Global Workshop on Reviewing Progress and Building Capacity for the NBSAP Process Nairobi, Kenya 11 – 15 November 2013

Please take a few minutes to complete this and hand back today to help us improve these types of capacity enhancing activities.

Thank you very much for writing clearly

Rate your satisfaction on a scale 1(Low) to 5 (High)

Questions	1	2	3	4	5	Comments
How do you rate the overall usefulness of the workshop?	0/63 (0%)	2/63 (3.17%)	13/63 (20.64%)	31/63 (49.2%)	17/63 (27%)	 Excellent, very helpful in terms of giving guidance toward the preparation of 5th NR. The workshop fine-tuned the implementation process of the NBSAP. I could not get any partnership or support for needed intervention during the bilateral discussion. These topics were my main preoccupation. More than 2/3 of the workshop was about introducing different agencies / it was useless as we already know them. First 2 days lacked organization Presentations were too fast, leaving no time to discuss
How well has this workshop contributed to your ability to prepare/update your NBSAP?	0/62 (0%)	4/62 (6.5%)	13/62 (21%)	40/62 (64.5%)	5/62 (8%)	 The tools for capacity building were well received. First time to attend a CBD workshop. Not much additional information, but some additional knowledge from specific country experiences. Written guidelines were missing / lacking It was supposed to be a global workshop, but technically it was not as countries were divided by language, adding no value to sharing experiences or best practices.
How would you rate the usefulness of the program on resource mobilization and valuation?	2/59 (3.3%)	9/59 (15.2%)	20/59 (34%)	20/59 (34%)	8/59 (13.5%)	The message was not communicated effectively. Recycled information from other workshops. Not useful New information was lacking (i.e. biodiversity benefits, intrinsic valuation of biodiversity, etc.) Good discussion in group work. Technical support was needed. This session was helpful in elaborating the resource mobilization process. The program was very interesting, but time was not sufficient. No time for discussion. Some countries specific experiences were useful.
How would you rate the usefulness of the program on mainstreaming?	0/60 (0%)	4/60 (6.6%)	14/60 (23.3%)	26/60 (43.3%)	16/60 (26.6%)	The input on approaches and methodologies of mainstreaming were well done. Technical support was needed. Interesting session but missing examples. Nadine is a good communicator
How would you rate the usefulness of the program on targets and indicators?	0/61 (0%)	2/61 (1.2%)	18/61 (29.5%)	25/61 (41%)	18/61 (29.5%)	 Should give more examples. Good examples were used This was the most important session. Still need clarification. It was OK, in general, but the long discussion prevented us to do the exercise on mapping to which we were looking forward to.

Turn the page please

In your opinion, as enough time allowed for discussion and interaction?	1/62 (1.6%)	7/62 (11.2%)	18/62 (29%)	23/62 (37%)	13/62 (21%)	 We basically rushed through. NBSAP is very broad; hence there is a need for further consideration for regionally based meeting. Insufficient time for sessions. Free sessions were not necessary Actually, perfect, sufficient time given for discussions. Time for discussion on mainstreaming was sufficient only. The groups sometimes look like a plenary. (too many participants in the English group) There was sufficient time allocated to each theme; however I feel they were dragged on for too long in some instances in the very long group works, which made us loose track in the session. 			
Did you consider the daily time schedule to be appropriate?	1/56 (1.7%)	5/56 (9%)	12/56 (21.5%)	15/56 (26.5%)	23/56 (41%)	 Lunch time was too long. Very adequate. It was a smart idea to allocate time for clinics and informal discussions. Start earlier to maximize time 			
Please rate your satisfaction on the overall logistical organization of the workshop?	1/60 (1.6%)	4/60 (6.6%)	13/60 (21.6%)	20/60 (33.3%)	23/60 (38.3%)	 Very satisfied. Presentations should be distributed prior to the workshop. Venue was very good and safe. 			
Please rate your satisfaction on the venue and its facilities?	0/60 (0%)	1/60 (1.6%)	4/60 (6.6%)	15/60 (25%)	40/60 (66.6%)	 Excellent and very satisfied. It was very nice to visit the UNEP headquarter. 			
Please describe one main thing that you have experienced or learned here in the workshop that will be particularly helpful in your work	 Mainstreaming session was very helpful and productive as some parties are working on this issue presently. Mainstreaming – gender and inter-institutional cooperation Sectorial mainstreaming for NBSAP implementation. Mainstreaming session, setting targets and indicators with full participation of key stakeholders. Targets setting are a bit clearer now. SMART targets and indicators Indicators – definition and priority setting The map that showed protected area managed by state, communally –conserved areas. It shows a paradigm shift of recognition of collective rights; that was impressive. All sessions were useful, not only for NBSAP implementation but for the overall activities. The CBD, steps on developing NBSAP, Stakeholders to be engaged, synergies between the Rio conventions. NBSAP Forum is the best idea, hope that works and more country experiences and knowledge sharing will be seen in the future. Exchange of experiences among all parties. Lessons learned Resources mobilization. Countries should have the flexibility to work on developing national targets and implementing them based on national circumstances. Tools and country guidelines elaborated Define values of biodiversity 								
Is there anything that we could have done differently to enhance the usefulness of this workshop?	 The workshop was successful. Rather than different language group, suggestion to organize meeting based on regional groups (Africa, Asia, etc.). Include interaction / dialogue with countries from other regions to share regional experiences. Organize regional workshops and then global would be better. Give more time to each theme. More time was needed for valuation and resource mobilization In Africa, large scale mining is not a problem as long as regulated. There was no discussion. Has this been proven? The GEF should be represented to update us on other opportunities. Stationeries, flash memories should be provided. Better preparation for group work with visualization. The theme should be translated to all languages. Organization of the workshop could be done in a more organized way, structured and facilitated to produce better outputs/achieve the overall objective of the workshop. The demonstrating examples of national targets. More country presentations, discussions, knowledge, sharing with support presentations from supporting institutions rather than other way around. 								

- How to value ecosystem services / a summary report from each group should be included.
- A network among the participants will enhance the usefulness of the workshop.
- Avoid using presentations from other workshops too repetitive.
- Present / share experiences on specific subjects or bottlenecks in the implementation of the Strategic Plan
- The Secretariat could have beforehand established how far different countries are in their NBSAP review process and then organize agenda items based on that; so that everyone benefited rather than have endure repetitions.
- Side event could be filled with more organized programme.
- Case studies on resources mobilization
- Establish road-map of the levels or steps to elaborate resource mobilization strategy

Overall usefulness of the workshop

