

Annex I

Questionnaire

- (a) Please provide your evaluation of the structure, content and effectiveness of the Strategy for Resource Mobilization adopted by decision [IX/11](#),<sup>1</sup> indicating as much as possible the gaps in meeting the targets.
- (b) Please provide a summary of your experiences in achieving Aichi Biodiversity Target 20 and implementing the Strategy for Resource Mobilization, and their adequacy, and your views on the need for appropriate further action.

You may wish to structure your replies to questions (a) and (b) above in accordance with the goals of the Strategy for Resource Mobilization, namely:

**Goal 1: Improve information base on funding needs, gaps and priorities**

- a) The structure, content are well. But the effectiveness is still to be proven in poor countries
- b) All involved actors in fund management in Benin are well informed in the different procedures of public fund use

**Goal 2: Strengthen national capacity for resource utilization and mobilize domestic financial resources for the Convention's three objectives**

- a) Good for short term impact projects/activities
- b) The local funding are mainly targeted on very short term impacts project or activities (one to 3 years maximum). But effectiveness is a matter of very long term steady and well-designed actions. But very few international funder accept long term sustainable biodiversity conservation programs. We are then put at stake in developing countries where we don't have the capacities to sustain required actions at the end of our numerous short-term conservation program. Funders should then ready to invest in 10 years and more programs in developing countries

Goal 3: Strengthen existing financial institutions and, promote replication and scaling-up of successful financial mechanisms and instruments

- a) Good
- b) These need to be Maintained and more extended to longer term programs

**Goal 4: Explore new and innovative financial mechanisms at all levels with a view to increasing funding to support the three objectives of the Convention**

- a) Good. But I think this need to be reformulated by adding the world "authorised" as follow : Goal 4: Explore new and innovative "authorised" innovative financial mechanisms at all levels with a view to increasing funding to support the three objectives of the convention
- b) I suggest this minor correction to make it clear that we are aware and exclude any eventual financial resources from criminal or forbidden national or international activities.

**Goal 5: Mainstream biological diversity and its associated ecosystem services in development cooperation plans and priorities including the linkage between Convention's work programmes and Millennium Development Goals**

- a) Well
- b) Good

**Goal 6: Build capacity for resource mobilization and utilization and promote South-South cooperation as a complement to necessary North-South cooperation**

- a) Very pertinent

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/cop-09/cop-09-dec-11-en.pdf>

- b) The African Union and NEPAD invest a lot in these at the African level and we all hope that this can continue for a better synergy in the conservation actions in Africa.

**Goal 7 Enhancing implementation of access and benefit-sharing initiatives and mechanisms in support of resource mobilization**

- a) Relevant  
b) This need more operational explanation and measurable statements

**Goal 8: Enhance the global engagement for resource mobilization in support of the achievement of the Convention's three objectives**

- a) Very relevant  
b) In poor countries with very high level of illiteracy, communications on biodiversity issues are challenging. I think we can also introduce in the education curricula beginning from the primary school some modules to raise children awareness on the importance of biological diversity and the goods and services. This will help them be more involved in saving our biodiversity in adulthood. More communication tools should also be designed in our local languages in order to achieve local communities and disadvantaged people who have poor international languages command.

**(c) Please provide any relevant information that would support the estimation of the resources from all sources needed for different scenarios of the implementation of the post-2020 framework;**

Biodiversity conservation is a multisectoral and transboundary issue.

**(d) Please provide your views on the possible structure and content of a draft resource mobilization component of the post-2020 biodiversity framework, as a follow-up to the current Strategy for Resource Mobilization;**

- The document should be Outcome/Output/activities-oriented rather than an implementation document. And Cleary
- Recall/Define the commitments of the different governments (especially in poor countries) to respect the protocols/convention signature and ratification by establishing and financing the different required national institutions of their implementation.
- Emphasis on the means of implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the Post 2020 Global Biodiversity Framework for Resource mobilization, Scientific cooperation and technology transfer, local inventions.

**(e) Please provide your views and any relevant information concerning options and approaches for mobilizing and providing additional resources from all sources.**

I think that “from all sources” could be reformulated by “all authorised sources” and mentioned above

**(h) Please provide your views and any relevant information on possible ways to improve the readiness and capacity of Parties to access and utilize financial resources in support of the implementation of the post-2020 framework. (see 8)**

Priorities should be given to long term conservation programs. It is too much challenging to poor countries to sustain required funding for short term projects; the results of which are lost just by the end of the international finding mechanism. We have to restart again and again each time we come at the end of a project of 2-5 years