**Template for the Advanced Comments on Draft Documents on Planning, Reporting and Review Mechanisms for the Resumed Session of the Third Meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation**

**TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS: Modus Operandi of the open-ended forum of SBI for country-by-country review of implementation** **contained in CBD/SBI/3/11/ADD 5**

|  |
| --- |
| **Advanced comments on the draft documents on Planning, Reporting and Review Mechanisms for the Resumed Session of the Third Meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation** |
| **Scope of this template for comments**  | Modus Operandi of the open-ended forum of SBI for country-by-country review of implementation, contained in the document CBD/SBI/3/11/Add.5, which includes a draft of Annex D to CBD/SBI/3/CRP.5. This template aims to collect feedback on that Annex.  |
| ***Contact information*** |
| **Surname:** | Aliev |
| **Given Name:** | Anar |
| **Government** (if applicable)**:**  | Russian Federation |
| **Organization:** | Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment |
| **Address:**  | 4/6 Bolshaya Gruzinskaya Ulitsa, |
| **City:** | Moscow |
| **Country:** | Russia |
| **Postal Code:** | 123995 |
| **Phone Number** (including country code)**:**  | +7(495)252-23-59 (ext. 1299) |
| E-mail: | AAliev@mnr.gov.ru |
| **Comments** |
| Please provide any general comments and specific suggestions on the proposed modus operandi of the open-ended forum of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation for country-by-country review of implementation.To make analysis of the results of on-line dialogues and presentations from several (probably a significant number) of countries and across dialogues an presentation template to be developed as well as a clear guidance to countries what information they need to provide for the dialogue.From the proposed chart for the second phase it is not clear what is the relation between SBI and open-ended forum as they are shown as equal and parallel processes. Adjust it to the description in para 4 where forum is a part of the SBI and not a separate parallel and equal process. Similarly, from para 3 it is not clear the link between dialogue and SBI forum.In para 4 it is confusing the suggested contribution of dialogue to “the global stocktakes on ambition and implementation”. This for sure requires clarity what exactly review and dialogues are about. As well, clarity is require on what exactly “the global stocktakes on ambition and implementation” does mean. Currently this part is very confusing.Based on para 7 what is the first year of the on-line dialogue bearing in mind that countries will need to have ready “**national reports, or other related reports, such as national reviews of implementation of NBSAPs or related strategies or plans**”. Next national report is scheduled only for 2025. Thus, the timing for dialogues is limited only to 5 years after the 7th national report? All those elements need to be aligned.Para 9 puts an additional burden on all countries as requires them to establish the internal national process to prepare for the dialogues. This will be limited by existing capacities and resources in many countries and make the whole review process a complex one.Overall the proposed version of the Modus operandi requires very significant additional work and can not be supported in the current form.We are looking forward to see revised option based on submissions from Parties made through this review process. |