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| 30 | DonV | Da Nhim PWS, Dong Nai watershed, Vietnam | Wertz-Kanounnikoff S, Rankine H. (2008). How can governments promote strategic approaches to payments for environmental services (PES)? An exploratory analysis for the case of Vietnam. Natural Resources no. 3, IDDRI, Paris, France.Bich-Thuy NT, Thanh NC, Phuong-Thao N, Nam PT, Bonnardeaux D, Cottrill-Riedel D. (2011). Lam Dong Province PES Technical Working Group Payment for Forest Environmental Services: A Case Study on Pilot Implementation in Lam Dong Province, Vietnam 2006-2010. Winrock International. Available at <http://jeevika.org/bamboo/1k-payment-for-forest-environmental-services.pdf>.  |
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