combat IUU fishing in line with the IPOA-IUU; Ratification and implementation of				
		the 2009 FAO Agreement on Port State Measures; Ratification and implementation of				
		the 1993 FAO Compliance Agreement. The weight given to each of the variables in				
		calculating the indicator value are as follows: Variable 1 – 40%; Variable 2 – 40%;				
1		Variable 3 – 20%. The absence of an NPOA and the lack of ratification of the binding				
		Agreements will automatically result in a "zero" score for the respective variables,				
		unless there is evidence that efforts to address the matter are being made (in which				
		case some points are awarded). For each variable, the maximum score will be obtained				
		if implementation is also present.				
		· ·				
	UNEP	Marine Stewardship Council engaged fisheries (Tonnage)	http://www.bipindicators.net/certifiedfisheries	MSC (Indicator under the BIP)		Targets 12.1, 12.6 and 14.4
		(http://www.bipindicators.net/certifiedfisheries)				
	IUCN	Modify currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports the adoption of this indicator, but	•	Responsible entities and national	1	15.5 (and disaggregated
		the current formulation of this indicator as "Fish species, threatened" would be better		availability: IUCN Red List Partnership		versions for other targets)
			under "5 Biological Resource Use" in the Threats Classification Scheme	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part		
1		:	(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	ners-and-technical-support). Available		
		(http://www.bipindicators.net/redlistindexforbirdsmammalsandamphibians).	schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	globally since 1980s, and can be		
		1		disaggregated to national and regional		
				levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE		
		<u> </u>		9(11): e113934).		
Inc	dicator 14.4.2 Propor	tion of fish stocks within biologically sustainable limits (BBA)				

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Propose improved formulation: ["Proportion of fish stocks within biologically FAO has estimates for 584 fish stocks around world, representing 70% of global FAO has maintained and reported this sustainable levels"], not limits. It is therefore slightly different from the FAO indicator landings. indicator since 1974. The assessment is done at global level, not at country level, 7.4 currently included in the Millennium Development Goals. The FAO Committee on Fisheries has requested changes (see the Reports of the 30th and 31st Sessions of the so is not comparable among countries Committee on Fisheries (2012 and 2014) in the description of the status of the stocks based on sustainability to ensure clarify and reduce misunderstandings by the general public. The concept of "within biologically sustainable levels" means that abundance of the fish stock is at or higher than the level that can produce the maximum sustainable yield. Hence the new formulation is more in keeping with the objective of the target FAO has estimates for 584 fish stocks around world, representing 70% of global FAO has maintained and reported this FAO Propose improved formulation: ["Proportion of fish stocks within biologically 1 sustainable levels"], not limits. It is therefore slightly different from the FAO indicator indicator since 1974. The assessment is 7.4 currently included in the Millennium Development Goals. The FAO Committee on done at global level, not at country level, Fisheries has requested changes (see the Reports of the 30th and 31st Sessions of the so is not comparable among countries Committee on Fisheries (2012 and 2014) in the description of the status of the stocks based on sustainability to ensure clarify and reduce misunderstandings by the general public. The concept of "within biologically sustainable levels" means that abundance o the fish stock is at or higher than the level that can produce the maximum sustainable yield. Hence the new formulation is more in keeping with the objective of the target UNEP [Proportion of fish stocks within biologically sustainable limits (State of the World Marine Fishery Resources (FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture http://www.fao.org/fishery/sofia/en) Department - Data available from 1974 http://www.bipindicators.net/fishstocksinsafebiologicallimits)] onwards (Indicator under the BIP) (http://www.fao.org/fishery/sofia/en) IUCN Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 6 (http://www.bipindicators.net/fishstocksinsafebiologicallimits). By 2020, conserve at least 10 per cent of coastal and marine areas, consistent with national and international law and based on the best available scientific information arget 14.5 Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Targets 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15.1 Suggested Indicator Coverage of protected areas World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) UNEP-WCMC (Indicator under the BIP and 15.4 Indicator 14.5.1 Percentage area of each country's EEZ in MPA Percentage area of ABNJ in MPA Percentage area of global ocean under MPA (CBB) LINED 14.5.1 and 14.5.2 are very similar. See our supplemental note for suggestions on how to differentiate them. Indicator 14.5.2 Coverage of protected areas (BBA) UNEP [Coverage of protected areas] World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) (UNEP-WCMC (Indicator under the BIP) (Targets 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15.1 http://www.protectedplanet.net/) http://www.unep-wcmc.org/news/newand 15.4 unep-report-unveils-world-on-track-tomeet-2020-target-for-protected-areas-on land-and-sea) 15.1 (and disaggregated **IUCN** Modify currently proposed indicator: "Coverage of protected areas" focuses solely on Data sources: Protected Planet (http://www.protectedplanet.net/) for Responsible entities and national numeric coverage, but this is a poor measure of whether the most important places for protected areas data; Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC, BirdLife versions for other targets) International, AZE. Available globally since biodiversity are protected. Suggest rewording as ["Coverage by protected areas of (http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/site) and Alliance for Zero Extinction sites (http://www.zeroextinction.org/) for Key Biodiversity Areas data; indicator marine sites of particular importance for biodiversity"], using Key Biodiversity Areas 1950s, and can be disaggregated to to identify this. The indicator is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 11 developed by Butchart et al. (2012) PLoS ONE 7(3): e32529. national and regional levels. (http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays). By 2020, prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies which contribute to overcapacity and overfishing, eliminate subsidies that contribute to illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and refrain from introducing new such subsidies, recognizing that appropriate and effective special and differential treatment for developing and least developed countries should be an integral part of the World Trade Organization fisheries subsidies negotiation Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Dollar value of negative fishery subsidies against 2015 baseline The SEEA Central Framework provides the measurement framework for UNSD environmental subsidies. Further disaggregation may be needed for negative fishery subsidies depending on how they are defined. Indicator 14.6.1 Dollar value of negative fishery subsidies against 2015 baseline (CBB) UNSD [Dollar value of negative fishery subsidies against 2015 baseline] The SEEA Central Framework provides the measurement framework for UNSD 1 environmental subsidies. Further disaggregation may be needed for negative

fishery subsidies depending on how they are defined.

ndicator 14.6.2 Legal framework or tax/trade mechanisms prohibiting certain forms of fisheries subsidies (CBB)

	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U					
get 14.7 By 2	030, increase the economic benefits to Small Island developing States	s and least developed countries from the sustainable use of ma	rine resources, including through s	ustaina	ble man	agement of fishe
aculture and tour	ism					
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ested Indicator	Fisheries as a % of GDP	SEEA Central Framework and the SEEA Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries	UNSD	Tier I		
		provide information on the contribution to GDP of fisheries. The Tourism				
		Satellite Accounts provide information on the contribution of GDP to tourism.				
	ries as a % of GDP (AAA)	leers o	Luuen			
JNSD	[Fisheries as a % of GDP]	SEEA Central Framework and the SEEA Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries	UNSD		1	
		provide information on the contribution to GDP of fisheries. The Tourism				
		Satellite Accounts provide information on the contribution of GDP to tourism.				
ator 14.7.2 Level	I of revenue generated from sustainable use of marine resources (CBB)				L L	
FAD	Propose alternative to be used as second tier indicator: 'Teroductivity of aquaculture	While data on aquaculture production are regularly provided by members, data	The proposed aquaculture productivity		2	
	in utilizing natural resources (land, water and wild stock)"]. Target 14.7 implies that	sets on the use of natural resources in aquaculture are still being developed,	indicator has not yet been established as a			
	economic benefits can be derived from the sustainable use of marine resources,	with coverage and quality of data on land area use being much more advanced	standard and readily available indicator,			
	including through aquaculture. In fact aquaculture can generate economic benefits,	than water use and use of wild stocks.	though FAO continues to collect data on			
	and increase in aquaculture production can increase economic benefits. Increases in		aquaculture natural resource use.			
	aquaculture productivity can further contribute to economic benefits when the natural					
	resources are utilized more efficiently, i.e. when aquaculture yield is enhanced while					
	the use of natural resources is better managed."					
100	Depress alternative to be used as second ties indicates "Deput set: it - fit	While date an accomplishing and disting are regularly provided by a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second a second and	The proposed equaliture		2	
AO	Propose alternative to be used as second tier indicator: "Productivity of aquaculture in utilizing natural resources (land, water and wild stock)". Target 14.7 implies that	while data on aquaculture production are regularly provided by members, data sets on the use of natural resources in aquaculture are still being developed,	The proposed aquaculture productivity indicator has not yet been		2	
	economic benefits can be derived from the sustainable use of marine resources,	with coverage and quality of data on land area use being much more advanced	established as a standard and readily			
	including through aquaculture. In fact aquaculture can generate economic benefits,	than water use and use of wild stocks.	available indicator, though FAO continues			
	and increase in aquaculture production can increase economic benefits. Increases in	than water use and use of wild stocks.	to collect data on aquaculture natural			
	aquaculture productivity can further contribute to economic benefits when the natural		resource use.			
	resources are utilized more efficiently, i.e. when aquaculture yield is enhanced while		resource use.			
	the use of natural resources is better managed.					
	the use of natural resources is better managed.				1	
	_					
get 14.a Incre	_	rine technology, taking into account the Intergovernmental Occ	eanographic Commission Criteria a	nd Guid	delines o	n the Transfer of
	lase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of					
	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer ma					
rine Technology, i ntries	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, i ntries Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer ma					
rine Technology, i ntries Contributor Name	pase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer main order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of Specification	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, i ntries Contributor Name ested Indicator	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer main order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of Specification Specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numb	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a Specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB)	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numbrator 14.a.2 Budge	sase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology err of researchers working in this area (BBB) stallocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB)	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numb	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine]	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numb	sase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology err of researchers working in this area (BBB) stallocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB)	marine biodiversity to the development of developing countrie	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budge	Assession services and transfer main order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology]	Source	s, in particular small island develop	oing Sta	ites and	least developed
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budge UNEP	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and marine technology.	Source Source Arrived Source	s, in particular small island develop Entity UNEP	Tier III	Priority	Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	Specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of marine technology ter of researchers working in this area (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology (BBB)] Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and marine technology.	Source arkets Source	Entity UNEP Entity Entity Entity	Tier III	ites and	least developed
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and marine technology.	Source arkets Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some	Entity Entity Entity Entity Entity Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 B	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] idea access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and marine specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar	Source arkets Source	Entity UNEP Entity Entity Entity	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source arkets Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is	Entity Entity Entity Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 B	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numt ator 14.a.2 Budge UNEP get 14.b Prov Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source arkets Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed	Entity UNEP Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a	Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numt ator 14.a.2 Budge UNEP get 14.b Prov Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation	Entity UNEP Entity In Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and	Tier III Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numt ator 14.a.2 BudguNEP get 14.b Prov.	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity Entity Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the	Tier III Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
rine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budge UNEP	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Numt ator 14.a.2 Budge UNEP get 14.b Prov Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budgen Provided P	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 Budger 14.b Prove Contributor Name	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point of origin and destination and will be able to determine the total volume of product landed and the volume of product landed	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budgen Provided P	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity UNEP Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point of origin and destination and will be able to determine the total volume of product	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages
ine Technology, intries Contributor Name ested Indicator ator 14.a.1 Number 14.a.2 Budger 14.a.2 B	ase scientific knowledge, develop research capacity and transfer man order to improve ocean health and to enhance the contribution of a specification Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology er of researchers working in this area (BBB) It allocated to research in the field of marine technology (BBB) Modification: [Budget allocation to research in the field of sustainable marine technology as a percentage of all research in field of marine technology] ide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and m Specification Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and	Source Source The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the	Entity UNEP Entity Entity The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point of origin and destination and will be able to determine the total volume of product landed and the volume of product landed	Tier III Tier III	Priority	least developed Interlinkages

* Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.			
	30, X% of small scale fisheries certified as sustainable; Y% increase in market access for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
IFAD	Propose improved alternative: I"Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and traded in major markets"]. This indicator measures the "access to markets" aspect of the target by using the % of the catch that is subject to some form of a catch document scheme (or similar traceability system) traded in major markets. It is assumed this level of catch is associated with small scale artisanal fisheries since catches of less than x tons are characteristic of such fisheries and that this catch is traceable and legally caught, and changes in the % will reflect changes in access to markets by small scale artisanal fisheries. In terms of the development agenda, fishers are more likely to have improved incomes when they can access major markets either directly or indirectly, and this access to major markets is increasingly dependent on being able to document that the fish were caught legally and/or sustainably. A catch documentation scheme (or similar), and especially one that follows the developing guidelines, will provide the means to track the changes in access to markets."	The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the indicator.	\The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point of origin and destination and will be able to determine the total volume of product landed and the volume of product landed that is subject to a CDS for catch less than X tons."	1	
FAO	Propose improved alternative: ["Percentage of catches that are subject to a catch documentation scheme or similar traceability system as a percentage of the total catches that are less than x tons and traded in major markets".] This indicator measures the "access to markets" aspect of the target by using the % of the catch that is subject to some form of a catch document scheme (or similar traceability system) traded in major markets. It is assumed this level of catch is associated with small scale artisanal fisheries since catches of less than x tons are characteristic of such fisheries and that this catch is traceable and legally caught, and changes in the % will reflect changes in access to markets by small scale artisanal fisheries. In terms of the development agenda, fishers are more likely to have improved incomes when they can access major markets either directly or indirectly, and this access to major markets is increasingly dependent on being able to document that the fish were caught legally and/or sustainably. A catch documentation scheme (or similar), and especially one that follows the developing guidelines, will provide the means to track the changes in access to markets.	The indicator does not exist, but the information does exist for some countries where such catch documentation schemes already exist, which is primarily the case for developed countries. However, FAO is leading the development of guidelines for such schemes and it is anticipated that the guidelines will be discussed and possibly endorsed in 2016 (at COFI). There is sufficient interest in CDS to begin to discuss/develop a globally agreed indicator for products traded through major markets. A catch documentation scheme will provide the framework on which to build and manage the indicator.	The feasibility of the indicator will primarily be determined by countries and regions that put in place a CDS, and if instituted the cost of data collection will be a part of the CDS, and will operate on a continuing basis. The information in a CDS is collected along the value chain and to precisely calculate the indicator, the country where distribution of the product ends will be the collector of the information since they will have the point of origin and destination and will be able to determine the total volume of product landed and the volume of product landed that is subject to a CDS for catch less than X tons.	1	
ndicator 14.b.2 By 20	30, increase by X% the proportion of global fish catch from sustainably managed small During the Sixteenth Meeting of the UN Open-ended informal consultative process on Oceans and the Law of the Sea, April 6-10, member states generally agreed that the preliminary indicators on small-scale fisheries are deemed inadequate to measure the social dimensions of Target 14.b. Concern was also expressed that the target's preliminary indicators do not seem to provide a comprehensive monitoring mechanism for the implementation of the FAO's Voluntary Guidelines for Securing Sustainable Small-Scale Fisheries in the Context of Food Security and Poverty Eradication. In view of these concerns, FAO proposes an alternative indicator formulated as '{Progress by} countries in adopting and implementing a legal/regulatory/policy/institutional framework which recognizes and protects access rights for small-scale fisheries in different countries, there is no globally agreed definition for small-scale fisheries, which became also evident during the development process of the Voluntary Guidelines for Securing Sustainable Small-Scale Fisheries in the Context of Food Security and Poverty Eradication (SSF Guidelines) recently endorsed by the FAO Committee on Fisheries (COFI). See metadata for a more detailed explanation.	There is currently no such indicator but the biennial FAO survey questionnaire on the CCRF implementation will include new questions in relation to small-scale fisheries and the implementation of the SSF Guidelines. The first results will become available for FAO's Committee on Fisheries (COFI) in 2016. COFI 2016	FAO/COFI	1	

List of Proposals	s					
* Note on Disaggregat	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U)	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
FAO	During the Sixteenth Meeting of the UN Open-ended informal consultative process on Oceans and the Law of the Sea, April 6-10, member states generally agreed that the preliminary indicators on small-scale fisheries are deemed inadequate to measure the social dimensions of Target 14.b. Concern was also expressed that the target's	There is currently no such indicator but the biennial FAO survey questionnaire on the CCRF implementation will include new questions in relation to small-scale fisheries and the implementation of the SSF Guidelines. The first results will become available for FAO's Committee on Fisheries (COFI) in 2016. COFI 2016 can provide an opportunity to sharpen the questions if needed. In addition, there will be a specific COFI agenda item on small-scale fisheries. Data could therefore be produced at country level every two years for COFI through the electronic questionnaire.	FAO/COFI		1	
Target 14.c Enhan	nce the conservation and sustainable use of oceans and their resource	es by implementing law as reflected in UNCLOS, which provide	s the legal framework for the cons	ervatio	n and s	ustainable use of
	rces, as recalled in paragraph 158 of The Future We Want.	, , ,				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	Number of countries implementing either legally or programmatically the provisions set out in regional seas protocols and ratification and implementation of the ILO Maritime and Fisheries Conventions		ILO	Tier II		
	on of a legal framework and number of associated court cases (CBB)					
The state of the s	on or a regar realization while manufaction appearance about the same of apply					
	r of countries implementing either legally or programmatically the provisions set out	in regional seas protocols (BBB)				
ILO	Alternative indicator: [Number of countries implementing either legally or					
	programmatically the provisions set out in regional seas protocols and ratification and implementation of the ILO Maritime and Fisheries Conventions]					
and halt biodivers Target 15.1 By 20: obligations under inter Contributor Name	20, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terre			tains ar		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	forest resources assessments at 5 year			
		7.1 "Proportion of land covered by forest"). In order to provide a precise	intervals, the results of the FRA 2015 will			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	be released in September 2015 and next			
		"Total Land Area". According to the FAO definitions, Forest is defined as "land	assessment will most likely be in 2020			
		spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a				
		canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.				
	ge of protected areas broken down by ecosystem type, including total area of forests					
UNEP	Protected area overlays with biodiversity	http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays	UNEP-WCMC, Alliance for Zero Extinction,			Targets 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15
	[http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays]		Conservation International, BirdLife International (Indicator under the BIP)			and 15.4
	Modify currently proposed indicator: "Coverage of protected areas broken down by ecosystem type" is a more useful indicator than coverage alone (see comments on indicator 14.5.2 above), but it would be much better to use ["Coverage by protected areas of important sites for terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity"] as these are the precise locations where effective conservation is needed to "halt the decline in biodiversity" (Butchart et al PLoS ONE 7(3): e32529). The indicator is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 11 (http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays).	(http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/site) and Alliance for Zero Extinction sites	Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC, BirdLife International, AZE. Available globally since 1950s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels.		1	Disaggregated versions fo 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15.4
1			i	i .		

te on Disaggree	ation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible				
AD	Retain as most relevant indicator. The indicator is already included among the	The national figures in the global assessments are reported by the countries	FAO carries out global forest resources		1	6.6
AD	•		_		1	0.0
	indicators for the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) (indicator 7.1 "Proportion of	themselves following standardized format, definitions and reporting years	assessments at 5 year intervals, the results			
	land covered by forest"). In order to provide a precise definition of the indicator, it is		of the FRA 2015 will be released in			
	crucial to provide a definition of "Forest" and "Total Land Area". According to the FAO		September 2015 and next assessment will			
	definitions, Forest is defined as "land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees		most likely be in 2020			
	higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to					
	reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under					
	agricultural or urban land use.					ļ
	agricultural of urban land use.					
)		T	5.0		1	
J	Retain as most relevant indicator. The indicator is already included among the	The national figures in the global assessments are reported by the countries	FAO carries out global		1	6.6
	indicators for the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) (indicator 7.1 "Proportion of	themselves following standardized format, definitions and reporting years	forest resources assessments at 5 year			ļ
	land covered by forest"). In order to provide a precise definition of the indicator, it is		intervals, the results of the FRA 2015 will			ļ
	crucial to provide a definition of "Forest" and "Total Land Area". According to the FAO		be released in September 2015 and next			ļ
	definitions, Forest is defined as "land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees		assessment will most likely be in 2020			ļ
	higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to		·			ļ
	reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under			1		1
	agricultural or urban land use.					1
EP	[Management Effectiveness of Protected Areas (Global Database on Protected Area Management Effectiveness (GD-PAME)	UNEP-WCMC (Indicator under the BIP)	1		Targets 14.2, 14.4
	http://www.bipindicators.net/pamanagement)]	Global Database of Frotected Area Management Effectiveness (GD-FAME)	ONE WEINIC (III dicator under the BIF)			15.1, 15.2
N	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used			1	2	13.1, 13.2
.IN				1	4	1
	by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 5					ji
	(http://www.bipindicators.net/forestextent).			<u> </u>		
t 15.2 By	2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all t	ypes of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests an	d substantially increase afforestat	ion and	refores	tation globally.
ontributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkage
ed Indicator	Forest cover under sustainable forest management	A quality descriptor is associated with the forest area, forest management	FAO maintains this index based on	Tier II		15.3
		planning and operational stakeholder involvement components of the index.	country reporting beginning with the			
		Coverage is aggregated to the country level in the country reports. In 2015	Global Forest Resources Assessment			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally			
		some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated			
		some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally			
27 15 2.1 Note	forest emissions (BBD)	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated			
	forest emissions (BBB)	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030.		1	
or 15.2.1 Net	Propose alternative: \[Carbon stock in woody biomass"]. Carbon stocks in woody	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources		1	
	Propose alternative: \[Carbon stock in woody biomass"\]. Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The		1	
	Propose alternative: \[Carbon stock in woody biomass"]. Carbon stocks in woody	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources		1	
	Propose alternative: \[Carbon stock in woody biomass"\]. Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The		1	
	Propose alternative: \(\textbf{Carbon stock in woody biomass}^{\textbf{I}}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national		1	
	Propose alternative: \(\textbf{\textbf{Carbon stock in woody biomass}^{\textbf{\textbf{I}}}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national		1	
	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national		1	
	Propose alternative: \(Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national		1	
	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national		1	
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{I}}\)	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources		1	
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{I}}\)	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{Implementation stock}}\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''}\)}}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national			
D	Propose alternative: \(\textstyle{\textstyle	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The			
D	Propose alternative: \(\textstyle{\textstyle	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\) Propose alternative: \(\t	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
	Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{Carbon stock in woody biomass''\)\}\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\)\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\text{"Carbon stock in woody biomass'}\)\) Propose alternative: \(\t	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D	Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestock in woody biomass''\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{\textsforestop}}\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestop}'\)\(\text{\text{\text{\text{carbon stock in woody biomass''}}\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase. Important to specify what "net forest emissions' means? Carbon emissions from	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
	Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestock in woody biomass''\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{\textsforestop}}\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestop}\)'\(\text{\text{\text{\text{end}}}\) biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{\text{\text{\text{end}}}}\)	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
r 15.2.2 For	Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestock in woody biomass''\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{\textsforestop}}\) Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestop}'\)\(\text{\text{\text{\text{carbon stock in woody biomass''}}\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increased biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase. Important to specify what "net forest emissions' means? Carbon emissions from	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			
D or 15.2.2 For	Propose alternative: \(\text{\textsforestock in woody biomass''\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase.\(^{\text{\textsforestock}}\) Propose alternative: \(^{\text{\textsforestock in woody biomass''}\)\). Carbon stocks in woody biomass reflect both forest extent and quality, and change in these stocks indicate changes relevant not only to greenhouse gas emissions but also trends related to production, conservation and management. The implementation of sustainable forest management, a reduction of deforestation, an increase in restored forest and increased afforestation are all directly linked to increase biomass carbon stocks - as success is achieved in each of these areas, biomass carbon stocks should remain stable or increase. Important to specify what "net forest emissions' means? Carbon emissions from deforestation? An alternate key indicator to watch would be net forest loss est cover under sustainable forest management (BBA) The value computed for each country will be in the form of "percentage (%) of forest	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.			15.3
D	Propose alternative: \(\textstyle{\textstyle	some 155 countries reported for most of the elements in the index that add to a total of 2.200 M ha (55% global forest area). A common definition is used for each element so comparability across countries is good. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries. The national figures in the global assessments are reported by countries following a standardized format, definitions and reporting years to provide a means of comparability across countries.	(FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale. FAO carries out global forest resources assessments at 5 year intervals. The indicator is aggregated to the national scale.		1	15.3

Lis	st of Proposal	s					
		ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
	FAO			FAO maintains this index based on country reporting beginning with the Global Forest Resources Assessment (FRA) 2015. Data is collected globally every 5 years with reporting anticipated in 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030.		1	15.3
	UNEP	[Area of forest under sustainable management: certification (Area of Forest under Sustainable Management: Certification (FAO, FSC, PEFC (Indicator under the BIP)			Targets 15.2 and 15b
	IUCN	http://www.bipindicators.net/forestcertification.] Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 5 (http://www.bipindicators.net/forestdegradation).	http://www.bipindicators.net/forestcertification)				
Tar	get 15.3 By 20	30, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including	land affected by desertification, drought and floods, and strive	e to achieve a land degradation-ne	ıtral w	orld	
	Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	gested Indicator	Trends in land degradation	** Trends in Land Cover/Land Use: (1) Global: e.g. http://www.glcn.org/databases/se_change_en.jsp, http://www.esa-landcover-cci.org/ (2) Regional: e.g. http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/corine-land-cover ** Trends in Land Productivity: remote sensing data bases of NDVI and other Vegetation Indices/Variables, e.g. http://gcmd.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html, http://land.copernicus.eu/global/themes/Vegetation, http://wad.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ ** Trends in Soil Organic Carbon: global spatial layers: Harmonized World Soil Database (HWSD), http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ESDB_Archive/octop/Global.html ** More detail on all elements of data sources may be found in the attached document on meta-data	UNCCD, UNSD	Tier II		1.5, 2.3, 2.4, 6.6, 12.2, 13.1, 14.1, 15.1, 15.2 and 15.5
		in land degradation (BBA)					
	UNCCD	In a tiered approach the indicator derivation is based on the synoptic utilization of trends in land cover/land use (Tier 1), trends in land productivity (Tier 2a) and soil organic carbon (SOC) (Tier 2b) primarily available through widely used global data sources. ** Tier 1: Trends in land use/cover; Tier 2a: Trends in land productivity; Tier 2b: Trends in soil organic carbon stocks. ** This indicator will be used by UNCCD country Parties to set nationally voluntary targets on land degradation neutrality and report on progress towards achieving these targets.	** Trends in Land Cover/Land Use: (1) Global: e.g. http://www.glcn.org/databases/se_change_en.jsp, http://www.esa-landcover- cci.org/ (2) Regional: e.g. http://www.esa-europa.eu/data-and- maps/data/corine-land-cover ** Trends in Land Productivity: remote sensing data bases of NDVI and other Vegetation Indices/Variables, e.g. http://gcmd.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html, http://land.copernicus.eu/global/themes/Vegetation, http://wad.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ ** Trends in Soil Organic Carbon: global spatial layers: Harmonized World Soil Database (HWSD), http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ESDB_Archive/octop/Global.html ** More detail on all elements of data sources may be found in the attached document on meta-data	The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) compiles data for this indicator. The necessary data are obtained primarily from remote sensing data acquired and processed by various international organizations. As part of the reporting and review process, national estimates derived from global datasets are validated by UNCCD country Parties or replaced with national estimates using data sourced/computed nationally/locally.		1	1.5, 2.3, 2.4, 6.6, 12.2, 13.1, 14.1, 15.1, 15.2 and 15.5
	UNISDR	UNISR proposes[\Agricultural loss due to disasters"]. Please see UNISDR input paper attached."	National Disaster Loss Databases, 85 (will be more than 115 by 2016)	UNISDR		1	2.4, 1.5, 13.1, 11.5, 14.2
	WB	Are there reliable remote sensing techniques to capture these? Else it may be difficult to define, let alone capture degradation, especially as this often is a gradual process with a strong cyclical overlay (e.g. a long term trend masked by strong fluctuations of a few years) Trends in land degradation	SEEA EEA - provides the statistical framework for measuring land degradation.	UNSD			
		-	Fronces are statistical manufacturing land degradation.				
	cator 15.3.2 Area of	Fland/Soils under sustainable management (BBA) This indicator aims to assess the adoption of sustainable land management practices pertaining to land use/management of crops, pastures and forestry of which Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) is a subset. It is therefore proposed that this indicator is produced as an aggregation of the new indicator proposal for target 2.4, ["Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices" and the current indicator proposal for 15.2.2, "Forest cover under sustainable forest management". "]	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, from which the data could be computed.	FAO		1	2.4 and 15.2 - see definition

ist of Proposa	IS					
Note on Disaggregat	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
FAO	This indicator aims to assess the adoption of sustainable land management practices pertaining to land use/management of crops, pastures and forestry of which Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) is a subset. It is therefore proposed that this indicator is produced as an aggregation of the new indicator proposal for target 2.4, "Percentage of agricultural area under sustainable agricultural practices" and the	At global level, currently there is no data available. However many if not most of the countries record areas which are the object of practices contributing to environmental sustainability under various schemes, from which the data could be computed.			1	2.4 and 15.2 - see definition
	current indicator proposal for 15.2.2, "Forest cover under sustainable forest management".					
UNEP	[Area of agricultural Ecosystems under Sustainable Management (http://www.bipindicators.net/sustainableagriculture)]	http://www.fao.org/nr/lada/	FAO (Indicator under the BIP)			
UNISDR	UNISR proposes [Number of countries that have probabilistic risk assessment profile and early warning system against major hazards that the country faces".] Please see UNISDR input paper attached."	SFDRR Monitor (to be developed), 0 (but HFA Monitor covered 133 countries in 2013)	UNISDR		2	13.3, 2.4, 11.5, 13.1
IUCN	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 7 (http://www.bipindicators.net/sustainableagriculture).				1	
WB	Same as above					
· .	30, ensure the conservation of mountain ecosystems, including their	biodiversity, in order to enhance their capacity to provide ben	efits that are essential for sustaina	ble de		
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
iggested Indicator	Coverage of protected areas	World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) (http://www.protectedplanet.net/)	UNEP-WCMC (Indicator under the BIP) (http://www.unep-wcmc.org/news/new- unep-report-unveils-world-on-track-to- meet-2020-target-for-protected-areas-on- land-and-sea)	Tier I		Targets 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15 and 15.4
iggested Indicator	Mountain Green Cover Index	The data set GLC SHARE developed by FAO will be used as basis for the computation of the indicator, jointly with the definition of mountain areas as provided by UNEP-WCMC.	Thanks to the way GLC-SHARE is structured, FAO's Mountain Green Cover Index has a global coverage and it is possible to compute the indicator at the global, regional, national and subnational level.	Tier I		The proposed Index will provide a meaningful proxy for assessing the progress of a three mountain targets (ie 6.6.; 15.1; and 15.4)
dicator 15.4.1 Cover	age of protected areas (AAA)					
UNEP	[Coverage of protected areas (http://www.bipindicators.net/pacoverage)]	World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) (http://www.protectedplanet.net/)	UNEP-WCMC (Indicator under the BIP) (http://www.unep-wcmc.org/news/new- unep-report-unveils-world-on-track-to- meet-2020-target-for-protected-areas-on- land-and-sea)			Targets 6.6, 14.2, 14.5, 15 and 15.4
IUCN	Modify currently proposed indicator: "Coverage of protected areas" focuses solely on numeric coverage, but this is a poor measure of whether the most important places for biodiversity are protected. Suggest rewording as ["Coverage by protected areas of important sites for montane biodiversity"] , using Key Biodiversity Areas as one way of identifying the latter. The indicator is used by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi	protected areas data; Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas (http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/site) and Alliance for Zero Extinction sites	Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN & UNEP-WCMC, BirdLife International, AZE. Available globally since 1950s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels.		1	15.1 (and disaggregated versions for other targets
	Target 11 (http://www.bipindicators.net/paoverlays).					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Even though many protected areas are found in mountains, in general they are not an The data set GLC SHARE developed by FAO will be used as basis for the Thanks to the way GLC-SHARE is The proposed Index will adequate proxy for the overall global situation of biodiversity conservation in computation of the indicator, jointly with the definition of mountain areas as structured, FAO's Mountain Green Cover provide a meaningful proxy mountain areas. Protected areas, as they name says, are protected from provided by UNEP-WCMC. Index has a global coverage and it is for assessing the progress of overexploitation as often people are not allowed to live and have economic activities possible to compute the indicator at the all three mountain targets (ie., 6.6.; 15.1; and 15.4) global, regional, national and sub-national these areas. The information gathered by monitoring only the situation of mountain level. protected areas would not, in our views, represent an adequate proxy for monitoring the non protected areas which in fact can experience high population pressure. deforestation, overexploitation, degradation, etc. that are not found in protected areas. Indeed, "islands" of protected areas can be surrounded by areas that are totally degraded and overexploited especially when communities are not allowed to live in protected areas and therefore tend to amass around them. By adopting the "green cover index" all mountain green cover will be assessed and used to analyse the trend. Hence the green cover index seems a more comprehensive and reliable indicator. In addition, as technology develops, it is expected that additional tools will soon be available (such as google earth) to monitor the vegetation cover changes with a very high definition (1sqm or less) and a high frequency (weekly or even daily updates). FAO Even though many protected areas are found in mountains, in general they are not an The data set GLC SHARE developed by FAO will be used as basis for the Thanks to the way GLC-SHARE is 1 The proposed adequate proxy for the overall global situation of biodiversity conservation in computation of the indicator, jointly with the definition of mountain areas as structured, FAO's Mountain Green Cover Index will provide a provided by UNEP-WCMC. Index has a global coverage and it is meaningful proxy for mountain areas. Protected areas, as they name says, are protected from possible to compute the indicator at the assessing the progress of all overexploitation as often people are not allowed to live and have economic activities these areas. The information gathered by monitoring only the situation of mountain global, regional, national and sub-national three mountain targets (ie. level. 6.6.: 15.1: and 15.4) protected areas would not, in our views, represent an adequate proxy for monitoring the non protected areas which in fact can experience high population pressure, deforestation, overexploitation, degradation, etc. that are not found in protected areas. Indeed, "islands" of protected areas can be surrounded by areas that are totally degraded and overexploited especially when communities are not allowed to live in protected areas and therefore tend to amass around them. By adopting the "green cover index" all mountain green cover will be assessed and used to analyse the trend. Hence the green cover index seems a more comprehensive and reliable indicator. In addition, as technology develops, it is expected that additional tools will soon be available (such as google earth) to monitor the vegetation cover changes with a very high definition (1sqm or less) and a high frequency (weekly or even daily updates). Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity, and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species Contributor Name Tier Priority Specification Interlinkages IUCN Red List Index (http://www.iucnredlist.org/about/publication/red-list- IUCN (Indicator under the BIP) Targets 15.5, 12.2, 12.4, Suggested Indicato Red List Index Indicator 15.5.1 Red List Index (BAA) LINEP [Red List Index (http://www.bipindicators.net/rli/2010)] IUCN Red List Index (http://www.iucnredlist.org/about/publication/red-list-IUCN (Indicator under the BIP) Targets 15.5, 12.2, 12.4, IUCN Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Currently proposed indicator: The score of B indicates that some countries think that Responsible entities and national Disaggregated versions for this indicator is challenging to implement. However, an indicator based on a relevant (http://www.iucnredlist.org/). availability: IUCN Red List Partnership 2.4. 2.5. 3.9. 12.2. 12.4. 13.1 14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 15.7 disaggregation of the global RLI is easy to produce. IUCN and BirdLife International are (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/par facilitating this by working on making it easy to download the national RLI and data ners-and-technical-support). Available 15.8 rom the IUCN Red List and BirdLife International websites. This comment also applies globally since 1980s, and can be to Indicators 15.7.1 and 15.8.2. The indicator is used by the BIP as an indicator towards disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE Aichi Target 12 (http://www.bipindicators.net/rli/2010). (For information, note that the name "Red List Index" should not be taken to imply that the indicator is produced 9(11): e113934). by aggregating a number of disparate metrics, in the same way that, e.g., the Multidimensional Poverty Index is compiled. Instead the RLI is an indicator of trends in species' extinction risk, as measured using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria, and is compiled from data on changes over time in the Red List Category for each species, excluding any changes driven by improved knowledge or revised taxonomy.) Indicator 15.5.2 Living Planet Index (CBB) UNEP [Living Planet Index (http://www.bipindicators.net/lpi)] WWF (Indicator under the BIP) http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/all_publications/living_planet_report/li

ving planet index2/)

List of Proposa	ls					
Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
IUCN	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used				2	
	by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 12 (www.bipindicators.net/lpi).					
<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
	re fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization					
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	
uggested Indicator	Number of countries that have adopted legislative, administrative and policy frameworks for the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol	CBD Nagoya Protocol Website, List of signatures and ratifications (http://www.bipindicators.net/NagoyaProtocolratification)	CBD (Indicator under the BIP)	Tier I		Targets 1.4, 15.6
dicator 15.6.1 Numb	per of countries that have adopted legislative, administrative and policy frameworks for					
UNEP	[Ratification Status of the Nagoya Protocol (CBD Nagoya Protocol Website, List of signatures and ratifications (CBD (Indicator under the BIP)			Targets 1.4, 15.6
	http://www.bipindicators.net/NagoyaProtocolratification)]	http://www.bipindicators.net/NagoyaProtocolratification)	,			,
IUCN	Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used				1	
	by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 16					
	(http://www.bipindicators.net/NagoyaProtocolratification).					
	per of permits or their equivalents made available to the Access and Benefit-sharing Cle	earinghouse established under the Nagoya Protocol and number of Standard Ma	iterial Transfer Agreements, as communicat	ed to th	e Governi	ing Body of the Internation
eaty (CBB) IFAD	This is discuss to the common	The information the indicates in bound on its decade being sellected and a state	The CDD Connected at the count its ADC		1	This is discharge also sales
IFAD	This indicator builds on concrete cases in which agreement has been reached on the transfer of genetic resources between the resource provider and the resource	The information the indicator is based on is already being collected under the International Treaty. The ABS Clearinghouse is ready to start collecting permits/	The CBD Secretariat, through its ABS Clearinghouse, would be responsible for		1	This indicator is also relev to the access and benefi
	recipient, including on how benefits arising from the use of the genetic resources will	equivalents	the ABS permits or their equivalents			sharing segment of targe
1	be shared. An increase of permits or their equivalents made available to the ABS		(https://absch.cbd.int/). FAO, through its			2.5.
	Clearinghouse and an increase of SMTAs communicated to the Governing Body of the		Secretariat of the International Treaty on			
	International Treaty will indicate an increased number of cases in which access to		Plant Genetic Resources for Food and			
	genetic resources has been granted and in which resulting benefits will be shared on		Agriculture, would track the SMTAs.			
	the basis of "mutually agreed terms".					
FAO	This indicator builds on concrete cases in which agreement has been reached on the	The information	The CBD Secretariat,		1	This indicator is
	transfer of genetic resources between the resource provider and the resource	the indicator is based on is already being collected under the International	through its ABS Clearinghouse, would be			also relevant to the acces
	recipient, including on how benefits arising from the use of the genetic resources will	Treaty. The ABS Clearinghouse is ready to start collecting permits/ equivalents	responsible for the ABS permits or their			and benefit sharing segme
	be shared. An increase of permits or their equivalents made available to the ABS Clearinghouse and an increase of SMTAs communicated to the Governing Body of the		equivalents (https://absch.cbd.int/). FAO, through its Secretariat of the International			of target 2.5.
	International Treaty will indicate an increased number of cases in which access to		Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for			
	genetic resources has been granted and in which resulting benefits will be shared on		Food and Agriculture, would track the			
	the basis of "mutually agreed terms".		SMTAs.			
	7.0					
arget 15.7 Take	urgent action to end poaching and trafficking of protected species o	 f flora and fauna and address both demand and supply of illega	l wildlife products			
arget 15.7 Take Contributor Name	Specification	f flora and fauna and address both demand and supply of illega Source	l wildlife products Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Contributor Name	<u>, </u>	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species	Entity Responsible entities and national	Tier Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE		Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme).	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934).	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name ggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal ist Index for species in trade (BBB)	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC.	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/pa rtners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLOS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator uggested Indicator dicator 15.7.1 Red Li UNEP	Specification Red List Index for species in trade Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal ist Index for species in trade (BBB)	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC.	Entity Responsible entities and national availability IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/partners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier I	Priority	15.5 (and disaggregated
Contributor Name uggested Indicator uggested Indicator uggested Indicator	Specification Red List Index for species in trade Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal ist Index for species in trade (BBB)	Source Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification- schemes/threats-classification-scheme). 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC.	Entity Responsible entities and national availability: IUCN Red List Partnership (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/partners-and-technical-support). Available globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier I	Priority	Interlinkages 15.5 (and disaggregated versions for other targets)

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Responsible entities and national 15.5 (and disaggregated specifically for species coded under "5 Biological resource use" in the Threats (http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "5 Biological availability: IUCN Red List Partnership versions for other targets) Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classificationresource use" in the Threats Classification Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part schemes/threats-classification-scheme). (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classificationners-and-technical-support). Available schemes/threats-classification-scheme). globally since 1980s, and can be disaggregated to national and regional levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). Indicator 15.7.2 Ratio of indexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES wild-sourced export permits issued. (CBB) UNODC Indicator of poaching: Proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES 1 n/a maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, that is illegal] (PIT) Definition: The proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife Secretariat) products that is illegal is defined as the proportion of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures United Kingdom, All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is to the total CITES wild-sourced export permits issued. The different wildlife products good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat traded and seized are compared and aggregated by applying a value index. and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. By 2020, introduce measures to prevent the introduction and significantly reduce the impact of invasive alien species on land and water ecosystems and control or eradicate the priority species Target 15.8 Contributor Name Interlinkages Specification Source Entity Tier Priority uggested Indicato Adoption of national legislation relevant to the prevention or control of invasive **IUCN ISSG (Indicator under the BIP** alien species Indicator 15.8.1 Adoption of national legislation relevant to the prevention or control of invasive alien species (BAA) UNEP [Adoption of national legislation relevant to the prevention or control of invasive IUCN ISSG (Indicator under the BIP) IUCN Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used 2 by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 9 (http://www.bipindicators.net/iaslegislationadoption). ndicator 15.8.2 Red List Index for birds showing trends driven by invasive alien species (BBB) UNEP [Red List Index for birds showing trends driven by invasive alien species] http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/sowb/casestudy/164 Birdlife (Indicator under the BIP) IUCN Currently proposed indicator: The name of this indicator should be adjusted from "Red Data sources: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Responsible entities and national 15.5 (and disaggregated List Index for birds showing trends driven by invasive alien species" to be ["Red List http://www.iucnredlist.org/); specifically for species coded under "8 Invasive & availability: IUCN Red List Partnership versions for other targets) Index (impacts of invasive alien species)"]. The indicator is used by the BIP as an other problematic species, genes & diseases" in the Threats Classification (http://www.iucnredlist.org/partners/part Scheme (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classificationners-and-technical-support). Available indicator towards Aichi Target 9 (http://www.bipindicators.net/birdrlitrendsdrivenbyias). Note that this does not need schemes/threats-classification-scheme). globally since 1980s, and can be to be restricted to birds: this indicator has been applied to mammals and amphibians disaggregated to national and regional too, so delete "for birds" from the title, making this consistent with Indicators levels (Rodrigues et al. 2014 PLoS ONE 9(11): e113934). 14.5.2,15.5.1, and 15.7.1. We support the inclusion of this indicator as one of the few global metrics illustrating the impact of invasive alien species on native biodiversity. Target 15.9 By 2020, integrate ecosystem and biodiversity values into national and local planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Number of national development plans and processes integrating biodiversity and Tier II ndicator 15.9.1 National programme on the measurement of values of biodiversity or on the implementation of the SEEA-EEA (BBB Indicator 15.9.2 Number of national development plans and processes integrating biodiversity and ecosystem services values (BBB) Mobilize and significantly increase financial resources from all sources to conserve and sustainable use biodiversity and ecosystems arget 15.a Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Official development assistance in support of the CBD OECD (Indicator under the BIP Tier I Targets 1.a and 15.a dicator 15.a.1 Official Development Assistance (BBB) UNEP Official development assistance in support of the CBD (OECD (Indicator under the BIP) Targets 1.a and 15.a http://www.bipindicators.net/oda)] IUCN Currently proposed indicator: IUCN supports adoption of this indicator, which is used 1 by the BIP as an indicator towards Aichi Target 20 (http://www.bipindicators.net/oda) National incentive schemes that reward positive contribution to biodiversity and ecosystem services (BBB) Indicator 15.a.2 Mobilize significant resources from all sources and at all levels to finance sustainable forest management and provide adequate incentives to developing countries to advance such management, including for Target 15.b conservation and reforestation Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages

Suggested Indicator Fore Indicator 15.b.1 Public fund	restry official development assistance and forestry FDI ding for sustainable forest management (BBB) fficial development assistance and forestry FDI (BBB) fficial development assistance and forestry FDI (BBB) global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of particular proportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES we above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has compiled these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC.	Entity	Tier II livelihe	pood opp	ortunities Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator Fore Indicator 15.b.1 Public fund Indicator 15.b.2 Forestry off Target 15.c Enhance Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Propression restry official development assistance and forestry FDI ding for sustainable forest management (BBB) fficial development assistance and forestry FDI (BBB) global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of p Specification oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES will be above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC.	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	liveliho Tier			
Contributor Name Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Propulation of Indicator 15.c.1 UNODC Ratio of Indicator 15.c.2 Extent to windicator 15.c.2 Extent to windicator 15.c.2	fficial development assistance and forestry FDI (BBB) global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of p Specification oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES w e above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier		
Target 15.c Enhance Contributor Name Suggested Indicator Property Indicator 15.c.1 Ratio of ind UNODC See Indicator 15.c.2 Extent to w	Specification Specification Oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES will be above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier		
Contributor Name singgested Indicator Proprogramme Propro	Specification Specification Oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES will be above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier		
Contributor Name suggested Indicator Proprieta UNODC See Indicator 15.c.1 Ratio of ind UNODC See	Specification oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES wildlife above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier		
Contributor Name suggested Indicator Proprietal Proprie	Specification oportion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES wildlife above under 15.7	Source 1. The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2. The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3. Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Entity UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)	Tier		
Indicator 15.c.1 Ratio of ind UNODC See	portion of detected trade in wildlife and wildlife products that is illegal dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES will be above under 15.7	The records of the legal trade are collected by the CITES Secretariat and are maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	UNODC (in cooperation with the CITES Secretariat)		Priority	Interlinkages
ndicator 15.c.1 Ratio of ind UNODC See	dexed value of total CITES-listed wildlife seizures to indexed value of total CITES w e above under 15.7	maintained in a database by the UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre, United Kingdom. All CITES Parties are required to report and data availability is good. 2.The wildlife seizure records are being collected by the CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. Ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	Secretariat)	Tier II		
UNODC See	e above under 15.7	CITES Secretariat and the World Customs Organization. UNODC has complied these data in a global database which contains over 125,000 seizure incidents at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	See above under 15.7			
UNODC See	e above under 15.7	at present. 3.Declared values for imported wildlife products. These are collected by national governments and are maintained in the World WISE database by UNODC. ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	See above under 15.7			
UNODC See	e above under 15.7	database by UNODC. ild-sourced export permits issued (CBB)	See above under 15.7			
UNODC See	e above under 15.7		See above under 15.7			
UNODC See	e above under 15.7		See above under 15.7			
	which sustainable practices and management by women and men pastoralists, farn			l	See	See above under 15.7
	which sustainable practices and management by women and men pastoralists, farn				above	
	which sustainable practices and management by women and men pastoralists, farn				under	
	which sustainable practices and management by women and men pastoralists, farn		<u> </u>		15.7	
Goal 16 Promo		ners, fishers, forest dwellers on common lands, including national and trans-na I	tional mobility, are legally protected and en	hanced I	y policies	and regulations (CBB)
Goal 16 Promo	ote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable					
nt all levels	intly reduce all forms of violence and related death rates everywh					
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	mber of victims of intentional homicide by age, sex, mechanism and where	Two separate sources exist at country level: a) criminal justice system b)	UNODC collects and publishes data from	Tier I/II	,	5.2
pos	ssible type of perpetrator, per 100,000 population	public health/civil registration	criminal justice systems through its			
			annual data collection (UN Crime Trends			
			Survey, UN-CTS); WHO collects and			
			publishes data produced by public			
			health/civil registration. UNODC and			
			WHO are working together to harmonize			
			data and procedures to produce joint			
			UNODC-WHO homicide estimates at			
			country, regional and global level.			
			Considering data collected by both			
			UNODC and WHO, national data on			
			homicide are available for 174 countries			
			(at least one data point after 2009). Time series data on homicide suitable for			
			monitoring are available for 141 countries			
			(at least 3 data points, the most recent			
			for 2011 or later). When national data on			
			homicide are not available, estimates are			
			produced by WHO.			
annoted todies	will be related the the great 100 000 greated. I'll	Entered on the control of the contro	Data an application of the state of the stat			46.2.46.2.46.4.65.4
uggested Indicator Con	nflict-related deaths per 100,000 people (disaggregated by age, sex and cause)	Estimates of conflict related death is collected by the IISS Armed Conflict Database, the UCDP Battle-Related Deaths Dataset, PRIO Battle-Deaths Data	Data on conflict-related deaths is collected by the IISS Armed Conflict	Tier II		16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.6
		and WHO.	Database, the UCDP Battle-Related			
			Deaths Dataset, PRIO Battle-Deaths Data			
			and WHO estimates of deaths by cause.			
edicator 16.1.1 Hamieida	and conflict related deaths per 100 000 people (AAA)					
	and conflict-related deaths per 100,000 people (AAA)	National crime statistics	Data currently collected by LINODC but	l		52 103 161 162
OHCHR [Vio	and conflict-related deaths per 100,000 people (AAA) iolent crime rate (intentional homicide, assault and sexual violence, including empts) per 100,000 population [proposed due to gender bias of homicide rate]]	National crime statistics	Data currently collected by UNODC, but other agencies could participate.			5.2, 10.3, 16.1, 16.2

EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U, Retain this indicator. Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group,	This indicator contains two distinct data sources. With regards to intentional	UNODC and WHO collect data on	1	16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.6
UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	displacement and migratory status (including statelessness).	Inis indicator contains two distinct data sources. With regards to intentional homicide, national level data is collected through the criminal justice system and the public health / civil registration. Estimates of conflict related death is collected by the IISS Armed Conflict Database, the UCDP Battle-Related Deaths Dataset, PRIO Battle-Deaths Data and WHO.	UNODE and who collect data on intentional homicide for 174 countries. Data on conflict-related deaths is collected by the IISS Armed Conflict Database, the UCDP Battle-Related Deaths Dataset, PRIO Battle-Deaths Data and WHO estimates of deaths by cause.		16.2, 16.3, 16.4, 16.6
UNICEF	[Homicide and conflict-related deaths per 100,000 people (disaggregated by age, sex and cause)]				
UNODC	[Number of victims of intentional homicide per 100,000 population]	Two separate sources exist at country level: a) criminal justice system b) public health/civil registration	UNODC collects and publishes data from criminal justice systems through its annual data collection (UN Crime Trends Survey, UN-CTS); WHO collects and publishes data produced by public health/civil registration. UNODC and WHO are working together to harmonize data and procedures to produce joint UNODC-WHO homicide estimates at country, regional and global level. Considering data collected by both UNODC and WHO, national data on homicide are available for 174 countries (at least one data point after 2009). Time series data on homicide suitable for monitoring are available for 141 countries (at least 3 data points, the most recent for 2011 or later). When national data on homicide are not available, estimates are produced by WHO.	1	Target 5.2: intentional homicide data, when properly disaggregated, be used to quantify genchased killings, a very releindicator to monitor viole against women (5.2.1 at 5.2.2)
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.				
licator 16.1.2 Percen	ntage of the adult population aged 18 and older, subjected to violence within the last 1	.2 months, by type (physical, psychological and/or sexual) (BAA)		,	
OHCHR	[Percentage of the population subjected to physical, psychological or sexual violence within the last 12 months [proposed to avoid exclusion of an important group, children, from an indicator which aims to reduce all forms of violence]]	Victimisation surveys			5.2, 10.3, 16.1, 16.2
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Retain this indicator. Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group, displacement and migratory status (including statelessness). Ensure disaggregation by type of violence.	Crime victimisation surveys.	UNODC (on selected data also WHO, UNICEF, UN Women and the International Crime Victimization Survey (ICVS)). 72 countries have implemented at least one national victimisation survey since 2009.	2	16.2.2. The indicator al monitors other targets: 5 (women), 10.3 (hate crim 16.2 (children).
UNODC	[Percentage of individuals who experienced violence within the last 12 months, by type (physical and sexual)]	Victimisation surveys	UNODC collects data on prevalence respectively of sexual assault and physical assault through the annual data collection UN-CTS. In UNODC data repository, prevalence data on sexual assault are available for 25 countries. According to a recent review conducted at global level, 72 countries have implemented at least one national victimisation survey after 2009	2	16.2
UNWOMEN GlobalMigrationWG	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age. ["Percentage of refugees and IDPs who have found a durable solution"] See full specification in attached meta-data word file"	administrative data maintained by host countries (ministries and agencies in charge of adjudication of refugee status, immigration authorities in charge of refugee resettlement, interior ministries in charge of issuing work and residents permits and naturalization procedures)	Members of the Global Migration Group. Existing reporting: UNHCR (Statistical Yearbook, online Population Database), IOM (Displacement Tracking Matrix); IDMC (annual reports on displacement)		10.7; 11.5

Note on Disaggregat	ls tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U)	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible				
			Detential for collection but the		2	The indicates steems 12
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	[Proportion of people that feel safe walking alone around the area where they live.] Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group.	Crime victimisation surveys. In addition, the Harmonized Module on Peace and Security in the Strategy for the Harmonization of Statistics in Africa (SHaSA) already collects data on this indicator, disaggregating between perceptions of safety at night and in the daytime, perceptions of safety whilst walking compared to being at home, perceptions of safety on public transport, etc.	Potential for collection by the International Crime Victimization Survey (ICVS)		3	The indicator also monition other targets: 5.2 (wome 10.2 (non-discrimination 10.3 (hate crimes), 16.2 (children).
		p				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	abuse, exploitations, trafficking and all forms of violence against and	torture of children	_			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Percentage of children aged 1-14 years who experienced any physical punishment by caregivers in the past month	Household surveys such as MICS that have been collecting data on this indicator in low- and middle-income countries since 2005.	UNICEF. Fully comparable data are available for some 60 low- and middle- income countries	Tier II		16.1.2. The indicator als monitors other targets: 5 (women), 10.3 (hate crime
ggested Indicator	Number of detected and non-detected victims of human trafficking per 100,000; by sex, age and form of exploitation	National governments/Field studies	UNODC, Data on the number of detected victims of TIP is available for over 130 countries	Tier I		Target 5.2
licator 16.2.1 Percen	Intage of young adults aged 18-24 years who have experienced violence by age 18, by ty	ne (nhysical, nsychological and/or sexual) (RRA)	countries			
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	Replace with ["Percentage of children aged 1-14 years who experienced any physical		UNICEF. Fully comparable data is		1	16.1.2. The indicator also
UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	punishment by caregivers in the past month"]	indicator in low- and middle-income countries since 2005.	available for some 60 low- and middle- income countries.		1	monitors other targets: 5. (women), 10.3 (hate crime
UNICEF	[Percentage of young women and men aged 18-24 years who experienced sexual violence by age 18]	Household surveys, including DHS that have been collecting data on this indicator in low- and middle-income countries since the late 1990s.	UNICEF. Fully comparable data are available for some 50 low- and middle-income countries		1	
UNICEF	[Percentage of children aged 1-14 years who experienced any physical punishment by caregivers in the past month]	Household surveys such as MICS that have been collecting data on this indicator in low- and middle-income countries since 2005.	UNICEF. Fully comparable data are available for some 60 low- and middle-income countries		1	
UNODC	[Percentage of young adults aged 18-24 years subject to violence by age 18, by type (physical and sexual)]	Victimisation surveys	UNODC collects data on prevalence of physical and sexual assault (see indicator 16.1.2), the suggested indicator 16.2.1 should be newly collected.		2	16.1.1
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
	er of victims of human trafficking per 100,000 people (CAA)					
OHCHR	[Reported number of victims of trafficking (within and across countries), slavery, exploitation and forced labour]	Multiple data sources - see attached metadata				5.2, 8.7, 16.1, 16.2, 16.4
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Replace with "[Percentage of young women and men aged 18-24 years who experienced sexual violence by age 18"]	Household surveys, including DHS that have been collecting data on this indicator in low- and middle-income countries since the late 1990s.	UNICEF. Fully comparable data is available for some 50 low- and middle-income countries.		2	16.2.2. The indicator als monitors other targets: 5 (women), 10.3 (hate crime
UNODC	[Number of detected and non-detected victims of human trafficking per 100,000; by sex, age and form of exploitation]	National governments/Field studies	UNODC, Data on the number of detected victims of TIP is available for over 130 countries		1	Target 5.2
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.					
GlobalMigrationWG	See full specification in attached meta-data word file	Administrative statistics from the criminal justice system (courts, police, etc.); disaggregate by migratory status. Current data sources include the UNODC Global Report on Trafficking in Persons, the U.S. Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report; IOM Trafficked Migrants Assistance Database	Ministries of Justice/Interior, Global Migration Group		1	10.7; 16.2
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group.	Administrative data from the criminal justice system. Current global data sources include the UNODC Global Report on Trafficking Persons, the U.S. Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report; IOM Trafficked Migrants Assistance Database.	Global Migration Group		3	This indicator also monitor target 5.2 (women), 8.7 (worst forms of child labo and forced labour), 10.7 (migration), 16.1 (violenco 16.4 (organized crime).
UNFPA	Alternative suggestion could be: [Number of reported victims of human trafficking as per the Palermo Protocol, to help address possible underreporting]. (UNODC)	??	UNODC			
rget 16.3 Prom	note the rule of law at the national and international levels and ensur	re equal access to justice for all				
iget 10.5 FIUIII	lote the rule of law at the hational and international levels and ensur					

Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
aggested Indicator	Percentage of victims of violence in the previous 12 months who reported their	Victimisation surveys	UNODC collects data on crime reporting	Tier II		16.a
88	victimization to competent authorities or other officially recognized conflict		rate through the annual data collection			
	resolution mechanisms (also called crime reporting rate)		UN-CTS. Data on crime reporting rates			
	resolution mechanisms (also called crime reporting rate)					
			are currently available for approx. 35			
		5	countries.			
uggested Indicator	Unsentenced detainees as percentage of overall prison population	Prison administration	UNODC collects data on prisons through	Tier II		
			its annual data collection (UN-CTS). Data			
			on unsentenced and total detainees from			
			the UN-CTS are available from 114			
			countries. The country coverage can			
			improve if other sources (NGOs) are			
			included (data for additional 70 countries			
			are available, bringing the total to 184			
			countries).			
dicator 16.3.1 Percer	ntage of people who have experienced a dispute, reporting access to an adequate disp	ute resolution mechanism (CBB)				
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	Replace with ["Proportion of those who have experienced a dispute in the past 12	Household surveys; data is available for 107 countries	World Bank (prospective)		1	16.6, 16.b
UNDP, UNODC (in	months and who have accessed a fair formal, informal, alternative or traditional				ı	
consultation with	dispute mechanism."] Whether a mechanism is \fair" is measured as reported by				,	
others)	persons experiencing dispute, with a focus on the process of dispute resolution and				,	
	not the outcome. Experience has shown respondents are able to separate outcome				,	
	from the fairness of the process itself. Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population				ŀ	
					,	
	group. Ensure disaggregation by type of mechanism."				,	
UNODC	[Devented of vistims of vistoms in the avertices 12 months who were 14th -!-	Viotingiantian augusta	LINODC collects data an arima vor anting		2	16.a
UNUDC	Percentage of victims of violence in the previous 12 months who reported their	Victimisation surveys	UNODC collects data on crime reporting		2	10.3
	victimization to competent authorities or other officially recognized conflict		rate through the annual data collection UN		ı	
	resolution mechanisms (also called crime reporting rate).]		CTS. Data on crime reporting rates are		ı	
			currently available for approx. 35		,	
			countries.			
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex, location, income and				ļ	
	other context specific factors.					
WB	[Proportion of those who have experienced a dispute in the past 12 months and	Household surveys	World Bank (prospective); 107		1	16.6; 16.b
	who have accessed a fair formal, informal, alternative or traditional dispute				,	
	mechanism]					
dicator 16.3.2 Percer	ntage of total detainees who have been held in detention for more than 12 months wh	ile awaiting sentencing or a final disposition of their case (BAA)				
OHCHR	[Average period of pre-trial detention]	Administrative data				16.3, 16.6, 16.10
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	Replace with \[Unsentenced detainees as percentage of overall prison population."]	UNODC collects data through its annual data collection (UN Survey of Crime	UNODC, United Nations Survey of Crime		2	This indicator monitors
UNDP, UNODC (in	Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group. This indicator, with a focus on	Trends and the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems, UN-CTS). UN-CTS	Trends and the Operations of Criminal		ļ	target 16.6 (effective
consultation with	an important aspect of the criminal justice system, is complementary to the first	includes data for 114 countries. This coverage could increase to 184 countries if	Justice Systems mandated by the UN		ı	institutions) and 16.10
others)	indicator."	other sources (research institutions and NGOs) are included.	General Assembly (UN-CTS).		,	(fundamental freedoms)
,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,		,	•
UNODC	[Unsentenced detainees as percentage of overall prison population]	Prison administration	UNODC collects data on prisons through		1	16.6
	1		its annual data collection (UN-CTS). Data		_	
			on unsentenced and total detainees from		ı	
			the UN-CTS are available from 114		,	
					ı	
			countries. The country coverage can		,	
			improve if other sources (NGOs) are		,	
1			included (data for additional 70 countries			
				l		
			are available, bringing the total to 184		ŀ	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.		are available, bringing the total to 184 countries).			
arget 16.4 By 20	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th	e recovery and return of stolen assets and combat all forms of	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime			
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
arget 16.4 By 20	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th		are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible.	Tier Tier II	Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity		Priority	
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible.		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional organizations, to publish official		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3 (development-oriented
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional organizations, to publish official estimates of their volume and		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3 (development-oriented policies). Target 17.1 (illic
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional organizations, to publish official estimates of their volume and breakdown". GFI publishes data for 151		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3 (development-oriented policies). Target 17.1 (illic financial flows includes ta
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional organizations, to publish official estimates of their volume and		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3 (development-oriented policies). Target 17.1 (illici financial flows includes ta: avoidance and tax evasion
arget 16.4 By 20 Contributor Name	030, significantly reduce illicit financial and arms flows, strengthen th Specification	Source	are available, bringing the total to 184 countries). organized crime Entity Perhaps the IMF should be responsible. The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] the United Nations, IMF and the World Bank in collaboration with regional organizations, to publish official estimates of their volume and breakdown". GFI publishes data for 151		Priority	Target 16.5 (illicit financia flows include monies received through corruption). Target 8.3

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Suggested Indicator Percentage of seized and collected firearms that are recorded and traced, in UNODC has been mandated by the accordance with international standards and legal instruments Conference of the Parties to the United **Nations Convention Against** Transnational Organized Crime to collect indicators related to firearm trafficking including the one proposed here. Indicator 16.4.1 Total volume of inward and outward illicit financial flows (CBB) FOSG/RoLU, PBSO. Replace with \[Total value of inward and outward illicit financial flows (in current http://www.gfintegrity.org/issues/data-by-country/ Perhaps the IMF should be responsible Target 16.5 (illicit financial LINDP LINODC (in US\$)."] The indicator covers various aspects of this target, including revenues The FfD draft text of 6 May 2015 "invite[s] flows include monies consultation with emanating from illicit arms sales and organized crime. The UN Economic Commission the United Nations, IMF and the World eceived through corruption). others) for Africa, UNDP, Global Financial Integrity and others have produced global country-by Bank in collaboration with regional Target 8.3 (developmentcountry estimates for illicit financial flows. See separate Technical information on organizations, to publish official estimates oriented policies). Target methodologies. " of their volume and breakdown". GFI 17.1 (illicit financial flows nublishes data for 151 countries " includes tax avoidance and tax evasion, which reduces domestic tax revenues) WB Additional indicators could be: [(1) Criminal investigations and prosecutions focusing | Sources for these indicators are: FATF - Financial Action Task Force and its on combatting corruption, tax evasion, criminal networks and money laundering; by affiliates; UNCAC Conference of State Parties; Country data; OECD/Global Forum data (bribery, tax, asset recovery and development). country (number of cases); and (2) Freezing, confiscation/recovery and return of proceeds of crime (with details on key crimes), by country (US\$).] EOSG/RoLU, PBSO. [Percentage of small arms marked and recorded at the time of import in accordance 1. International standards on import marking: <U+0095> International UN Office for Disarmament Affairs 2 16.1, 16.2, 16.3, 16.6 with international standards.] Compliance with international standards (see "sources | Instrument to Enable States to Identify and Trace, in a Timely and Reliable UNDP, UNODC (in (UNODA) (Note: UNODA is the repository consultation with and data collection" below for further definition) will require a state to mark and Manner, Illicit Small Arms and Light Weapons (Article 8.b) (Note: Politicallyfor national reports on implementation of others) record actual numbers of arms imported into the country. This is an important binding agreement applicable to all UN Member States; also known as the the International Tracing Instrument, indicator that contributes effectively to measuring the reduction in illicit arms flows. International Tracing Instrument). < U+0095> Firearms Protocol supplementing including on import marking). UN Institute The international standards include those agreed to by all UN Member States in the the UN Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime (Article 8.1.b) (Note: for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR) (Note International Tracing Instrument and required of States party to the UN Firearms Legally binding agreement applicable only to States Parties). <U+0095> JNIDIR has conducted analyses of States' Protocol. International Small Arms Control Standards (ISACS) Module 05.30, "Marking as d implementation of the International Recordkeeping" (Note: ISACS, developed by the UN, synthesizes the import Tracing Instrument, including on import marking standards contained in the above two instruments). 2. Data on marking). For implementation of the whether States conduct import marking <U+0095> Biennial national reports on Firearms Protocol: UNODC States' implementation of the International Tracing Instrument (Note: States have been reporting on their implementation of the ITI since it was negotiated in 2005. As such, data can be collected by means of an already existing reporting mechanism). <U+0095> Monitoring of States' implementation of the Firearms Protocol, ' UNODC Percentage of seized and collected firearms that are recorded and traced, in UNODC has been mandated by the accordance with international standards and legal instruments Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime to collect indicators related to firearm trafficking including the one proposed here. Target 16.5 Substantially reduce corruption and bribery in all their forms Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Suggested Indicato Percentage of persons who had at least one contact with a public official, who paid a Household corruption surveys and victimisation surveys with a module on UNODC collects prevalence data on This indicator is proposed to bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe by these public officials, during bribery. At least 72 countries have implemented at least one national oribery from surveys through the annual monitor the following victimisation survey after 2009. In addition, 9 African countries have already **United Nations Survey of Crime Trends** targets: 1.4 (access to basic implemented or are in the process of implementing a victimisation survey and the Operations of Criminal Justice Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group. This concept of bribery services), 1a (resource module as part of the Strategy for Harmonisation of Statistics for Africa Systems mandated by the UN General mobilization), 10.b (ODA), prevalence makes clear that it has to be measured amongst those who had contact with a public official (SHaSA). Assembly (UN-CTS). 16.3 (rule of law), 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundamental freedoms), 17.1 (domestic resource mobilization). Indicator 16.5.1 Percentage of population who paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe by these public officials, during the last 12 months (CBB)

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, Replace with ["Percentage of persons who had at least one contact with a public Household corruption surveys and victimisation surveys with a module on UNODC collects prevalence data on This indicator is proposed to UNDP. UNODC (in official, who paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe by these bribery. At least 72 countries have implemented at least one national bribery from surveys through the annual monitor the following consultation with public officials, during the last 12 months."] Disaggregate by age, sex, region and victimisation survey after 2009. In addition, 9 African countries have already United Nations Survey of Crime Trends and targets: 1.4 (access to basic others) population group. This concept of bribery prevalence makes clear that it has to be implemented or are in the process of implementing a victimisation survey the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems services), 1a (resource measured amongst those who had contact with a public official." module as part of the Strategy for Harmonisation of Statistics for Africa (SHaSA). mandated by the UN General Assembly mobilization), 10.b (ODA), (UN-CTS). 16.3 (rule of law), 16.6 (accountable institutions). 16.10 (protection of fundamental freedoms), 17.1 (domestic resource mobilization). UNODC [Number of persons who paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe Household corruption surveys or victimisation surveys with module on bribery UNODC collects data on bribery 16.3: bribery prevalence is by these public officials, during the last 12 months as a percentage of persons who prevalence through the annual data relevant to monitor rule of had at least one contact with a public official in the same period (also called bribery collection UN-CTS. Taking into account law. Moreover, bribery replies to UN-CTS and other bribery prevalence among prevalence)] prevalence data produced by national justice/law enforcement statistical offices, no less than 20 countries officials is used to monitor have bribery prevalence data officially access to justice produced. This number does not include data derived from corruption surveys produced by NGOs, research institutions and others. Indicator 16.5.2 Percentage of businesses that paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe by these public officials, during the last 12 months (CBB) EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, Replace with ["Percentage of businesses who had at least one contact with a public | Business corruption surveys or business victimisation surveys with module on UNODC This indicator is proposed to UNDP, UNODC (in official, who paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a bribe by these monitor the following consultation with public officials, during the last 12 months."] This concept of bribery prevalence targets: 1a (resource others) makes clear that it has to be measured amongst those businesses who had contact nobilization), 8.3 (promotion with a public official." of private enterprise, 10.b (ODA), 12.2 (sustainable development of natural resources), 16.3 (rule of law), 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundamental freedoms), 17.1 (domestic resource mobilization) [Number of businesses that paid a bribe to a public official, or were asked for a UNODC Business corruption surveys or business victimisation surveys with module on Business bribery surveys have been 16.3 carried out in a number of countries bribe by these public officials, during the last 12 months as a percentage of all businesses who had at least one contact with a public official in the same period] around the world and could be replicated in other countries. UNODC provides advice and technical support to interested countries. Target 16.6 Develop effective, accountable and transparent institutions at all levels Interlinkages Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority uggested Indicato PEFA Secretariat (World Bank); 149 Primary government expenditures as a percentage of original approved budget Data for 149 countries (collected on 398+ occasions) available at This indicator is also relevant for targets: 1.3 www.pefa.org. countries (social protection), 3.8 (health coverage), 4.1 (education), 17.1 (domestic resources), 17.9 (capacity building), 17.13 (macroeconomic stability). Suggested Indicator Percentage of recommendations to strengthen national anti-corruption frameworks | Review Mechanism of the United Nations Convention against Corruption All 175+ States Party to the UNCAC are 16.5 (institutional and legislative) implemented, as identified through the UNCAC subject to a periodic review in the UNCAC Implementation Review Mechanism. Review Mechanism, To date, with well over 90 reviews finalised and another 30 in advanced stages.

Indicator 16.6.1 Actual primary expenditures per sector and revenues as a percentage of the original approved budget of the government (BBB)

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, Replace with [\Primary government expenditures as a percentage of original Data for 149 countries (collected on 398+ occasions) available at www.pefa.org. PEFA Secretariat (World Bank); 149 This indicator is also relevant UNDP. UNODC (in approved budget"]. This indicator can be based on the Public Expenditure and for targets: 1.3 (social consultation with Financial Accountability (PEFA) Program (PEFA PI-2). PEFA PI-2 considers (i) the protection), 3.8 (health others) variation between approved budget and final expenditure for the year for each major coverage), 4.1 (education), function (comparable to a sector) (ii) variation in expenditure from the original budget 17.1 (domestic resources). by economic classification and (iii) the average amount charged to the contingency 17.9 (capacity building), reserve over the last 3 years. ' 17.13 (macroeconomic stability). UNODC [Percentage of recommendations to strengthen national anti-corruption All 175+ States Party to the UNCAC are 16.5 Review Mechanism of the United Nations Convention against Corruption 1 frameworks (institutional and legislative) implemented, as identified through the subject to a periodic review in the UNCAC UNCAC Implementation Review Mechanism. 1 Review Mechanism. To date, with well over 90 reviews finalised and another 30 in advanced stages. WB [Composition of expenditure outturn compared to original approved budget (PEFA PEFA -www.pefa.org PEFA Secretariat (World Bank); 149 countries OECD The OECD Guidelines on Measuring Trust will be completed by the end of 2016. OECD 165 167 [Placeholder for indicators under development: (1) Trust in institutions (focus on 2 share of people trusting the judicial system); (2) Generalised trust (share of people See http://www.oecd.org/statistics/measuring-well-being-and-progress.htm trusting others] ndicator 16.6.2 Proportion of population satisfied with the quality of public services, disaggregated by service (BAA) FOSG/RoLU, PBSO. Replace with ["proportion of population satisfied with their last experience of public | The data as currently collected by perception surveys such as the World Value | UNDP 16.a., 16.3., 116.6, 16.9 and LINDP LINODC (in all other targets with access services"1. Ensure disaggregation by service. This outcome indicator focusses on the Survey, Gallup, Afrobarometer and the other Barometers, and various NSOs, is consultation with effectiveness aspect of the target, and indirectly on the accountability aspect, drawing globally or regionally comparable. The general methodology is wellto basic services such as others) on population sample-surveys. This indicator seeks to cover effectiveness via precedented among NSOs in developed and developing countries. Regional health, education etc. (1.4 population sample-surveys, in which it is a well-precedented question. It also covers Barometers (eg. 19 countries in Africa in 2014 amongst 36 in total since the access to basic services), 3.8 accountability indirectly, in that service provision must be responsive to the needs of Afrobarometer process started, 10 Arab states in the Arabbarometer, 18 Latin (health care), 4.1, 4.2, 4a the population. An element of experience is also included by referring to respondent's American states in the Latinobarometer, 13 Asian states with three surveys and (education), 7.1 (energy), satisfaction with their own most recent experience of public services. The results may a further five with at least one survey each). The World Values Survey asks 10.2 (social inclusion), 11.1 be triangulated with public-services access or quality indicators for other goals based respondents in 60 countries (for the 6th Wave, 2010-2014) about confidence in (housing). on administrative data, eg. water and sanitation, education, health etc. It can be institutions including the armed forces, the police, the courts, government and outtressed with results from expert assessments on experience or satisfaction with, parliament. See supplementary material by UNDP. and quality of, public services. UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. arget 16.7 Ensure responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making at all levels Contributor Name Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages UN Women, OHCHR, IPU **Suggested Indicato** Proportions of positions (by age, sex, disability and population groups) in public National administrative sources, Global Barometer Study Target 5.5 institutions (national and local legislatures, public service, and judiciary) compared http://www.jdsurvey.net/gbs/gbs.jsp, World Values Survey: to national distributions. http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/wvs.jsp, Gallup World Poll: http://www.gallup.com/services/170945/world-poll.aspx , See SHaSA Harmonised Module on Democratic Governance, in the supplementary materials Suggested Indicator UNFPA COAR database UNFPA Proportion of countries that address young people's multisectoral needs with their Tier III national development plans and poverty reduction strategies dicator 16.7.1 Diversity in representation in key decision-making bodies (legislature, executive, and judiciary) (BBA) OHCHR [Proportion of public service positions held by women and members of target Administrative data On women, UN Women. 1 5.5, 10.2, 16.7 EOSG/RoLU, PBSO. Replace with ["Proportions of positions (by sex, disability and population groups) in The data was to be collected from national administrative information. Global UN Women, OHCHR, IPU. 1 Target 5.5. UNDP. UNODC (in public institutions (national and local legislatures, public service, and judiciary) Barometer Study: http://www.jdsurvey.net/gbs/gbs.jsp , World Values Survey consultation with compared to national distributions."] This indicator focuses on the representativeness http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/wvs.jsp , Gallup World Poll: others) aspect of the target, but the presence of diversity also conduces to inclusivity and http://www.gallup.com/services/170945/world-poll.aspx , See SHaSA responsiveness of decision-making. It is also easy to understand and communicate. Harmonised Module on Democratic Governance, in the supplementary Disaggregation by sex and disability are most immediately feasible, and region of origin materials. could be specified. Ethnicity would be defined at the country level , and could include ethnic or religious groups, indigenous populations, etc. One particular disaggregation compares with Goal 5.5, namely local government by sex. [Comparison to national distributions may require affirmative action in some settings to ensure that certain populations are effectively included.] UNFPA [Diversity in representation in key decision-making bodies (legislature, executive, 1 and judiciary).] Disaggregation by sex, age, region and other prohibited grounds of Indicator 16.7.2 Percentage of population who believe decision-making at all levels is inclusive and responsive (CBB)

ist of Proposals	s					
<u></u>	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/	(R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	"Replace with ["Turnout as a share of voting-age population in national elections."]	Data on turn-out relative to eligibility/voting-age population will be collected routinely by national authorities, including electoral bodies (registration of	IPU, IDEA.		2	16.6
, ,	This outcome indicator focusses on the inclusion, participation and representation aspects of the target, and indirectly on the responsiveness aspect, drawing on	voters), national registration entities (birth registration, national identity, social				
	administrative data from government sources, buttressed by expert collation of	security entitlement, etc.). Turn-out will be tabulated at the time of election				
	comparable data across different countries. This indicator seeks to measure increases	based on votes tallied by the electoral authorities. In addition, international				
	in inclusion, participation and representation in terms of turn-out of eligible voters in	organisations such as the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral				
	elections. At country level, disaggregation will be possible as a matter of course by	Assistance (IDEA) maintains detailed tables on turn-out and registration at				
	geographical area. More sophisticated systems may be required for disaggregation by					
	sex and other characteristics whilst preserving anonymity."	country comparison, assuming comparability of different levels of elections - eg.				
ļ	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Presidential, Parliamentary, local, etc. IDEA maintains databases at all levels.				
ļ		http://www.idea.int/vt/viewdata.cfm#""				
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex and age.					
UNFPA	Alt. sugg: [Proportion of countries that address young people's multisectoral needs	UNFPA COAR database	UNFPA			
 	within their National Development Plans and poverty reduction strategies]					
	[Extent to which legislature conducts public hearings during budget cycle.] This	The International Budget Partnership surveyed public participation in the			3	16.3
	indicator offers a precisely definable specification in a key domain of citizen	budget process in 100 countries for the Open Budget Survey 2012 and 102				
	participation in decision-making. The level referred to would be categorized, or else	countries for the 2015 Survey (being released on September 9th 2015). The				
others)	could be treated as a yes/no treaty indicator. Support for public participation in	evidence from the 2012 survey shows, for example, that in 28 countries				
	budgeting has been affirmed by the High Level Principles on Fiscal Transparency issued					
	by the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency (GIFT) and endorsed by UN General	legislative budget hearings on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework				
	Assembly Resolution 67/218. The IMF included public participation as an indicator in its					
	revised Fiscal Transparency Code, as did the OECD in its Principles of Budgetary	budget-survey/				
ļ	Governance.					
	den and strengthen the participation of developing countries in the in	nstitutions of global governance				
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
	Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international	Administrative data of international organizations.	United Nations/DESA. Data would be	Tier I		Target 10.6 (which for
	organizations.		available for all international			on global internation
			organizations.			economic and financ
						institutions). Target 1 (rule of law at internati
						level). Target 16.7 (w
						, , ,
						focuses on inclusive
						participatory and
						making AT ALL LEVE
						making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non-
						making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non- discriminatory and equ
						making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non- discriminatory and equi
Av. 4604						making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ
	tage of voting rights in international organizations of developing countries (CBB) Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in	Administrative data of international organizations	United Nations/DESA. Data would be			making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,		Administrative data of international organizations	United Nations/DESA. Data would be available for all international			making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in	Administrative data of international organizations				making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys Target 10.6 (which for on global internatio
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys Target 10.6 (which for on global internatio economic and finance
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys Target 10.6 (which for on global internatio economic and financinstitutions). Target
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys Target 10.6 (which for on global internatio economic and financ institutions). Target 1 (rule of law at internati
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 10.6 (which foo on global internation economic and finantinstitutions). Target 1 (rule of law at internat level). Target 16.7 (w
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 10.6 (which foo on global internation institutions). Target 10.6 (which for on global internation economic and financinstitutions). Target 1(rule of law at internation focuses on inclusive focuses on inclusive making making are 16.7 (which is the focuses on inclusive focuses for focuses for focuses for focus for focus focus focus for focus focus focus for focus focus focus for focus focus focus focus for focus focus focus focus focus focus for focus
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys multi
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys and trading
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global"	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 10.6 (which foo on global internation economic and finantinstitutions). Target 16.7 (w focuses on inclusiv participatory and representative decisis making AT ALL LEVEL
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: "Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations." Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 10.6 (which for on global internation economic and financiastitutions). Target: (rule of law at internatilevel). Target 16.7 (w focuses on inclusive participatory and representative decisimaking AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations should be limited to organizations with a global mandate. This is a global indicator, not a national indicator. National Statistical Offices need not be involved. The rating CBB from the survey is, therefore, odd, especially the C rating because the data on	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 10.6 (which for on global internation economic and financistitutions). Target (rule of law at internatilevel). Target 16.7 (w focuses on inclusiv participatory and representative decis making AT ALL LEVE Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations should be limited to organizations with a global mandate. This is a global indicator, not a national indicator. National Statistical Offices need not be involved. The rating CBB	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading system of the control of the contr
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations should be limited to organizations with a global mandate. This is a global indicator, not a national indicator. National Statistical Offices need not be involved. The rating CBB from the survey is, therefore, odd, especially the C rating because the data on	Administrative data of international organizations	available for all international			Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ multilateral trading sys multilateral trading sys global internation economic and finance institutions). Target 1 (rule of law at internation elevel). Target 16.7 (which focuses on inclusive participatory and representative decisimaking AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non discriminatory and equ
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others) rget 16.9 By 20 Contributor Name	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations should be limited to organizations with a global mandate. This is a global indicator, not a national indicator. National Statistical Offices need not be involved. The rating CBB from the survey is, therefore, odd, especially the C rating because the data on membership and voting rights is readily available. " 30, provide legal identity for all, including birth registration Specification	Source	available for all international organizations.	Tier		representative decisis making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non-discriminatory and equi multilateral trading systimultilateral trading systimultilatera
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others) "get 16.9 By 20 Contributor Name gested Indicator	Rephrase: ["Percentage of members or voting rights of developing countries in international organizations."] Representation and participation of developing countries in international organizations, including international financial institutions, is often below their relative weight in the world. This indicator would measure the representativeness of developing countries in international organizations. This indicator would be easily measurable by way of data collected by international organizations. The indicator would require a list of international organizations that would be included in the calculation. The indicator could be calculated by taking the simple average of the international organizations on the list. The phrase "global governance" in the target would suggest that the list of international organizations should be limited to organizations with a global mandate. This is a global indicator, not a national indicator. National Statistical Offices need not be involved. The rating CBB from the survey is, therefore, odd, especially the C rating because the data on membership and voting rights is readily available. "		available for all international organizations.	Tier Tier I	1	Target 17.10 (non-discriminatory and equi multilateral trading sys multilateral trading sys global internation economic and finance institutions). Target 1 (rule of law at internatilevel). Target 16.7 (wil focuses on inclusive participatory and representative decision making AT ALL LEVEL Target 17.10 (non-discriminatory and equi multilateral trading systems).

	ls					
Note on Disaggrega	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U,	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, UNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Retain this indicator. Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group, displacement and migratory status (including statelessness).	Household surveys such as MICS and vital registration systems.	UNICEF. Rationale: Unisex maintains a global database on the issue since 2003. Comparable data are available for more than 160 countries		1	This indicator also relates target 4.1 and 4.2.
UNICEF	[Percentage of children under 5 whose births have been registered with civil authority]	Household surveys such as MICS and vital registration systems.	UNICEF. Rationale: Unisex maintains a global database on the issue since 2003. Comparable data are available for more than 160 countries		1	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex.					
WB	The proposed indicator 16.9.1 - Percentage of children under 5 whose births have been registered with civil authority - is not in line with the Global CRVS investment plan which the World Bank developed in consultation with several agencies and countries last year. http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/health/publication/global-civil-registration-vital-statistics-scaling-up-investment. We propose [Percentage of children under 1 whose births have been registered with civil authority which is in line with national laws/guidelines.] The UN Principles and Recommendations for a Vital Statistics System states that birth registration should be "immediate" (where defined, this is usually 7-30 days); up to 12 months is viewed as "late registration" and beyond 12 months is "delayed registration." Many countries are using this to define their own laws. Measurement of implementation should be consistent with this.					
GlobalMigrationWG UNFPA	[Percentage of children under 1 whose births have been registered with civil	NB! Disaggregate by migratory status UNICEF, WHO, World Bank and Regional Economic Commissions databases	UNICEF, WHO, World Bank, UNSD and			
0	authority]		UNFPA			
		in accordance with national legislation and international agree				
	authority]	in accordance with national legislation and international agree		Tier	Priority	Interlinkages

FOCC/D-III DDCO		/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.	DEEA Connectories (Manual Bonda), 440			Also veloto des terresto 4.6.3
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	Replace with ["Percentage of government revenues, procurement and natural	Data for 149 countries (collected on 398+ occasions) available at www.pefa.org	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Also related to targets 16
UNDP, UNODC (in	resource concessions that are publicly available and easily accessible in open data		countries			and 16.6
consultation with	format"]. This indicator can be based on Indicator I-9 of Public Expenditure and					
others)	Financial Accountability (PEFA), \Public access to key fiscal information", which					
	identifies the budget proposal, enacted budget, in-year execution reports and audited					
	annual financial report as things that are basic requirements for public access - which					
	covers the entire life-cycle of the budget documents comprehensively. It also identifies					
	external audit reports as documents that should be made available. Where they exist,					
	the audit reports would address matters relating to the reliability of procurement and					
	natural resource concessions, and any other matters affecting the management and					
	use of public resources. Ideally, public access would conform with the "open data					
	format". The "Open Definition" [http://opendefinition.org/] sets out principles that					
	define "openness" in relation to data and content. It makes precise the meaning of					
	"open" in the terms "open data" and "open content" and thereby ensures quality and					
	encourages compatibility between different pools of open material. It can be summed					
	up in the statement that " 'open' means anyone can freely access, use, modify and					
	share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance					
	and openness)". In the UK, for example, guidelines encourage government data					
	producers to publish documents in "file formats that reflect the nature of the					
	information they contain, and the uses to which they will likely be put"					
	[https://www.gov.uk/service-manual/user-centred-design/choosing-appropriate-					
	formats.html]. "					
UNESCO	UNESCO proposes to adjust this indicator and reword it to: \\Number of countries	Media regulators (including self-regulatory media associations); Academic and	UNESCO-UIS (data currently available for		1	
	that have adopted and implemented constitutional, statutory and/or policy	research institutions; Media support NGOs (national and international).	56 countries) and UNESCO			
	guarantees for public access to information (yes or no)" Disaggregations: none"	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Communications Sector (see metadata for			
	<u></u>		more information)			
WB	[Public access to key fiscal information (PEFA PI-9)]	PEFA -www.pefa.org	PEFA Secretariat (World Bank); 149			
****	[date decess to key itself information [E/A 11 3]]	TETA WWW.perd.org	countries			
icator 16.10.2 Nu	Mber of journalists, associated media personnel and human rights advocates killed, kidn	apped, disappeared, detained or tortured in the last 12 months (CBB)	countries	l l		
ILO/UNESCO	Alternative text: [Number of journalists, associated media personnel, trade unionists	ILO/ITUC for trade unions. Media reports.	Responsible entities: ILO, ITUC, IFJ.			8.8
	and human rights advocates killed, kidnapped, disappeared, detained or tortured in		Availability: Information from ILO on all ILO			
	the last 12 months		member states (185); from ITUC on all			
	<u> </u>		United Nations member states; and from			
			IFJ (International Federation of Journalists)			
			on 134 countries.			
ITU	Proposed alternative indicator: [Proportion of individuals using the Internet.]	Data for this indicator are collected by NSOs, through household surveys .	ITU has data for this indicator for 200			1.4, 2c, 5b, 9c, 10.3, 12.8
'''	•	Between 2011-2014 data for this indicator exist for 100 countries, for at least	economies, and on a yearly basis.			16.10, 16.6, 16.7, 17.6, 17
		,	economies, and on a yearry basis.			10.10, 10.0, 10.7, 17.0, 17
		one year. For countries that do not collect data for this indicator through				
		household surveys, ITU estimates the data, based on subscription data. In total,				
		ITU has data for this indicator for 200 economies, and on a yearly basis.				
OHCHR	[Number of verified cases of killing, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention,	Multiple data sources - see attached metadata	OHCHR, UNESCO		1	5.2, 16.1, 16.3, 16.6, 16.
1	assault and torture of journalists, trade unionists or human rights defenders]					

t of Proposa	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U.	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible				
EOSG/ROLU, PBSO, JNDP, UNODC (in consultation with others)	Replace with ["Number of verified cases of killing, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention and torture of journalists, associated media personnel, trade unionists and human rights advocates in the previous 12 months"]. Disaggregate by sex and population group. The indicator is calculated as the total number of reported cases of killing, disappearance, arbitrary detention, assault and torture of journalists, trade unionists or human rights defenders during the reporting period which are verified by an independent entity.	This indicator collates data from multiple sources, including National Human Rights Institutions, national non-governmental organisations, associations of journalists, trades unions, ILO, and international non-governmental organisations. Information on the number of violations committed against human rights defenders will be compiled annually by OHCHR from these data sources and further data collected through individual complaints to human rights treaty bodies, and Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council, including the Special Rapporteurs on human rights defenders, on freedom of opinion and expression, torture, the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances, and the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention. Additional data from OHCHR field offices and UN Country Teams will also be included. These data will be verified, cross-checked to ensure no duplication, and compiled in line with the agreed international definitions outlined above. Information on the number of journalists killed are compiled annually by UNESCO from data collected through multi-sourced research, including press reports, information from monitoring groups, direct reports, and information from UNESCO field offices and other UN bodies. Reports of killings compiled by UNESCO are then transmitted for clarification on the status of judicial investigation to Member States and categorized into the following: 1) no information received so far; 2) on-going; 3) resolved; 4) killed in cross-fire; and 5) others. This information can be found at the annual report by the UNESCO Director-General on 'The Safety of Journalists and the Danger of Impunity'.	OHCHR, UNESCO, ILO, ITUC, IFJ. Availability: Information from ILO on all ILO member states (185); from ITUC on all United Nations member states; and from IFJ (International Federation of Journalists) on 134 countries.			This indicator is proposed monitor the following targets: 5.2 (violence agai women), 16.1 (violence a deaths), 16.3 (rule of law 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundament freedoms).
UNESCO	UNESCO proposes to adjust this indicator and reword it to: ["Number of countries_ promoting fundamental freedoms through ensuring the protection of journalists and combatting impunity for attacks on them (yes or no)"] Disaggregations: none	UNESCO World Trends on Freedom of Expression UNESCO's Journalist Safety Indicators Universal Periodic Review (UPR) of the Human Rights Council (with UNESCO input)	UNESCO Communications Sector		2	
UNWOMEN	UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex. [Numbers of violations of fundamental freedoms which impact on public access to information, and percentage of judicial cases resolved. (disaggregated by targeted group (journalists, associated media personnel, human rights defenders, trade unionists and human rights advocates)]].	This indicator collates data from multiple sources, including National Human Rights Institutions, national non-governmental organisations, associations of journalists, trades unions, ILO, and international non-governmental organisations. Information on the number of violations committed against human rights defenders will be compiled annually by OHCHR from these data sources and further data collected through individual complaints to human rights treaty bodies, and Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council, including the Special Rapporteurs on human rights defenders, on freedom of opinion and expression, torture, the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances, and the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention. Additional data from OHCHR field offices and UN Country Teams will also be included. These data will be verified, cross-checked to ensure no duplication, and compiled in line with the agreed international definitions outlined above. Information on the number of journalists killed are compiled annually by UNESCO from data collected through multi-sourced research, including press reports, information from monitoring groups, direct reports, and information from UNESCO field offices and other UN bodies. Reports of killings compiled by UNESCO are then transmitted for clarification on the status of judicial investigation to Member States and categorized into the following: 1) no information received so far; 2) on-going; 3) resolved; 4) killed in cross-fire; and 5) others. This information can be found at the annual report by the UNESCO Director-General on 'The Safety of Journalists and the Danger of Impunity'.		Tier I		This indicator is proposed monitor the following targets: 5.2 (violence agai women), 16.1 (violence a deaths), 16.3 (rule of law 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundament freedoms).
UNESCO	[Number of library service points per 1,000 inhabitants,] where a service point can be public, school and academic, but excluding special and research libraries. Disaggregations: none	National library surveys	IFLA and UNESCO-UIS		3	

Source

Entity

Tier Priority

Interlinkages

Contributor Name

Specification

List of Proposal	S					
	ion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U.	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
ggested Indicator	Percentage of victims who report physical and/or sexual crime to law enforcement agencies during past 12 months Disaggregated by age, sex, region and population group	Crime victimisation surveys. At least 72 countries have implemented at least one national victimisation survey after 2009. In addition, 9 African countries have already implemented or are in the process of implementing a victimisation survey module as part of the Strategy for Harmonisation of Statistics for Africa (SHaSA).	UNODC, United Nations Survey of Crime Trends and the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems mandated by the UN General Assembly (UN-CTS).	Tier II		This indicator is proposed monitor the following targets: 5.2 (violence agai women), 16.1 (violence adeaths), 16.3 (rule of law 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundamen freedoms).
EOSG/RoLU, PBSO,	tage of requests for international cooperation (law enforcement cooperation, mutual	, , , , , ,	UNODC, United Nations Survey of Crime		1	This indicator is proposed
UNDP, UNODC (in	Replace with ["Percentage of victims who report physical and/or sexual crime to law enforcement agencies during past 12 months."] Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group.	Crime victimisation surveys. At least 72 countries have implemented at least one national victimisation survey after 2009. In addition, 9 African countries have already implemented or are in the process of implementing a victimisation survey module as part of the Strategy for Harmonisation of Statistics for Africa (SHaSA).	Justice Systems mandated by the UN General Assembly (UN-CTS).		1	This indicator is propose monitor the following targets: 5.2 (violence aga women), 16.1 (violence adeaths), 16.3 (rule of lav 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundamen freedoms).
UNODC	[Percentage of crime victims who report their victimisation to public authorities (also called crime reporting rate)]	Victimisation surveys	UNODC collects data on crime reporting rate through the annual data collection UNCTS. Data on crime reporting rates are currently available for approx. 35 countries.		1	16.3.1
	nce of independent national human rights institutions (NHRIs) in compliance with the		Tanana i i i ia a			
OHCHR	See attached metadata	OHCHR, International Coordinating Committee of National Human Rights Institutions	OHCHR, International Coordinating Committee of National Human Rights Institutions			10.3, 16a, 16b
others)	Replace with ["Percentage of requests for international cooperation (mutual legal assistance and extraditions) that were met during the reporting year."] The concept of "mutual legal assistance" refers to various types of formal legal assistance given by one State to another State to support the requesting State in the criminal justice process. The concept of "extradition" refers to the surrender of an alleged or convicted criminal from one State to another state. Both concepts respond to the growing need for international cooperation in criminal matters at a time when criminal activities increasingly cross national borders."	Data can be collected through a module of the UN Survey of Crime Trends and the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems (UN-CTS). Data was available on MLA for 30 countries and on extradition for 35 countries. Universal coverage is considered feasible.	UNODC (prospective), United Nations Survey of Crime Trends and the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems mandated by the UN General Assembly (UN-CTS).		2	This indicator is proposed monitor the following targets: 5.2 (violence agai women), 16.1 (violence edeaths), 16.3 (rule of lav 16.6 (accountable institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundamen freedoms).
UNODC	[Percentage of requests for international cooperation (mutual legal assistance and extraditions) during the reporting year that were granted]	Administrative records on Mutual Legal Assistance and extraditions (requests, granted, refused)	Data have been collected in an ad-hoc module of the 2013 UN-CTS on MLA requests (30 countries) and granted (13) as well as on extradition requests (35 countries) and granted (24), demonstrating the availability of data in comparable formats.		1	16.4
arget 16.b Prom	ote and enforce non-discriminatory laws and policies for sustainable	e develonment	comparable formats.			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Percentage of population reporting having personally felt discriminated against or harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination prohibited under international human rights law. Disaggregate by age, sex, region and population group	The primary data source is surveys conducted at the national or regional level. In many national contexts, surveys may exclude the homeless or low-income groups without access to telephones. Face-to-face surveys often exclude non-urban populations or members of linguistic minorities. There is evidence to suggest that the most marginalised populations are less likely to respond to surveys, but this effect is reduced by ensuring their participation in the preparation of the survey.	Data for this indicator are collected in an increasing number of countries. At the regional level, the EU Fundamental Rights Agency has collected the data for 27 EU Member States. Relevant data is also collected in Eurobarometer and Afrobarometer surveys, and this question could easily be added.	Tier II		This indicator is proposed monitor the following targets: 10.2 (inclusions 10.3 and 10b (discrimination), 16.3 (ru of law), 16.6 (accountab institutions), 16.10 (protection of fundament freedoms),
OHCHR	tion of the population reporting and perceiving to be discriminated against directly an IPercentage of population reporting having personally felt discriminated against or harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination prohibited under international human rights law]		Data available at regional level, e.g. EU Fundamental Rights Agency collects for all 28 EU Member States. No current global collector.		1	10.2, 10.3, 16.3, 16b

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, Replace with ["Percentage of population reporting having personally felt The primary data source is surveys conducted at the national or regional level. Data for this indicator are collected in an This indicator is proposed to UNDP. UNODC (in discriminated against or harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground In many national contexts, surveys may exclude the homeless or low-income increasing number of countries. At the monitor the following regional level, the EU Fundamental Rights targets: 10.2 (inclusions), consultation with of discrimination prohibited under international human rights law"]. The indicator is groups without access to telephones. Face-to-face surveys often exclude nonothers) calculated as the percentage of persons reporting having personally felt discriminated urban populations or members of linguistic minorities. There is evidence to Agency has collected the data for 27 EU 10.3 and 10b against or harassed within the last 12 months on the basis of a ground of suggest that the most marginalised populations are less likely to respond to Member States, Relevant data is also (discrimination), 16.3 (rule of law), 16.6 (accountable discrimination prohibited under international human rights law. This will be calculated surveys, but this effect is reduced by ensuring their participation in the collected in Eurobarometer and using the full survey results, with techniques of imputation, estimation and data preparation of the survey. Afrobarometer surveys, and this question institutions), 16.10 weighting to ensure a representative sample and data reliability. Disaggregate by age could easily be added. (protection of fundamental sex, region and population group." freedoms), UNODC [Proportion of population who report experiences of discrimination in the previous 12 months] UNWOMEN UN Women calls for this indicator to be disaggregated by sex, age and other context specific factors. Proportion of the population satisfied with the quality of public services, disaggregated by service (BBB) ndicator 16.b.2 16.6 (accountable EOSG/RoLU, PBSO, Replace with \[Existence of independent national human rights institutions (NHRIs) The main source of data on the indicator is administrative records of the Sub-International Coordinating Committee of 2 UNDP. UNODC (in in compliance with the Paris Principles"] (previously 16.a.2). This indicator measures Committee on Accreditation reports of the ICC. OHCHR compiles the data into a National Institutions (ICC) and OHCHR are institutions) consultation with the global continual efforts of countries in setting up independent national institutions global directory of NHRI status accreditation updated every six months, after the the agencies responsible for compiling Sub-committee on Accreditation submits its report. This information can be these indicators at the international level. others) through international cooperation, to promote inclusive, peaceful and accountable societies. An Independent NHRI is an institution with 'A level' accreditation status as accessed on a continuous basis, including through maps. penchmarked against the United Nations Paris Principles. The process of accreditation is conducted through peer review by the Sub-Committee on Accreditation (SCA) of the ICC. The indicator is computed as the accreditation classification, namely A. B or C of the NHRI. See supplementary information." Goal 17 Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development Strengthen domestic resource mobilization, including through international support to developing countries, to improve domestic capacity for tax and other revenue collection Target 17.1 Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source National Accounts/IMF, OECD Revenue Statistics (covers large number of Suggested Indicate Composition of Tax Revenues (by sources), including revenues derived fron environmental taxes, and as % of GDP Indicator 17.1.1 Total Tax/GDP (AAA) OHCHR [Total volume of inward and outward illicit financial flows] UNECA, UNDP, Global Financial Integrity Target 16.4 LINCDE Alternative: [Composition of Tax Revenues (by sources - including revenues derived | Country National Accounts 1 from environmental taxes) UNEP Alternatives: [Composition of Tax Revenues (by sources), including revenues Country National Accounts; IMF derived from environmental taxes, and as % of GDP] WB Need to be replaced or dropped. Maximizing taxes is not a development objective or indicator. Indicator 17.1.2 Total Tax Per Capita (\$ value) (AAA) UNCDF Alternative: [Percentage of payments that are made electronically, by payment value and number of payments] UNEP Remove indicator WB Need to be replaced or dropped. Maximizing taxes is not a development objective or Developed countries to implement fully their official development assistance commitments, including the commitment by many developed countries to achieve the target of 0.7 per cent ODA/GNI to developing countries and 0.15 to 0.20 per cent of ODA/GNI to least developed countries; ODA providers are encouraged to consider setting a target to provide at least 0.20 per cent of ODA/GNI to least developed countries. Contributor Name Specification Interlinkages Source Entity Tier Priority Net ODA, total and to LDCs, as percentage of OECD/Development Assistance OECD/DAC. The OECD prefers these simple indicators to the alternative "ODA OECD 5.2. 10.b uggested Indicato Tier Committee (DAC) donors' gross national income (GNI) gap" and "marginalised groups" suggestions below. The "raw" ODA/GNI ratios for total ODA and ODA to LDCs can be compared directly with the target levels of 0.7% and 0.15-0.20%. "Gap" data would not be comparable in this way, and, expressed as percentages as GNI, would have the effect of making the gap seem small, even where it is large (e.g. a "gap" of 0.5% of GNI means the aid provider country is only giving 28% of the 0.7% target level for total ODA). There is no universally agreed target for ODA to Basic Social Services or marginalised groups. Indicator 17.2.1 Net ODA, total and to LDCs, as percentage of OECD/Development Assistance Committee (DAC) donors' gross national income (GNI) (BAA) UNCDF [Alternative: ODA Gap i.e. Net ODA [Target 0.7% of GNI] - Net ODA][Actual] OECD DAC+ (http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats/data.htm) Target 10.b UNEP [Alternative: ODA Gap i.e. Net ODA [Target 0.7% of GNI] - Net ODA][Actual] OECD DAC+ (http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats/data.htm) Target 10.b ndicator 17.2.2 Proportion of total bilateral, sector-allocable ODA of OECD/DAC donors to basic social services (basic education, primary health care, nutrition, safe water and sanitation) (BBB) OHCHR [Proportion of ODA that goes to the poorest countries (countries with special needs)] OECD, WB, IMF etc. and marginalized and vulnerable groups within countries].

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Alternative: [ODA Recipient x Country] OECD DAC+ (http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats/data.htm) Target 10.b UNEP Alternative: ODA Recipient x Country 1 OECD DAC+ (http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats/data.htm) Target 10.b arget 17.3 Mobilize additional financial resources for developing countries from multiple sources Contributor Name Specification Source Fntity Tier Priority Interlinkages uggested Indicato Total Capital Inflow (TCI IMF/WE ombined sources from WB: IMF: OECD and others 1.a. 10.b ndicator 17.3.1 Cost of remittances (BBB) OHCHR [Total Capital Inflow (TCI)] combined sources from WB; IMF; OECD and others UNCDF Alternative: [Total Capital Inflow (TCI)] Target 1.a and Target 10.b No single measure currently exists. As a proxy, Total Financial Liabilities in National Sector Accounts might be used. Propose to develop a new conceptual measure that would incorporate Domestic Public sector investment: Domestic Private Sector investment, FDI, Foreign Portfolio Investment; Import of capital goods; International Bank Loans; International Remittances; Sovereign Wealth Funds; Specialised Funds and other funds e.g. Capital Market Bonds etc. LINEP Alternative: [Total Capital Inflow (TCI)] No single measure currently exists. As a proxy, Total Financial Liabilities in Target 1.a and 10.b 1 National Sector Accounts might be used. Propose to develop a new conceptual measure that would incorporate Domestic Public sector investment; Domestic Private Sector investment, FDI, Foreign Portfolio Investment; Import of capital goods; International Bank Loans; International Remittances; Sovereign Wealth Funds; Specialised Funds and other funds e.g. Capital Market Bonds etc. OECD Alternative: [Total Official Support for Sustainable Development (TOSSD).] This OECD/DAC Measure already agreed in principle by 2014 High Level Meeting of 1.a, 7.a, 9.a, 10.b, 11.c, 13.a, would cover the total flow of official resources for development, with modules planned OECD Development Assistance Committee. Precise specifications under 15.a. 15.b to also capture private flows for development that are mobilised by public schemes such as guarantees, mezzanine finance, and equity stakes. It would thus better reflect http://www.oecd.org/dac/DACHLM%202014%20Background%20paper%20Tow the intent to focus on mobilisation than a measure of the total flow, which will respon ards%20more%20inclusive%20measurement%20and%20monitoring%20of%20d to many influences other than official policy action. Data should be available by sector, evelopment%20finance%20%20Total%20Official%20support%20for%20Sustaina enabling TOSSD to also be used to monitor flows to the sector targets listed in column | ble%20Development.pdf ndicator 17.3.2 Cost of remittances in the top tier of high-cost corridors (CBB) UNCDF Alternative: [Percentage of remittances spent on transfer costs] World Bank - Data is available for 226 World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide Database 2 Target 10.c country corridors\' UNEP Alternative: [Percentage of remittances spent on transfer costs] World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide Database Target 10.c Target 17.4 Assist developing countries in attaining long-term debt sustainability through coordinated policies aimed at fostering debt financing, debt relief and debt restructuring, as appropriate, and address the external debt of highly indebted poor countries to reduce debt distress Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Debt service as a percentage of exports of goods and services IMF-World Bank IMF-World Bank Tier I ndicator 17.4.1 Total number of countries that have reached their Heavily Indebted Poor Countries Initiative (HIPC) decision points and number that have reached their HIPC completion points (cumulative) (CBB) OHCHR [Number of countries assessed by the IMF as being: In/at high risk/moderate risk of IMF IMF-World Bank UNCDF Alternative: [Debt service as a percentage of exports of goods and services] 1 UNEP ALTERNATIVE: [Debt service as a percentage of exports of goods and services] IMF-World Bank 1 WB Indicator should read: ["Proportion of eligible countries that have reached their Heavily Indebted Poor Countries Initiative (HIPC) decision points and number that have reached their HIPC completion points (cumulative)."] The absolute number of countries in need of debt relief is not an objective per se. Debt relief committed under HIPC initiative (CBB) Indicator 17.4.2 UNCDF Alternative: [International reserves (net of annual interest payments on the debt) IMF-World Bank/WTO/UNCTAD 2 expressed in months of imports] LINEP ALTERNATIVE: [International reserves (net of annual interest payments on the debt) | IMF-World Bank/WTO/UNCTAD 2 expressed in months of imports] Γarget 17.5 Adopt and implement investment promotion regimes for least developed countries Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Suggested Indicator Number of national & investment policy reforms adopted that incorporate UNCTAD Investment Policy Monitor (can be supplemented by other sources) **UNCTAD** and other sources 17.15 sustainable development objectives or safeguards x country Indicator 17.5.1 Adoption/Implementation of sustainable development orientated targets by new or existing investment promotion agencies (CBB) UNCDF Remove indicator Target 17.15 UNEP Remove indicator dicator 17.5.2 Number of policy changes in investment regimes incorporating sustainable development objectives (BBB) Modified: [Number of national & investment policy reforms adopted that UNCDF UNCTAD Investment Policy Monitor (can be supplemented by other sources) Target 17.15 incorporate sustainable development objectives or safeguards x country]

	* Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.								
ſ	UNEP	Modified: Number of national & investment policy reforms adopted that	UNCTAD Investment Policy Monitor (can be supplemented by other sources)		1	Target 17.15			
l		incorporate sustainable development objectives or safeguards x country]							

Target 17.6 Enhance North-South, South-South and triangular regional and international cooperation on and access to science, technology and innovation and enhance knowledge sharing on mutually agreed terms, including through improved coordination among existing mechanisms, in particular at the United Nations level, and through a global technology facilitation mechanism.

Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ggested Indicator	Access to patent information (WIPO Patent Database) and use of the international	WIPO	WIPO	Tier I		
	IP system					
	to existing patent information (creation of a patent database) (BBA)					
UNCDF	Alternative: [Access to patent information (WIPO Patent Database) and use of the	World Intellectual Property Indicators http://www.wipo.intipstats/en/wipi/	WIPO		1	
	international IP system]					
UNEP	Alternative: [Percentage increase in jointly filed (international) patents and	WIPO			1	
	percentage increase in global revenue from technology licensing/royalties (Royalty					
	& license fees receipts, % total trade).]					
ESCAP	New - [All countries should have IPR offices and 100% of the traditional knowledge	WIPO/National IPR offices	WIPO		1	
	available should be posted online.]					
	er of exchanges - Exchange of scientists and technological staff (CBB)					
πυ	Proposed alternative indicator: [Fixed Internet broadband subscriptions broken down by speed.]	the proportion of fixed-broadband subscription (not broken down by speed)	ITU collects and reports on data for this indicator annually. By 2014, data were available for about 80 economies, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions. Data on the proportion of fixed-broadband subscription (not broken down by speed) exist for almost all economies in the world and ITU publishes data on this indicator yearly.			8.2, 9.1, 9.c
UNCDF	Proposed alternative indicator: [Fixed Internet broadband subscriptions broken down by speed.]	This indicator is based on an internationally agreed definition and methodology, which have been developed under the coordination of ITU, through its Expert Groups and following an extensive consultation process with countries. It is also a core indicator of the Partnership on Measuring ICT for Development's Core List of Indicators, which has been endorsed by the UN Statistical Commission (last time in 2014). ITU collects data for this indicator through an annual questionnaire from national regulatory authorities or Information and Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from Internet service providers. By 2014, data were available for about 80 economies, from developed and developing regions, and covering all key global regions. Data on the proportion of fixed-broadband subscription exist for almost all economies in the world. ITU publishes data on this indicator yearly.	ITU collects and reports on data for this indicator annually. Data are published in December of every year, for the end of the		2	8.2, 9.1, 9.c
UNEP	Remove indicator					
FSCAP	New - X% of the scientists should be exchanged every year.				1	

Target 17.7 Promote the development, transfer, dissemination and diffusion of environmentally sound technologies to developing countries on favourable terms, including on concessional and preferential terms, as mutually agreed

	Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator		Average applied tariffs imposed on environmental Goods	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC	Tier I		
In	dicator 17.7.1 Total S	licator 17.7.1 Total STEM Investment/GDP (CBB)					
	UNCDF	Alternative: [Average applied tariffs imposed on environmental Goods]	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC			1	
	UNEP	Alternative: [Average applied tariffs imposed on environmental Goods]	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC			1	
	ESCAP	Alternate indicator - [Enhanced trade and investment flows by X% in climate-					
		friendly/environmental goods, services and technologies for sustainable					
		consumption and production and enhanced supply chains					
In	dicator 17.7.2 Total S	TEM per capita (\$ value) (CBB)					
	UNCDF	Remove indicator					

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. ALTERNATIVE: [Total amount of approved funding for developing countries to Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms Various international, multilateral Targets: 9.4, 9.a, 9.b promote the development, transfer, dissemination and diffusion of environmentally and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal development banks, financial mechanisms sound technologies on favourable terms, including on concessional and preferential | Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance and regional financial institutions including terms, as mutually agreed.] Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc. Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM. World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc. Fully operationalize the technology bank and science, technology and innovation capacity-building mechanism for least developed countries by 2017 and enhance the use of enabling technology, in particular information and communications technology Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Suggested Indicator Proportion of individuals using the Internet. 1.4, 2c, 5b, 9c, 10.3, 12.8, ITU-Household Surveys. Data available for 100 countries, others are estimated ITU 16.6. 16.7. 16.10. 17.6. 17.8. Indicator 17.8.1 Internet penetration (AAA) ITU Correct indicator name: [Proportion of individuals using the Internet]. ITU collects and reports on data for this 1.4, 2c, 5b, 9c, 10.3, 12.8, Data for this indicator are collected from NSOs, through household surveys. indicator annually. Between 2011-2014 16.6, 16.7, 16.10, 17.6, 17.8, Between 2011-2014 official data (collected through a survey) for this indicator official data (collected through a survey) exist for 100 countries, for at least one year. For countries that do not collect data for this indicator through official household surveys, ITU estimates the for this indicator exist for 100 countries, data, based on subscription data. In total, ITU has data on the proportion of for at least one year. For countries that do individuals using the Internet for 200 economies, and on a yearly basis. not collect data for this indicator through official household surveys, ITU estimates the data, based on subscription data. In total, ITU has data on the proportion of individuals using the Internet for 200 economies, and on a yearly basis. UNCDF Correct indicator name: [Proportion of individuals using the Internet.] This indicator is based on an internationally agreed definition and methodology, ITU collects and reports on data for this 1.4. 2c. 5b. 9c. 10.3. 12.8. which have been developed under the coordination of ITU, through its Expert indicator annually. Data are published in 16.6, 16.7, 16.10, 17.6, 17.8, Groups and following an extensive consultation process with countries. It is also June of every year, for the end the an MDG indicator (for Target 8F) and part of the Partnership on Measuring ICT previous year. for Development's Core List of Indicators, which has been endorsed by the UN Statistical Commission (last time in 2014). Data for this indicator are collected through official household surveys by an increasing number of countries. Between 2011-2014 official data (collected through a survey) for this indicator exist for 100 countries, for at least one year. For countries that do not collect data for this indicator through official household surveys, ITU estimates the data, based on subscription data. In total, ITU has data on the proportion of individuals using the Internet for 200 economies, and on a yearly basis. UNEP Modified: [ICT penetration in terms of equality of access, quality, and affordability] UNCTAD & ITU - Already core Indicator for Partnership on Measuring ICT for UNESCO The UPU proposes that this indicator could interact with an indicator of actual use of UPU existing data; ITU existing data; UNCTAD existing data LIPLI UPU - big data on international e-1 the Internet on top of the access criteria (as measured by Internet penetration). commerce available for most countries on deally, the indicator could be replaced by: proportion of households with broadband a real-time basis (trough consolidated Internet] * proportion of households ordering online. tracking systems data including possibility of estimating the number of households ordering online) with real-time data potentially back to 1999 for international tonnage, volumes and with a progressive coverage of all countries by 2012 and onwards. Generalization of the capture of the value of goods (e-commerce related customs declarations) from 2016-17 onwards. On-going study of e-commerce parcels as proxy for internet penetration and use with UNSD Comtrade and UN Global Pulse.

BIOTO ON DICORCEGO	Is ition: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U	I/P) and other characteristics, as relevant and nessible				
		<u> </u>	I	_		
WB	[Proportion of businesses using the internet, Proportion of Individuals using the	UNCTAD, ITU	UNCTAD, ITU	1	1	1.4, 5.b, 8.3, 8.10, 9.1, 9
ESCAP	internet New - [X % of technologies that have been transferred to LDCs and developing	UNCTAD		\longmapsto		9.c, 10.3, 11.1, 16.7, 17
ESCAP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNCTAD		1	,	
licator 17.8.2 Qualit	countries.] ty of internet access (bandwidth) (BAA)			ldot		
ITU		Data and and horselined and data and the sities and of succession and	ITH cells at and according to date for this			9a
110	Correct indicator name: [International Internet bandwidth per inhabitant]	Data are produced by national regulatory authorities or Information and Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from Internet	ITU collects and reports on data for this indicator annually. By 2014, data were	1	,	94
		Service Providers and/or wholesale Internet connectivity providers. For	available for about 200 economies.	1	,	
		countries that do not provide the information, ITU estimates the indicator based		1	,	
		on information provided by operators/ISPs, and based on subscription data. By	1	1	,	
		2014, data were available for about 200 economies.			,	
		2014, data were available for about 200 economies.		1	,	
UNCDF	Correct indicator name: [International Internet bandwidth per inhabitant]	This indicator is based on an internationally agreed definition and methodology	ITU collects and reports on data for this	\longmapsto	2	9.a.
011021	contest management meeting salawast per minastant	which have been developed under the coordination of ITU, through its Expert	indicator annually. Data are published in	1	, - ,	3.0.
		Groups and following an extensive consultation process with countries. It is also	•	1	,	
		a core indicator of the Partnership on Measuring ICT for Development's Core Lis		1	,	
		of Indicators, which has been endorsed by the UN Statistical Commission (last	previous year.	1	,	
		time in 2014). ITU collects data for these indicators through an annual		1	,	
		questionnaire sent to national regulatory authorities or Information and		1	,	
		Communication Technology Ministries, who collect the data from Internet			, ,	
		Service Providers and/or wholesale Internet connectivity providers . For				
		countries that do not provide the information, ITU estimates the indicator based		1	,	
		on information provided by operators/ISPs, and based on subscription data. By		1	,	
		2014, data were available for about 200 economies.		1	,	
				1	,	
				1	,	
UNEP	Alternative: [Individuals with ICT Skills]	\ITU - Already core Indicator for Partnership on Measuring ICT for			2	
		Development"		1	,	
UNESCO		·			2	
UPU					2	
WB	[Fixed broadband subscriptions, broken down by speed]	Existing, collected by ITU	ITU		2	8.2
UNESCO	[Percentage of public libraries with broadband Internet access] Disaggregations:	ICT surveys, library surveys	IFLA, along with partners in the library		3	16.10, 9c, 5b
	none		community and ICTD community, such as	1	,	
			the Alliance for Affordable Internet (A4AI)	1	,	
			could help with collection	1	,	
				<u> </u>		
			ne to implement all the cuetainabl			t goals including
rget 17.9 Enha	ance international support for implementing effective and targeted c	apacity-building in developing countries to support national pla	ns to implement all the sustainable	e devel	opment	t goals, illiciduling
· ·	ance international support for implementing effective and targeted c , South-South and triangular cooperation	apacity-building in developing countries to support national pla	ns to implement all the sustainable	e devel	opmen	t goals, ilicidaling
· ·		Source	Entity		opment Priority	
rough North-South, Contributor Name	, South-South and triangular cooperation	Source				
ough North-South, Contributor Name	, South-South and triangular cooperation Specification	Source	Entity	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	, South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms	Entity Various international, multilateral	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	, South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries'	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	Specification Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green	Tier		Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name	South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank,	Tier		Interlinkages
ough North-South, Contributor Name	South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs),	Tier		Interlinkages
ough North-South, Contributor Name	South-South and triangular cooperation Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs),	Tier		Interlinkages
ough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance).	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier		Interlinkages
ough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance).	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
rough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2 Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier		Interlinkages
cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 17.9.1 Numb	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Deer (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 20 Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development]	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages
Cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2: Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development]	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
ough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator cator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 20 Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development]	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages
Cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF UNEP	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2: Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] antial increase in capacity built through south-south cooperation (CBB)	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1 1	Interlinkages
Cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2: Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] antial increase in capacity built through south-south cooperation (CBB) Alternative: [The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1	Interlinkages
Cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF UNEP	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2: Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] antial increase in capacity built through south-south cooperation (CBB) Alternative: [The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South, South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing.	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1 1	Interlinkages
Cough North-South, Contributor Name gested Indicator icator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF UNEP	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Dever (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 20 and the strategies of the prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] antial increase in capacity built through south-south cooperation (CBB) Alternative: [The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South, South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1 1	Interlinkages
cator 17.9.1 Numb UNCDF UNEP cator 17.9.2 Substa	Specification The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance). Der (share) of national plans to implement SDGs approved by governments by end of 2: Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] Alternative: [Percent of indicators in national development plans and strategies that prioritize sustainable development] antial increase in capacity built through south-south cooperation (CBB) Alternative: [The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South, South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing.	Source , Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank etc.	Entity Various international, multilateral development banks, financial mechanisms and regional financial institutions including Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, GEF, Green Climate Fund, CDM, World Bank, Development Finance Institutions (DFIs), International Financial Institutions (IFIs), African Development Bank, Asian	Tier	Priority 1 1	Interlinkages

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Alternative: The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South, South-South, and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries' designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aim at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance).] ESCAP Not quantifiable unless a number of CB activity is fixed for each country Target 17.10 Promote a universal, rules-based, open, non-discriminatory and equitable multilateral trading system under the World Trade Organization, including through the conclusion of negotiations under its Doha **Development Agenda** Contributor Name Specification Source Entity Tier Priority Suggested Indicator Worldwide weighted tariff-average WTO/UNCTAD/ITC WTO/UNCTAD/ITC Data is widely 17.12, 8.2 available for most countries This indicator can be disaggregated and analysed by type of tariff (MFN applied rates and preferential rates), by product sector, by region and by level of development. The unit of measurement will be in % terms. Ad valorem equivalents (AVE) will be calculated for those tariffs that are not expressed in percentage. This methodology also allows for cross-country comparisons. Calculations can be performed on a yearly basis. These calculations are already part of the MDG Gap task force report. Indicator 17.10.1 Stock of potentially trade-restrictive measures in WTO members (CBB) UNCDF WTO-UNCTAD-WB-ITC databases. Reference to the methodology used can be WTO-UNCTAD-WB-ITC. The above Modified: [Trade restrictiveness indicator.] The observed reduction of trade 2 Target 1.4 (as a found in the following reports and databases: World Bank-UNCTAD's Tariff trade mentioned organizations will develop restrictive measures worldwide can be used as an indicator of the overall degree of measurement of access to restrictiveness indexes (TTRI and MA-TTRI); World Bank's Trade Costs; World support for the multilateral trading system. This is a composite indicator that takes specifics in the coming months new technology and financial into account a large set of tariff and non tariff measures which may affect trade in Bank's Services Trade Restrictions Database; WTO's Stock of potentially tradeservices, including goods and services. The methodology to weight the sub-measurements included in it restrictive measures in WTO members (I-TIP portal and DG's Report for the microfinance); Target 2.b (as still has to be defined. As a consequence also the unit of measure is not yet defined. Annual Overview of developments in the international trading environment that a measurement of existing are having an impact on the multilateral trading system) barriers and distortions in world agricultural markets); Target 17.12 (as a measurement of transparency of market access conditions, including Rules of Origin); Target 3.8 (as a measurement of restrictions imposed on the trade of essential medicines and health care services); Target 9.3 (as a measurement of the existing trade barriers that curb access financial services) UNEP Modified: [Trade restrictiveness indicator.] Modify current indicator by measuring World Bank-UNCTAD's Tariff trade restrictiveness indexes (TTRI and MA-TTRI); Target 2.b non-tariff measures that restrict the trade of environmental goods and also measure World Bank's Trade Costs: World Bank's Services Trade Restrictions Database:

WTO's Stock of potentially trade-restrictive measures in WTO members. Source:

http://data.worldbank.org/data-

catalog/trade-costs-dataset

http://i-tip.wto.org/goods/default.aspx?language=en

Trade Costs Dataset

prevalence of environmentally harmful subsidies.

None of these indicators actually represent the Target 17.10<U+0085> New indicator - Successful conclusion of Doha Round including acceptance of all the SDT proposals.

Indicator 17.10.2 Worldwide weighted tariff-average: a. MFN applied and preferential, b. Applied to Devd/Dvg/LDCs, c. Applied by Devd/Dvg/LDCs, and d. By main sectors (CBB)

Alternate indicator: ['Services Trade Restrictions.'] This indicator can be measured

using the Trade Costs Dataset which provides estimates of bilateral trade costs in

agriculture and manufactured goods for the 1995-2010 period. It is built on trade and production data collected in 178 countries. Symmetric bilateral trade costs are computed using the Inverse Gravity Framework (Novy 2009), which estimates trade costs for each country pair using bilateral trade and gross national output. Trade costs are available for two sectors: trade in manufactured goods, and agriculture.

ESCAP

WB

List of Proposal						
* Note on Disaggregat	tion: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
Note on Disaggregat UNCDF	\text{Modified: Worldwide weighted tariff-average. The average level of customs tariff rates applied worldwide can be used as an indicator of the degree of success achieved by multilateral negotiations. This indicator can be disaggregated and analysed by type of tariff (MFN applied rates and preferential rates), by product sector, by region and by level of development. The unit of measurement will be in % terms. Ad valorem equivalents (AVE) will be calculated for those tariffs that are not expressed in percentage. This methodology also allows for cross-country comparisons. Calculations can be performed on a yearly basis. To further refine the quality of the information, additional sub-measurements could be calculated including: a) Tariff peaks (i.e. % of tariffs on some products that are considerably higher than usual, defined as above 15 per cent) and b) Tariff escalation (i.e. wherein a country applies a higher tariff rate to products at the later stages of production). These calculations are already part of the MDG Gap task force report (see the report for further information on the methodology at http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/mdg_gap/mdg_gap2014/2014GAP_FULL_EN.pdf)."	WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. Concerning the feasibility rating, data is already available.	WTO-UNCTAD-ITC		1	target 17.12 (to measure the degree of implementation of duty-free and quota-free market access). target 8.2 (as the reduction of tariff escalation levels will promote the production of high-value added products)
UNEP	Worldwide weighted tariff-average by type (MFN applied and preferential), by sector (incl. tariff peaks and tariff escalation) and by level of development.	WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. These indicators are already part of the MDG Gap task force report.			1	
ESCAP	Delete this indicator as it does not reflect the target.	WTO				
Target 17.11 Sign	nificantly increase the exports of developing countries, in particular w	vith a view to doubling the least developed countries' share of a	global exports by 2020			
Contributor Name	Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Suggested Indicator	Developing country's and LDCs' exports (by partner group and key sectors), including	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC	WTO/UNCTAD/ITC Data is widely	Tier I		2.3, 8.2
	services.		available for most countries			
	itoring the evolution of developing countries export by partner group and key sectors. roduct; by market destination) (BBB)	Such as: a) Exports of high technological content as proportion of total exports	, b) Labour-intensive exports as proportion	of total e	exports (p	ro-poor exports), and c)
UNCDF	\Modified: Developing country's and LDCs' exports (by partner group and key sectors), including services.] Can be calculated on a yearly basis. The unit of measurement could be in % (developing countries' and LDCs share of global exports) or alternatively in value (i.e. USD '000). Otherwise, out of the same data, 2 clear indicators could be calculated to measure the target, i.e.: (1) least developed countries' share of global exports (in % terms), (2) exports of developing countries (in value terms). The 2 indicators can be calculated on a yearly basis. Similar calculations are already part of the MDG Gap task force report. For reference purposes see http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/mdg_gap/mdg_gap2014/2014GAP_FULL_EN.pdf . To further refine the quality of the information, additional submeasurement could be calculated including a) Exports of high technological content as proportion of total exports, b) Labour-intensive exports as proportion of total exports (pro-poor exports), and c) Export diversification (by product; by market destination). The indicator will not include export of oil and arms."		WTO-UNCTAD-ITC		1	Target 8.2 (as a measurement of diversification, technological upgrading and innovation); Target 2.3 (to measure the increase of productivity of small scale food producers and the enhanced opportunities to access market and value addition segments)
UNEP	Modified: [Monitoring the evolution of country's export by partner group and key sectors, including services. Include as one of the sectors analysed: exports of native biodiversity products, biotrade, sustainability certified products, and environmental goods.]	WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. These indicators are already part of the MDG Gap task force report. Source: national statistics.				
UPU	The UPU proposes that this indicator could be complemented by an indicator on the development of international e-commerce. Such complementary indicator could be: Volumes and values of e-commerce related imports and exports of goods, by country, by product (UNSD Comtrade HS classification for international trade) and for each bilateral flow for any country-pair. At a latter stage, the above mentioned international e-commerce statistics could also be provided by the size of the firm (in order to monitor e-commerce related exports and imports by micro, small and medium size enterprises).	UPU existing data; UNSD Comtrade existing data; UNCTAD existing data; WTO and ITC existing data.	UPU - big data on international e-commerce available for most countries on a real-time basis (trough consolidated tracking systems data) with real-time data potentially back to 1999 for international tonnage, volumes and with a progressive coverage of all countries by 2012 and onwards. Generalization of the capture of the value of goods (e-commerce related customs declarations) from 2016-17 onwards. On-going study of e-commerce parcels as proxy for international trade with UNSD Comtrade and UN Global Pulse.		1	

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Additional indicator - 'Services Trade Restrictions.' World Bank, Available from World Bank. Data available for up to 103 10.a-17.10 The Services Trade Restrictions Database covers 103 countries that represent all http://iresearch.worldbank.org/servicetrade/aboutData.htm regions and income groups of the world. For each country, five major services sectors http://iresearch.worldbank.org/servicetra are covered that encompass a total of 19 subsectors de/aboutData.htm Each subsector in turn covers the most relevant modes of supplying the respective services, yielding overall 34 country-subsector-mode combinations: Mode 1: financial services, transportation and professional services Mode 3: all subsectors Mode 4: professional services. The Eight WTO Ministerial Conference in 2011 adopted a waiver, enabling WTO members to provide preferential treatment to services and service suppliers of LDCs. The services sector has become a key driver of growth and development, accounting for 47 percent of all LDCs' overall GDP in 2011. However compared with the value of world services trade, LDC services trade is still marginal. Hence, over the coming years, the waiver can provide significant opportunities to further enhance the growth of service sectors in LDCs OECD Additional and eventually alternative indicator: [Domestic value-added in a country's OECD, see www.oecd.org/trade/valueadded The OECD's Trade in Value Added initiative exports.] Compared to the gross figure for export receipts, this will be a superior already contains data for 61 countries, ndicator of the benefit that countries derive from their exports. with plans to expand it towards comprehensive global coverage. Value of non-oil exports from LDCs that are derived from sustainable management of natural resources (CBB) ndicator 17.11.2 UNCDF Remove indicator. There is not enough information available to define and quantify the amount of exports deriving from the sustainable management of natural resources UNEP Also consider measuring the proportion of exports that are considered raw materials. Source: COMTRADE UPU ESCAP New- [new products and new markets to be generated by X% in LDC exports] Target 17.12 Realize timely implementation of duty-free and quota-free market access on a lasting basis for all least developed countries, consistent with World Trade Organization decisions, including by ensuring that preferential rules of origin applicable to imports from least developed countries are transparent and simple, and contribute to facilitating market access Contributor Name Specification Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Entity uggested Indicator WTO/UNCTAD/ITC WTO/UNCTAD/ITC 2.3, 17.10 Average tariffs faced by developing countries and LDCs by key sector Tier I ndicator 17.12.1 Average tariffs faced by developing countries and LDCs by key sectors (BBB) LINCDE Same indicator. The unit of measurement will be in % terms. Ad valorem equivalents WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. Concerning the feasibility rating, data is already WTO-UNCTAD-ITC target 17.10; Target 2.3 (to (AVE) will be calculated for those tariffs that are not expressed in percentage. This measure the improvement i methodology also allows for cross-country comparisons. Calculations can be the access of markets and opportunities for value performed on an yearly basis. This indicator is already part of the MDG Gap task force report. For reference purposes see addition) http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/mdg_gap/mdg_gap2014/2014GAP FULL EN.pdf UNEP Include proportion of total imports from developing countries and least developed WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. These indicators are already part of the MDG Gap 1 countries admitted duty free and quota free (DFQF), giving a better measure of task force report, Source: COMTRADE and WTO databases concession utilization than average tariff ESCAP DFQF is not for the developing countries. The indicator given is not correct. New WTO/Commtrade WTO/National governments 1 Indicator proposed - [Full implementation of DFQF by giving market access on 97% of the products by developed countries.] Indicator 17.12.2 Preferences utilization by developing and least developed countries on their export to developed countries (CBB) UNCDF "Same indicator. Preference utilization can be defined as a proportion between the WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases. For the time being, data is available only for the WTO-UNCTAD-ITC target 10.a (to measure the value of imports that exporters/importers claim for preferential tariff treatment under leading developed country importers and is retrieved from Eurostat, USITC and actions taken in order to facilitate utilization of a specific trade agreement and the total value of imports eligible for the preferential data provided to the WTO Secretariat by governments" ariff under the above mentioned agreement. The unit of measurement will be in % preferences granted by

developed countries in order

to increase trading opportunities for developing

countries)

i.e. percentage of imports sourced under preferential treatment). The rate of

research) for more information on the methodology https://www.wto.org/ENGLISH/res_e/reser_e/ersd201212_e.pdf"

utilization of preferences can be a good proxy to measure the impact of obstacles (e.g.

specific requirements as rules of origin, lack of transparency) over the effective use of such preferences (e.g. Duty Free Quota Free for LDCs). The calculation of this indicator

might not be possible on a yearly basis. Refer to the following paper (and other related

List of Proposals * Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible. Same indicator. Include average tariffs imposed on agricultural products and products. The rate of utilization of preferences can be a good proxy to measure the of native biodiversity, from developing and least developed countries. impact of obstacles (e.g. the rules of origin) over effective use of such preferences (e.g. DFQF for LDCs) that will increase LDCs' exports. Data is available for the leading importers. Source: COMTRADE and WTO databases ESCAP WTO/Commtrade WTO/National governments New- X% of exports from LDCs to developed countries should be covered under 2 preferences. Y% of new products to be exported under the DFQF preferences to developed countries.] Target 17.13 Enhance global macroeconomic stability, including through policy coordination and policy coherence Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Suggested Indicator GDP National Accounts/IMF/DESA WR/UNSD **Targets in Goal 8** Tier I ndicator 17.13.1 GDP (AAA) UNCDF Alternative: [Macro-Economic Dashboard (annual)] - A suite or dashboard of Key macro-economic indicators are readily available, so this dashboard will Target 17.9 indicators are proposed that attempt to capture the levels of key economic indicators, place no additional burden on countries (see EU Macro-Economic Scoreboard as and by extension, their volatility (these are not exhaustive and could be further an example). supplemented): GDP; Current account surplus and deficit/GDP; Capital flows, inwards and outwards; Net international investment position/GDP; Current account surplus and deficit/GDP; Terms of trade; Export market shares (\$); Nominal unit labour cost; Functional distribution of labour and capital/GDP; Minimum wage, average wage and wage dispersion; Inequality Measure; Real effective exchange rates based on CPI deflators; Interest rates (including spread); Private sector debt level and change; Short term and long-term debt level of official reserves and reserves in banks; Private sector credit/GDP; Prices of food and energy; General government revenues, expenditure and debt/GDP; Employment and unemployment (%, composition, length of term); General price changes (CPI). UNEP \Alternative: [Macro-Economic Dashboard (annual)]. A suite or dashboard of Key macro-economic indicators are readily available, so this dashboard will Target 17.9 indicators are proposed that attempt to capture the levels of key economic indicators, place no additional burden on countries (see EU Macro-Economic Scoreboard as and by extension, their volatility (these are not exhaustive and could be further supplemented): GDP; Current account surplus and deficit/GDP; Capital flows, inwards and outwards; Net international investment position/GDP; Current account surplus and deficit/GDP; Terms of trade; Export market shares (\$); Nominal unit labour cost; Functional distribution of labour and capital/GDP: Minimum wage, average wage and wage dispersion; Inequality Measure; Real effective exchange rates based on CPI deflators; Interest rates (including spread); Private sector debt level and change; Short term and long-term debt level of official reserves and reserves in banks; Private sector credit/GDP; Prices of food and energy; General government revenues, expenditure and debt/GDP; Employment and unemployment (%, composition, length of term); General price changes (CPI)." WB Target 17.13 (enhance global stability) is laudable, but the proposed indicators GDP and CAD deficits don't measure it. Suggest to substitute with measures of variability/dispersion. Current account surplus and deficit/GDP (AAA) ndicator 17.13.2 UNCDF Remove indicator UNEP Remove indicator arget 17.14 Enhance policy coherence for sustainable development Contributor Name Specification Entity Tier Priority Interlinkages Source Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international Suggested Indicato OHCHR, UNEP, other agencies OHCHR, UNER instruments including environmental, human rights, and labour instruments Indicator 17.14.1 Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international instruments under the IMO (safety, security, environmental protection, civil liability and compensation and insurance) (BBB) Alternative text: [Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant | NORMLEX (Information System on International Labour Standards of the ILD). | Responsible entity: ILO. Availability: IIC international instruments under the ILO and the IMO (safety, security, Information on all ILO member states environmental protection, civil liability and compensation and insurance)] (185), of which 66 ratified the Maritime Labour Convention of 2006. OHCHR [Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international OHCHR OHCHR 1 instruments including environmental, human rights, and labour instruments)]

on: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U, Modified: [Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international instruments including environmental, human rights, and labour instruments]	Data, maps and metadata is available http://indicators.ohchr.org to monitor the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international human rights instruments. On environmental instruments, data is	OHCHR and UNEP (number of countries depends on the instrument but it is usually more than 150)		1	
international instruments including environmental, human rights, and labour	the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international human rights instruments. On environmental instruments, data is	depends on the instrument but it is usually		1	
	international human rights instruments. On environmental instruments, data is				
instruments]		more than 150)			
	available on both INFORMEA (http://www.informea.org/) for monitoring,				
	ratification, and UNEPLive (www.unep.org/uneplive) for monitoring.				
Modified: [Number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant	Data, maps and metadata is available http://indicators.ohchr.org to monitor	OHCHR and UNEP and ILO (number of			
	· ·	-			
<u>instruments)</u>	,	is usually filore trial 130)			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	individual agreements and instruments.				
	n place for a coordinated implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions	and frameworks (BBB)	-	-	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		T!	Dula vitu	lata di ala asa
,		·		Priority	Interlinkages
		ONCIAD	Her II		
etc.	UNCTAD (IIAS + RTAS)				
or of countries signing on for sharing of fiscal information (CPP)					
	OECD DACL (ODA): LINCTAD (IIAC L PTAC)		1	1	
·	OECD DAC+ (ODA); ONCIAD (IIAS + KTAS)			1	
	OFFICE DAG (ODA) LINGTAD (NA DTA)				
·	OECD DAC+ (ODA) , UNCTAD (IIAS + RTAS)			1	
	T	1			
nce the global partnership for sustainable development, compleme	ented by multi-stakeholder partnerships that mobilize and share	e knowledge, expertise, technology	and fir	nancial r	esources, to supp
sustainable development goals in all countries, in particular develo	oping countries				
Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Indicator 7 from Global Partnership Monitoring Exercise: Mutual accountability	OECD-UNDP Table A.7. Global Partnership Website:	UNDP	Tier II		
	http://www.effectivecooperation.org/				
8 6					
es in the number of multi-stakeholder partnerships participants active in developing	countries (CBB)				
			1	1	
	·			-	
	inttp.//www.enectivecooperation.org/				
	OFCD LINDD Table A.7. Clobal Bartnership Wahaita			1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·			1	
	Inttp://www.eπectivecooperation.org/				
	Clabel and and all Chinatiness Charles to the class of a second all Country	- to a first to a section of the sec			
	Giobal, regional, c) Objectives: Sharing technology, expertise etc. and d) Countr	ry type (where partnership is active) (CBB)			
		·			
urage and promote effective public, public-private and civil society Specification				- · · ·	
Specification	Source	Entity		Priority	Interlinkages
	The state of the s				
Amount of US\$ committed to public-private partnerships	World Bank	World Bank	Tier III		
	World Bank	World Bank	Tier III		
Amount of US\$ committed to public-private partnerships	World Bank National Accounts (Government Financial Sector Accounts)	World Bank	Tier III		
e F E N e e / II / III a e / a ir / a ir F F	er of countries with multi-sectoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in Remove indicator ect each country's policy space and leadership to establish and imp Specification Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs. RTAs etc. er of countries signing on for sharing of fiscal information (CBB) Alternative: [Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs. RTAs etc.] Alternative: [Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs. RTAs etc.] Alternative: [Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs. RTAs etc.] Alternative: [Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs. RTAs etc.] Alternative: [Indicator Remove indicator note the global partnership for sustainable development, compleme sustainable development goals in all countries, in particular development goals in all countries, goals all goals all goals and goals all goals al	the number of countries with multi-sectoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordinated implemented relevant international human rights instruments. On environmental instruments, data is available on both INFORMEA (http://www.informea.org/) for monitoring ratification, and UNEPLIVE (www.unep.org/uneplive) for monitoring implementation drawing upon information available through Secretariats of individual agreements and instruments. **To f countries with multi-sectoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordinated implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions. Secret each country's policy space and leadership to establish and implement policies for poverty eradication and sustainable develop Specification **Specification** **Specification** **Internative: Internative: Internat	the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant, statuments. the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant, statuments. the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant, statuments. The number of countries depends on the instrument but international human rights, and UNEPTURE (www.uneportures.org.) for monitoring ratification, and UNEPTURE (www.uneporture) for monitoring individual agreements and instruments. **Remove indicator** **Countries with multi-sackoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordinated implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions and frameworks (BBB) **Remove indicator** **Countries with multi-sackoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordinated implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions and frameworks (BBB) **Remove indicator** **Proceedings of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs.**RTAs to UNCTAD (IIAs + RTAs) **Proceedings of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs.**RTAs to UNCTAD (IIAs + RTAs) **Alternative: [Numbers of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, IIAs.**RTAs to I	the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international instruments. Including environmental, human rights, and labour instruments. In a countries with multi-sactoral and multi-stakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordination available on both INFORMEA (http://www.informea.org/l) from monitoring ratification, and UNEPTUW (www.unep.org/uneptilev) for monitoring ratification, and unitarity (www.unep.org/uneptilev) for monitoring ratification and multi-sakeholder coordination mechanisms in place for a coordinated implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions and frameworks (BBB) **Tender of constraints that are embodied in ODA or loan agreements, INAR. RTAs to UNCTAD (UNCTAD	International instruments including environmental, human rights, and labour international instruments. In the number of countries that have ratified and implemented relevant international human rights instruments. On environmental instruments, data is available on both InFORMEA (http://www.informea.org/) for monitoring ratification, and UNFPINE (wew.unep.org/unepline) for monitoring individual agreements and instruments. On environmental instruments, data is usually more than 150) ***Province indicator** ***Cet cach country's policy space and leadership to establish and implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions and frameworks (BBB.) **Remove indicator** **Cet cach country's policy space and leadership to establish and implementation of chemicals and wastes conventions and frameworks (BBB.) **Remove indicator** **Specification** **Specifica

Li	st of Proposal	S					
* 1	Note on Disaggregat	on: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U)	/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.				
	WB	We would like a clarification on the definition of Public-Private partnerships. The definition that the PPP CCSA is using is \Any long-term contractual arrangement between a public entity or authority and a private entity, for providing a public asset or service, in which the private party bears significant risk and management responsibility. This is important to know to understand if the data collected for indicators 17.17 will be aligned with the information we at the World Bank are currently collecting and if we can contribute to it. Also, a relevant indicator is [amount of US\$ committed]: Number of projects is important but the impact maybe more associated to the size of those PPPs and the share of the Private sector. Number of projects only provides partial information on the evolution of the PPPs. Under the PPI database, we collect information on US\$ committed to PPP projects in the infrastructure sector that could be used. Finally, Time to account: PPPs have a project cycle so it is not obvious at what time we should account for it. The WBG PPI database includes PPP projects that have reached financial closure."	It would be important to understand what will be the data sources for these indicators. As you may know, we are already collecting data on indicator 17.17.2				
		melades TTT projects that have redefied manetal closure.					
lua al	icator 17.17.2 Numb	or of DDD projects incular outset by developing sountries (DDD)					
IIIu	UNCDF	er of PPP projects implemented by developing countries (BBB) Alternative: ['SDG Investment Gap' and 'Private Sector Potential']	UNCTAD World Investment Report (2014, Table IV-2)			П	
	UNEP	Alternative: ['SDG Investment Gap' and 'Private Sector Potential']	UNCTAD World Investment Report (2014, Table IV-2)				
Та		020, enhance capacity-building support to developing countries, incl		g States, to increase significantly th	ne avail	ability o	of high-quality, timely
		gregated by income, gender, age, race, ethnicity, migratory status, o					,g qua,,
u						n: ::	
_	Contributor Name gested Indicator	Specification	Source	Entity UNFPA, UNDESA, UNDP	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
Sug	gested indicator	Proportion of sustainable development indicators with full disaggregation produced at the national level.	assessment in 2015 by UNFPA.	UNFPA, UNDESA, UNDP	Tier I		All targets
		at the national level.	assessment in 2015 by ONFFA.				
Ind		er of countries that have national statistical legislation (that [a] enshrine statistical in		, , ,			
	UNCDF	Alternative: [Proportion of sustainable development indicators with full	MDG/SDG reporting databases (UNDESA and UNDP) plus baseline assessment	UNFPA, UNDESA, UNDP		1	All targets
		disaggregation produced at the national level.]	in 2015 by UNFPA.				
	UNEP	Alternative: [Proportion of national sustainable development strategies that utilize	International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) monitoring				
		essential data on the current and future characteristics of the population across the points of disaggregation defined in target 17.18]	mechanism, guidelines for review to be updated to match this indicator				
	UNFPA	["Proportion of sustainable development indicators with full disaggregation produced at national level"]. The ability of National Statistical Offices and other bodies within countries to report on the diversity of SDG indicators is itself a measure of capacity, particularly when we think about the eventual complexity of the indicator framework as well as the points of disaggregation. Right now, a number of the existing indicators are calculated or modelled at global level, and the purpose of this indicator is to measure the shift in that calculation process to the national level.	MDG/SDG reporting databases (UNDESA and UNDP) plus baseline assessment in 2015 by UNFPA.	UNFPA, UNDESA, UNDP		1	all targets
Ind	icator 17.18.2 Numb	er of countries that have formal institutional arrangements for the coordination of th	e compilation of official statistics (at international, national and regional level)	(AAA)	· ·		
	UNCDF	Alternative: [Proportion of countries that regularly collect essential data on the population]	Global statistical monitoring systems associated with the different data types	UN DESA, World Bank, UNFPA, UNICEF		2	All targets with population- based indicators
	UNEP	Alternative: [Proportion of countries that regularly collect essential data on the population]	Global statistical monitoring systems associated with the different data types, including those housed in the UN Statistical Division, World Bank, UNFPA OpenData platform, UNICEF, etc.				
	UNFPA	[Proportion of countries that regularly collect essential data on the population]* *Essential data on the population is defined as data generated by: Census – regularly defined as every five to 10 years and in line with internationally agreed guidelines. Civil registration and vital statistics – regularly defined as births, deaths and civil status registered and reported continually as relevant for national context. Surveys – regularly defined as every three to five years: demographic and health surveys (DHS or MICS), labour force surveys, living standards surveys, household income and expenditure surveys	Global statistical monitoring systems associated with the different data types	UNDESA, World Bank, UNFPA, UNICEF		2	all targets with population- based indicators
Та	rget 17.19 By 2	030, build on existing initiatives to develop measurements of progre	ess on sustainable development that complement gross domest	ic product, and support statistical o	capacit	y-buildi	ng in developing
	untries						
		Specification	Source	Entity	Tier	Priority	Interlinkages
_	gested Indicator	Financial and other resources made available to strengthen the statistical capacity in			Tier II		
		developing countries					
		Inclusive Wealth Index	http://inclusivewealthindex.org/#the-world-wants-to-know-how-its-doing	UNEP	Tier I		8.1
		of Sustainable Economic Welfare (Nordhaus/Tobin) (BBB)					
l	UNCDF	Alternative: [Inclusive Wealth Index]	Compiled by UNEP			1	

Lic	t c	٦f	Pr	on	n	als	
LIJ		"	г	υþ	, US	aıs	,

	* Note on Disconnection All indicators should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by any one residence (U/D) and other should be disconnected by a									
Ţ	* Note on Disaggregation: All indicators should be disaggregated by sex, age, residence (U/R) and other characteristics, as relevant and possible.									
	UNEP	Alternative: [Inclusive Wealth Index]	http://inclusivewealthindex.org/#the-world-wants-to-know-how-its-doing	Compiled by UNEP - 140		1	8.1			
In	dicator 17.19.2 Gross	National Happiness (CBB)								
	UNCDF	Alternative: [Financial and other resources made available to strengthen the				2				
		statistical capacity in developing countries]								
	UNEP	Alternative: [Financial and other resources made available to strengthen the				2				
		statistical capacity in developing countries]					1			