



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.
GENERAL

CBD/SBI/REC/2/10
13 July 2018

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION

Second meeting
Montreal, Canada, 9-13 July 2018
Agenda item 12

RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED BY THE SUBSIDIARY BODY ON IMPLEMENTATION

2/10. Mechanisms to facilitate review of implementation

The Subsidiary Body on Implementation,

Recommends that the Conference of the Parties at its fourteenth meeting adopt a decision along the following lines:

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling decision XIII/25,

Recognizing that implementation by Parties and underlying commitments need to be strengthened to bring the global community on a path towards achieving the 2050 Vision laid out in the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020,¹

Recognizing that elements of the multidimensional review approach under the Convention should be technically sound, objective, transparent, collaborative and constructive and aim to facilitate enhanced efforts by Parties,

Acknowledging that reviews should take into account the specific needs and circumstances of Parties and, noting national differences in approaches and visions,

Noting the importance of engaging holders of traditional knowledge in review mechanisms under the Convention,

1. *Acknowledges* that the voluntary peer-review process seeks to help Parties improve their individual and collective capacities to more effectively implement the Convention by:

(a) Assessing the development and implementation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans and producing specific recommendations for the Parties under review;

(b) Providing opportunities for peer learning for Parties directly involved and other Parties;

(c) Enhancing transparency and accountability for the development and implementation of national biodiversity strategies and action plans to the public and other Parties;

2. *Welcomes* the progress made in the development of a voluntary peer-review mechanism, and the positive result from the pilot phase initiated through decision XIII/25;

3. *Decides* to include the voluntary peer review as an element of the multidimensional review approach under the Convention, and *requests* the Executive Secretary to facilitate its operationalization;

4. *Requests* the Executive Secretary, subject to the availability of resources:

¹ Decision X/2, annex.

(a) To further develop, building on the elements of the multidimensional review approach described in the notes by the Executive Secretary on this matter,² for consideration by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting, options to enhance review mechanisms with a view to strengthening the implementation of the Convention, including an analysis of strengths and weaknesses and an indication of possible costs, benefits and burdens for Parties, other stakeholders and the Secretariat, also taking into account best practices and lessons learned in other processes and comments received at the second meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation;

(b) To prepare for, and organize, the testing of a Party-led review process through an open-ended forum at the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation, including by developing guidance for the voluntary delivery of review reports at the open-ended forum;

(c) To invite Parties to submit, on a voluntary basis, review reports for testing the open-ended forum at the third meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Implementation;

(d) To further consult with Parties and other stakeholders in order to explore possible modalities for applying approaches to enhancing the review of implementation in the process for the development of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and to report on progress to the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting;

(e) To explore possible modalities for applying such approaches to enhancing the review of implementation for consideration by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its third meeting;

(f) To facilitate further voluntary peer reviews and invite Parties to volunteer for a review and to nominate candidates for the review teams.

² As described in UNEP/CBD/SBI/1/10/Add.3 and CBD/SBI/2/11.