

TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS AND ADDITIONAL VIEWS ON THE PROPOSALS FOR A COMPREHENSIVE AND PARTICIPATORY PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE POST-2020 GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

Contact information		
Name:	Malcolm Beveridge, Irene Hoffmann, Chikelu Mba	
Organization:	FAO	
E-mail:	Irene.hoffmann@fao.org	
Comments on the draft proposals		
Page #	Para #	Comment
1	1	Line 4. Choose between 'a' and 'the' (post 2020
1	3	Line 2. Omission. It should read "..... follow up to the ..." and not "... follow to the ...". There are other minor typos such these, e.g. Page 6, par. 24, line 6 which should read "... should serve as ..." and not "..... should server as ...". A careful proof reading may be necessary, therefore. See also par. 25 of same page, line 1 which should read "with regard to" and not "with regards to ..."
1 and 2	1 to 4	An opportunity to define and/or explain this 'framework' seems to have been missed. What is this framework? Allusions are made to it being the follow-up to the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 – for the next decade. However, is a 'plan' synonymous with a 'framework'? Perhaps, that was the reason for the reference to "strategic plans of other multilateral" in par. 28)? If the two terms are not being used interchangeably -- as they shouldn't -- then the title should retain the word, 'plan'. If however, a different aim is envisaged hence the designation as a 'framework', then a definition and explanation are called for. Section VI of the document lays out in great detail the 'how' yet the 'what' was not established.
5	17 d	Requested the Executive Secretary, (...) to develop, (...), proposals for the alignment of national reporting under the Convention and its Protocols to explore options for enhancing synergy on national reporting among the biodiversity-related conventions and Rio conventions (Decision XIII/27). As mentioned in paras 17c, 21, 27, 29, 34, 43, this could be broadened to include the SDG reporting as well as the VNR.
7	30	Why 'natural or biophysical and social sciences'. Shouldn't it be natural <u>and</u> biophysical and social sciences'?
7	27	We agree with the importance of aligning the post 2020 global biodiversity framework with other international frameworks, especially the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs, and making use of synergies both in terms of implementation and reporting on progress. We appreciate that reference to synergies in reporting is mentioned throughout the document (see next comment). We further agree with the improved integration of biodiversity in other global social and economic goals, and the reference to food security which is a crucial element for biodiversity mainstreaming across agricultural sectors.

7	29	It should build on the sixth national reports and the fifth edition of the Global Biodiversity Outlook, the deliverables of the Intergovernmental science-policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services as well as the sixth Global Environment Outlook. Reports by biodiversity-related multilateral agreements and other governmental, <u>intergovernmental</u> and nongovernmental organizations on their contribution to the achievement of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets were also noted as being important inputs.
6	24	Edit ‘ .. that the Post 2020 global biodiversity framework should serve as ...’ (instead of ‘continue to serve as)
9	37	Edit ‘Sixty nine Parties had submitted an NBSAP that had been prepared ..’.
9	39 b	Efforts should be made to solicit views from a wide range of perspectives <u>and sectors</u>
10	39 c	We agree that the process should also make use of other relevant international frameworks, such as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and other relevant strategies and plans adopted by the international community. In this context, reporting on FAO agreements is available through the SDG and other reporting processes, and several biodiversity related indicators are being developed.
11	42	Parties may wish to involve not only the CBD focal points and those of its Protocols, but also the focal points of other related international agreements and processes, including the focal points of FAO bodies (CGRFA, ITPGRFA, IPPC etc)
11	43 f	You may wish to add here the information sources referred to in the GBO: <u>other relevant national and subregional assessments</u> , Information from the other biodiversity-related conventions and Rio conventions and other relevant organizations; Information provided by indigenous peoples and local communities, (which are also referred to later in para 43)
12	43	enhancing coherence and cooperation among the biodiversity-related conventions, the alignment of national reporting under the Convention and its Protocols and options for enhancing synergy on national reporting among the biodiversity-related conventions and Rio conventions <u>and the SGDs</u>
Annex	Table 3	Add: 17 th Session of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, 18-22 February 2019
		Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting “Table” followed by “insert” and “rows below”

Please submit your comments to secretariat@cbd.int or by fax at +1 514 288 6588 by **4 January 2018**