Integrating Protected Areas into Wider Land and Seascapes

Step 4: Assessing Sectors and Policies

Step 4 - General Comments

- This step does begin to provide the integration backdrop
- Continue from Step 1
 - Assessment to include all stakeholders, sectors and partners - not just natural resource sectors
- The policy and legislative backdrop is a "messy place"
 - Cadastre concept is worthwhile considering
 - Rights, regulations, responsibilities
 - Regulatory overview

Step 4 - General Comments

- Assessment is critical to determine potential impacts of and benefits to "sectors"
- Policy review and assessment provides guidance on constraints and opportunities
- Variety of assessment tools and methodologies available
 - GIS extremely beneficial
- Visualization for participants is important

Integrating Protected Areas into Wider Land and Seascapes

Step 2: Assessing the Ecological and Biological Landscape

Step 3: Assessing the Conservation and Protection Status

Step 4: Assessing Sectors and Policies

Group Discussion and Recommendations

A Different View

Step 2 What we Want

Step 3 What we Have

Step 4 Challenges and Constraints

General Comments

- Recognize existing integration and assessment practices
 - Streamline/trim Steps 2 & 3 as they are currently well documented
 - Step 4 represents the integration
 - this form of assessment is documented in ICOM processes
- Steps 2-4 are not stepwise process/ can occur simultaneously (some may take years, others months)
- Discussion of sectors needs more attention and clarity
- Need to differentiate between "sectors" and "stakeholders"- be inclusive of indigenous community
- Use more inclusive examples/case studies (ie, step 4)
- Include more methodologies of assessments (in annex)
- Refer to other existing documents as references where possible
- Include component of time where it's relevant--- ie, general range of time for the various steps

General Structure

- Intro paragraph / context setting
 - "this document is not inclusive"
 - Make it clear the purpose of this doc is related to PoWPA/COP decisions (highlight Canadian marine policy as example)
 - Key terms explained
- Shorten entire document and put all tables/case studies/references in annex
- Use separate case studies for each step- and refer to them in annex (some case studies may be examples for more than one step)- connect challenges with case studies (ie, case study "key")
- Add glossary

Other "little white bits"

- Recognize biodiversity contributions made from outside protected areas
- Defining "who should lead?" should be addressed clearly