# Origin of report

Party	Republic of MALI
Contact officer for report	
Name and title of contact officer:	Bather KONE, Point Focal du Protocole de Cartagena
Mailing address:	Secrétariat Technique Permanent du Cadre Institutionnel de la Gestion des Questions Environnementales, BP.2357, Quartier du Fleuve, Rue 311 Porte 328, Bamako (MALI)
Telephone:	(223) 223 1074 / 1176
Fax:	(223) 223 5867
E-mail:	stp@timbagga.com.ml, pcnbmali@datatech.net.ml, batherkone@yahoo.fr
Submission	
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	
Date of submission:	9 September 2005

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:

basis for the report.
Document consultation,
Contacting of persons in charge
Government bodies:
- Permanent Technical Office of the Institutional Framework of the Management of Environmental Matters (Secrétariat Technique Permanent du Cadre Institutionnel de la Gestion des Questions Environnementales),
- National Directorate of Agriculture (Direction Nationale de l'Agriculture),
- Institute of Rural Economics (Institut d'Economie Rurale)

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the BCH, describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary).

A National Biosafety Framework was prepared but has not been officially submitted to the Clearing House because it has still not been reviewed by the Government and the National Assembly.

<u>Competent national authority</u>: Ministry of the Environment and Sanitation (Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Assainissement)

<u>National correspondent, National Focal Point, point of contact for notifications</u>: Permanent Technical Office of the Institutional Framework of the Management of Environmental Matters (Secrétariat Technique Permanent du Cadre Institutionnel de la Gestion des Questions Environnementales)

The initiative of the BCH project was endorsed by Mali.

Information required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

- (a) Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20.3(a))
- (b) National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.5);
  - (c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and 24.1);
- (d) Contact details for competent national authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));
  - (e) In cases of multiple competent national authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles 19.2 and 19.3);
  - (f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));
- (g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);
  - (h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);
- (i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));
  - (j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);

- (k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);
- (l) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with Annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))
- (m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)
- (n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);
  - (o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)
- (p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1); and
- (q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).

### Article 2 – General provisions

2. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measure implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)	es for
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)	
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)	X
c) no measures yet taken	
3. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well a your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obs impediments encountered:	
The document of the regulatory framework (National Biosafety Framework) the framework of the UNEP-GEF support project for the development of Nat Frameworks.  A written communication was issued by the Government on 30 August 2004 question of genetically modified organisms during the interim period up to the of the National Biosafety Framework, the latter of which is in-progress.	tional Biosafety to handle the
There are no obstacles but certain details remain to be determined, in particular the persons responsible and certain specific provisions.	llar, the name of

### Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

4. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)	1/ under the		
a) yes			
b) no			
c) not applicable – not a Party of export	X		
5. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Par review a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.			
a) yes (please give details below)			
b) no			
c) not applicable – not a Party of export	X		
6. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 9.2(c).			
a) yes			
b) no			
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X		
7. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:			
Not applicable			
8. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:			
Not applicable  NB: A process is in progress to authorise research on genetically modified pl	lants.		

<sup>1/</sup> The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol

# Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

9. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)		
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable (please give details below)	X	
10. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and cap respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for pro		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no	X	
c) not relevant		
11. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory framby Article 11.4?	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
12. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Not applicable		
13. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Not applicable		

#### *Article 13 – Simplified procedure*

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

14. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

This is not the case.

*Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements* 

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

15. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

That is not still the case.

However, ECOWAS (of which Mali is a member) is currently in the progress of developing a common approach toward the promotion of biotechnology, biosafety and public awareness raising.

# Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

16. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments c decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)	carried out for all		
a) yes			
b) no (please clarify below)			
c) not a Party of import	X		
17. If yes, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment?			
a) yes – in all cases			
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)			
c) no			
d) not a Party of import	X		
18. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require the notifier to bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)			
a) yes – in all cases			
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)			
c) no	X		
19. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures and strategies to regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the Protocol? (Article 16.1)			
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F			
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F			
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)			
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes	Protocol? (Article  X		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes  b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour	Protocol? (Article  X		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	Protocol? (Article  X  Indary movements		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)  a) yes	Protocol? (Article  X  Indary movements  X  er imported or		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)  a) yes b) no  21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with	Protocol? (Article  X  Indary movements  X  er imported or		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)  a) yes b) no  21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)	Protocol? (Article  X  Indary movements  X  er imported or		
regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)  a) yes b) no  20. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)  a) yes b) no  21. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)  a) yes – in all cases	Protocol? (Article  X  Indary movements  X  er imported or		

22. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5?		
a) yes (please give further details below)	X	
b) no (please give further details below)		

23. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The National Biosafety Framework has been established but has still not been implemented.

A draft decree on genetically modified plants is currently being reviewed by the Government.

There is the initiative of the ECOWAS Ministerial Conference on Biosafety and Biotechnology.

*Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures* 

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

24. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction that led, or could have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism that had, or could have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately consult the affected or potentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?

a) yes – all relevant States immediately	
b) partially (please clarify below)	
c) no (please clarify below)	X

25. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Not applicable.

26. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are subject to transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (Article 18.1)			
a) yes (please give details below)			
b) no	X		
c) not applicable (please clarify below)			
27. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they 'may contain' living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for information? (Article 18.2(a))			
a) yes			
b) no	X		
28. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modified organisms and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the living modified organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))			
a) yes			
b) no	X		
29. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))			
a) yes	X		
b) no			
30. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:			
There a no concrete measures presently. However, the practical provisions will be considered in the implementation of the National Biosafety Framework.  Nevertheless, a written communication of the Government prohibited any release of GMO into			

the environment prior to the introduction and implementation of the National Biosafety

Framework.

#### *Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points*

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

31. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Our difficulty is that at the national level, we still need time to communicate the details required by the Clearing House (e.g. contact information of organisational bodies and persons in charge, practical aspects of the implementation of the Biosafety Framework)

Some of the provided information is provisional and will be specified and confirmed at a later date.

# Article 21 – Confidential information

32. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)		
a) yes	X	
b) no		
33. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)		
a) yes		
If yes, please give number of cases		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of import	X	
34. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		
Not applicable		
35. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:		
Not applicable		

# Article 22 – Capacity-building

36. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country coopedevelopment and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in burposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Partie least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with extransition?	oiosafety for the s, in particular the
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party	X
37. If yes, how has such cooperation taken place:	,
That is not the case.	
38. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
b) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
39. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you to cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
40. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and capacities in biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	

41. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Mali benefits from the UNEP-GEF support project for the development of National Biosafety Frameworks.

The UNEP-GEF project organised regional workshops for capacity building for francophone countries in the framework of the development.

Various other workshops were organised in the country on biotechnology and biosafety.

Mali benefits from of bilateral aid (USAID) and multilateral aid in the field.

# Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

42. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health? (Article 23.1(a))	
a) yes – significant extent	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
43. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
44. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education encordinformation on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol the imported? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
45. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of available to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
46. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosa House? (Article 23.3)	afety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X
47. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any obimpediments encountered:	stacles or
Mali in particular and the ECOWAS region in general accord much importa	nce to Article 23.

#### *Article 24 – Non-Parties*

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

48. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:

Not applicable

#### *Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements*

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

49. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)

8	ı) yes	X
1	o) no	

50. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The draft bill of the National Biosafety Framework is formal concerning the topic. However, there has not yet been an occasion.

### Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations

51. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it to socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms or and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)	the conservation	
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import	$\boldsymbol{X}$	
52. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio-economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)		
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no	X	
53. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
There has not yet been a case of LMO introduction into Mali. However, provisions are foreseen in the National Biosafety Framework.		
There was a need to develop the capacities required for defining and assessing the socio-		

economical aspects.

#### Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

54. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.

a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	X
c) both	
d) neither	

55. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Support from the CDB office for the participation at the statutory meetings and the various programmes under its auspices.

Technical and financial support from the UNEP and the GEF for the development of the National Biosafety Framework.

Support from USAID to the countries and to the ECOWAS to hold the Ministerial Conference on Biotechnology and Biosafety.

#### Other information

56. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

We need to begin the implementation phase in order to be able to respond more precisely to certain questions of the report.

#### Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions:

The report format is appropriate and user-friendly (the Office, the Parties).

The difficulty resides in the fact that in most cases the frameworks are in-progress. There has not yet been a practical context of implementation and this makes it difficult to respond to certain questions.

To adapt that situation to the report format, some questions should have been posed on the development process of the National Biosafety Frameworks, especially in the case of developing countries, which are mainly affected by this.