

Presentation outline

- Introduction
- Ecosystem services conservation activities
- Poverty and inequality implication of conservation
- □ Role of PES
- □ PES in Ethiopia
- Ways forward

- □ Coffee forest –the forest harboring arabica coffee
- Forest coffee is one of the NTFPs collected from the forest without management
- □ Production systems productivity and ecosystem services vary
 - Forest coffee
 - Semi-forest
 - Plantation (garden) coffee
- □ Forest coffee's ecosystem service
 - Biodiversity –for international coffee breeding for particularly
 - Disease tolerance, production stability and quality improvement

- □ Other ecological services such as
 - climate change mitigation, carbon sequestration, watershed protection and so on.
 - climate chance adaptation as well forest coffees are tolerant to biotic and abiotic factors
- □ For local people forest coffee
 - source of cash income (about half of households' annual cash income)
 - also plays a risk buffering role in bad years and seasons
- □ In most cases the local people have a good knowledge of the ecosystems services of forest coffee as well

- Yet, coffee forest and embedded genetic resources are highly endangered from deforestation and forest degradation
- Poverty is stated as the underlying causes of deforestation
 - factor input for deforestation of coffer forest-human labor
- Poverty and deforestation forms a vicious circle
 - Deforestation /forest degradation reduce environmental benefits to the local households and in turn aggravates the level of poverty
 - Poverty leads to 'forced deforestation'
- □ On the other hand *ex-situ* conservation in cold rooms hardly possible coffee seeds

- If conservation intervention reduce local people access to forest resource it increases poverty
- □ The extent of impact differs across the local households
- □ The extent of impact depends on
 - Level of dependency
 - availability of substitute products
 - access to markets
 - compensating alternatives



ES Conservation activities

- □ Ethiopia has engaged in conservation activities since 1880s.
- □ Implement the participatory conservation strategy since mid 1990s
 - little has been achieved in terms of sustainability and its contribution to poverty reduction
- Mix of the two through zoning —biosphere reserve since 2010
 - already registered by UNESCO
 - Yayu
 - Kaffa
 - Other initiative
 - Bale

Poverty implication of conservation: a case study from Yayu coffee forest

- □ 3 conservation scenarios taken
- □ De facto open access (scenario1)
 - the local household are free to harvest forest products from every parts
- Ineffective implementation of zoning (scenario2)
 - the rules and regulations are defined,
 - but it is in a state of ineffective implementation
- Effective enforcement of the zoning strategy (scenario3)
 - hypothetical effective implementation of the rules and regulations

Poverty implication of conservation

□ In case of Yayu coffee forest we found that

Table 1. Local household poverty index under conservation scenarios

Scenarios	α=0	α=1	α=2
Scenario 1		0.23	0.13
	(0.0302)	(0.0173)	(0.0129)
Scenario 2	0.48	0.19	0.10
	(0.0302)	(0.0154)	(0.0105)
Scenario 3	0.63	0.32	0.20
	(0.0291)	(0.0191)	(0.0154)

^{*}Number in the bracket is standard error

Poverty implication of conservation

- In spite of the considerable level of poverty existing in the area, the percentage of poor increases from 48 to 63 in case of effective enforcement of rules and regulations
- While the normalized poverty gap increases by about 60 percent as we move from the effective implementation
- □ Similarly the squared poverty gap increases from 0.1 to 0.2
- □ This implies that the poor of the poor households will be adversely affected in case of effective implementation

Income inequality implication of conservation

- □ Total household incomes categorized by sources
- **□** Farm income
 - agricultural activities
- □ Coffee forest related non-farm income,
 - gathering products from coffee forest
- □ Off-farm income
 - include wage income, petty trade...

Income inequality implication of conservation

- □ The existing inequality can be decrease
 - coffee related non-farm income
 - 10 percent increase in coffee related income reduces the income inequality by almost 0.4 percent, *ceteris paribus*.
 - Whereas 10 percent increase in farm income increases the income inequality by almost 0.4 percent

Income inequality implication of conservation

- Effective implementation tends to increases income inequality
 - This inequality induced can be reduced through increase in income of the coffee forest related non-farm income and off-farm income as PES



Role of PES: equity and poverty

- □ In general, conservation of ecosystem services in developing countries, including the forest coffee genetic resources in Ethiopia, is challenged by poverty
- Some of the conservation activities also tends to increase the gap between the poor and better of local peoples
 - Because it is the poor who depends environmental resources (particulars forest)
- PES can reducing the poverty and income inequality, and in turn can enhance effectiveness of conservation interventions

Role of PES in reconciling ...

- However for PES to work better in reconciling conservation and poverty reduction :
- □ There is a need to account for its effect on *horizontal and* vertical equity
 - Target groups identification- beneficiaries of PES
 - Channels selection
- Avoid or minimize the possibility 'free riding' problems
 - benefits & responsibilities should target individuals
- Methods of payment-in kind or in cash
 - □ PES should create employment opportunities

Role of PES in reconciling ...

■ Environmental income should contribute to households' betterment in terms of physical and human capital and thereby move out of poverty

□ Should play pivotal role in enabling households to use

high-value environmental products through efficient

marketing systems

lower transaction costs



PES in Ethiopia

- Ethiopia submitted readiness preparation plan (RPP) for REDD and REDD+ to the World Bank
- □ Only one CDM project so far
- □ Currently ECFF preparing prefeasibility project for REDD++
 - Other NGOs are also doing similar things
- □ Challenges property right issues....

Ways forward

- □ There is a need really to assess concerns of local communities regarding PES
- Rigorous empirical studies need to be conducted regarding equality implication of PES
- Relationship between households income and natural resources degradation /deforestation not yet well established
 - it depends on the nature of services local people derive from the natural forest
 - Purpose of extraction of the resources

Ways forward

- It is important to integrate PES with strong enforcement of management rules and regulations. This is vital particularly in case of 'commercial forest' like coffee forest because PES (better prices for semi-forest coffee) may trigger further intensification
- □ There has to be mechanisms for raising funds at local and national levels to finance PES in addition to international sources. For instance in the case of coffee forest a given percentage of forest/semi-forest coffee export revenue need be invested back as PES in the coffee forest areas

