**Template for the Advanced Comments on Draft Documents on Planning, Reporting and Review Mechanisms for the Resumed Session of the Third Meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation**

**TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS: Draft guidance for capturing commitments from actors other than national governments in the context of enhanced planning, monitoring, reporting and reviewing mechanisms contained in CBD/SBI/3/11/ADD 6**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Advanced comments on the draft documents on Planning, Reporting and Review Mechanisms for the Resumed Session of the Third Meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation** | |
| **Scope of this template for comments** | Draft guidance for capturing commitments from actors other than national governments in the context of enhanced planning, monitoring, reporting and reviewing mechanisms contained in the document CBD/SBI/3/11/Add.6, which includes a draft of Annex B to CBD/SBI/3/CRP.5. This template aims to collect feedback on that Annex. |
| ***Contact information*** | |
| **Surname:** | Kimura |
| **Given Name:** | Mariko |
| **Government** (if applicable)**:** | Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Japan |
| **Organization:** | - |
| **Address:** | 2-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku |
| **City:** | Tokyo |
| **Country:** | Japan |
| **Postal Code:** | 100-8919 |
| **Phone Number** (including country code)**:** | +81-3-5501-8245 |
| E-mail: | mariko.kimura@mofa.go.jp |
| **Comments** | |
| With regard to p.3 para10, it would be difficult to completely avoid double-counting between the commitments indicated in the NBSAP and those made by other entities because they may be inseparable and there may be cases where non-state actors are not aware of the commitments made by the state and other actors.  Therefore, rather than aiming to integrate and analyze the commitments made by the state and those made by other entities without overlap, the analysis should be based on the premise of categorizing commitments in the NBSAPs as the integration of the efforts of all entities, and commitments by other entities as a part of those whole commitments in the NBSAPs.  Information on commitments by other entities would be useful in terms of collecting pioneering initiatives, good practices, and examples of international initiatives. | |
| Please use the table below to provide any specific comments on the template: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Comment** |
| 1 | * (7. Type of organization in General information)   “National initiative” is listed as an option. However, considering that this Annex provides guidance for reporting on commitments from non-state actors, there seems to be no need to include national initiatives as an option here. It is difficult to assume that non-state actors will make national initiatives.   * Project budget should be included in the reporting items. This may complement the understanding of the status of resource mobilization. |
| 2 | (para 11) It should be clearly mentioned that the “expected impact” includes not only reduced negative impact but also positive contribution. Also, please specify whether both the impact of doing nothing (BAU) and the impact of making a commitment should be written. |
| 3 | - |